

## PMSTPCOL PEmails

---

**From:** Tai, Tom  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 26, 2011 9:55 AM  
**To:** Price, John E  
**Cc:** STPCOL; Wunder, George  
**Subject:** STP - July 27 Telecon on 3.9.2

John,

For Chapter 3.9.2 discussion in the morning session, I have the following additional item from Dr David Ma in his review of WCAP 17385:

### **Section 5.5.5.2 of WCAP-17385-P, Rev. 2.**

The stress ratio of the dryer is calculated as:

$$\text{Stress ratio} = 9.95 / ((2.996^{**2} + (4.216 \times 2)^{**2})^{**0.5}) = 1.11$$

In the above calculation, the stress component due to the MSL-induced acoustic (i.e., 4.216 ksi) is multiplied by a factor of 2 to account for the end-to-end uncertainty and bias in the analyses. However, the uncertainty and bias is not considered for the stress intensities induced by the non-MSL acoustic (i.e., 2.996 ksi).

Please provide justification for not considering any end-to-end uncertainty and bias for the non-MSL induced acoustic stresses in the skirt and drain channels.

Regards

Tom Tai  
DNRL/NRO  
(301) 415-8484  
[Tom.Tai@NRC.GOV](mailto:Tom.Tai@NRC.GOV)

**Hearing Identifier:** SouthTexas34Public\_EX  
**Email Number:** 3033

**Mail Envelope Properties** (0A64B42AAA8FD4418CE1EB5240A6FED1349E0ED8F9)

**Subject:** STP - July 27 Telecon on 3.9.2  
**Sent Date:** 7/26/2011 9:55:19 AM  
**Received Date:** 7/26/2011 9:55:22 AM  
**From:** Tai, Tom

**Created By:** Tom.Tai@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**  
"STPCOL" <STP.COL@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Wunder, George" <George.Wunder@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Price, John E" <jeprice@STPEGS.COM>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	917	7/26/2011 9:55:22 AM

**Options**  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**