REGULATORY NEORMATION DISTRIBUTION STEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR:7912310428 DOC.DATE: 79/12/28 NOTARIZED: NO FACIL:50-263 Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant, Northern States AUTH.NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION

DOCKET # 05000263

NĒVĪNSKI, D.E. RECIP.NAME Northern States Power Co.

RECIPIENT AFFILIATION

Region 3, Chicago, Office of the Director

SUBJECT: LER 79-023/017-0:on 791214, during evaluation of fuel assembly exposures for next cycle, discovered that current cycle exposure of several nodes for one fuel type exceeded exposure values in Tech Specs. Caused by inadequate review.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A002S COPIES RECEIVED:LTR LENCL SIZE: 1+3

NOTES:

ACTTON.	RECIPIENT ID CODEZNAME	COPIE LTTR	S ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NĀME	COPI LȚTŘ	
ACTION:	05 BC ORB#	3 ^{~4}	4			
INTERNAL:	01 REG FILE	1	1	02 NRC PDR	1	1
	09 18E	2	2	11 MPA	3	3
	14 TAŽEDO	i	1	15 NOVAK/KNIEL	i	ī
	16 EEB	ĺ	1	17 AD FOR ENGR	i	Ĩ
	18 PLANT SYS BR	i	ì	19 I&C SYS BR	î	i
	20 AD PLANT SYS	ĺ	1	22 REAC SAFT BR	i	i
	23 ENGR BR	1	1	24 KREGER	i	1
	25 PWR SYS BR	1	1	26 AD/SITE ANAL	1	i
	27 OPERA LIC BR	1	1	28 ACDENT ANLYS	i	1
	29 AUX SYS BR	1	i	EJÖRĎANZIÉ	1	1
	HANAUER,S.	ì	1	R IRELAND	3	3
	STS GROUP LEADR	į	i	TMI-H STREET	Ĩ	i
EXTERNAL:	03 LPDR	1	1	04 NSIC	1	1
	29 ACRS	16	16		-	-

74W 3 1980

NORTHERN

MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55401

December 28, 1979

Mr J G Keppler Office of Inspection & Enforcement U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, IL 60137

Dear Mr Keppler:

MONTICELLO NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT Docket No. 50-263 License No. DPR-22

MAPLHGR Exposure Oversight

The Licensee Event Report for this occurrence is reproduced on the back of this letter. Enclosed are three copies.

This event is reported in compliance with Technical Specification 6 7.B.l.i.

Yours very truly,

L O Mayer, PE

Manager of Nuclear Support Services

LOM/ak

cc: Director, IE, USNRC (40)

Director, MIPC, USNRC (3)

MPCA

Attn: J W Ferman

-over-

A007 5 /// 7912310 422

	· ····I.NSLL. I V · N I IILPOI(I
	CONTROL BLOCK:
0 1	M N M N P 1 (2) 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 - 0) 3 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 (4) 57 CAT 68
CON'T 0 1 8	SOURCE L 6 0 5 0 0 0 2 6 3 1 2 1 4 7 9 8 1 2 2 8 7 9 9 EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES 10 Evaluation of fuel assembly exposures for next cycle led to the discovery that
0 3	current cycle exposure of several nodes for one fuel type exceeded the exposure
04	values for which MAPLHGR limits are specified in Tech Spec Table 3.11.1, MAPLHGR
0 5	vs. Exposure. Actual kw/ft. values were and continue to be conservatively below
0 6	appropriate allowable maximum values. No affect on public health and safety.
07	No similar previous occurrences.
08	
	SYSTEM CODE CODE SUBCODE COMPONENT CODE SUBCODE SUBCOD
	LER/RO EVENT YEAR REPORT NO. 17 REPORT Type NO. NO. 17 REPORT Type NO. 18 PORT Type NO. 19 PRIME COMP. ACTION FUTURE EFFECT SHUTDOWN METHOD HOURS (22) ATTACHMENT FORM SUB. SUPPLIER SUPPLIER ACTION FUTURE SHUTDOWN METHOD HOURS (22) SUBMITTED FORM SUB. SUPPLIER MANUFACTURER
	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
10	Occurrence caused by inadequate internal and external review. Corrective actions
11	include changes in process computer constants, determination that future analysis
1 2	review methods prevent recurrence, and procedural changes to increase nodal expo-
1 3	sure monitoring at the plant. See additional pages attached.
	9 80
1 5	ACILITY STATUS % POWER OTHER STATUS 30 METHOD OF DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION 32 [E] 28 0 9 1 29 NA DISCOVERY CONFIRMATION OF NRC Observation
	9 10 12 13 44 45 46 80 CTIVITY CONTENT AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY (35) Z (3) Z (34) NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N
1 7	PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER TYPE DESCRIPTION (39) O O O O O SE TYPE DESCRIPTION (39) PERSONNEL INJURIES 80
1 8	NUMBER DESCRIPTION (41) O O O O NA
1 9	LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY (13) TYPE DESCRIPTION Z (47) NA
2 0	10 PULLICITY 13 DESCRIPTION (15) 10 NA NRC USE ONLY 10 68 69 80 5
9 8	NAME OF PREPARER D. E. Nevinski PHONE: 612/295-5151 ext. 118

LICENSEE EVENT REPOR'

Cause Description and Corrective Actions:

NSP/GE discussions regarding the Extended Burnup Program originated in 1978. During the first half of 1979 MAPLGHR analysis was completed by GE for the Reload 2 fuel (designations "MTB") to extend exposure from 30,000 MWD/ST to 45,000 MWD/ST. Results were submitted to NSP in a DRAFT report (NEDO-24202) dated June, 1979. Subsequent to the required NSP reviews, the report was submitted to NRC dated September 17, 1979. Discussions in December, 1979 with NRC regarding the current and expected maximum bundle average, maximum average planar, and maximum local pellet exposures led to the observation that EOC-7 exposures, as projected by GE, appeared to be high. Specifically, maximum average planar exposure of 38,360 MWD/MT converted to 34,870 MWD/ST, and this was above the highest value listed in the MAPLHGR table (Table 3.11.1 in the Technical Specifications) applicable to the current operating cycle (7). It was confirmed by interrogating various process computer edits and BUCLE edits that current nodal exposures at several core locations were above 30,000 MWD/ST.

BUCLE (Backup Core Limits Evaluation) edits are obtained from the GE Mark III System approximately twice each month. BUCLE automatically prints out the highest nodal exposure in the core, information which is also available via the process computer (P/C), but not directly edited by any program. A review of BUCLE edits indicates peak nodal exposure on April 11, 1979 below 30,000 MWD/ST and on May 1 it was at 30,028 MWD/ST. Therefore, May 1 may be indicated as the time in CYCLE 7 when planar exposure first exceeded 30,000 MWD/ST.

Evaluation of actual MAPLHGR data from the P/C for Reload 2 (MTB fuel) while the plant was operating at or near 100% power indicates the following:

DATE	MAPLHGR (kw/ft.)	NODAL EXPOSURE (MWD/ST)	CORE LOCATION
5-2-79 6-1-79 7-2-79 8-1-79 9-5-79 10-1-79 11-2-79 12-3-79 12-10-79 12-17-79	8.14 8.06 7.55 6.97 6.97 6.91 7.81 6.96 7.11 6.79	25,881 26,749 25,911 26,655 27,633 26,872 27,283 28,521 28,683 28,864	41,28,6 41,28,5 11,28,5 11,28,4 35,24,12 37,26,15 37,26,15 37,26,15 37,26,15

The Extended Burnup Program MAPLHGR analysis for MTB fuel indicates a maximum allowable value of 9.8 kw/ft. at 35,000 MWD/ST. The information above then suggests two things:

- 1. At no time since 30,000 MWD/ST was exceeded did the MAPLHGR exceed the analyzed limit; thus, no Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) was violated.
- 2. The MAPLCHR always occurred at core locations having lower exposure than the peak exposure; specifically, the exposures in locations where MAPLCHR has occurred for the MTB fuel have continually been below 30,000 MWD/ST since May 1, 1979.

Because of the above two conclusions, the occurrence has no consequence from the standpoint of public health and safety.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

Corrective action has been completed as follows:

1. The plant process computer has been reprogrammed for 9.8 kw/ft at 35,000 MWD/ST and for 8.9 kw/ft at 40,000 MWD/ST. This corrects the current situation with adequate exposure margin through the end of the current operating cycle.

The bases for these changes are contained in GE document NEDO-24202, which has been submitted to NRC in a License Amendment Request dated September 21, 1979, in support of the Extended Burnup Program at Monticello scheduled to begin with the upcoming operating cycle in 1980.

NOTE: A check of bundle and nodal exposure arrays has been completed for all other fuel types in the core with the determination that no other fuel type will exceed 30,000 MWD/ST through the remainder of the current operating cycle.

- 2. A Technical Specification License Amendment Request dated December 21, 1979, has been submitted to change Table 3.11.1 by adding the values shown in 1., above.
- 3. A letter has been written to GE requesting determination that review methods be revised to assure prevention of a similar future occurrence. Additionally, the letter suggests a permanent software change to the process computer to edit maximum planar exposure for each fuel type, as well as the currently programmed maximum value of planar exposure, with each MAPLGHR interrogation of the computer by operating personnel.
- 4. The monthly Reactivity Anomaly surveillance test completed by the nuclear engineers and reviewed by the Supt., Technical Engineering, has been revised to include a verification, with documentation, that the maximum planar exposure of each fuel type in the core is less than the maximum value listed in Technical Specification Table 3.11.1.