

From: Sanders, Carleen
Sent: Wednesday, October 19, 2011 11:36 AM
To: 'William D Bartron'; 'Wanda D Craft'
Subject: Acceptance Review: MPS2 LAR to Revise Snubber Surveillance Requirements

Dear Mr. Bartron:

By letter dated September 21, 2011 (Agencywide Document Access and Management System Accession No. ML11270A051), Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc. (DNC or the licensee) submitted a license amendment request for Millstone Power Station, Unit No. 2 (MPS2). The proposed amendment would revise the MPS2 Technical Specification (TS) surveillance requirements for snubbers to conform to the revised MPS2 inservice inspection program, move the surveillance requirements for snubbers to the "Snubber Examination, Testing, and Service Life Monitoring Program," and add a reference to the program in the administrative controls section of the MPS2 TSs. The purpose of this letter is to provide the results of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff's acceptance review of this amendment request. The acceptance review was performed to determine if there is sufficient technical information in scope and depth to allow the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review. The acceptance review is also intended to identify whether the application has any readily apparent information insufficiencies in its characterization of the regulatory requirements or the licensing basis of the plant.

Consistent with Section 50.90 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), an amendment to the license (including the technical specifications) must fully describe the changes requested, and following as far as applicable, the form prescribed for original applications. Section 50.34 of 10 CFR addresses the content of technical information required. This section stipulates that the submittal address the design and operating characteristics, unusual or novel design features, and principal safety considerations.

The NRC staff has reviewed your application and concluded that it does provide technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review and make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposed amendment in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety and the environment. Given the lesser scope and depth of the acceptance review as compared to the detailed technical review, there may be instances in which issues that impact the NRC staff's ability to complete the detailed technical review are identified despite completion of an adequate acceptance review. You will be advised of any further information needed to support the NRC staff's detailed technical review by separate correspondence.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-1603.

Sincerely,

Carleen J. Sanders, Project Manager
Plant Licensing Branch I-2
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-336

