| NRC FORM 591M PA (10-2010) | ART 1 | | | U.S. NUC | LEAR REGULATO | RY COMMISSION | | | |
|--|--|--|---|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| 10 CFR 2.201 | SAFETY INSPECTION | N REPORT | AND COMPLIA | ANCE INS | PECTION | | | | |
| LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: Somat Engineering, Inc. | | 2. NRC/REGIO | 2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE | | | | | | |
| 26445 Northline Road | | Region III: | Region III: 2443 Warrenville Rd., Ste. 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352 | | | | | | |
| Taylor, MI 48180 | | | | | | | | | |
| REPORT NUMBER(S | | 1.1.051105.11 | h ADEDICA | | DATE(O) OF HODE | OTION: | | | |
| 3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) | 1 | 4. LICENSE N | | * | 5. DATE(S) OF INSPE | | | | |
| 030-29146 | | 21-24685-0 | 1 | | 08/10/2011 | 08/30/2011 | | | |
| Regulatory Commission procedures and representations. | n examination of the activities conduction (NRC) rules and regulations and testitive records, interviews with per | the conditions of y rsonnel, and obse | our license. The insp | ection consisted | of selective examin | nations of | | | |
| 1. Based on | the inspection findings, no violations | were identified. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Previous v | Previous violation(s) closed. | | | | | | | | |
| non-repeti | The violations(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, to exercise discretion, were satisfied. | | | | | | | | |
| | Non-cited violation(s) were discus | ssed involving the | following requirement | t(s): | | | | | |
| cited. This | s inspection, certain of your activities form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, and Corrective Actions) | which may be sul | oject to posting in acc | | | ts and are being | | | |
| | St | atement of Co | rrective Actions | | | | | | |
| corrective actions is m | hin 30 days, the actions described b ade in accordance with the requiren ince will be achieved). I understand | nents of 10 CFR 2 | .201 (corrective steps | already taken, o | corrective steps whi | ch will be taken, | | | |
| TITLE | PRINTED NAME | | | SIGNATURE | | DATE | | | |
| LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE | | | | | | | | | |
| NRC INSPECTOR | Aaron T. McCraw | | | -4/6 | 7 | 9/1/11 | | | |
| BRANCH CHIEF | Tamara E. Bloomer | < | 13 Dom | Ballen | | 9/1/8 | | | |

| NRC FORM 591M PART 3 (10-2010) | · | | U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATO | ORY COMMISSION | | | |
|--|---------------------------|--|---|---------------------|--|--|--|
| 10 CFR 2.201 | | Docket File Information | | | | | |
| SA | FETY INSPECTI | ION REPORT AND COMPLIA | NCE INSPECTION | | | | |
| 1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: | | 2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE | 2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE | | | | |
| Somat Engineering, Inc. | | Region III: 2443 Warrenville R | Region III: 2443 Warrenville Rd., Ste. 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352 | | | | |
| | | Region III. 2770 numering. | | | | | |
| REPORT NUMBER(S) 11-01 3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) | | 4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) | 5. DATE(S) OF INSPI | SCTION | | | |
| 030-29146 | | 21-24685-01 | 08/10/2011 | 08/30/2011 | | | |
| 6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED | | 7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS | | | | | |
| IP87124 | IP87124 | | 03.01-03.07 | | | | |
| 1. PROGRAM CODE(S) | SUPPL 2. PRIORITY | EMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMA 13. LICENSEE CONTACT | | | | | |
| 03121 | 5 | Micah J. Brooks, RSO | | 87-7149 | | | |
| Main Office Incr | | No. 11 | | | | | |
| ✓ Main Office Insp | ection | Next Inspection Date: | 08/01/2016 | | | | |
| ✓ Field Office Insp | pection 3390 Broad | dmoor Ave SE, Grand Rapids, MI | | | | | |
| ✓ Temporary Job | Site Inspection M | 39 Project at Joy Rd. Bridge | | | | | |
| Provinces and | • | | | | | | |
| This was a routine inspection | of a geotechnical, envi | PROGRAM SCOPE ronmental, and construction services enginee | ring firm that used portable moi | isture/density | | | |
| gauges. At the time of the in | spection, the licensee po | ossessed 14 Troxler 3400 Series gauges at its | main office in Taylor, Michigan | n, and 2 Troxler | | | |
| 3400 Series gauges, at its Gractivities on the gauges. | and Rapids, Michigan, fa | acility. The licensee is not authorized to per- | form any non-routine maintenan | ice or service | | | |
| | · m(0)10 | | | | | | |
| PERFORMANCE OBSERV | | | | | | | |
| | | th permanent facilities. All gauges that were l and surveillance. Licensee representatives | | | | | |
| transported using two indepe | ndent barriers, as well a | s how gauges were tracked by utilization log | s. The inspector observed one g | gauge at a | | | |
| | | gauge was adequately secured for transportation in the gauge are transports the gauge are transports the gauge are | | | | | |
| than the gauge's permanent s | torage location. Intervie | ews conducted with available staff at all locate | | | | | |
| of emergency and material ha | andling procedures and i | techniques. | | | | | |
| | | adings in excess of Title 10 Code of Federal F and documented that gauge users will not recei | | | | | |
| whole body dosimetry was no | ot required. The inspect | tor reviewed the licensee's methodology for e | stimating annual doses and four | nd it adequate. | | | |
| The licensee possessed a cali and personnel gauge safety as | | iation survey meter. A records review indica ad been completed. | ted that required physical inven- | tories, leak tests, | | | |
| No violations were identified | , | ······································ | | | | | |
| INO VIOISHOUS WEIG IGENTIFICA | during this inspection. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |