

201

Bulavinetz, Richard

From: Bulavinetz, Richard
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2010 2:09 PM
To: 'Dillard, Steve'
Subject: RE: Salem & Hope Creek - Chapter 2.2.6

Steve:

OK – “executive decision time”, I guess.
I just got off the phone with Rick Dutko of the NY Natural Heritage Program,
who further clarified the S designations.
SX – extirpated
SH – historical documentation but nothing recent – possibly not for 50+ yrs,
but that doesn’t mean the spp may not be found in the state sometime in the future.

For our purposes, let’s remove all SX, SH, and S3 and “lower” level spp from the list,
which will shorten the list to a reasonable and more typical level for our reporting.
As provided in the template from Cooper’s “Notes” section, just put the explanation in the bottom.

Quest – the pagination doesn't match up of the sections you e-mailed me individually and the
file you sent me of the complete Chapter 2.
For example, Sect 2.2.1 “Land Use” from the complete chapter 2 file starts on pg 2-31;
in the sectional files you sent me, Sect 2.2.1 starts on pg 2-26.

Have there been major additions btwn what you sent to me, or do you think this is simply
a function of auto-formatting/pagination?
Or am I not making any sense! ;-)

Thanks,

Rich

.....

<',')|||>< <',')|||>< <',')|||>< <',')|||>< <',')|||><

From: Dillard, Steve [<mailto:STEVE.DILLARD@aecom.com>]
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2010 1:34 PM
To: Bulavinetz, Richard
Subject: RE: Salem & Hope Creek - Chapter 2.2.6

Rich,

I’ll check into this reference and straighten it out.

Wanted to get back to you about Table 2-9 and the potential to reduce the number of species included. Here’s what we
found:

Animals

D-122

There are 6 species that are State SC, and all of these have a rank of S3.
There are 4 species that are State T or E that have a rank of S3.

Plants

Only one of the species in the table, all of which are State E, has a rank of S3.

So it looks like we could eliminate a total of 11 species from the table based on rankings of S3 or lower. Eliminating remaining species with a rank of SH is probably not an option -- I noticed in the Cooper example you sent that SH species were retained. However, a total of 19 T or E species in our table (2 animals and 17 plants) have a rank of SH.

Let me know how you want us to modify the table.

Steve

Steve Dillard

Senior Scientist, Life Sciences Group Leader
Environment
D 864-234-8920
steve.dillard@aecom.com

AECOM

10 Patewood Drive, Building VI, Suite 500
Greenville, SC 29615
T 864-234-2300 F 864-234-3069
www.aecom.com

From: Bulavinetz, Richard [<mailto:Richard.Bulavinetz@nrc.gov>]
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2010 11:46 AM
To: Dillard, Steve
Subject: Salem & Hope Creek - Chapter 2.2.6 final reference - tech difficulties

Steve:

The final reference no longer has a web link to it:
New Jersey Division of Fish and Wildlife (NJDFW). 2009. The 2009 Osprey Project in New Jersey.
Endangered and Nongame Species Program. Accessed at
<http://www.conservewildlifenj.org/projects/documents/2009OspreyProjectnewsletter.pdf> on 18 February
2010.

I went to the home page it directs you to, and found this one:
<http://www.conservewildlifenj.org/protecting/projects/osprey/>

Is it the same info?

If not, we need to find another reference or delete the referenced information .

Thanks,

Rich