

From: Richard Guzman ^{NR/R}
To: Mark Kowal
Subject: SOARCA Update
Date: Thursday, June 21, 2007 10:23:17 AM

Mark,

My man-hour estimate for each participating plant PM is about 15-20 hours. I'm assuming 10 DORL PMs will support the SOARCA over the next two years, so I estimate 150 - 200 man-hours or 0.1 - 0.2 FTE.

As John had requested, below is a list of bullets in which DORL PMs have encountered some challenges when coordinating w/RES. Pls use the below only as input to be refined for your own email to John. My comments are in general terms, as I found it more difficult to describe specific instances. I did copy some specific emails below to show the "flavor" of the calls

- PMs having to ask (repeatedly) for preparatory information to support phonecalls w/licensee (list of information requests, licensee POCs w/NEI, etc); the PM expectation/preference was that RES would take the initiative in providing "courtesy" documents/info like this to assist in their own request to speak w/the licensee instead of PM having to ask.
- RES reluctance to send us documents in draft form only for purposes of being "in the loop" or letting PMs know the latest status on various matters (draft press release, slides for presentation during site visits, etc.)
- RES keeping us on cc: while directly communicating to licensee's PRA folks (I dont know the specific instances on top of my head)

>>> Robert Prato 05/01/2007 2:13 PM >>>
Rich

I believe our objective is very simple. With this initial phone call, we just want to verify that the licensees did indeed volunteer, that is it! I guess I am not sure why that could not be the total extent of our discussion with them? We do not have information requests ready for these licensee, it is too soon for that, and the only talking point would be a brief discussion, requesting that they verify their involvement! We need this information to develop the Program Plan, which is due 6/1/07. We won't be ready to discuss information until much later.

Bob

>>> Richard Guzman 5/1/2007 12:33 PM >>>
Jimi, Bob,

As we discussed during our internal prep meeting last Monday, before DORL PMs contact Grand Gulf, Sequoyah, or any additional licensees - we request you give us a draft list of initial information needs and talking points (similar to what was provided for Surry and PB PMs).

Thanks,
Rich

>>> Richard Guzman 04/18/2007 9:36 AM >>>

Thanks for the update. I have a few questions/comments at this time:

1. Can we get a copy of the draft Press Release and NEI letter?
2. How do we plan on addressing the previous 6 plants (Fermi 2, Duane Arnold, Diablo Canyon, Salem,

M/36

Seabrook, Peach Bottom) as far as followup communication to the letters that went out in Fall 2006. While the letters did not have any specific action items or due dates requested of the licensee, the letters did imply the licensee was selected for the project and requested their voluntary assistance. Maybe a closeout letter is appropriate?

3. A lesson learned from the Fall 06 licensee interface was that we did not coordinate the communication plan/effort w/Region before reaching out to the licensee. The comm plan should be updated so that we keep Region in the know.

4. You were going to get me a PM TAC no. Any luck?

Rich
