Greenwood, Carol

From:

Gibson, Kathy (25)

Sent: To: Thursday, June 24, 2010 4:31 PM Yerokun, Jimi: Uhle, Jennifer

Subject:

Re: SOARCA Documents Review

Yes and while Don was reviewing the documents, our staff was asking questions and whining about it!

I don't think we should extend the time for their review. It obviously can be done quickly. We are on a tight schedule so we need to come to closure. I think we should stick to review and comments on rev 2a. We will get their review of the new exec summary after we write it.

Just my thoughts, I will wait for your recommendations Jimi, once you get all the feedback.

Have a good weekend!

From: Yerokun, Jimi

To: Gibson, Kathy; Uhle, Jennifer **Sent**: Thu Jun 24 16:24:00 2010

Subject: FW: SOARCA Documents Review

Wow. Talk about very supportive!

Jimi T. Yerokun

From: Dube, Donald

Sent: Thursday, June 24, 2010 4:18 PM

To: Yerokun, Jimi

Cc: Ader, Charles; Holahan, Gary; Mrowca, Lynn **Subject:** RE: SOARCA Documents Review

Importance: High

Jimi:

Attached are about a dozen specific comments with basis and proposed resolution on the final draft SOARCA reports. These are all for clarification and none are show-stoppers. This is my 3rd review of the various drafts, and the quality has continued to improve markedly with each iteration. (I have noticed some new material and these need editorial review).

My review has focused mainly on accident selection, accident progression, and mitigation strategies. I notice that a large number of additional sensitivity analyses have been made to address peer review comments, and these have generally added great value.

The report accomplishes what it set out to do.

Don Dube

From: Yerokun, Jimi

Sent: Wednesday, June 23, 2010 4:31 PM

To: Yerokun, Jimi; Tinkler, Charles; Mitchell, Jocelyn; Stutzke, Martin; Ali, Syed; Ake, Jon; Pires, Jose; Schaperow, Jason;

Nosek, Andrew; Vail, James; Dube, Donald; Sullivan, Randy

0/8

Cc: Gibson, Kathy; Uhle, Jennifer; Barr, Jonathan; Burnell, Scott; Prato, Robert; 'Burns, Shawn'

Subject: SOARCA Documents Review

Dear SOARCA Team;

Thanks for your reviews of the SOARCA documents as they have been developed. The draft SOARCA documents, particularly the NUREG, have undergone multiple iterations over the past few years. We apologize if we have not clearly responded to some comments. In order to ensure that we consider all your technical views, we'd like to ask that you review the current version of the draft NUREG, Rev. 2a. This is the same version that was provided to the ACRS prior to the June 21st ACRS subcommittee meeting. This is the version that will be the basis for incorporation of ACRS, Peer Reviewers, Fact Checks and remaining Staff's comments. To ensure an effective process, we are providing the following guidance for staffs' review:

- (1) Provide your written comments in the attached table. Redline/strikeouts are not acceptable. We have had numerous problems trying to track individual redline/strikeouts, and so propose to use this table approach to allow us to track the disposition of the comments to prevent us from revisiting resolved issues and to communicate how we have resolved them in case other people in later stages of the concurrence process raise the same issue. We recognize this is harder to do than providing redline/strikeout but we think will save time in the long run.
- (2) Be specific and include the technical basis supporting each comment. Preferably, you should focus on technical accuracy or show-stopping matters. Please do not get into editorial-type issues as the document will be submitted for Grammatik and technical editing after all comments have been addressed.
- (3) Do not reiterate or restate comments raised by others, such as Peer Reviewers, ACRS or other technical staffs. Provide technical rationale and/or basis for your comments.

All comments are to be provided no later than July 8, 2010, electronically to Jonathan Barr, acting PM for SOARCA. Please use the attached table to provide your comments. We appreciate your interest in making SOARCA a quality product. We will continue to do everything we can to ensure that everyone's technical views are heard and considered.

Regards,

Jennifer Uhle, Director, Division of Systems Analysis, RES Kathy Halvey Gibson, Deputy Director, Division of Systems Analysis, RES Jimi Yerokun, Chief, Special Projects Branch, DSA/RES