

## Pham, Bo

---

**From:** Pham, Bo  
**Sent:** Monday, August 23, 2010 5:55 PM  
**To:** Ennis, Rick; Cline, Leonard  
**Cc:** Burritt, Arthur; Welling, Blake; Chernoff, Harold; Eccleston, Charles  
**Subject:** RE: John Greenhill response

Rick & Leonard,

Thanks for putting together this response. My only comment is that you consider changing "License Renewal Group" to "Division of License Renewal" or "license renewal group."  
Thanks again!

-Bo

---

**From:** Ennis, Rick  
**Sent:** Friday, August 20, 2010 8:44 AM  
**To:** Eccleston, Charles  
**Cc:** Pham, Bo; Cline, Leonard; Burritt, Arthur; Welling, Blake; Chernoff, Harold  
**Subject:** FW: John Greenhill response

Charles,

Per the email below, Region I asked that I forward the attached proposed response to Mr. Greenhill concerning his comments on solar magnetic disturbances (at Salem - Hope Creek license renewal meeting). The scope of this response plus the issues you address in the SEIS should cover the whole scope of the comments Mr. Greenhill made.

Please let us know if you have any comments.

Thanks,

Rick

---

**From:** Cline, Leonard  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 19, 2010 10:52 PM  
**To:** Ennis, Rick; McConnell, Matthew  
**Cc:** Burritt, Arthur; Welling, Blake  
**Subject:** John Greenhill response

Attached is Region I's draft response to the questions that we received from Mr. Greenhill at the Salem/HC annual assessment meeting in April. Would you please review and provide comment. Rick could you also please ensure the appropriate license renewal group representative receive a copy for comment also. If possible we would like to send this response to Mr. Greenhill early next week, preferably before COB on 8/25 if possible. At the latest we would like to get it out before the end of next week. If this is going to be a problem for anyone please let us know.

D-148