

1-14

Rikhoff, Jeffrey

From: Eccleston, Charles
Sent: Wednesday, March 03, 2010 3:53 PM
To: Nancy.Ranek@exeloncorp.com; Edward.Keating@pseg.com
Cc: Rikhoff, Jeffrey; Spangler, Nicole
Subject: FW: Salem/Hope Site Audit Plan/Schedule

Importance: High

Nancy/Ed:

I know this is getting late and we've been shifting things around to respond to various requests and resolve conflicts. However, I just got this comment on the site audit schedule, which is deemed VERY IMPORTANT as the proposed ESP affects the cumulative impact sections in multiple sections of the SEIS. Can this be shifted to earlier in the week?

In fact, a good way to do this would be set up a working lunch on Tuesday (12 - 1:00) in which this presentation could be presented.

Charles

From: Rikhoff, Jeffrey
Sent: Wednesday, March 03, 2010 3:39 PM
To: Eccleston, Charles
Cc: Bacuta, George; Beissel, Dennis; Bulavinetz, Richard; Imboden, Andy; Klementowicz, Stephen; Logan, Dennis; Travers, Allison; Pham, Bo
Subject: RE: Salem/Hope Site Audit Plan/Schedule
Importance: High

Hey Charles,

I saw where Presentation #3 (New Plant early Site Permit) is last again on the schedule – late on Thursday afternoon when many of us won't be there. I thought we had moved this presentation to the beginning of the site visit. We would prefer this presentation to be conducted at the beginning of the site visit rather than the end because it is critical to the cumulative impacts discussion in the SEIS and will likely generate a lot of questions and discussion during the interviews. It's very important for all of us to be together to hear this presentation.

jeff

From: Eccleston, Charles
Sent: Wednesday, March 03, 2010 2:27 PM
To: Bacuta, George; Beissel, Dennis; Bulavinetz, Richard; Imboden, Andy; Klementowicz, Stephen; Logan, Dennis; Rikhoff, Jeffrey; Travers, Allison; Pham, Bo; Eccleston, Charles
Subject: Salem/Hope Site Audit Plan/Schedule

IDT,

Here is the final version of the Salem/Hope site audit/plan. Note: as a result of a few conflicts and to ensure that the applicant has sufficiently knowledgeable staff to support this schedule, the applicant has made some changes to the previous plan/schedule (combined a couple of similar interviews/tours etc.). Let me know ASAP if there are any problems with this plan or schedule.

Charles H. Eccleston

Charles H. Eccleston
Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Licensing Renewal, Project Manager
301.415.8537
charles.eccleston@nrc.gov