

## DRAFT OUTLINE COMMENTS

Facility: AN1

First Exam Date: August 26, 2011

Written Exam Outline (Sent June 9, 2011)		
Comment		Resolution
1	On the ES-401-4 (RO Exam), the second item (062 AA1.05) says that there isn't any procedural guidance on how to address CCW system (ICW at ANO U1) effects/perturbations as a result of loss of Nuclear Service Water. Is there a corrective action document to track resolving this issue?	Discussed, no issue needing a corrective action document.
2	<p>In the SRO outline, there were two items where the K/A topic described didn't match the K/A number provided. The K/A number should be the one randomly selected in the outline building process, and the description should match it.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Tier 2, Group 1: 007 Pressurizer Relief/Quench Tank, says K/A is A2.01, but one described is A2.02</li> <li>- Tier 2, Group 2: 045 Main Turbine Generator, says K/A is A2.07, but one described is A2.17</li> </ul>	Copy submitted with draft exam corrected these issues.

Administrative JPM Outline (Sent June 9, 2011)		
Comment		Resolution
1	On the ES-301-1, the descriptions need to provide information that describing the JPM. The K/A is re-written, but no description to correlate to the K/A statement is provided. The 2010 exam outlines provide an example.	The licensee expanded the text to provide a description of the JPMs in the ES-301-1 forms.

Control Room / In-Plant System JPM Outline (Sent June 9, 2011)		
Comment		Resolution
1	(Editorial) For Simulator JPM a, fill in the Importance Rating for SROs.	Resolved based on revisions made during exam development process.
2	On the ES-301-2, it says that there are 2	Resolved based on revisions made during

	Emergency or Abnormal In-Plant JPMs. JPM i is the “E” classified one to meet this. JPM d would not meet this.	exam development process.
--	---	---------------------------

<b>Simulator Scenario Outline Comments</b> (Sent June 9, 2011)		
	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Resolution</b>
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		