LaSalle Generating Station 2601 North 21st Road Marseilles, IL 61341-9757 www.exeloncorp.com



Exel<sup>b</sup>n

Nuclear

10 CFR 50.73

RA11-053

September 8, 2011

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

> LaSalle County Station, Unit 1 and Unit 2 Facility Operating License No. NPF-11 and NPF-18 NRC Docket No. 50-373/50-374

Subject: Licensee Event Report 2010-003-01

Enclosed is revision one to Licensed Event Report, Standby Liquid Control Test Tank Seismic Analysis. The original submission did not include the reporting requirements pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(v)(D), "Any event or condition that could have prevented the fulfillment of the safety function of structures or systems that are needed to mitigate the consequences of an accident." Exelon Generation Company (EGC), LLC, is submitting Licensee Event Report Number 2010-003-01.

There are no regulatory commitments in this report. Should you have any questions concerning this report, please contact Mr. Terrence W. Simpkin, Regulatory Assurance Manager at (815) 415-2800.

Respectfully,

Peter J. Karaba Plant Manager LaSalle County Station

Enclosure: Licensee Event Report

Cc: Regional Administrator – NRC Region III NRC Senior Resident Inspector – LaSalle County Station

															_		
NRC FOR	M 366			U.S. NUC	LEAR R	EGULAT	DRY COMM	ISSION	AP	PROVE	D BY OMB: N	IO. 3150-0	0104		EXPIRI	ES: 1	0/31/2013
(10-2010) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) (See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)								Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 80 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the FOIA/Privacy Section (T-5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to infocollects.resource@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.									
LaSalle County Station, Unit 1									2. DOCKET NUMBER         3. PAGE           05000373         1 OF 3						3		
4. TITLE Stand	dby Lia	uid Co	ntrol Te	est Tank	Seismi	- Analys	sis										
Standby Liquid Control Test Tank Seismic Analysis         5. EVENT DATE       6. LER NUMBER       7. REPORT DATE									8. OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED								
5. EV	VENI DA	AIE				7. REPORT DATE			FACILITY NAME						DOCKET NUMBER		
MONTH	MONTH DAY YEAR		YEAR SEQUENTIAL REV NUMBER NO.		MONTH DAY		YEAI			Salle County Statio		n, Unit 2		05000374			
10	28	2010	2010			09	16	201	1								
9. OPER/	ATING N	IODE	11	. THIS REP	PORTIS	SUBMIT	TED PURS	UANT T	O T	HE RE	QUIREMEN	TS OF 1	0 CFR	§: (Chec	k all th	nat ap	oply)
1 10. POWER LEVEL 100		EL	<ul> <li>20.2201(b)</li> <li>20.2201(d)</li> <li>20.2203(a)(1)</li> <li>20.2203(a)(2)(i)</li> <li>20.2203(a)(2)(ii)</li> <li>20.2203(a)(2)(iii)</li> <li>20.2203(a)(2)(iii)</li> <li>20.2203(a)(2)(iv)</li> </ul>			<ul> <li>20.2203(a)(3)(i)</li> <li>20.2203(a)(3)(ii)</li> <li>20.2203(a)(4)</li> <li>50.36(c)(1)(i)(A)</li> <li>50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A)</li> <li>50.36(c)(2)</li> <li>50.46(a)(3)(ii)</li> <li>50.73(a)(2)(i)(A)</li> </ul>				<ul> <li>□ 50.73(a)(2)(i)(C)</li> <li>□ 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A)</li> <li>□ 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B)</li> <li>□ 50.73(a)(2)(iii)</li> <li>□ 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A)</li> <li>□ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)</li> <li>□ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(B)</li> <li>□ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(C)</li> </ul>				<ul> <li>∑ 50.73(a)(2)(vii)</li> <li>∑ 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)</li> <li>∑ 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)</li> <li>∑ 50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A)</li> <li>∑ 50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A)</li> <li>∑ 50.73(a)(2)(x)</li> <li>∑ 73.71(a)(4)</li> <li>∑ 73.71(a)(5)</li> <li>OTHER</li> </ul>			
			1 20.2	203(a)(2)(v			50.73(a)(2 NSEE CON		OR			) <u>(V)(C)</u> I			<u>:R</u>		
FACILITY N	AME									11101			ELEPHO	NE NUMBER	(Includ	le Area	a Code)
Willia	am (Bill	) Hilton	, Desig	in Engine	ering N	<i>l</i> lanage	r					(	(815)	415-391	2		
			13. CON	IPLETE O		FOR EA	СН СОМРС	NENT	FAIL	URE	DESCRIBED	IN THIS	REPC	RT			
CAUSE SYSTE		SYSTEM	COMPONENT MAN				CA	CAUSE		SYSTEM COMPO		NENT MANU FACTUR					
											·						
		14.	SUPPLE	MENTAL	REPORT	EXPEC	ſED				15. EX	PECTED		MONTH	D/	4Y	YEAR
			nate 15 EXPECTED SURMIS			SON DATE)								+			
	YES (If yes, complete 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritted)																
A S d D w is a S a T (I r t c e	s part standb eterm During vrong f s filled dverse eismic nd thu historie equire o issui valuat	of an y Liqui ination this re- formula with w ely affe cally qu us both ror occ cal) de s an ov ng the tion to	NRC C d Cont proce view, c a in de ater, th ct both ualified Unit 1 unit 1 sign in wner re docun suppor	Compone trol (SBL ss was i on Octob terminin he possi h trains . The S and 2 S and 2 S in 1981 formatic eview of nent is p	ent De _C) sys nitiate per 28, g the t bility e of SBL BLC te SBLC s and th on and vendo rocedu ismic a	sign Ba stem te d and B 2010, est tan exists th C. Ca est tank system erefore analys or calcu	ases Insp est tanks Engineer Engineer k's natur nat the te loulation ts on bot s were function is. The lations. equired.	pectio was of ring be ral fre est tar s con th unit ully op rical. curren An ac	n, t cha ega lete que firm ts h bera The pera The pera	he s lleng n ve rmin ancy. ould that able e cau proce ptan ve a	eismic an jed. On C rifying de ed that th The con fall over t with the een drain upon disc use of the ss for per ce review ctions inc k mountii	Detobe sign da ne histo iseque during test ta ned of v covery e event formin of the luded	r 27, ata an orical nce i a sei nks e water of the was g des venc perfo	2010, f nd calc calcula s that if ismic e empty, f on Oc e calcul less that sign an dor calc rming a	the c ulation the the tobe lation an a alyse culation	opersons. use test and nou r 27 n er deq es ions gine	ability ed the tank tank f nting is 7, 2010, ror. uate prior eering

NRC FORM 366 (10-2010)

NRC FORM 366A

(10-2010)

#### LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) U. CONTINUATION SHEET

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET		3. PAGE				
alla County Station   Init 1	05000373	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.	2	OF	2
LaSalle County Station, Unit 1	05000375	2010	- 003 -	01		Ur	3

NARRATIVE

LaSalle County Station (LSCS) Unit 1 is a General Electric Boling Water Reactor with 3546 Megawatts Thermal Rated Core Power. Unit 2 is a General Electric Boiling Water Reactor with 3489 Megawatts Thermal Rated Core Power.

# A. CONDITION PRIOR TO EVENT:

Unit(s): 1 and 2	Event Date: October 28, 2010	Event Time: 1045 CDT
Reactor Mode(s): 1	Mode(s) Name: Power Operation	Power Level: 100 percent

# B. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT:

During the NRC Component Design Bases Inspection, the seismic analysis of the Unit 1 and Unit 2 Standby Liquid Control (SBLC) [BR] system test tanks was challenged. On October 27, 2010, the operability determination process was initiated, and Engineering began verifying design data and calculations. The SBLC test tanks on both units were drained of water on October 27, 2010. On October 28, 2010, Engineering determined that the historical calculations used the wrong formula in determining the test tank's natural frequency. The consequences of this event is that if the test tank is filled with water, the possibility exists that the test tank could fall over during a seismic event and adversely affect both trains of SBLC. Calculations confirm that with the test tanks empty, the mounting is seismically qualified. Therefore, the Unit 1 and Unit 2 SBLC systems were fully operable upon discovery of the calculation error.

The event was reported to the NRC Operations Center at 1521 (ET) on October 28, 2010 (EN # 46372). The event is reportable in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)/(C)/(D), an event or condition that could have prevented the fulfillment of the safety function of structures or systems that are needed to shutdown the reactor and maintain it in a safe condition, control the release of radioactive material, and mitigate the consequences of an accident. In addition this event is reportable under 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B), a condition which is prohibited by Technical Specification. The potential for both trains of SBLC system to be inoperable existed for a period of time greater than allowed by the LSCS Technical Specification. This event is also reportable under 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(vii), an event where a single cause or condition caused two independent trains to become inoperable in a single system. A failure of the SBLC test tank had the potential to render both trains of SBLC inoperable.

# C. CAUSE OF EVENT:

The possibility of non-safety-related, non-seismic items falling over during a seismic event was not a consideration in the original design of LSCS. It was identified as an industry issue near the completion of LSCS's construction. An evaluation of the test tank seismic mounting was performed by an outside vendor and documented in a design analysis. In 1981 the analysis demonstrated the adequacy of the fasteners that attached the test tank's legs to the floor but, did not verify the structural adequacy of the legs. Typically, a separate design analysis is performed to address structural integrity of the test tank mounting to withstand hydrodynamic loads resulting from a seismic event. A search of the LSCS's controlled documents did not identify the existence of such an analysis. The existing mounting design analysis was inaccurate and incomplete to support

NRC FORM 366A

(10-2010)

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION CONTINUATION SHEET

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET		3. PAGE				
LaSalle County Station, Unit 1	05000373	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.	2	OF	2
		2010	- 003 -	01	3		J

#### NARRATIVE

structural integrity of the SBLC test tank. The cause of the event is less than adequate (historical) design analysis and information.

### D. SAFETY ANALYSIS:

The safety significance of this event is minimal. The safety function of the SBLC system is to provide the capability of bringing the reactor, at any time in a fuel cycle, from full power and minimum control rod inventory to a subcritical condition. The probability of a design basis earthquake concurrent with an anticipated transient without scram (ATWS) condition is low. Additionally there is procedural guidance for injecting sodium pentaborate solution using the Reactor Water Clean-up (RWCU) system with the SBLC system unavailable.

# E. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:

This event occurred in 1981 and therefore is historical. An Engineering evaluation was performed to support seismic analysis of the SBLC test tank mounting with the test tank drained. Procedural controls have been put in place to direct the operator to drain the SBLC test tank following surveillance testing that requires use of the test tank.

# F. PREVIOUS OCCURRENCES:

A review of LSCS Licensee Event Reports (LERs) for the last three years did not identify any LERs associated with seismic analysis of the SBLC system.

#### G. COMPONENT FAILURE DATA:

Component failures were not involved with this event.