

8/25/2011

46 FR #7612

As of: October 24, 2011
Received: October 23, 2011
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 80f59dd7
Comments Due: October 26, 2011
Submission Type: Web

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

11

Docket: NRC-2010-0206

Notice of Receipt and Availability of Application for Renewal of Facility Operating License

Comment On: NRC-2010-0206-0013

NextEra Energy Seabrook, LLC; Notice of Availability of Draft Supplement 46 to the Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants and Public Meetings for the License Renewal of Seabrook Station, Unit 1

Document: NRC-2010-0206-DRAFT-0021

Comment on FR Doc # 2011-19875

Submitter Information

Name: Randall Kezar

Address:

134 Main St.
Kingston, NH, 03848

RECEIVED

2011 OCT 24 AM 9:40

FILES AND DIRECTORIES
STANFORD
UNIVERSITY

General Comment

With respect to the degrading condition of Seabrook during the next four decades:

- 6. If the Gulf of Maine offshore wind power project can be shown to make the Seabrook station non-competitive, will the NRC nevertheless grant a renewal license? Why is this project not mentioned and analyzed in the draft document?
- 7. Should not all currently known safety and operational problems be actually diagnosed and fixed before a renewal license be considered?
- 8. Should not the evacuation plans be reevaluated?
- 9. Where and how will the radioactive waste which will be generated during the proposed additional decades of operation be stored? Will the Seabrook station secure sufficiently funds to store them for a very significant period of time. Since there is no foreseeable permanent storage plan, should not the NRC cease relicensing all reactors?
- 10. Since operating the Seabrook station beyond it's lifetime design date will increase safety risks, should not the Seabrook station carry a correspondingly much greater financial liability?
- 11. What are the probabilities that the increased reactor metal brittleness will force the Seabrook reactor to cease operation? What is the probability that the Seabrook reactor will be free of such brittleness problems up to 2050? If the Seabrook reactor is not likely to run until 2050 shouldn't the NRC refuse a relicense?

*SONSI Review Complete
Template = ADM-D13*

*F-RIDS = ADM-03
Call = M. Wentzel (MSW2)*