

Filed

Docket No. 50-263

JUN 5 1970

Mr. John K. Reid, Jr.
5077 Holiday Road
Minnetonka, Minnesota 55343

Dear Mr. Reid:

Your letter to the President concerning the establishment of radioactivity emission limits by the State of Minnesota on the Monticello nuclear power plant has been brought to the attention of the Atomic Energy Commission.

I believe you may be interested in Commissioner James T. Ramey's discussion of the role of the States in regulating nuclear power which he included in his remarks at a conference in Minneapolis in October 1969 (see pages 13 and 14 of the enclosed reprint). As you may know, the question of the authority of the States to establish more restrictive standards is being litigated in Minnesota.

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Sincerely,

(signed) Harold L. Price

Harold L. Price
Director of Regulation

Enclosure:
Mr. Ramey's remarks, 10/11/69

bcc: HLPrice
HKShapar
JCook
DR Reading
PDR (50-263)
GErtter (2593)

Handwritten mark

Handwritten mark

OFFICE ▶	DR <i>JCook</i>	OGC <i>(Handwritten)</i>	DR <i>(Handwritten)</i>		
SURNAME ▶	J. H. Cook:hkm		HLPrice		
DATE ▶	5/21/70	5/29/70	6/5/70 <i>mm</i>		

CM

CONTROL NUMBER

ACTION COMPLETION DEADLINE

5077 Holiday Road
Minnetonka, Minn.

DATE OF DOCUMENT

2/21/70

FILE LOCATION

TO
President

ACTION PROCESSING DATES

Acknowledged _____

Interim Report _____

Final _____

6/5/70

INFORMATIONAL COPY DISTRIBUTION

___ Chairman	___ ADNS	___ COM
___ GM	___ ADA	___ SS
___ Dep. Dir.	___ OGC	___ SLR
___ A. D.	___ RL	___ ML

(Referred to AEC fm DOD)

DESCRIPTION

Ltr

Original

Copy

Other

S/HVP

re establishment of radioactivity emission limits by the
State of Minnesota on the Monticello plant

REMARKS

Rec'd in Reg fm DOD 4/21/70

REFERRED TO

DATE

Manderson
(Cook) f/action

5/21/70

Cys: PDR (50-261)

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Atomic Energy Commission
(Attn: W. B. McCool, Sec to the Comm)

DATE: 14 April 1970

FROM : Mr. Leonard L. Shupe ^{LS} / OX 56151
Chief, White House Correspondence Section, 3B923, The Pentagon

SUBJECT: White House Correspondence Referrals

The attached letter addressed to the President was referred to the Department of Defense by the White House for a direct reply.

After review, it appears that the subject of the letter is under the jurisdiction of your office.

Attachment

Letter addressed to the President from John K. Reid, Jr., 5077 Holiday Road, Minnetonka, Minnesota 55343

cc: White House Central Files
Attn: Mr. Frank Matthews
Rm. 68, Exec Ofc Building
Washington, D. C.

Rec'd Off. Dir. of Reg.
Date 4/21/70
Time 3:20

DR-2593
d



RECEIVED

1970 APR 20 AM 10 08

U S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMM.
OFFICE OF THE
GENERAL MANAGER

Comments re VP Sp 2/20
re nuclear emissions

12

18
encl
my
Defense

February 21, 1970

The President
White House
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. President:

c/s-2
The Vice-President remarks in St. Paul Feb. 20th about nuclear emissions suggest to me that you will be making the final judgement in this matter.

The new Monticello nuclear plant was almost complete when the state set standards that would be impossible for this plant to meet. To change the rules near the end of the game is not fair.

Perhaps new standards could be worked out between the states and the A.E.C. for new plants not started.

It seems fair to me that nuclear plants already started should be governed by the rules of the A. E. C.

Kindest regards,



John K. Reid, Jr.
5077 Holiday Road
Minnetonka, Minnesota 55343

P.S. I voted for you and like the way you are running the country.

He sat silent and unsmiling while LeVander introduced him with a speech that drew repeated laughs and applause from the audience.

Agnew launched his own speech with a ringing endorsement of the governor's insistence on the state's right to set higher standards for nuclear emissions than those used by the Atomic Energy Commission.

WH 9026

RECEIVED

1970 APR 20 AM 10 08

U. S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMM.
OFFICE OF THE
GENERAL MANAGER

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

4C

THE MINNEAPOLIS TRIBUNE

Sun., Aug. 24, 1969

Pollution Standards Too Strict for Power Plant in Monticello

To the Editor: I write concerning the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency's waste restrictions for the Northern States Power Co. nuclear plant at Monticello, Minn.

The implications of this case are more far-reaching and serious than most people realize. Virtually all industry and millions of jobs are involved.

If "experts" are allowed to write their own laws and impose unrealistic waste restrictions that are so severe as to eliminate an industry (the nuclear power industry) then it seems the only way to resolve this is in court.

Similar committees in other states would follow their lead, which would plague the industry for years.

If they win and set this precedent, it seems to me it could put this country back 100 years and open a Pandora's box of much greater problems.

TO PROTECT the health of the present and future generations, nothing would stop a watchdog committee from being formed in every state to:

Eliminate all exhaust from cars and trucks to control air pollution or put governors on cars and trucks so they could not exceed 40 mph. to control accidents.

Stop the sale of small foreign cars in a state because they do not provide enough protection in a collision.

Eliminate all tar and nicotine in cigarettes or limit their length to 8 millimeters.

Eliminate all alcohol in liquor or limit the size of the containers to 4 ounces to try to control drinking drivers.

Ban foreign films and

restrict the movie industry to one or two releases a year because its films are polluting our minds and morals.

Stop all sewage from entering the Mississippi all the way to the gulf.

Eliminate smut via mail in a state by discontinuing the U.S. mail or have state inspectors read all mail.

Eliminate jets because of the noise or limit the noise level to three decibels.

OF COURSE, these remedies are ridiculously severe and unrealistic, but so are the waste restrictions imposed on the Monticello plant by the Minnesota Pollution Control

Agency. It seems to me the automobile industry, the oil industry, the movie industry, the liquor industry, the tobacco industry, and the aircraft industry should be as concerned with this decision as NSP and the electric power industry.

A 50 percent increase in population is predicted for this country in 30 years, and power companies have to plan now for this. I believe nuclear power plants pollute less than oil and coal and offer the best prospect for the large amounts of power that people will require in the future. — John K. Reid, Jr., Minnetonka, Minn.

RECEIVED

1970 APR 21 PM 1 59

U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMM.
MAIL & RECORDS SECTION

OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN

R SD
MS

(Date)

REG

TO: _____

GM

GM

For appropriate handling

Reply for Chairman's signature

For information: GM _____ Commissioners _____ DR _____

Remarks _____

Julius H. Rubin
For the Chairman