FS	-2	በ	1
$ \circ$		v	- (

Examination Preparation Checklist

Form ES-201-1

Facility:	OC Date of Examination	: 7/11-14/201
Developed	by: Written - Facility NRC // Operating - Facility NRC /	1/20/2011
Target Date*	Task Description (Reference)	Chief Examiner's Initials
-180	Examination administration date confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a and b)	TF
-120	NRC examiners and facility contact assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)	TF
-120	Facility contact briefed on security and other requirements (C.2.c)	TF
-120	Corporate notification letter sent (C.2.d)	TF
[-90]	[5. Reference material due (C.1.e; C.3.c; Attachment 2)]	NA
{-75}	6. Integrated examination outline(s) due, including Forms ES-201-2, ES-201-3, ES-301-1, ES-301-2, ES-301-5, ES-D-1's, ES-401-1/2, ES-401-3, and ES-401-4, as applicable (C.1.e and f; C.3.d)	TF
{-70}	 Examination outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee (C.2.h; C.3.e) 	TF
{-45}	8. Proposed examinations (including written, walk-through JPMs, and scenarios, as applicable), supporting documentation (including Forms ES-301-3, ES-301-4, ES-301-5, ES-301-6, and ES-401-6, and any Form ES-201-3 updates), and reference materials due (C.1.e, f, g and h; C.3.d)	TF
-30	Preliminary license applications (NRC Form 398's) due (C.1.I; C.2.g; ES-202)	TF
-14	10. Final license applications due and Form ES-201-4 prepared (C.1.I; C.2.i; ES-202)	Tr
-14	11. Examination approved by NRC supervisor for facility licensee review (C.2.h; C.3.f)	TF
-14	12. Examinations reviewed with facility licensee (C.1.j; C.2.f and h; C.3.g)	TP
-7	13. Written examinations and operating tests approved by NRC supervisor (C.2.i; C.3.h)	TF
-7	 Final applications reviewed; 1 or 2 (if >10) applications audited to confirm qualifications / eligibility; and examination approval and waiver letters sent (C.2.i; Attachment 4; ES-202, C.2.e; ES-204) 	TF
-7	Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee (C.3.k)	TF
-7	Approved scenarios, job performance measures, and questions distributed to NRC examiners (C.3.i)	Tr

[Applies only] (Does not apply) to examinations prepared by the NRC.

^{*} Target dates are generally based on facility-prepared examinations and are keyed to the examination date identified in the corporate notification letter. They are for planning purposes and may be adjusted on a case-by-case basis in coordination with the facility licensee.

Facilit	y: Oyster Creek	Date of Examination: 7/	11/11		
Item		Task Description		Initials	
			a	b*	# مرد
1. W		outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	!R	A	74
R		r the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	11	Δ	TF
T T	c. Assess whethe	r the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	11	8	TF
E N	d. Assess whethe	r the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	92	A	TF
2. S		-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number tions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, sients.	1R	M	F
I M U L A T	and mix of appl without compro at least one ne	r there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number icants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule mising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using w or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated ants' audit test(s), and scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	11	A	F
O R		ossible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative e criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	91	g	TF
3. W / T	(1) the outline distributed (2) task repet (3) no tasks a (4) the number	systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks I among the safety functions as specified on the form ition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) er of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form er of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria	IR	4	TF
	b. Verify that the a (1) the tasks a (2) at least or	administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: are distributed among the topics as specified on the form be task is new or significantly modified be nan one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	!R	ø	TF
		ere are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	1/1	0	TF
4.	a. Assess whethe	r plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered ate exam section.	111	A	TF
G E		r the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	IR	4	Æ
N N	c. Ensure that K/A	A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	11	1	1
E R	d. Check for dupli	cation and overlap among exam sections.	11	A	1
A	e. Check the entir	e exam for balance of coverage.	11	1	1
L	f. Assess whether	r the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	11	B	(8
b. F	uthor acility Reviewer (*) IRC Chief Examiner (#) IRC Supervisor	Printed Name / Signature Jeffrey Ridosh Andrew Zuchowski CDD F(SH) Sam Hause! ent NRC Reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.	4/19/ 4/20/ 4/15 5/19	te // // // /// ///	_

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of \(\frac{7/\ldot{1/\

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of $\frac{7|u|u^{-7}/\kappa|u|}{|u|}$. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. JEFFREY RIDUSH	LEAD REGULATORY EXAM AUTHOR	Mhr/Ul	12/30/10	MAN	7/20/11
2. ANOROW ZUCHOWSKI	FACILITY REP		2/9/11	1 WINA E-MAIL	7/21/11
3. FRED BRUNS	OTPS	you	એઓ။ 🔏	AN VIA TELECOM	7/21/11
4. 5:11 Ward	OTPM	tweether	Z/21/11 /	AMINA E-MAIL	1/20/11
5. Robin Brown	Sim Courdinatur	Masoun	34/4/y"	2 Secrit	7/z?lij_j
6. MICHAEL ROSSI	LORT	- Contraction	4/4/1	W	7/25/11
7. JASON GESSNER	LORT	- Jun	9/4/17		7/25/1
8. MICHAEL STRUETZ	LORT		4/4/11		7/22/11
9. WATNE HAMMOND	COMPUTER TECH	transfer	4[4]11	Town X]/ <i>\\\</i>
10. MARK BARATTA	_ R.S.	Mark Baratta	4/6/11 1	WW VIA E-MAIL	7/20/11
11. Jeff Correll	<u> 5RO</u>	A Conell	<u> 4/6/11 "</u>	MAN MATELELAM	7/22/11
12. JACK C BELLINA	<u> </u>	Maco	04/06/11 1	MINIVIA E-MAIL	7/20/11
13. CHAD BLANCHARD	SRo_	(but Bloo.	4161/1	MINIA E-MOIL	7/21/11
14. WILLIAM Wenzel	<u> </u>	inturent !	4/7/4	ADU VIA E-MAIL	<u> 7/21/11</u>
15. they young	instrutor	Mary The	5-19-11	IM VA TELECOM	7/21/11
NOTES: '		• //	,,	•	•

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of $\frac{7/II/Ir-7/I8/II}{Ir-7/I8/II}$ as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of \(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}} pindentinestendant}\sqrt{\sqrt{\synt{\sin

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. Hictor Desess	SRO	Thetal		MA VIA E-MAIL	7/22/11
2. 1 isa Sweeney	<u> </u>	Visa Sveeres		A WINA TELECOM	7/21/11
3. Jason Skolnick		Joseph Her Curl		WA TELECOM	7/22/11
4. Josh mobring	SÃo		3/21/11	FRI VIA E-MAIL	7/21/11
5. Charles SPAGRUOLO	58-0	Calletai	5/21/11	AND 44 TELECOM	1/22/11
6. Neal Millar	580	U.W.W.	6/5/11	WIN FELECOM	7/21/11
7. LUN CHENG	Lead Analyst	h-Ely	6/9/11	MY VIA TELECAN	7/20/11
8. Ignonimo Jimenez	SBO	2-3-5	6/13/11 M	M VIA E-MAIL	7/21/11
9. Brian Sullivan	RO	BustSulta		M VIA TELELOM	
10. CHRISTOPHER MENAMER	RO	Clift	6/14/11	YNU NA E-MAIL	7/22/11
11. JEREMY SMARKET	SRO.	The they	6/18/11/2	MANUA E-MAIL	Trolis_
12. 1 = you	t CT Lead	DAD	7/6/1	11/2	-1 1 22/4
13. James Fleury	ILT Instructur		7/11/11	1	7/20/11
14. KOMUS JM Dovell	ILT Instructor	ROY CELL	7/11/12	MY VIA TELECON	7/20/11
15. Andres Paez	ILI Instructor	They	7/11/11	MA VIA EMAIL	722/11
NOTES:			, - P		

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of $\frac{7/11}{10} - 7/18/12$ of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of $\frac{1}{|u|} \frac{1}{|u|} \frac{1}{|u$

	PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1.	Gilbert A. De Vries	Instructor	XIQ Dux	7/11/11	M/M/NA EMAIL	7/22/11
		Ops DIKECTOR	muchin	7/11/11	MM VIA EMAIL	7/25/11
	To Costic	ops Services Manager	1200	2/12/11	MN VIA TELECOM	7/21/11
_						
5.			7			
6.						
7.]						
8.						
9.						
10.						
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.						
15.						
NO	TES:					

Facility: Oyster Creek Date of Examination: 7/11/11 Operating Test No.	umber:	ILT 10	-1
1. GENERAL CRITERIA		Initials	
	а	b*	C#
a. The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	14	A	TF
b. There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	94	A	TF
c. The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s)(see Section D.1.a).	9A	o	TF
 Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits. 	11	Ø	TF
e. It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	11	B	Œ
2. WALK-THROUGH CRITERIA			
a. Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: initial conditions initiating cues references and tools, including associated procedures reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee operationally important specific performance criteria that include: detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature system response and other examiner cues statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant criteria for successful completion of the task identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable	14	8	H
b. Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	11.	ð	TP
3. SIMULATOR CRITERIA			
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.	11	1	75
a. Author b. Facility Reviewer (*) c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) d. NRC Supervisor Andrew Zuchowski Andrew Zuchowski Andrew Zuchowski Andrew Zuchowski Andrew Zuchowski	6/3	Date 25/11/26 1 1-8/29	, , , /(
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence is required.		_	

Facility:	Oyster Creek	Date of Exam: 7/11/11	Scenario Numbers:	1/2/3 Operating Tes	t Numb	er: ILT	10-1		
		QUALITATIVE ATTI	RIBUTES			Initials	;		
		GOALITATIVE ATT			а	b*	C#		
1.		ions are realistic, in that some eques not cue the operators into expe		on may be out of	21	A	TF		
2.	The scenarios c	onsist mostly of related events.			40	A	TF		
3.	#	A'	TF						
4.		ne non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pi ding incident such as a seismic ev		the scenario without	9.4	B	(F		
5.	The events are	alid with regard to physics and the	ermodynamics.		//	A	TF		
6.	 Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives. 								
 If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given. 									
8.	The simulator m	odeling is not altered.			14	8	TF		
9.	deficiencies or o	ave been validated. Pursuant to 1 leviations from the referenced plan inctional fidelity is maintained while	t have been evaluated	,	f.	A	TF		
10.		vill be evaluated using at least one los have been altered in accordance			11	ð	TF		
11.		erator competencies can be evalua a along with the simulator scenario		ES-301-6	11	A	ĺF		
12.		will be significantly involved in the r m ES-301-5 (submit the form with		ts and events	11	B	(F		
13.	The level of diffi	culty is appropriate to support licer	nsing decisions for each crev	w position.	11	1	(F		
	Target Quantitat	ive Attributes (Per Scenario; Se	ee Section D.5.d)	Actual Attributes					
1.	Total malfunction	ns (5-8)		6/6/7	11	8	TF		
2.	Malfunctions afte	er EOP entry (1-2)		1/1/2	14	A	TE		
3.	Abnormal events	(2-4)		3 / 2 / 2	14	8	76		
4.	4. Major transients (1-2) 1 / 1 / 2								
5.	EOPs entered/re	equiring substantive actions (1-2)		2 / 2 / 1	31	N	TF		
6.	EOP contingenc	ies requiring substantive actions (0	0-2)	1 / 1 / 0)¢	1	78		
7.	Critical tasks (2-	3)		2/2/2	31	7)	TF		

Facility	r: Oyster Creek Date of Exam: 7/11/11 Scenario Numbers: 4 / / Operating	Test Numb	oer: ILT	10-1
	QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES		Initials	3
		a	b*	C#
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	11	A	TF
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	11	0	TF
3.	Each event description consists of the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable)	9.1	r	TF
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	ut 🤼	8	TF
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	11	4	· 18
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	91	8	TF
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	9.1	r	TF
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	11	N	(8
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10CFR55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	94	r	TF
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	99	•	TF
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	94	r	TF
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	91	r	TF
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	91	4	18
	Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d) Actual Attributes			
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8) 7 / /	20	r	ĨF
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2) 2 / /	ça	M	1
3.	Abnormal events (2-4) 3 / /	M	M	TF
4.	Major transients (1-2) 2 / /	20	M	11
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2) 2 / /	927	Ų	TF
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2) 1 / /	90	M	17
7.	Critical tasks (2-3) 2 / /	92	N	11

ES-301, Page 25 of 27

Facility: C	yster Cr	eek	* 1.0.00 m 27 W 17 P ******			Date	e of Exa	am: 7/	11/11		Оре	erating	Test Nu	ımbe	er: IL	T 10-	·1
А	E							Sc	enario	s							
Р	V	1 (Backu	p)		2			3			4		Т		М	
L	N	P	CREW OSITIO	N	P	CREW	N	P	CREW OSITIO	N	P	CREW OSITIO	N	T		N N	
C A N T	T Y P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	Ĺ	R	U М(*)	U
RO						2								1	1	1	0
					_	2				2							1
					_	4, 6							2, 3, 4	7	4	4	2
SRO-U						7				7, 8			6, 8	5	2	2	1
														0	0	2	2
RO									1					1	1	1	0
	NOR						1							1	1	1	1
	I/C						5, 6		3, 6				2, 3, 4	7	4	4	2
	MAJ						7		7, 8				6, 8	5	2	2	1
	TS										_			0	0	2	2
RO	RX											3		1	1	1	0
SRO-I	NOR						1			2				2	1	1	1
∐ SRO-U	I/C						5, 6			4, 5		1, 5		6	4	4	2
	MAJ						7			7, 8		6, 8		5	2	2	1
	TS													0	0	2	2
RO ⊠	RX											3		1	1	1	0
SRO-I	NOR						1			2	_			2	1	1_	1
SRO-U	I/C	_					5, 6			4, 5		1, 5		6	4	4	2
	MAJ						7			7, 8		6, 8		5	2	2	1
	TS														0	2	2
	APPLICANT RNSCISCI RNSCISCI RNSCISCI RNSCISCI RNSCISCI RNSCISCI	A P P L I C A N T	P	A E V I (Backul CREW POSITIO C A T R T O C E RO RX SRO-U MAJ TS RO RX RX SRO-U MAJ TS RO RX	A E P V	A E V 1 (Backup) CREW POSITION PO CREW POSITION PO CREW POSITION PO CREW POSITION PO RX SRO-I NOR	A E P V E 1 (Backup) 2 CREW POSITION POSITIO C A T S A B S A T O C P O C E RO RX	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	RO	Recorded Performance Per	RO

Instructions:

- 1. Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions. Instant SROs must serve in both the SRO and the ATC positions, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position. If an Instant SRO additionally serves in the BOP position, one I/C malfunction can be credited toward the two I/C malfunctions required for the ATC position.
- Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or controlled abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
- Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those
 that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward
 the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.

1	Facility: (Dyster C	reek				Date	of Ex	am: 7/1	1/11		Ope	rating 7	Test Nu	mbe	r: IL	T 10-	.1
Ī	Α	E							Sc	enario	s							
	P P	V E	1 (Backu	ıp)		2	_		3			4		Т		М	
SRO	L	N T	P	CREW	N	P	CREW	N	PC	CREW	N	PC	CREW	N	O T A		N N	
	C A N T	T Y P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	î,		М U M(*)	
ļ		Ē														R	ı	U
	RO	RX				2			1				3		3	1	1	0
	SRO-I ⊠	NOR		_		1			2	_					2	1	1	1
SRO-1	SRO-U	I/C_		_		4, 5, 6			3, 4, 5, 6				1, 5		9	4	4	2
		MAJ				7			7, 8				6, 8		5	2	2	1
	DO	TS				3, 4, 6			3, 5, 6						6	0	2	2
	RO	RX				2				1		3			3	1	1	0
SRO-2&4	SRO-I ⊠	NOR				1									1	1	1	1
	SRO-U	I/C				4, 5, 6				3, 6		1, 2, 3, 4, 5			10	4	4	2
		MAJ				7				7, 8		6, 8			5	2	2	1
ļ		TS				3, 4, 6						2, 4			5	0	2	2
	RO	RX					2	_	1			3			3	1	1	0
CDO A	SRO-I ⊠	NOR							2						1	1	1	1
SRO-3	SRO-U	I/C					4, 6		3, 4, 5, 6			1, 2, 3, 4, 5			11	4	4	2
		MAJ					7		7, 8			6, 8			5	2	2	1
		TS							3, 5, 6			2, 4			5	0	2	2
	RO	RX					2		1			3			3	1	1	0
SRO-5	SRO-I	NOR							2				-		1	1	1	1
	⊠ SRO-U	I/C					4, 6		3, 4, 5, 6		ļ			2, 3, 4	9	4	4	2
		MAJ					7		7, 8					6, 8	5	2	2	1
	Instructi	TS							3, 5, 6			A-188			3	0	2	2

Instructions:

- 1. Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions. Instant SROs must serve in both the SRO and the ATC positions, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position. If an Instant SRO additionally serves in the BOP position, one I/C malfunction can be credited toward the two I/C malfunctions required for the ATC position.
- Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or controlled abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
- Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those
 that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward
 the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.

Facility: Oyster Creek		Dat	te of I	Exam	inatio	n: 7/1	1/11				Opera	ating	Test N	No.: IL	T 10	-1	
	_	RO	D-1		-	RO-2	Al	PLI	CAN	rs	RO-3	}					
Competencies	8	RO- BRO- BRO-	ı		s	RO-I RO-I	Ì		s	O RO-I RO-I	_	RO-I					
	5	CEN	IARI	0	5	SCEN	IARIO)	5	CEN	IARI	<u> </u>	5	IARI	ARIO		
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1_	2	3	4	
Interpret/ Diagnose Events and Conditions		4, 6	5	2-4		5, 6	3	2-4		5,6	5	1, 5		5,6	5	1, 5	
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)		2	2	3, 4		1	1, 3	3, 4		1	2	1, 5		1	2	1, 5	
Operate Control Boards (2)		2	4	2-4		5	1, 3	2-4		5	4	1, 3		5	4	1, 3	
Communicate and Interact		2	5	2-4		6	3	2-4		6	5	3		6	5	3	
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)																	
Comply With and Use Tech Specs. (3)																	

Notes:

- (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.
- (2) Optional for an SRO-U.
- (3) Only applicable to SROs.

Instructions:

Check the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Facility: Oyster Creek		Date of Examination: 7/11/11							Operating Test No.: ILT 10-1							
	SRO-1			SRO-2&4 APPLIC			CANTS SRO-3			SRO-5						
Competencies	RO □ SRO-I ⊠ SRO-U □			RO □ SRO-I ⊠ SRO-U □			RO □ SRO-I ⊠ SRO-U □			RO □ SRO-I ⊠ SRO-U □						
	SCENARIO			SCENARIO			SCENARIO			SCENARIO						
	1	2	3_	4	1_	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Interpret/ Diagnose Events and Conditions				1, 5			3			4				4		2-4
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)				1, 5			1, 3			2				2		3, 4
Operate Control Boards (2)		_		1, 3			1, 3			2				2		2-4
Communicate and Interact			6-9	3			3	1-8		2	6-9	1-8		2	6-9	2-4
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)		1-8	1-9			1-8		1-8			1-9	1-8			1-9	
Comply With and Use Tech Specs. (3)		3, 4	3, 5, 6			3, 4		2, 4			3, 5, 6	2, 4			3, 5, 6	

Notes:

- (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.
- (2) Optional for an SRO-U.
- (3) Only applicable to SROs.

Instructions:

Check the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

ES-401

Written Examination Quality Checklist

Form ES-401-6

Facility: Oyster Creek Date of Exam: 7/11/11 Exam Level: RO ⊠ SRO ⊠											
								Initial			
Item Description								b*	c#		
1.											
2.								8	-		
	b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.							8	<u>TE</u>		
	3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401							0	TF		
4.	 The sampling process was random and systematic (If more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exam, consult the NRR OL program office). 								TF		
5.	5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or the examinations were developed independently; or X_ the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or other (explain)							s	F		
Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent				Modif	ied	New					
	from the bank, at least 10 p new or modified); enter the question distribution(s) at r	34/18	15/	3	26/4	11	A-	īF			
7.	7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO Memory C/A						A				
	exam are written at the comprehension /analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected KAs support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.					A		TF			
References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.							11	A	TF		
Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the Tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified						J.	B	TF			
Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.						11	1	14			
The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet						11	B	74			
Printed Name / Signature							D	ate			
a Author								6/2	5/11		
a. Author Jeff Ridosh Jeff LOV)											
b. Facility Reviewer (*) Andrew Zuchowski Topic G1664							· /	40/:			
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) TODS FISH JUNG July OF CONTROL OF CONT						70	ام)	75/11			
d. NRC Regional Supervisor Sam Hansell Supervisor (a) 129111											
Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.											

ES-403

Written Examination Grading Quality Checklist

Form ES-403-1

Facilit	y: Oyster Creek	Date of Exam: 7/20/11	Exam Level:	RO ∑	SRC			
	Initials							
	а	b	С					
1.	EA	Ą	TF					
2.	 Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented 							
3.	 Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check >25% of examinations) 							
4.	 Grading for all borderline cases (80% ± 2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ± 4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail 							
5.	11	M	75					
6.	6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants							
Printed Name / Signature								
a. Author b. Facility Reviewer(*) c. NRC Chief Examiner(*) d. NRC Supervisor(*) Jeffrey Ridosh Andrew Zuchowski Tom HeD (E Tom HeD)						7/22/11 7/26/11 7/26/11 7/26/11 8/2/11		
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.								