

201

**Pham, Bo**

---

**From:** Pham, Bo  
**Sent:** Friday, August 06, 2010 10:39 AM  
**To:** Spangler, Nicole  
**Cc:** 'Hurley, Bobbie'  
**Subject:** RE: URGENT ACTION regarding Salem & HC DSEIS

Hi Nicole,

Charles should have provided all edits that he had as of yesterday to you to incorporate into the latest doc. Per my email to Bobbie below, can you please send me a copy of the latest copy of the Salem/HC DSEIS today?

Thanks.

**Bo Pham**  
Chief, Projects Branch 1  
Division of License Renewal  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
301-415-8450

---

**From:** Hurley, Bobbie [<mailto:Bobbie.Hurley@aec.com>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 05, 2010 2:04 PM  
**To:** Pham, Bo  
**Subject:** RE: URGENT ACTION regarding Salem & HC DSEIS

Bo, must Charles manage version control? This really scares me and I am afraid that there will be mix-ups ... there already have been ... Nicole has the version issues well under control ... version control has always been a major issue and if we are really trying to crank this thing out, and there might be sections without NRC technical review, it is critical that there are NO MIX-UPS on the versions ...

Bobbie Hurley  
Section/Office Manager  
D 864.234.8913  
C (b)(6)  
[bobbie.hurley@aec.com](mailto:bobbie.hurley@aec.com)

---

**From:** Pham, Bo [<mailto:Bo.Pham@nrc.gov>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 05, 2010 1:08 PM  
**To:** Hurley, Bobbie  
**Cc:** Eccleston, Charles; Imboden, Andy; Harris, Brian  
**Subject:** URGENT ACTION regarding Salem & HC DSEIS

Bobbie,

I asked Charles yesterday to assemble what he has as of this moment for the Salem & HC DSEIS. I understand that several sections have not been peer reviewed by the NRC staff, but at this point in the schedule, we don't have the luxury of hashing things out in a multi-week writing session. Looking at the overall schedule for the project, we have at most a month to slide. But, given the original 9/10/10 issuance date, the draft should already have been in routing for concurrence this week.

What I intend to do is go forth with the concurrence review process as follows:

1. Ensure that the document is editorially presentable
2. Regarding content, I will ask Andy Imboden's staff to give me a concur/non-concur review of their sections in the next 2 weeks, i.e., I **need to know what absolutely CANNOT be issued or what's NOT technically correct.**
3. Where there is still an unavailability to peer review, we will have to note that and issue the DSEIS with the understanding that such section was prepared by a qualified member of AECOM's staff without NRC's review.

As I understand it, AECOM currently manages version control over the document. Please assemble what you have as of COB today and send it over to Charles, as he will be managing the version control from here on. Please also include a summary of which section/sub-section have/have not received an NRC staff review.

Thanks.

**Bo Pham**

Chief, Projects Branch 1

Division of License Renewal

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

301-415-8450