

DEC 19 1975

Docket No., 50-263

Northern States Power Company  
ATTN: Mr. L. O. Mayer  
Director of Nuclear Support  
Services  
414 Nicollet Mall  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401

Gentlemen:

RE: MONTICELLO NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT

The subject of guard responsibilities in protecting special nuclear material from theft and sabotage is being reviewed by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. The purpose of this letter is to clarify one aspect of these responsibilities so as to assure effective application of regulatory requirements in this important area.

Nuclear reactor facilities are required, under 10 CFR 73.40, to provide physical protection and to implement the security plan submitted to the Commission. A necessary element for approval of the plan of a nuclear power reactor is the provision of guards. We expect that the response requirements for these guards will be the same as called out in 10 CFR 73.50 (g)(2) which requires that guards responding to a possible threat shall determine if a threat exists, assess the extent of the threat, and initiate measures to neutralize the threat, either by acting on their own or by calling for assistance from local law enforcement authorities, or both. It appears that the option for guards taking action on their own or calling for outside assistance may conceivably be subject to misinterpretation. The current regulation contemplates that guards are to be instructed that their first priority is to assess the extent of the threat and convey to the proper law enforcement authorities the nature of the threat. They should then, or simultaneously if possible, take action on their own to counter the threat, deferring action pending the arrival of reinforcements only in those instances in which a guard's life would be placed in needless peril when faced with an overwhelming force. In such instances, guards could be expected to take prudent delaying action whenever possible while awaiting assistance.

Your early response to this letter would be very much appreciated, either affirming that your present practices for implementing the

*gll*  
*MA*

OFFICE ➤						
SURNAME ➤						
DATE ➤						

DEC 19 1975

requirements of 10 CFR 73.40 are consistent with this view for the implementation of §73.50 (g)(2) or advising us of any deviation from this approach, and in the latter case, indicating the cause of such deviation.

Sincerely,

Original signed by  
 Roger S. Boyd  
 Roger S. Boyd, Acting Director  
 Division of Reactor Licensing  
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cc: see next page

DISTRIBUTION

- NRC PDR
- Local PDR
- ✓ Docket
- ORB #2 Reading
- KRGoller
- TJCarter
- DLZiemann
- BCBuckley
- RMDiggs (2)
- Attorney, OELD
- OI&E (3)
- DEisenhut
- TBAbernathy, DTIE
- JRBuchanan, NSIC
- ACRS (16)
- RSBoyd

*RSKovach*  
*WHouston*

OFFICE →	RL:ORB #2 <i>BCB</i>	RL:ORB #2 <i>DLZ</i>	OELD <i>MMJ</i>	RL:AD/ORS	RL:A/DIR
SURNAME →	BCBuckley:ah	DLZiemann	MTGrossman	KRGoller	RSBoyd
DATE →	12/15/75	12/16/75	12/18/75	12/19/75	12/19/75

DEC 19 1975

cc

Arthur Renquist, Esquire  
Vice President - Law  
Northern States Power Company  
414 Nicollet Mall  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401

The Environmental Conservation  
Library  
Minneapolis Public Library  
300 Nicollet Mall  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401

Gerald Charnoff  
Shaw, Pittman, Potts &  
Trowbridge  
910 - 17th Street, N. W.  
Washington, D. C. 20006

Howard J. Vogel, Esquire  
Legal Counsel  
2750 Dean Parkway  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55416

Steve Gadler  
2120 Carter Avenue  
St. Paul, Minnesota 55108

Mr. Daniel L. Ficker  
Assistant City Attorney  
638 City Hall  
St. Paul, Minnesota 55102

Mr. Kenneth Dzugan  
Environmental Planning  
Consultant  
St. Paul City Planning  
421 Wabasha Street  
St. Paul, Minnesota 55102

Sandra S. Gardebring  
Special Assistant Attorney General  
Counsel for Minnesota Pollution  
Control Agency  
1935 W. County Road B2  
Roseville, Minnesota 55113

Anthony Z. Roisman, Esquire  
Berlin, Roisman and Kessler  
1712 N Street, N. W.  
Washington, D. C. 20036