Wentzel, Michael

From: Sent: Wentzel, Michael

To:

Thursday, February 10, 2011 2:15 PM Beissel, Dennis; Doyle, Daniel

To: Cc: Beissel, Dennis; Doyle, Daniel Imboden, Andy; Perkins, Leslie

Subject:

RE: Salem/Hope Creek hydro comments

Leslie mentioned that the applicant was working on addressing ER comments, so I think there is a pretty good chance that there are going to be responses.

From: Beissel, Dennis

Sent: Thursday, February 10, 2011 2:10 PM

To: Doyle, Daniel

Cc: Imboden, Andy; Wentzel, Michael; Perkins, Leslie **Subject:** RE: Salem/Hope Creek hydro comments

I have marked up the document where appropriate based on the comments. Is the applicant going to respond to the ER comments? If they make changes, it could alter our responses.

From: Doyle, Daniel

Sent: Wednesday, February 09, 2011 10:07 AM

To: Beissel, Dennis

Cc: Imboden, Andy; Wentzel, Michael; Perkins, Leslie **Subject:** RE: Salem/Hope Creek hydro comments

ger spage mannered by more however, the agree property control of the control of

Dennis,

Mike Wentzel is pulling together the comment responses on the Salem Hope Creek DSEIS so please send him your draft responses as soon as you can. Thanks,

Dan Doyle

Project Manager
Division of License Renewal
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
daniel.doyle@nrc.gov
(301) 415-3748

From: Beissel, Dennis

Sent: Friday, January 28, 2011 3:34 PM

To: Doyle, Daniel **Cc:** Imboden, Andy

Subject: RE: Salem/Hope Creek hydro comments

Hi Dan, I will do the response to comments for SHP, but I don't think they can be done by next Thursday Feb 3. A more realistic date considering my current workload is COB Feb 15th. I discussed this with Andy and he agrees with my assessment. Of course, I will try to finish these sooner. Thanks, Dennis

From: Doyle, Daniel

Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 2011 3:14 PM

To: Beissel, Dennis **Cc:** Perkins, Leslie

Subject: Salem/Hope Creek hydro comments

Dennis.

2 2

Please take a look at the attached hydro comments for the Salem/Hope Creek DSEIS.

They are mostly from the state of NJ Dept of Environmental Protection.

Note that comments SHC-W-21 through SHC-W-28 (from the New Jersey Geological Survey) are actually **about the applicant's ER**, **not the DSEIS**.

I attached the comment letter from NJ so you can take a look at that...the comments from the NJ Geological people are on pp 6-8 of the pdf.

I would like to receive your responses by next Thursday (Feb 3).

FYI:

Salem ER: http://www.nrc.gov/reactors/operating/licensing/renewal/applications/salem/salem-envir-rpt.pdf
Hope Creek ER: http://www.nrc.gov/reactors/operating/licensing/renewal/applications/hope-creek/hope-creek-envir-rpt.pdf
envir-rpt.pdf

Thanks,

Dan Doyle

Project Manager
Division of License Renewal
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
daniel.doyle@nrc.gov
(301) 415-3748