OCTOBER 1 3 1981

Docket No. 50-263

Mr. L. O. Mayer, Manager Nuclear Support Services Northern States Power Company 414 Nicollet Mall - 8th Floor Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401

RE: MONTICELLO NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT NUREG-0737, Item II.K.3.15

> Reference a. - NSP ltr. dated December 30, 1980 Reference b. - BWR Owners Group Evaluation of NUREG-0737, II.K.3.15

Dear Mr. Mayer:

Item II.K.3.15 of NUREG-0737 requires that by July 1, 1981, the High Pressure Coolant Injection and Reactor Core Isolation Cooling Systems be modified to prevent inadvertent isolation due to starting steam flow transients. A post-implementation review of your submittal is necessary to support a reasonable assurance finding by the NRC staff that the modifications accomplish this goal. We have reviewed your submittal (Reference a) and determined that insufficient information was presented to support such a finding.

Your submittal was reviewed to the following criteria:

- Assurance that the pipe-break detection logic has been modified such that pressure spikes resulting from initiation of HPCI and/or RCIC do not cause spurious system isolation.
- Acceptability of the proposed Technical Specifications necessary to implement the modification (e.g. surveillance on time delay relay setting, flow or response time set point changes, etc.).
- 3. No degradation of the safety function of the primary system isolation due to the modification.

You have elected to employ, for your RCIC system, the BWR Owners Group modification (Reference b) which incorporates a time delay relay (TDR). We have reviewed this position, and your submittal, and determined that you should address two additional items. First, it must be established

NRC FORM 318 (10/80) NRCM 0240			OFFICIAL	RECORD C	OPY	••	☆ USGPO: 1980-329-824
DATE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••	•••••		•••••	••••	
SURNAME	81 PD	ADOCK 0500	013 0263 PDR	•••••		· · ·	
OFFICE		0780133 81	013				



) - 525 Mr. L. O. Maver

cc: See

that the modification meets criteria 1 and 3. Specifically, to meet criterion 1, some plant specific test data or information should be presented to demonstrate that the modification, with your plant specific delay setting, prevents spurious isolations of the system. In addition, to meet criterion 3, it must be shown that the installation of the TDR does not degrade the primary safety function of the system. Assuming a break in the steam line under normal operating conditions, the installation of the TDR extends the blowdown time of the primary system up to the TDR set point. The Owners Group position states that this extended period is within the design basis assumptions of the original plant safety analysis. However, you must affirm, on a plant specific basis, that this extended blowdown outside containment is acceptable in the event of a line break. Your analysis should include consideration of the plant transient and the equipment qualification aspects for accident mitigation.

2

Second, the Owners Group position notes that Technical Specifications concerning surveillance of the time delay setting are necessary. Your submittal did not propose such Technical Specifications. You should propose the appropriate Surveillance Requirements and Limiting Conditions of Operation for the HPCI and RCIC systems which address this item. The incorporation of these requirements into the facility's Technical Specifications will support our reasonable assurance finding.

For your HPCI system, you have utilized a different method to achieve the necessary goal. Your submittal, regarding this method, must contain enough detail to enable our review to the aforementioned criteria.

Please submit your response to this letter within 60 days of its receipt. Include the plant specific information discussed above and any additional information which demonstrates that the modification complies with the review criteria. It is our intent to issue Safety Evaluations for this item.

		Sincerely,					
		MORIGIMAL S'IGNED BY					
		Thomas A. Ippolito, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #2 Division of Licensing					
c: See next pag	e						
Distribution:							
Docket File	D. Eisenhut	M. Williams	ACRS (10)	1			
NRC PDR	OELD	S. Norris	J. Heltemes				
Local PDR	IE (3)	NSIC	W. Hodges				
ORB#2 Reading	D. Verelli	TERA	K. Eccleston	•			
			· )				
000 //0							

ORB# DVenelli. RSB OFFICE Whadges ..... SNOPPIS .*[.(91.[*3.[8.1.....] <u>9/7/81</u> SURNAME 🕽 .9/24/81.. 10 DATE ) OFFICIAL RECORD COPY NRC FORM 318 (10-80) NRCM 0240 USGPO: 1981-335-960 Mr. L. O. Mayer Northern States Power Company

cc:

Gerald Charnoff, Esquire Sahw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge 1800 M Street, N. W. Washington, D.\_C. 20036-

Arthur Renquist, Esquire Vice President - Law Northern States Power Company 414 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401

Plant Manager Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant Northern States Power Company Monticello, Minnesota 55362

Russell J. Hatling, Chairman Minnesota Environmental Control Citizens Association (MECCA) Energy Task Force 144 Melbourne Avenue, S. E. Minneapolis, Minnesota 55414

Ms. Terry Hoffman Executive Director Minnesota Pollution Control Agency 1935 W. County Road B2 Roseville, Minnesota 55113

The Environmental Conservation Library Minneapolis Public Library 300 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Resident Inspectors Office Box 1200 Monticello, Minnesota 55362

Mr. Steve Gadler 2120 Carter Avenue St. Paul, Minnesota 55108