(06-2010)

| SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|---------------------------|--|-------------------------|---------------|--|--|
| 1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: | | | 2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE | | | | |
| Quality Testing & Engineering, Inc. 803 West State Street O'Fallon, IL 62269 | | | U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351 | | | | |
| REPORT NUMBER | | | | | | | |
| 3. DOCKET NUMBE 030-356 | | 4. LICENSEE NUME 12-3: | BER(S) 2308-01 | 5. DATE(S) OF INSP | 8. 2011 | | |
| The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows: 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified. 2. Previous violation(s) closed. 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied Non-cited violation(s) were discussed involving the following requirement(s): 4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in | | | | | | | |
| | nce with 10 CFR 19 | | | ·····o······ay be cabje | or to pooming | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | • | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Statement of Corrective Actions | | | | | | | |
| I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested. | | | | | | | |
| . Title | Printed I | Name | Signature | | Date | | |
| LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE | | | | · | , | | |
| NRC INSPECTOR | Robert P | . Hays | 1640 | ~~ | 8/8/11 | | |
| Branch Chief | Tamara E. | Bloomer | Tamara So | Dome | 9/8/4 | | |
| NOC COOM COIM DA | DT 4/00 0040) | | 4 | | | | |

| LIDO PODM CC | -/ | | U.O. NUOLEAE | ATONY COMMISSION | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| NRC FORM 591 M PART 3 | | | U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION | | | | | | |
| (06-2010) 10 CFR 2.201 | | | Docket File Information | | | | | | |
| 10 OF N 2.20 I | | | | | | | | | |
| SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. LICENSEE 2 NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE | | | | | | | | | |
| | ing & Engineering | a Inc | 2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE | U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission | | | | | |
| 803 West Sta | | g, mc. | Region III | Region III | | | | | |
| O'Fallon, IL | | 1 | │ 2443 Warrenville Road, Suit | 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 | | | | | |
| • | | , | Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351 | | | | | | |
| REPORT NUMBER(S | | T A LIGHT NUM | | 5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION | | | | | |
| | 1-7 | 4. LICENSE NUMI | ` ' | 1 '' | | | | | |
| 03035663 6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES | | 7. INSPECTION F | 12-32308-01 | August 8, 2011 | | | | | |
| | (11/25/03) | /. INSPECTION F | 03.01-03.07 | | | | | | |
| 0/124 | | AUDOL FAFENT | | | | | | | |
| | | | TAL INSPECTION INFORMATION | | | | | | |
| 1.PROGRAM | 2. PRIORITY | 3. LICENSEE COI | | 4. TELEPHONE NUMBER | | | | | |
| 3121 | 5 | NI / | ichael Widman, RSO | 636-332-1153 | | | | | |
| | | - | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |
| Main (| Office Inspection | 1 | Next Inspection | Next Inspection Date: <u>August 2016</u> | | | | | |
| X Field | V Field Office Increasion: 200 Courte Line Avenue Montmille MO 02205 | | | | | | | | |
| X Field Office Inspection: 206 South Linn Avenue, Wentzville, MO 63385 | | | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Temp | ☐ Temporary Job Site Inspection | | | | | | | | |
| | Viui, con c | opocio | | | | | | | |
| PROGRAM SCOPE | | | | | | | | | |
| FROGRAM SCOPE | | | | | | | | | |
| The licenses | مة اممساسمالة عاد | | deres themshall Bladel E004 av | ina daylaa at | | | | | |
| | | • | d use Humbolt Model 5001 ga | | | | | | |
| temporary job sites anywhere in the United States where the NRC maintains regulatory | | | | | | | | | |

The licensee is authorized to possess and use Humbolt Model 5001 gauging devices at temporary job sites anywhere in the United States where the NRC maintains regulatory jurisdiction. At the time of the inspection, the licensee possessed two gauges (serial nos. 196 and 983) and periodically used at construction projects in Missouri. Check-out logs indicated the date of most recent use was on 7/8/2011. There were two authorized gauge users assigned to the Wentzville, MO facility. The gauges are stored in the licensee's testing lab using two tangible barriers. The licensee had no gauges in use at a local temporary jobsite at the time of the inspection.

Performance Observations

During the inspection, the available authorized gauge user demonstrated and discussed: (1) Two tangible barriers for gauge security and padlocks while at a temporary jobsite; (2) DOT requirements (annual HAZMAT training) and shipping papers; (3) dosimetry was available; (records maintained at O'Fallon, IL) (4) leak tests May 13, 2011; (5) a survey meter was available with the RSO at O'Fallon, IL; (6) emergency procedures; and (7) corrective actions for a SL IV violation of 10 CFR 30.34(i), which now considered closed. The inspector performed independent radiation measurements, which indicated no dose concerns and consistent with expected readings.