

NRR-PMDAPEm Resource

From: Leigh, Kimberly D [Kimberly.Leigh@pnnl.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2011 1:52 PM
To: Tran, Tam
Cc: O'Neil, Tara; Travers, Allison
Subject: please docket this e-mail

Tam- if you haven't already done so, please docket this e-mail from Bill to Tara below. Tara would like to use it as a reference in her section. When/if you get the ML#, please let me know so I can have it added to the section prior to our draft sections being given to you!

Thanks,

K

From: Bill Martin [<mailto:Bill.Martin@thc.state.tx.us>]
Sent: Monday, May 02, 2011 12:24 PM
To: O'Neil, Tara
Cc: Tran, Tam; Travers, Allison; Leigh, Kimberly D
Subject: RE: STP License Renewal - SHPO Meeting

OK, Tara. Thanks!

From: O'Neil, Tara [<mailto:tara.oneil@pnl.gov>]
Sent: Monday, May 02, 2011 2:11 PM
To: Bill Martin
Cc: Tran, Tam; Travers, Allison; Leigh, Kimberly D
Subject: STP License Renewal - SHPO Meeting

Bill,

This is a follow-up email to document our phone conversation just a few minutes ago. We determined at this point in time that there is no need for the NRC to meet with the Texas Historical Commission (THC) regarding cultural resources at the STP site for the license renewal project action (track number 201002271).

The NRC will conduct the cultural resources environmental audit the week of May 23, 2011 for South Texas Project Units 1 & 2. We will contact you after the audit, if we have questions or concerns.

We will check the THC website in a few weeks for tribal consultation guidance.

Thank you,

Tara O'Neil
Archaeologist

Tara K. O'Neil

Environmental Project Manager

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
902 Battelle Boulevard
P.O. Box 999, MSIN K3-54
Richland, WA 99352 USA
Tel: 541-740-2171
Fax: 541-738-0362
tara.oneil@pnl.gov
www.pnl.gov

From: Tran, Tam [<mailto:Tam.Tran@nrc.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2011 10:10 AM
To: Bill Martin
Cc: Leigh, Kimberly D; O'Neil, Tara; Travers, Allison
Subject: RE: track number

Hello,

I appreciate the opportunity to talk with you this week about the South Texas Project (STP), units 1 and 2, license renewal. As stated in the attached letter of consultation to SHPO of February 17, 2011, the staff planned to conduct an audit at the STP site and would like to invite your office to attend this review. The cultural audit portion of this review is now scheduled for the week of May 23-26. If meeting at the STP site is not feasible, the staff would like to visit your office during the May 23-26 week, for consultation as follow:

- Discuss NRC's licensing action, schedule, opportunities to participate in the NEPA process, and process for completing Section 106 and any questions or issues the SHPO may have concerning cultural resources (follow-up of the February 17, 2011 letter introducing the project and NRC plan to coordinate compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act with NEPA, in accordance with 36 CFR 800.8c)
- Discuss specific information inquiry concerning known cultural resource surveys and sites that may be of concern in the affected area for STP license renewal
- Discuss information inquiry about which affected Tribes and interested parties who have historical ties to the area, as it relates to STP license renewal
- Discuss SHPO concurrence in the STP letter dated March 17, 2009 requesting concurrence that there would be no effect to historic properties, from the license renewal and associated operation and maintenance activities (SHPO responded with "stamping" concurring that no historic properties affected and license renewal may proceed, dated 10/26/2009)
- Discuss the staff's confirmatory review by checking the SHPO Texas Historic Sites Atlas that can be accessed remotely

If the consultation will be at your office in Austin, the staff will drive from the STP site to Austin for this purpose during the May 23-26 week; hence, please let us know which date would be feasible for this meeting. Alternatively, please let us know if you have other suggestions.

Thanks/Tam

From: Bill Martin [<mailto:Bill.Martin@thc.state.tx.us>]
Sent: Thursday, February 03, 2011 5:48 PM
To: Tran, Tam
Subject: RE: track number

No problem. That one was track number 201002271.

From: Tran, Tam [<mailto:Tam.Tran@nrc.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, February 03, 2011 4:45 PM

To: Bill Martin

Subject: RE: track number

Sorry for the confusion,

Actually, I'm working on the EIS for license renewal of the existing STP, Units 1 and 2. In the STP application for license renewal, there was a letter from STP to THC dated March 17, 2009 about STP, Units 1 and 2, license renewal (STP, S.L. Dannhardt to THC, James Bruseth). The letter received a stamp of "no historic properties affected..." dated 10/26/09, but there was no track# noted for the stamp. Hence, if possible, I would like to have this track number information, for the purpose of transmitting the NRC letter of consultation about the license renewal of Units 1 and 2, to THC. Thank you in advance for your help in this matter.

Hearing Identifier: NRR_PMDA
Email Number: 150

Mail Envelope Properties (B9093D240A2EFA4D963C8C4CD6717CDD993A21B4BF)

Subject: please docket this e-mail
Sent Date: 9/13/2011 1:52:18 PM
Received Date: 9/13/2011 1:52:22 PM
From: Leigh, Kimberly D

Created By: Kimberly.Leigh@pnnl.gov

Recipients:

"O'Neil, Tara" <tara.oneil@pnnl.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Travers, Allison" <Allison.Travers@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Tran, Tam" <Tam.Tran@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: EMAIL06.pnl.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	5064	9/13/2011 1:52:22 PM

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: