EXAM ASSIGNMENT TICKLER

Chief:	Clyde Osterholtz	Facility:	Wolf Creek	Date of Written Exam:	8/26/2011
		Start of Op Test:	8/29/2011	End of Op Test:	9/2/2011

Written Exam Developed By: NRC / Facility

Operating Test Developed By: NRC / Facility

Due Date	Description	Date Complete	Initials	Notes
2/25/2011	Written Exam & Op Test Dates Confirmed	2/22/11	CW	
4/22/2011	NRC Examiners & Facility Contact Assigned	2/22/11	CW	
4/22/2011	Facility Contact Briefed on Security & Other Req's	2/22/11	CW	
4/22/2011	Corporate Notification Letter sent	3/2/11	CW	ES-201 Att-4 produced by CE
5/27/2011	Reference material due (if NRC authored)	N/A	N/A	ES-201 Att-3
6/10/2011	Integrated exam outlines due	6/10/11	CW	
6/17/2011	Outlines reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	6/16/11	CW	ES-201-2 signed by CE & BC
6/17/2011	Feedback on integrated outlines provided to facility	6/16/11	CW	
7/8/2011	DRAFT exam / docs / support reference material due	7/8/11	CW	
7/22/2011	Peer review of written exam complete	7/18/11	CW	Document review on ES-401-9
7/22/2011	Preliminary license applications due	7/26/11	CW	NRC Forms 398/396
7/29/2011	Preliminary license applications and waivers reviewed	7/28/11	CW	
7/29/2011	DRAFT exam reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	7/28/11	CW	
7/29/2011	Feedback on DRAFT exam provided to facility	7/28/11	CW	
8/11/2008	On-site validation & 10% audit of license applications	8/4/11	CW	
8/12/2011	Final applications due & List of Applicants prepared	8/16/11	CW	ES-201-4 prepared by LA
8/19/2011	Final applications approved & waiver letters sent	8/18/11	CW	
8/19/2011	Branch Chief approves FINAL exam (Written & Op Test)	8/17/11	CW	LA produces / BC signs Exam Approval Letter (ES-201 Att-5)
8/19/2011	Proctoring/written exam admin guidelines reviewed w/ facility	8/18/11	CW	
8/22/2011	Exam material to exam team	8/17/11	CW	
8/29/2011	Administer Operating Test	9/1/11	CW	
9/9/2011	Facility post-exam documentation due	9/9/11	CW	
9/9/2011	NRC written exam grading completed	9/19/11	CW	ES-403-1 to BC
9/9/2011	Examiner's document op test results on ES 303's	9/19/11	CW	
9/16/2011	Chief Examiner review of written exam & op test completed	9/21/11	CW	Signed ES 303's to BC
9/23/2011	Branch Chief review of exam results completed	9/26/11	CW	
9/30/2011	Waivers/deferrals reviewed for impact on licensing decision	9/21/11	CW	
9/30/2011	License/Denial letters mailed; Facility notified of results	9/26/11	CW	
9/30/2011	RPS/IP number of examinees updated	9/27/11	CW	print Report-21
10/14/2011	Examination Report Issued	10/18/11	CW	produced by CE
10/21/2011	SUNSI checklist complete and exam docs to ADAMS	10/18/11	CW	SUNSI checklist to LA
10/28/2011	Ref Mat'l Returned after Final Resolution of Appeals	9/27/11	CW	

Replaces NUREG-1021, Revision 9, Supp 1, Forms ES-201-1 and ES-501-1

Facility: Wolf Creek Date of Examination: Aug –September 2011

Item	Task Description	Initials a	Initials b*	Initials c#
1. WRITTEN	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	☐		☑
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	☐		☑
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	☐		☑
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	☐		☑
2. SIMULATOR	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	☑	☐	☑
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	☑	☐	☑
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	☑		☑
3. W/T	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	☑		☑
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	☑		☑
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	☑		☑
4. GENERAL	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	☑		☑
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	☑		☑
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	☑		☑
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	☑		☑
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	☑		☑
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	☑		☑

	Printed Name/Signature	Date
a. Author	Jane Hartley / Jane Hartley	6/17/11
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	RICHARD MURRAY / Richard Murray	6/18/11
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	Clyde Osterholtz / Clyde Osterholtz	6/16/11
d. NRC Supervisor	MARK HAYES / Mark Hayes	6/16/11

Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.
* Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines

Facility: Wolf Creek Date of Exam: Exam Level: RO X SRO X

	Item Description	Initial a	Initial b*	Initial c#
1.	Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.	SP	RM	CO
2.	a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.	SP	RM	CO
3.	SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401	SP	RM	CO
4.	The sampling process was random and systematic (If more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL program office).			
5.	Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: X_ the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or __ the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or __ the examinations were developed independently; or __ the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or __ other (explain)	SP	RM	CO
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	Bank: 18 / 4; Modified: 5 / 2; New: 52 / 19	RM	CO
7.	Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Memory: 30 / 5; C/A: 45 / 20	RM	CO
8.	References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.	SP	RM	CO
9.	Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.	SP	RM	CO
10.	Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.	SP	RM	CO
11.	The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.	SP	RM	CO

	Printed Name / Signature	Date
a. Author	Gregory Scott Poteet / Gregory S Poteet	7/6/11
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	RICHARD MURRAY / Richard G. Murray	7/6/11
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	CLYDE OSTERHOLTZ / CC Osterholtz	8/10/11
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	C. Osterholtz for M. Haire / CC Osterholtz	8/17/11

Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations.
Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.

Facility: Wolf Creek Date of Examination: Aug 29-Sept. 2, 2011 Operating Test Number:

	1. General Criteria	Initials a	Initials b*	Initials c#
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	JDH	RM	CO
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	JDH	RM	CO
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)	JDH	RM	CO
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	JDH	RM	CO
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	JDH	RM	CO
	2. Walk-Through Criteria	--	--	--
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: • initial conditions • initiating cues • references and tools, including associated procedures • reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee • operationally important specific performance criteria that include: – detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature – system response and other examiner cues – statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant – criteria for successful completion of the task – identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards – restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable	JDH	RM	CO
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	JDH	RM	CO
	3. Simulator Criteria	--	--	--
	The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.	JDH	RM	CO

		Printed Name / Signature	Date
a.	Author	Jane Hartley / Jane Hartley	7-7-11
b.	Facility Reviewer(*)	RICHARD MULLAY / Richard Mullay	7/7/11
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#)	Clyde Osterholtz / Clyde Osterholtz	8/10/11
d.	NRC Supervisor	Clyde Osterholtz for M. Haire / CO Osterholtz	8/12/11

NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests.
Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.

Facility:	Wolf Creek	Date of Exam:	Aug 29-Sept 2, 2011	Scenario Numbers:	1/2/3/4	Operating Test No.:	

QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES	Initials a	Initials b*	Initials c#
1. The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	JDh	RM	CW
2. The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	JDh	RM	CW
3. Each event description consists of • the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated • the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event • the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew • the expected operator actions (by shift position) • the event termination point (if applicable)	JDh	RM	CW
4. No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	JDh	RM	CW
5. The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	JDh	RM	CW
6. Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	JDh	RM	CW
7. If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	JDh	RM	CW
8. The simulator modeling is not altered.	JDh	RM	CW
9. The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	JDh	RM	CW
10. Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	JDh	RM	CW
11. All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	JDh	RM	CW
12. Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	JDh	RM	CW
13. The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	JDh	RM	CW

Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)	Actual Attributes 1/2/3/4	--	--	--
1. Total malfunctions (5–8)	5/6/5/5	JDh	RM	CW
2. Malfunctions after EOP entry (1–2)	2/2/3/2	JDh	RM	CW
3. Abnormal events (2–4)	3/3/2/3	JDh	RM	CW
4. Major transients (1–2)	1/1/1/2	JDh	RM	CW
5. EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1–2)	2/2/2/2	JDh	RM	CW
6. EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0–2)	0/0/1/1	JDh	RM	CW
7. Critical tasks (2–3)	3/3/2/2	JDh	RM	CW

Facility: Date of Exam: Exam Level: RO ✓ SRO ✓

Item Description	Initials a	Initials b	Initials c
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	JH	BCR	[illegible]
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	JH	BCR	[illegible]
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	JH	BCR	[illegible]
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	JH	BCR	[illegible]
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	JH	BCR	[illegible]
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	JH	BCR	[illegible]

	Printed Name/Signature	Date
a. Grader	Jane Hartley	9-8-11
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	BRENDAN RYAN	8 SEP 11
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	[illegible]	9/21/11
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	MARK HAIRE	9/21/11

(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.

09/27/2011 16:52:17
Report 21

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 01/01/2011 To 09/30/2011

Region: 4 Phase Code: 5

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates		Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
02/01/2011	Wolf Creek / 05000482 / TAC #: X02451			Prep	FFF	OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C.	FARINA, THOMAS J. OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C. STRICKLAND, DUANE G.
08/01/2011	Wolf Creek / 05000482 / TAC #: X02451			Prep	FFF	OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C.	FARINA, THOMAS J. OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C. STRICKLAND, DUANE G.
08/29/2011	Wolf Creek / 05000482 / TAC #: X02451	RO - 2 SROU - 3	SROI - 5	Admin	FFF	OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C.	FARINA, THOMAS J. OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C. STRICKLAND, DUANE G.
09/05/2011	Wolf Creek / 05000482 / TAC #: X02451			Doc	FFF	OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C.	FARINA, THOMAS J. OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C. STRICKLAND, DUANE G.

Sites: WC
Orgs: 4620
Exam Author: ALL

1. Pre-Examination

WCNOC Wolf Creek 2011
Aug 26, 2011 - Written
Aug 29 - Sept. 2, 2011

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of _____ as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of _____. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Michael D. Mitchell	Instructor		6/28/11		9/6/11	
2. Michael L. Peterson	SRO		6/30/11		9/6/11	
3. DAVID M. STURGEON	RO		6/30/11		9/6/11	
4. JAMES BLACK	RO		6/30/11	JJ Black Instructor	9/6/11	
5. Fred Schwinghamer	RO		6/30/11		9/5/11	
6. AARON UNRUH	RO		6/30/11		9/2/11	
7. John Keating	RO		6/30/2011		9/6/11	
8. RQ DUNLAP	RO	RQ Dunlap	6/30/11	RQ Dunlap	9/2/11	
9. Larry Heath	SRO		7/1/11		9/6/11	
10. Warren Brandt	SRO		8/1/11	WE Brandt	9/2/11	
11. JEFF ISCH	SRO		8/2/11		9/6/11	
12. Joseph E. Hoffman	Proctor		8/24/11		9-6-11	
13. Samuel Browning	Proctor		8/25/11		9-6-11	
14. Glenda Critchfield	Proctor		8/25/11		9/6/11	
15. Angela J. Stevenson	Proctor		8/25/11		9-6-11	

NOTES:

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of Aug 26, 2011 - written Aug 29, 2011 - Sept 2, 2011 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of _____. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. RICHARD MURRAY	FACILITY REVIEWER - LSI		1/14/11	Rick Murray via telecon	9-7-11	
2. J. LEE GOCMAN	EXAM WRITER		1/14/11		9/2/11	
3. Jane Hartley	Exam Author	Jane Hartley	1-14-11		9/2/11	
4. Jolene Vannocker	Office Specialist	Jolene Vannocker	1-26-11	Jolene Vannocker	9-6-11	
5. Jacob Starr	Sim Specialist Lead		2-28-11		9-6-11	
6. BRENDAN KIAN	Simulator Engineer Contr.		17 MAY 11		6 SEP 11	
7. MONTGOMERY BOYLE	REACTOR OPER.		06/09/11		09/07/11	
8. Hawkins Patrick J	Reactor operator		06/16/11		9/5/11	
9. Roger A. Turner	Reactor Operator		6/16/11		9-6-11	
10. Terry M. Damashek	Supt. Operations Support		6/22/11		9-6-11	
11. JAMES M. KURAS	SHIFT MANAGER - OFFSHIFT		6/22/11		9/6/11	
12. Robert D. Norman	Reactor Operator	Robert Norman	6-23-11	Bob Norman via telecon	9/6/11	
13. Michael J Payne	Reactor Operator		6-23-11		9/6/11	
14. Louis A. Brusch	Ops Technician		6/28/11		9/6/11	
15. Mona D. Guyer	Supt. Ops trng		6/28/2011		9/6/2011	

NOTES:

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of _____ as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of _____. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

	PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1.	Charles M Dunbar	License Instructor	Charles M Dunbar	8-26-11	Charles M Dunbar	9-6-11	
2.	Greg Siebuhr	License Instructor	[illegible]	8-26-11	[illegible]	9-7-11	
3.	James W. Furrow	License Instructor	[illegible]	8-26-11	[illegible]	9-2-11	
4.	Lamont T Schmidt Jr.	License Instructor	[illegible]	8/26/11	[illegible]	9-6-11	
5.	William Isom	LICENSED INSTRUCTOR	[illegible]	8/26/11	[illegible]	9-2-11	
6.	George Smith	LSI initial	George Smith	8/29/11	George Smith	9/2/11	
7.	~~Michael L Peterson~~						
8.							
9.							
10.							
11.							
12.							
13.							
14.							
15.							

9-6-11

NOTES:

Wolf Creek 2011

1. **Pre-Examination**

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of _____ as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. **Post-Examination**

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of _____. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

	PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1.	Gregory Scott Poteet	Consultant / Written Exam Author	Gregory Scott Poteet	1-14-11	Scott Poteet via telecon	9-2-11	
2.							
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							
7.							
8.							
9.							
10.							
11.							
12.							
13.							
14.							
15.							

NOTES: