

Nielsen, Adam

From: Nielsen, Adam - RZ
Sent: Monday, October 03, 2011 4:18 PM
To: (b)(6) (Hatch HP)
Subject: RE: Re-use of contaminated soil at excavation site.

I am at Surry this week, but will try and give you a call if I can. Unfortunately, I can't forward you an internal NRC email like that. That would probably take a FOIA request. If I can get a hold of you, we can go over it again and I can see if Richard Conatser listed any publicly available references in his email.

If there are any prohibitions against backfilling the excavation site listed in your in-house procedures, I would encourage you to follow those until getting them changed. And if they refer to any guidance documents as to why you should not backfill, I would be interested to see them.

Thanks for the GT support. We beat the wolfpack, but it was an ugly win. I'll take it though.

Adam

From: (b)(6) (Hatch HP) (b)(6)
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 12:55 PM
To: Nielsen, Adam
Subject: FW: Re-use of contaminated soil at excavation site.

9-11-11
EX-6

Adam, is there any way I can get a copy of the email that you referenced for our records. As I mentioned we have had the serious tritium leak and are moving forward with excavation. I hope all is well and you Jackets beat the wolfpack. (b)(6)

9-11-11
EX-6

From: (b)(6)
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 12:34 PM
To: (b)(6) (Hatch HP)
Cc: (b)(6)
Subject: Re-use of contaminated soil at excavation site.

9-11-11
EX-6

(b)(6)

Please provide some official documentation that will allow Plant Hatch to re-use soil determined to process by product radioactive material as back-fill in an Appendix D excavation site. This documentation will be used to justify the needed in-house (AG-HPX-07-0401) and NMP (NMP-HP-300) procedure revisions. If you have any questions please let me know.

(b)(6)

9-11-11
EX-6

B
/