

## ArevaEPRDCPEm Resource

---

**From:** WILLIFORD Dennis (AREVA) [Dennis.Williford@areva.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 27, 2011 10:14 AM  
**To:** Tesfaye, Getachew  
**Cc:** BENNETT Kathy (AREVA); DELANO Karen (AREVA); ROMINE Judy (AREVA); RYAN Tom (AREVA); GUCWA Len (EXTERNAL AREVA)  
**Subject:** Response to U.S. EPR Design Certification Application RAI No. 515 (6041,6061), FSAR Ch. 6  
**Attachments:** RAI 515 Response US EPR DC.pdf

Getachew,

Attached please find AREVA NP Inc.'s response to the subject request for additional information (RAI). The attached file, "RAI 515 Response US EPR DC.pdf," provides a schedule since technically correct and complete responses to the two questions cannot be provided at this time.

The following table indicates the respective pages in the response document, "RAI 515 Response US EPR DC.pdf," that contain AREVA NP's response to the subject questions.

Question #	Start Page	End Page
RAI 515 — 06.02.05-26	2	3
RAI 515 — 06.02.01-103	4	4

A preliminary schedule for technically correct and complete responses to the two questions is provided below. This schedule is being reevaluated and a new supplement with a revised schedule for these two questions will be transmitted by December 14, 2011.

Question #	Response Date
RAI 515 — 06.02.05-26	December 14, 2011
RAI 515 — 06.02.01-103	December 14, 2011

Sincerely,

***Dennis Williford, P.E.***  
***U.S. EPR Design Certification Licensing Manager***  
***AREVA NP Inc.***

7207 IBM Drive, Mail Code CLT 2B  
Charlotte, NC 28262  
Phone: 704-805-2223  
Email: [Dennis.Williford@areva.com](mailto:Dennis.Williford@areva.com)

---

**From:** Tesfaye, Getachew [<mailto:Getachew.Tesfaye@nrc.gov>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 29, 2011 6:54 PM  
**To:** ZZ-DL-A-USEPR-DL  
**Cc:** Grady, Anne-Marie; Peng, Shie-Jeng; Jackson, Christopher; McKirgan, John; Colaccino, Joseph; ArevaEPRDCPEm Resource  
**Subject:** U.S. EPR Design Certification Application RAI No. 515 (6041,6061), FSAR Ch. 6

Attached please find the subject requests for additional information (RAI). A draft of the RAI was provided to you on September 23, 2011, and discussed with your staff on September 29, 2011. No change is made to the draft RAI as a result of this discussion. The schedule we have established for review of your application assumes technically correct and complete responses within 30 days of receipt of RAIs. For any RAIs that cannot be answered within 30 days, it is expected that a date for receipt of this information will be provided to the staff within the 30 day period so that the staff can assess how this information will impact the published schedule.

Thanks,  
Getachew Tesfaye  
Sr. Project Manager  
NRO/DNRL/NARP  
(301) 415-3361

**Hearing Identifier:** AREVA\_EPR\_DC\_RAIs  
**Email Number:** 3499

**Mail Envelope Properties** (2FBE1051AEB2E748A0F98DF9EEE5A5D4938493)

**Subject:** Response to U.S. EPR Design Certification Application RAI No. 515 (6041,6061),  
FSAR Ch. 6  
**Sent Date:** 10/27/2011 10:14:13 AM  
**Received Date:** 10/27/2011 10:14:36 AM  
**From:** WILLIFORD Dennis (AREVA)

**Created By:** Dennis.Williford@areva.com

**Recipients:**

"BENNETT Kathy (AREVA)" <Kathy.Bennett@areva.com>  
Tracking Status: None  
"DELANO Karen (AREVA)" <Karen.Delano@areva.com>  
Tracking Status: None  
"ROMINE Judy (AREVA)" <Judy.Romine@areva.com>  
Tracking Status: None  
"RYAN Tom (AREVA)" <Tom.Ryan@areva.com>  
Tracking Status: None  
"GUCWA Len (EXTERNAL AREVA)" <Len.Gucwa.ext@areva.com>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Tsfaye, Getachew" <Getachew.Tsfaye@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** auscharm02.adom.ad.corp

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	2436	10/27/2011 10:14:36 AM
RAI 515 Response US EPR DC.pdf		64277

**Options**

**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**

**Response to**

**Request for Additional Information No. 515(6041, 6061), Revision 0**

**9/29/2011**

**U. S. EPR Standard Design Certification**

**AREVA NP Inc.**

**Docket No. 52-020**

**SRP Section: 06.02.05 - Combustible Gas Control in Containment**

**SRP Section: 06.02.01 - Containment Functional Design**

**Application Section: chapter 6**

**QUESTIONS for Containment and Ventilation Branch 1 (AP1000/EPR Projects)  
(SPCV)**

**Question 06.02.05-26:****OPEN ITEM****Follow-up to RAI 471, Question 06.02.05-22**

AREVA's response to RAI 471, Question 06.02.05-22 provided the PAR nominal and efficiency curves for the small and large PARs. These will be included in the Severe Accident Topical Report, ANP-10299P, Rev. 2, Supplement 1. The nominal PAR recombination rates are provided in US EPR FSAR, Tier 2, Table 6.2.5-1. The PAR locations are provided in FSAR Figure 6.2.5-1, and Tier 1 Table 2.3.1-1. However, the PAR recombination rates need to be included in the FSAR, Tier 1, Section 2.3.1 and to be verified by ITAAC.

10 CFR 50.44(c)(2) requires all containments to limit hydrogen concentrations in containment during and following an accident that releases an equivalent amount of hydrogen as would be generated from a 100 percent fuel clad-coolant reaction, uniformly distributed, to less than 10 percent (by volume) and maintain containment structural integrity and appropriate accident mitigating features.

In the US EPR, the CGCS limits the hydrogen concentration in containment to 10 percent by volume during and following a beyond design basis accident. The CGCS is composed of PARs, rupture and convection foils, and mixing dampers. The PARs' function is essential in meeting the requirements of 10 CFR 50.44(c)(2). They are the only equipment that will reduce the hydrogen concentration in containment. The CGCS system ITAAC should verify the PARs' key design characteristics and performance requirements.

For the PARs, add, in FSAR, Tier 1, Section 2.3.1:

- a. section 3.0, Mechanical Features, the following sentence "The CGCS contains the passive autocatalytic recombiners (PAR), mixing dampers and rupture and convection foils."
- b. section 7.0, Equipment and System Performance, that the PARs listed in Table 2.3.1-1 recombine at their design rates.
- c. Table 2.3.1-3, column 1, Commitment Wording, that the PARs listed in Table 2.3.1-1 limit the hydrogen concentration in containment to 10 percent by volume during and following a beyond design basis accident.
- d. Table 2.3.1-3, column 2, Inspections, Tests, and Analyses, that an inspection will be performed to verify that the PARs identified in Table 2.3.1-1 are installed as specified in their design or performance test report.
- e. Table 2.3.1-3, column 3, Acceptance Criteria, that the PARs listed in Table 2.3.1-1 with the superscript "1" have the nominal hydrogen recombination rate of 2.6 lbm/hr, per PAR, at 7.25 psig and 4 volume percent hydrogen, and the remaining PARs listed in Table 2.3.1-1 have the nominal recombination rate of 11.8 lbm/hr, per PAR, at 7.25 psig and 4 volume percent hydrogen.

10 CFR 50.44(c)(1) requires that all containments have a capability for ensuring a mixed atmosphere during design-basis and significant beyond design-basis accidents. The mixing dampers are essential in meeting this requirement.

The ITAAC for the CGCS currently includes inspection of the minimum total area for the dampers, their operation on Open and Close signals, and their fail open status on loss of power.

For the mixing dampers, add in FSAR, Tier 1, chapter 2.3.1:

- f. Table 2.3.1-3, column 1, Commitment Wording, that the mixing dampers listed in Table 2.3.1-1 open at absolute and differential pressure.
- g. Table 2.3.1-3, column 2, Inspections, Tests, and Analyses, that type tests will be performed to demonstrate the mixing dampers' ability to open on pressure increase and pressure difference.
- h. Table 2.3.1-3, column 3, Acceptance Criteria, that the mixing dampers open at 0.5 psid and 17.4 psia.

**Response to Question 06.02.05-26:**

A response to this question will be provided by December 14, 2011.

**Question 06.02.01-103:**

**OPEN ITEM**

**Follow-up to RAI 437 Question 06.02.01-97**

In RAI 437 Question 06.02.01-97, the staff requested AREVA to provide evaluations for the first 1200 seconds for DEG-CLPS break and for the first 3600 seconds for a DEG-CLPD break. The Supplement 6 response only provided the evaluation up to 100 seconds for both breaks while the non-condensable gas mass fraction continuously increases at 100 seconds. The response needs to be expanded up to the first 1200 seconds for DEG-CLPS break and for the first 3600 seconds for a DEG-CLPD break. Provide evaluations of the impact on containment pressure and temperature when the non-condensable gases were ingested into the reactor system during these time periods.

**Response to Question 06.02.01-103:**

A response to this question will be provided by December 14, 2011.