2-76)				- unit	REGULATORY CO			50-263/282/306
NRC DIS	N FOR PART	RT 50 DOCKET MATERIAL				FILE NUMBER		
τυ: Ben C. Rusche			FROM: NSP				DATE OF DOCUMENT 12-27-76	
			Minneapolis Minnesota 55401 D.O. Mayer			55401	DATE RECEIVED 12-29-76	
LETTER	DNOTORIZ	ED P	PROP INPUT FORM				NUN	MBER OF COPIES RECEIVED
ORIGINAL JUNCLASSIFIED			•				<u>)</u>	O copies
		12-01-76 ltr		ENCL	OSURE			
information from	1 NSP Annu	ual Operatin	g Keport					·
• .				1				
•				l				
$\mathbf{D}$	ONOT	REMOVE	<b>5</b>			•		
	•					· .		,
A AT	<b>/                                    </b>							
ACF	ZNOMI	LEDGED	,					•
•		•						
	NTICELLO							
TOT A 21109 27 A 2012 A		LAND UNITS 1	& 2					
			-\				-	
	•							
,								
Pr. A. Sulpramore			TOD 10-	N	IAAA TION			iom 10 00 7/
SAFETY TAGSTONED AD:		1	FOR ACTION/I	INFOF		VIRO	<u>.</u>	jcm 12-29-76
ASSIGNED AD:		Ziema		<del>-   -</del>	ASSIGNED AD:			
BRANCH CHIEF: PROJECT MANAGER	₹•	- IL MIG	77		BRANCH CHIEF PROJECT MANA	-		
LIC. ASST.:	N 0	Dinge	1401		LIC. ASST. :		10	ncan (7)
LLUS RUULO :		~.44.	· ·	1	ULO .		_	
		<del> </del>					_	
<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
			INTERNAL D	ISTRI				
REG FILE		SYSTEMS S		ISTRI	PLANT SYSTEM	IS		SITE SAFETY &
NRC PDR		HEINEMAN	SAFETY	ISTRI	PLANT SYSTEM TEDESCO			ENVIRO ANALYSIS
NRC PDR I & E (2)		<del></del>	SAFETY	ISTRI	PLANT SYSTEM TEDESCO BENAROYA		*	
NRC PDR I & E (2) OELD		HEINEMAN SCHROEDER	SAFETY R	ISTRI	PLANT SYSTEM TEDESCO BENAROYA LAINAS		*	ENVIRO ANALYSIS DENTON COLLEGE
NRC PDR  I & E (2)  OELD  GOSSICK & STAFF		HEINEMAN SCHROEDER ENGINEERI	SAFETY R	ISTRI	PLANT SYSTEM TEDESCO BENAROYA LAINAS IPPOLITO		*	ENVIRO ANALYSIS DENTON COLLEGE ENVIRO TECH.
NRC PDR I & E (2) OELD GOSSICK & STAFF	7	HEINEMAN SCHROEDER ENGINEERI MACARRY	SAFETY R	ISTRI	PLANT SYSTEM TEDESCO BENAROYA LAINAS		*	ENVIRO ANALYSIS DENTON COLLEGE
NRC PDR I & E (2) OELD GOSSICK & STAFF MIPC (2) CASE		HEINEMAN SCHROEDER ENGINEERI	SAFETY R ING	×	PLANT SYSTEM TEDESCO BENAROYA LAINAS IPPOLITO		*	ENVIRO ANALYSIS DENTON CHECH. ENVIRO TECH.
NRC PDR  I & E (2)  OELD  GOSSICK & STAFF  MIPC (2)  CASE		HEINEMAN SCHROEDEF ENGINEERI MACARRY KNIGHT	SAFETY R ING	IST RI	PLANT SYSTEM TEDESCO BENAROYA LAINAS IPPOLITO KIRKWOOD		*	ENVIRO ANALYSIS DENTON CONTROL ENVIRO TECH. ERNST BALLARD SPANGLER
NRC PDR  I & E (2)  OELD  GOSSICK & STAFE  MIPC (2)  CASE  HANAUER  HARLESS	X	HEINEMAN SCHROEDEF ENGINEERI MACARRY KNIGHT SIHWEIL	SAFETY R ING	×	PLANT SYSTEM TEDESCO BENAROYA LAINAS IPPOLITO KIRKWOOD  OPERATING RE STELLO	ACTORS	*	ENVIRO ANALYSIS DENTON CONTROL ENVIRO TECH. ERNST BALLARD SPANGLER SITE TECH.
NRC PDR  I & E (2)  OELD  GOSSICK & STAFF  MIPC (2)  CASE  HANAUER  HARLESS  PROJECT MANAGEM	ÆNT X	HEINEMAN SCHROEDEF ENGINEERI MACARRY KNIGHT SIHWEIL PAWLICKI	SAFETY ING SAFETY	×	PLANT SYSTEM TEDESCO BENAROYA LAINAS IPPOLITO KIRKWOOD  OPERATING RE STELLO  OPERATING TE	ACTORS	*	ENVIRO ANALYSIS DENTON CONTROL ENVIRO TECH. ERNST BALLARD SPANGLER SITE TECH. GAMMILL
NRC PDR  I & E (2)  OELD  GOSSICK & STAFF  MIPC (2)  CASE  HANAUER  HARLESS  PROJECT MANAGEM  BOYD	X	HEINEMAN SCHROEDEF ENGINEERI MACARRY KNIGHT SIHWEIL PAWLICKI REACTOR S	SAFETY ING SAFETY	×	PLANT SYSTEM TEDESCO BENAROYA LAINAS IPPOLITO KIRKWOOD  OPERATING RE STELLO  OPERATING TE EISENHUT	ACTORS	*	ENVIRO ANALYSIS DENTON CONTINUE ENVIRO TECH. ERNST BALLARD SPANGLER  SITE TECH. GAMMILL STEPP
NRC PDR  I & E (2)  OELD  GOSSICK & STAFF  MIPC (2)  CASE  HANAUER  HARLESS  PROJECT MANAGEM  BOYD  P. COLLINS	ÆNT X	HEINEMAN SCHROEDEF ENGINEERI MACARRY KNIGHT SIHWEIL PAWLICKI REACTOR S ROSS NOVAK	SAFETY ING SAFETY	×	PLANT SYSTEM TEDESCO BENAROYA LAINAS IPPOLITO KIRKWOOD  OPERATING RE STELLO  OPERATING TE EISENHUT SHAO	ACTORS	*	ENVIRO ANALYSIS DENTON CONTECH. ENVIRO TECH. ERNST BALLARD SPANGLER SITE TECH. GAMMILL
NRC PDR  I & E (2)  OELD  GOSSICK & STAFE  MIPC (2)  CASE  HANAUER  HARLESS  PROJECT MANAGEM  BOYD  P. COLLINS  HOUSTON	ÆNT X	HEINEMAN SCHROEDER ENGINEERI MACARRY KNIGHT SIHWEIL PAWLICKI REACTOR S ROSS NOVAK ROSZTOCZY	SAFETY ING SAFETY	×	PLANT SYSTEM TEDESCO BENAROYA LAINAS IPPOLITO KIRKWOOD  OPERATING RE STELLO  OPERATING TE EISENHUT SHAO BAER	ACTORS	*	ENVIRO ANALYSIS DENTON CONTINUES  ENVIRO TECH. ERNST BALLARD SPANGLER  SITE TECH. GAMMILL STEPP HULMAN
NRC PDR  I & E (2)  OELD  GOSSICK & STAFF  MIPC (2)  CASE  HANAUER  HARLESS  PROJECT MANAGEM  BOYD  P. COLLINS  HOUSTON  PETERSON	ÆNT X	HEINEMAN SCHROEDEF ENGINEERI MACARRY KNIGHT SIHWEIL PAWLICKI REACTOR S ROSS NOVAK	SAFETY ING SAFETY	×	PLANT SYSTEM TEDESCO BENAROYA LAINAS IPPOLITO KIRKWOOD  OPERATING RE STELLO  OPERATING TE EISENHUT SHAO BAER BUTLER	ACTORS	*	ENVIRO ANALYSIS DENTON CONTINUE ENVIRO TECH. ERNST BALLARD SPANGLER SITE TECH. GAMMILL STEPP HULMAN SITE ANALYSIS
NRC PDR  I & E (2)  OELD  GOSSICK & STAFF  MIPC (2)  CASE  HANAUER  HARLESS  PROJECT MANAGEM  BOYD  P. COLLINS  HOUSTON  PETERSON  MELTZ	ÆNT X	HEINEMAN SCHROEDEF ENGINEERI MACARRY KNIGHT SIHWEIL PAWLICKI REACTOR S ROSS NOVAK ROSZTOCZY CHECK	SAFETY ING SAFETY	×	PLANT SYSTEM TEDESCO BENAROYA LAINAS IPPOLITO KIRKWOOD  OPERATING RE STELLO  OPERATING TE EISENHUT SHAO BAER BUTLER GRIMES	ACTORS	*	ENVIRO ANALYSIS DENTON CONTINUES  ENVIRO TECH. ERNST BALLARD SPANGLER  SITE TECH. GAMMILL STEPP HULMAN
NRC PDR  I & E (2)  OELD  GOSSICK & STAFF  MIPC (2)  CASE  HANAUER  HARLESS  PROJECT MANAGEM  BOYD  P. COLLINS  HOUSTON  PETERSON  MELTZ  HELTEMES	ÆNT X	HEINEMAN SCHROEDEF ENGINEERI MACARRY KNIGHT SIHWEIL PAWLICKI REACTOR S ROSS NOVAK ROSZTOCZY CHECK	SAFETY ING SAFETY	×	PLANT SYSTEM TEDESCO BENAROYA LAINAS IPPOLITO KIRKWOOD  OPERATING RE STELLO  OPERATING TE EISENHUT SHAO BAER BUTLER GRIMES	ACTORS	<b>X</b>	ENVIRO ANALYSIS DENTON CONTROL ENVIRO TECH. ERNST BALLARD SPANGLER  SITE TECH. CAMMILL STEPP HULMAN  SITE ANALYSIS VOLLMER
NRC PDR  I & E (2)  OELD  GOSSICK & STAFF  MIPC (2)  CASE  HANAUER  HARLESS  PROJECT MANAGEM  BOYD  P. COLLINS  HOUSTON  PETERSON  MELTZ	ÆNT X	HEINEMAN SCHROEDER ENGINEERI MACARRY KNIGHT SIHWEIL PAWLICKI REACTOR S ROSS NOVAK ROSZTOCZY CHECK AT & I SALTZMAN	SAFETY ING SAFETY	×	PLANT SYSTEM TEDESCO BENAROYA LAINAS IPPOLITO KIRKWOOD  OPERATING RE STELLO  OPERATING TE EISENHUT SHAO BAER BUTLER GRIMES	ACTORS		ENVIRO ANALYSIS DENTON ENVIRO ENVIRO TECH. ERNST BALLARD SPANGLER  SITE TECH. GAMMILL STEPP HULMAN  SITE ANALYSIS VOLLMER BUNCH
NRC PDR  I & E (2)  OELD  GOSSICK & STAFF  MIPC (2)  CASE  HANAUER  HARLESS  PROJECT MANAGEM  BOYD  P. COLLINS  HOUSTON  PETERSON  MELTZ  HELTEMES  SKOVHOLT	ÆNT X	HEINEMAN SCHROEDEF ENGINEERI MACARRY KNIGHT SIHWEIL PAWLICKI REACTOR S ROSS NOVAK ROSZTOCZY CHECK	SAFETY R ING SAFETY	×	PLANT SYSTEM TEDESCO BENAROYA LAINAS IPPOLITO KIRKWOOD  OPERATING RE STELLO  OPERATING TE EISENHUT SHAO BAER BUTLER GRIMES	ACTORS		ENVIRO ANALYSIS DENTON COLLINS  ENVIRO TECH. ERNST BALLARD SPANGLER  SITE TECH. GAMMILL STEPP HULMAN  SITE ANALYSIS VOLLMER BUNCH J. COLLINS
NRC PDR  I & E (2)  OELD  GOSSICK & STAFF  MIPC (2)  CASE  HANAUER  HARLESS  PROJECT MANAGEM  BOYD  P. COLLINS  HOUSTON  PETERSON  MELTZ  HELTEMES	ÆNT X	HEINEMAN SCHROEDER ENGINEERI MACARRY KNIGHT SIHWEIL PAWLICKI REACTOR S ROSS NOVAK ROSZTOCZY CHECK AT & I SALTZMAN RUTBERG EXTERNAL D	SAFETY R ING SAFETY	X	PLANT SYSTEM TEDESCO BENAROYA LAINAS IPPOLITO KIRKWOOD  OPERATING RE STELLO  OPERATING TE EISENHUT SHAO BAER BUTLER GRIMES	ACTORS	<b>X</b>	ENVIRO ANALYSIS DENTON COLLINS KREGER
NRC PDR  I & E (2)  OELD  GOSSICK & STAFF  MIPC (2)  CASE  HANAUER  HARLESS  PROJECT MANAGEM  BOYD  P. COLLINS  HOUSTON  PETERSON  MELTZ  HELTEMES  SKOVHOLT	ÆNT X	HEINEMAN SCHROEDER ENGINEERI MACARRY KNIGHT SIHWEIL PAWLICKI REACTOR S ROSS NOVAK ROSZTOCZY CHECK AT & I SALTZMAN RUTBERG	SAFETY R ING SAFETY	X	PLANT SYSTEM TEDESCO BENAROYA LAINAS IPPOLITO KIRKWOOD  OPERATING RE STELLO  OPERATING TE EISENHUT SHAO BAER BUTLER GRIMES	CCH.	<b>X</b>	ENVIRO ANALYSIS DENTON COLLINS KREGER  ENVIRO TECH. ERNST BALLARD SPANGLER  SITE TECH. GAMMILL STEPP HULMAN  SITE ANALYSIS VOLLMER BUNCH J. COLLINS KREGER CONTROL NUMBER
OELD GOSSICK & STAFF MIPC (2) CASE HANAUER HARLESS PROJECT MANAGEM BOYD P. COLLINS HOUSTON PETERSON MELTZ HELTEMES SKOVHOLT  LPDR: Minneapol TIC: NSIC:	ÆNT X	HEINEMAN SCHROEDEF ENGINEERI MACARRY KNIGHT SIHWEIL PAWLICKI REACTOR S ROSS NOVAK ROSZTOCZY CHECK AT & I SALTZMAN RUTBERG EXTERNAL D	SAFETY R ING SAFETY	X	PLANT SYSTEM TEDESCO BENAROYA LAINAS IPPOLITO KIRKWOOD  OPERATING RE STELLO  OPERATING TE EISENHUT SHAO BAER BUTLER GRIMES	CCH.	<b>X</b>	ENVIRO ANALYSIS DENTON COLLINS KREGER
NRC PDR  I & E (2)  OELD  GOSSICK & STAFF  MIPC (2)  CASE  HANAUER  HARLESS  PROJECT MANAGEM  BOYD  P. COLLINS  HOUSTON  PETERSON  MELTZ  HELTEMES  SKOVHOLT  LPDR; Minneapol  TIC:	ÆNT X	HEINEMAN SCHROEDER ENGINEERI MACARRY KNIGHT SIHWEIL PAWLICKI REACTOR S ROSS NOVAK ROSZTOCZY CHECK AT & I SALTZMAN RUTBERG EXTERNAL DE NAT, LAB: REG V.IE	SAFETY  SAFETY  SAFETY  SAFETY  STRIBUTION	X	PLANT SYSTEM TEDESCO BENAROYA LAINAS IPPOLITO KIRKWOOD  OPERATING RE STELLO  OPERATING TE EISENHUT SHAO BAER BUTLER GRIMES  BROOKHAVEN NA	CCH.	<b>X</b>	ENVIRO ANALYSIS DENTON COLLINS KREGER  ENVIRO TECH. ERNST BALLARD SPANGLER  SITE TECH. GAMMILL STEPP HULMAN  SITE ANALYSIS VOLLMER BUNCH J. COLLINS KREGER CONTROL NUMBER

## Regulatory Docket File

## NORTHERN

MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55401

December 27, 1976

Mr Ben C Rusche, Director Office of Nuclear Reactor Regul U S Nuclear Regulatory Commissid Washington, DC 20555

Dear Mr Rusche:

Docket No. 50-263 License No. DPR-22 50-282

> 50-306 **DPR-60**

DPR-42

## Annual Operating Report Format

Your letter dated December 1, 1976 transmitted a model Annual Operating Report format. You requested that we review the proposed format to determine if it is an appropriate model for our 1976 Annual Operating Report and advise you if we will submit our 1976 report in conformance with that format.

We are deeply disturbed by the apparent increase in, and duplication of, reporting that would be created by the adoption of the proposed format for Annual Operating Reports. Early this summer, the Atomic Industrial Forum, Inc., (AIF) distributed to a number of licensees a copy of a draft format for Annual Operating Reports that had been conveyed to the AIF by the NRC for comment. The format included amplification and repetition of information that is already docketed with the NRC. We, and a number of other utilities, responded to AIF with extensive comments on this proposed expansion in reporting. In July, 1976 utility and AIF representatives met with the NRC and discussed these comments in detail. It was our understanding that the NRC acknowledged most of these comments as being reasonable requests for elimination of duplicative reporting. We did not, as implied in your letter, agree that the Annual Operating Report should "include all the suggested information" contained in the originally proposed format. The latest format, transmitted with your letter of December 1, 1976 is not significantly different from the original.

Regulatory Guide 1.16 has undergone four revisions over a two-year period, the latest being Revision 4 which was issued in August, 1975. Under the Regulatory Guide Discussion section, it states that the revisions "...reflected results of a staff review of operating information needed to permit assessment by the Commission of safety-related activities during the operating phase of plant life!

The latest Regulatory Guide revision contains very specific information on the content of the Annual Operating Report. We, along with other licensees, were asked to submit proposed technical specifications for the reporting of operating information based upon Regulatory Guide 1.16. License Amendments were issued on Mr Ben C Rusche Page 2 December 27, 1976

January 22, 1976 and January 23, 1976 for the Monticello and Prairie Island Plants respectively, which incorporated the reporting that is detailed in Regulatory Guide 1.16, Revision 4. The safety evaluation accompanying these license amendments stated, "The proposal would formalize present reporting and would delete any reports no longer needed for assessment of safety-related activities."

With this background, it is very disturbing to receive a format for the Annual Operating Report which deviates substantially from regulatory guidance and the technical specification requirements. This is particularly displeasing when the effort appears to be an addition to the already burdensome reporting requirements to, and extensive requests for information from, the NRC. It also appears to be inconsistent with statements by NRC Commissioners on the progress in reducing reporting requirements and submission of duplicative information. We fail to see how the additional information can be productively utilized for the assessment of safety-related activities.

The following are items requested in the Annual Operating Report format that are above and beyond current technical specification annual reporting requirements (Regulatory Guide 1.16) and which have already been docketed by the licensee as a result of other requirements: amendments to facility license or technical specifications; facility or procedure changes which required NRC approval; tests and experiments which required NRC approval; a listing of all Licensee Event Reports; and electric power generation and unit shutdown and forced power reduction data. Most of this information is already collected and retrievable through the NRC Management Information and Program Control data bank or published and given wide distribution on a monthly basis (i.e., Graybook and LER listing). Other information that is recommended by the suggested format to be included in the Annual Operating Report, but which is not contained in our technical specifications or in Regulatory Guide 1.16, is as follows: a table of corrective maintenance of safety-related equipment (this was eliminated from our technical specification by the January License Amendment based upon Regulatory Guide 1.16); the results of Eddy Current testing and other surveillance tests (exception reporting for tests which fail to meet acceptance criteria already exists under the Reportable Occurrence requirements -- we strongly object to reporting of successful surveillance tests); loss of condenser capability and failed turbine assemblies, none of which is considered to be safety-related equipment nor is required to be reported as a Reportable Occurrence; and information on plant organization and personnel.

We are particularly disheartened by the prospect of another Regulatory Guide being issued covering the content of the Annual Operating Report when we already have "standardized" reporting requirements in Regulatory Guide 1.16, Revision 4 and which have been reflected in the technical specifications. There has not been much of an opportunity for licensees to submit Annual Operating Reports under the provisions of their new technical specifications based upon the August, 1975 revision to Regulatory Guide 1.16.

Mr Ben C Rusche Page 3 December 27, 1976

We offer the following information in response to your question as to the format of our 1976 Annual Operating Report submittal. Shortly after the issuance of the new reporting requirement technical specifications in January, 1976, we issued a letter to the Monticello and Prairie Island Plants which explained the new reporting requirements. In regard to the Annual Operating Reports, the letter said, "it is suggested that you examine these requirements in detail so that you can set up the required formats as early as possible for keeping track of the information during 1976; then, at the end of the year you will have minimum difficulty in extracting the information that is needed for the Annual Operating Report". On November 16, 1976 we issued a letter to both nuclear plants which outlined the format and content of the Annual Operating Report. This would allow the plant personnel to begin assembling the necessary information to allow a more flexible scheduling of work priorities prior to the required submittal date. The format we selected is as follows:

- i Title Page
- ii Table of Contents
- I Narrative Summary of Operating Experience Units 1 and 2
- II Outages and Forced Power Reductions Units 1 and 2
- III Number of Personnel and Man/rem Exposure by Work and Job Function
- IV Fuel Performance
- V Changes, Tests and Experiments (Annual 50.59(b) reporting)
- VI Miscellaneous (other technical specification unique reporting requirements)

We asked the plant to provide the exact information under each item as contained in the technical specifications.

In summary, we are now subjected to a steadily increasing and duplicative reporting burden. As licensees for two nuclear plants, we transmitted 266 separate reports to the NRC in 1975 (307 already in 1976), almost all of which consisted of 40 copies of multi-page reports. We believe that the NRC should allow several years of reporting under the current "standardized" technical specification requirements to determine whether any additional information is needed for the assessment of safety-related activities. We believe that it would be inappropriate, at this point, to revise the directions that were issued to our plant personnel in February and November, 1976 for preparation of the

Mr Ben C Rusche Page 4 December 27, 1976

Annual Operating Report; therefore, we will submit these reports on the basis of the outline listed above and which is in full conformance with the technical specification requirements.

Yours very truly,

L O Mayer, PE

Manager of Nuclear Support Services

LOM/ak

cc: J G Keppler

MIPC

G Charnoff

MPCA

Attn: J W Ferman

AIF

Attn: Ron Burdge