U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY | Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

BUILDING ENERGY CODES PROGRAM

Impacts of Standard 90.1-2007 for Commercial Buildings at State Level

September 2009

Prepared by Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
for the U.S. Department of Energy Building Energy Codes Program

DISCLAIMER

PACIFIC NORTHWEST NATIONAL LABORATORY
operated by
BATTELLE
for the
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
under Contract DE-AC05-76RL01830

Printed in the United States of America

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from the
Office of Scientific and Technical Information,
P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0062;
ph: (865) 576-8401
fax: (865) 576-5728
email: reports@adonis.osti.gov

Available to the public from the National Technical Information Service,
U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Rd., Springfield, VA 22161
ph: (800) 553-6847
fax: (703) 605-6900
email: orders@ntis.fedworld.gov
online ordering: http://www.ntis.gov/ordering.htm


This document was printed on recycled paper.
(9/2003)

Executive Summary

The Building Energy Codes Program (BECP) recently conducted a nationwide commercial energy code analysis for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). The analysis compares ANSI/ASHRAE/IESNA[1] Standard 90.1-2007 with the commercial code in each state as of June 2009. The results are provided in this report in chapters specific to each state.

Standard 90.1-2007 was chosen for this analysis because it is the baseline energy standard established in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 and the subject of DOE's forthcoming determination of energy savings for Standard 90.1. An overview of Standard 90.1-2007, as well as a brief comparison to previous versions, is provided as introductory information.

States with unique energy codes were not included in the analysis as the codes in these states would be difficult to appropriately compare to Standard 90.1 and most of these states have energy offices that routinely assess their codes against the national codes. In states with codes prior to and including the 2000 IECC or Standard 90.1-1999, those states with no statewide energy code, and home rule states which did not specifically request that another code be used, Standard 90.1-1999 was used as the baseline for comparison. Standard 90.1-1999 was chosen as the default baseline because BECP believes it fairly represents current construction practice in states with older codes or no codes.

Three DOE Benchmark buildings were used for the simulation used in this analysis: a medium office building (53,600 ft^2), a mid-rise apartment building (33,700 ft^2), and a non-refrigerated warehouse (49,500 ft^2)—representing the Standard 90.1 nonresidential, residential, and semiheated requirements, respectively. The buildings are described in further detail in the report, and in Appendix A.

Locations for the analysis were selected based on obtaining a sample representative of each climate zone in the state, where TMY2 weather file locations existed, making sure to include the state capital. In the absence of a TMY2 weather file for a particular climate zone in a state, a representative location in an adjacent state was used for the purposes of the simulation. These locations, and the full results of each state specific analysis completed by BECP, are provided in the following report.[2]

[1] American National Standards Institute/American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers/Illuminating Engineering Society of North America

[2] DISCLAIMER: The results contained in these reports are complete and accurate to the best of BECP's knowledge, based on information available at the time it was written.

Table of Contents

1.0 Introduction

This report describes the results of a nationwide commercial energy code analysis undertaken by the Building Energy Codes Program (BECP) for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). The task involved comparing each state's current commercial energy code[3] to ANSI/ASHRAE/IESNA[4] Standard 90.1-2007 (Standard 90.1-2007). State-specific results are provided in separate chapters.

The commercial comparison is made to Standard 90.1-2007 because that is the baseline commercial energy standard established in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009. Standard 90.1-2007 will also soon be the subject of DOE's latest determination of energy savings for Standard 90.1.

2.0 Overview of Standard 90.1-2007

Standard 90.1-2007 sets requirements for the cost-effective use of energy in commercial buildings. Certain buildings that have very low energy use, such as buildings with no heating or cooling, are exempt. Standard 90.1-2007 applies to new buildings and to alterations and additions to existing buildings.

Table 1 shows the organization of Standard 90.1-2007. Most of the actual requirements are contained in Sections 5-10.

Table 1. Standard 90.1-2007 Table of Contents

1 – Purpose
2 – Scope
3 – Definitions, Abbreviations, and Acronyms
4 – Administration and Enforcement
5 – Building Envelope
6 – Heating, Ventilating, and Air Conditioning
7 – Service Water Heating
8 – Power
9 – Lighting
10 – Other Equipment
11 – Energy Cost Budget Method
12 – Normative References
Appendices

Sub-section numbers are standardized across the requirements sections. For example, sub-section 4 (x.4) is always the Mandatory Requirements. Table 2 shows the basic organization of the sub-sections used in Sections 5-10, although not all sub-sections are used in every Section.

[3] Defined as the commercial energy code in effect on January 1, 2009, and referred to as the "base code". Exceptions to this definition are noted in the individual state chapters.

[4] The American National Standards Institute/American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air-Conditioning Engineers/Illuminating Engineering Society of North America

Table 2. Organization of Sub-Sections

x.1 – General
x.2 – Compliance Paths
x.3 – Simple Buildings or Systems
x.4 – Mandatory Requirements
x.5 – Prescriptive Requirements
x.6 – Alternative Compliance Paths
x.7 – Submittals
x.8 – Products

3.0 Comparison to Previous Versions of Standard 90.1

The first Standard 90.1 was published in 1975, with revisions released in 1980, 1989, and 1999. Standard 90.1 was placed under continuous maintenance in 1999 which allowed the Standard to be updated with publication of approved addenda. Beginning with Standard 90.1-2001, the Standard moved to a three-year publication cycle.

Substantial revisions to the Standard have occurred since 1989. One major change was a complete revision of the climate zones in 2004. These revised climates zones are shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Climate Zones

Some of the significant requirements in Standard 90.1-2007 include:

- Stringent building insulation requirements
- Simplified fenestration requirements excluding orientation and window wall ratio
- Demand control ventilation requirements for spaces with an occupant density greater than 40 people per 1000 ft^2
- Separate simple and complex mechanical requirements.

4.0 Energy Analysis Assumptions

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. EnergyPlus was developed by the U.S. Department of Energy[5] (DOE).

4.1 State Base Codes

States with unique energy codes (i.e., those that do not adopt/amend the International Energy Conservation Code® [IECC] or Standard 90.1) were not included in the analysis. This decision was made by DOE for two reasons: 1) these states generally have codes that have little resemblance to Standard 90.1, making a thorough comparison beyond the scope of this effort, and 2) most of these states have highly capable energy offices that routinely assess their codes against the national codes. However, states that were not included in the original analysis may request to be considered for a similar analysis by contacting BECP at techsupport@becp.pnl.gov.

In some cases, decisions about base codes needed to be made. For example, all versions of the IECC include two compliance options for commercial buildings: the commercial requirements in the IECC and Standard 90.1. Since there can only be one base code in the analysis, if a state specifically adopts the IECC as its commercial code, the commercial requirements from the applicable IECC were used in the analysis. There are several states with older commercial codes[6]. For states with codes prior to and including the 2000 IECC or Standard 90.1-1999, Standard 90.1-1999 was used as the base code.

Standard 90.1-1999 was chosen as the baseline construction for states with older codes because it has been around long enough (about 10 years) to allow many of the concepts and requirements embodied in it to become common practice in the construction industry. Standard 90.1-1999 also represents a major change in ASHRAE standards, coming ten years after the previous version of Standard 90.1. Standard 90.1-1999 is old enough that states considering adoption of Standard 90.1-2007 will still see significant savings, but not so old that states will be misled by the savings shown in this report. Keeping with the concept of Standard 90.1-1999 as "common practice" in the construction industry, Standard 90.1-1999 was also used as the base code for states with no state-wide commercial energy code. Some home rule states[7] requested a specific code be used in the analysis; for all other home rule states Standard 90.1-1999 was used as the base code.

[5] EnergyPlus is available and discussed in more detail at http://apps1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/energyplus/
[6] Examples include codes based on 90A90B, 90.1-1989, and the 1992 Model Energy Code.
[7] In home rule states, codes are adopted and enforced on a local level.

4.2 Benchmark Buildings

Three DOE Benchmark buildings[8] were used for the simulation: a medium office building, a mid-rise apartment building, and a non-refrigerated warehouse (semiheated). These three building types represent the Standard 90.1 nonresidential, residential, and semiheated requirements, respectively. For states that have adopted a newer version of Standard 90.1 (1999 or later), the three types of envelope requirements were compared directly. For states that have adopted a version of the IECC that contains only a single set of commercial envelope requirements (any version prior to the 2009 IECC), the medium office and mid-rise apartment buildings were modeled using the single set of IECC requirements. The warehouse building was modeled using the semiheated envelope requirements from the reference standard version of Standard 90.1 incorporated in the version of the IECC under consideration. DOE assumes that any designer of a warehouse that would truly be considered semiheated within Standard 90.1 would be motivated to use the Standard 90.1 semiheated envelope requirements as allowed by the IECC.

Use of the IECC requirements for semiheated values in a comparison with Standard 90.1-2007 would lead to the awkward conclusion that the IECC is more stringent. This is true in the sense that use of more insulation in semiheated buildings will save some energy. However, because Standard 90.1-2007 is the designated comparison and it has separate semiheated envelope requirements, DOE chose to compare those semiheated requirements in the ASHRAE reference standard to the IECC.

The medium office has a gross area of 53,600 ft^2, three floors, and a window-to-wall ratio (WWR) of 33%. The HVAC systems are assumed to be a gas furnace and a packaged DX unit. The walls are modeled as steel frame walls, and the roof as insulation entirely above deck.

The mid-rise apartment building has a gross area of 33,700 ft^2, four floors, and a WWR of 15%. The assumed heating system is a gas furnace, with one split DX system assumed to provide cooling for each apartment. The walls are modeled as steel frame walls, and the roof as insulation entirely above deck.

The semiheated warehouse has a gross area of 49,500 ft^2, one floor, and no windows in the storage area. Limited heating is provided by unit heaters and no cooling is provided. The walls and roof are modeled as metal building walls and roof.

The DOE Benchmark buildings are also further described in Appendix A.

Equipment efficiencies are assumed to be the current Federal requirements for all codes. While older codes may have older (lower) equipment efficiencies listed in them, equipment that meets the requirements of these old codes may no longer be manufactured or imported into the United States. Thus, this equipment is typically not available. There are some pieces of HVAC equipment that are not covered by the Federal requirements (notably, chillers), but the HVAC equipment modeled in the three benchmark buildings used in the analysis is covered by the Federal requirements.

The HVAC system for the medium office building is simulated with an economizer when required by the code. By default, the economizer requirements are based on Table 6.5.1 in Standard 90.1-2004. A design day simulation was done in all climate zones to determine the cooling capacity and the economizer requirement. The typical cooling capacity in the medium office building exceeds 135,000 Btu/h in all climate zones. Table 3 shows the economizer requirement for representative locations in the various climate zones. The building

[8] The Benchmark buildings are available at and discussed in more detail at http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/commercial_initiative/benchmark_models.html.

simulation assumes that the economizer high limit shutoff will be controlled by differential dry bulb temperature, a control option allowed by the Standard. Under this control scenario, when the outdoor air temperature is below both the return air temperature and the high ambient shutoff temperature, the economizer is enabled.

Table 3. Economizer Requirements in Standard 90.1-2004

Climate Zone	Representative City	Economizer Requirement
1A	Miami	No
2A	Houston	No
2B	Phoenix	Yes
3A	Atlanta	No
3B	Los Angeles	Yes
3C	San Francisco	Yes
4A	Baltimore	No
4B	Albuquerque	Yes
4C	Seattle	Yes
5A	Chicago	Yes
5B	Denver	Yes
6A	Minneapolis	Yes
6B	Helena	Yes
7	Duluth	Yes
8	Fairbanks	Yes

4.3 The 2003 IECC and Lighting Power Density

Over the two decades of commercial energy code development, changes in allowable lighting power density have been one of the most important drivers of energy efficiency. As an example, Table 4 shows the allowable interior lighting power densities for the three buildings used in this analysis. Similar differences in requirements for other building types can also be listed.

Table 4. Comparison of Lighting Power Density Requirements

Standard/Code Version	Allowable Interior Lighting Power Density (whole building) – watts per square foot		
	Office	Mid-Rise Apartment	Warehouse
Standard 90.1.1989, 1998 IECC, 2000 IECC	1.5 to 1.9	Apartment lighting not covered, Multifamily not listed	0.4 to 0.8
Standard 90.1-1999, Standard 90.1-2001	1.3	Apartment lighting not covered, Multifamily 1.0	1.2
Standard 90.1-2004, Standard 90.1-2007, 2003 IECC, 2006 IECC, 2009 IECC	1.0	Apartment lighting not covered, Multifamily 0.7	0.8

The issue with the 2003 IECC is that it uses Standard 90.1-2001 as its reference standard. The 2003 IECC contains the low lighting power densities exemplified by the 1.0 watt per square foot value in the actual text of Chapter 8. But the 2003 IECC also allows the use of Standard 90.1-2001 under the provisions of Chapter 7. And Standard 90.1-2001 has the mid-range interior lighting power densities exemplified by the 1.3 watts per square foot value. No other version of the IECC has as significant a discontinuity between the requirements of the IECC and the requirements of the ASHRAE reference standard.

For this analysis, the requirements of the 2003 IECC were used. While lighting designers may very well have discovered this discontinuity, the use of the 2003 requirements provide a conservative estimate of the savings associated with adoption of Standard 90.1-2007. Use of Standard 90.1-2001 lighting densities as the baseline would simply increase the savings.

The simulation models for nonresidential and semiheated buildings use the lighting power density requirements for office and warehouse, depending on the activity type of the thermal zone. In the case of the residential building model, the lighting power density is not regulated in older codes and is assumed to be 0.36 W/sf in apartment units based on the Building America benchmark model. The office area and corridor lighting requirements in the residential building model are based on Standard 90.1-2004 requirements.

4.4 Selected Locations

The approach used to select representative locations for the analysis first focused on the goal of having one location to represent each climate zone within a state, with one of the locations being the state capital. TMY2 weather file locations were used. When a climate zone in a state was not represented by a TMY2 weather file location in that state, a representative location in an adjacent state was selected to represent the climate zone for purposes of the simulation. However, a representative city within the actual state is referenced in the report tables. A listing of the selected locations is shown below.

State	Location	Climate Zone	State	Location	Climate Zone
AL	Mobile	2A	NE	Omaha	5A
AL	Montgomery	3A	NV	Las Vegas	3B
AK	Anchorage	7	NV	Reno	5B
AK	Fairbanks	8	NH	Manchester	5A
AR	Little Rock	3A	NH	Concord	6A
AR	Fayetteville	4A	NJ	Newark	4A
AZ	Phoenix	2B	NJ	Paterson	5A
AZ	Sierra Vista	3B	NM	Las Cruces	3B
AZ	Prescott	4B	NM	Albuquerque	4B
AZ	Flagstaff	5B	NY	New York City	4A
CO	La Junta	4B	NY	Albany	5A
CO	Boulder	5B	NY	Binghamton	6A
CO	Eagle	6B	NM	Santa Fe	5B
CO	Alamosa	7B	NC	Charlotte	3A
CT	Hartford	5A	NC	Raleigh	4A
DE	Wilmington	4A	NC	Boone	5A
DC	Washington DC	4A	ND	Bismarck	6A
GA	Savannah	2A	ND	Minot	7
GA	Atlanta	3A	OH	Cincinnati	4A
GA	Rome	4A	OH	Columbus	5A
HI	Honolulu	1A	OK	Oklahoma City	3A
ID	Boise	5B	OK	Guymon	4A
ID	Pocatello	6B	PA	Philadelphia	4A
IL	Belleville	4A	PA	Harrisburg	5A
IL	Springfield	5A	PA	Bradford	6A
IN	Evansville	4A	RI	Providence	5A
IN	Indianapolis	5A	SC	Columbia	3A
IA	Des Moines	5A	SD	Yankton	5A
IA	Mason City	6A	SD	Pierre	6A
KS	Topeka	4A	TN	Memphis	3A
KS	Goodland	5A	TN	Nashville	4A
KY	Lexington	4A	TX	Austin	2A
LA	Baton Rouge	2A	TX	Houston	2B
LA	Shreveport	3A	TX	El Paso	3A
ME	Portland	6A	TX	Fort Worth	3B
ME	Caribou	7	TX	Amarillo	4B
MD	Baltimore	4A	UT	Saint George	3B
MD	Mtn. Lake Park	5A	UT	Salt Lake City	5B
MA	Boston	5	UT	Logan	6B
MI	Lansing	5A	VT	Burlington	6A
MI	Alpena	6A	VA	Richmond	4A
MI	Sault Ste. Marie	7	WV	Charleston	4A
MN	St. Paul	6A	WV	Elkins	5A
MN	Duluth	7	WI	Madison	6A
MS	Biloxi	2A	WI	Superior	7
MS	Jackson	3A	WY	Torrington	5B
MO	Saint Louis	4A	WY	Cheyenne	6B
MO	St. Joseph	5A	WY	Rock Springs	7B
MT	Helena	6B			

Alabama

Summary

Alabama has no statewide commercial code, therefore for this state comparison, DOE has selected Standard 90.1-1999 as the baseline standard for the analysis. Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Alabama. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

Standard 90.1-1999 precedes Standard 90.1-2004 and is therefore older (and less stringent) than DOE's currently mandated commercial building energy standard – Standard 90.1-2004. This selection was made with the belief that Standard 90.1-1999 is an appropriate representation of commercial current practice, as it was developed more than ten years ago. DOE's analysis of Standard 90.1-1999 is included in DOE's determination of energy savings for Standard 90.1-2004, which compared Standard 90.1-2004 to Standard 90.1-2001 and Standard 90.1-1999. The complete results of this analysis may be found at http://www.energycodes.gov/implement/determinations_90.1-2004.stm. In comparing Standard 90.1-1999 to Standard 90.1-2007, Standard 90.1-2007:

- Has fewer climate "zones" or "bins" (26 bins versus 8 climate zones).
- Has more stringent building envelope requirements (due in large part to having fewer climate zones).
- Has more strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates.
- Differentiates windows by fixed versus operable rather than by frame material and usage.
- Includes a requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces.
- Removes a deadband exception for data processing centers that eliminates the possibility of simultaneous heating and cooling.
- Increases stringency in fan power limitations.
- Increases boiler efficiency requirements.
- Applies part-load fan power requirements to more smaller systems.
- Revises the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise.
- Has more detailed outdoor lighting power requirements.
- Has more stringent indoor lighting power allowances.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 5.

Table 5. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 2A		Climate Zone 3A	
	90.1-1999	90.1-2007	90.1-1999	90.1-2007
Nonresidential				
Exterior Wall	0.124	0.124	0.124	0.084
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
Window*	1.22 (0.25)	0.72 (0.25)	1.22 (0.25)	0.62 (0.25)
Residential				
Exterior Wall	0.124	0.064	0.084	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
Window*	1.22 (0.25)	0.72 (0.25)	1.22 (0.39)	0.62 (0.25)
Semiheated				
Exterior Wall	0.184	0.184	0.184	0.184
Roof	0.167	0.167	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor				

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Alabama Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. 90.1-1999*	
		90.1-1999		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Mobile	13.60	2.89	12.44	2.82	8.2%	8.4%
Residential	Mobile	9.31	4.50	9.06	3.32	5.7%	4.2%
Semiheated	Mobile	4.22	4.98	4.22	4.82	0.8%	0.4%
Nonresidential	Montgomery	13.88	3.35	11.86	3.34	13.6%	14.1%
Residential	Montgomery	9.54	4.18	9.22	2.97	6.2%	4.7%
Semiheated	Montgomery	4.31	4.83	4.31	4.69	0.7%	0.4%

Alaska

Summary

Alaska has no statewide commercial code, therefore for this state comparison, DOE has selected Standard 90.1-1999 as the baseline standard for the analysis. Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Alaska. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

Standard 90.1-1999 precedes Standard 90.1-2004 and is therefore older (and less stringent) than DOE's currently mandated commercial building energy standard, Standard 90.1-2004. This selection was made with the belief that Standard 90.1-1999 is an appropriate representation of commercial current practice, as it was developed more than ten years ago. DOE's analysis of Standard 90.1-1999 is included in DOE's determination of energy savings for Standard 90.1-2004, which compared Standard 90.1-2004 to Standard 90.1-2001 and Standard 90.1-1999. The complete results of this analysis may be found at http://www.energycodes.gov/implement/determinations_90.1-2004.stm. In comparing Standard 90.1-1999 to Standard 90.1-2007, Standard 90.1-2007:

- Has fewer climate "zones" or "bins" (26 bins versus 8 climate zones).
- Has more stringent building envelope requirements (due in large part to having fewer climate zones).
- Has more strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates.
- Differentiates windows by fixed versus operable rather than by frame material and usage.
- Includes a requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces.
- Removes a deadband exception for data processing centers that eliminates the possibility of simultaneous heating and cooling.
- Increases stringency in fan power limitations.
- Increases boiler efficiency requirements.
- Applies part-load fan power requirements to more smaller systems.
- Revises the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise.
- Has more detailed outdoor lighting power requirements.
- Has more stringent indoor lighting power allowances.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 6.

Table 6. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 7		Climate Zone 8	
	90.1-1999	90.1-2007	90.1-1999	90.1-2007
Nonresidential				
Exterior Wall	0.064	0.064	0.064	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	R-15/2ft.	R-10/2ft.	R-15/2ft.
Window*	0.46 (0.45)	0.42 (0.45)	0.46 (0.45)	0.42 (0.45)
Residential				
Exterior Wall	0.064	0.042	0.055	0.037
Roof	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048
Slab	R-10/2ft	R-10/2ft.	R-10/2ft.	R-20/2ft.
Window*	0.62 (0.49)	0.42(0.45)	0.46 (0.45)	0.42 (0.45)
Semiheated				
Exterior Wall	0.113	0.113	0.113	0.113
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.072	0.072
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor				

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Alaska Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. 90.1-1999*	
		90.1-1999		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Anchorage	13.27	10.40	11.91	9.49	10.0%	10.1%
Residential	Anchorage	8.91	28.03	8.73	24.85	6.5%	4.7%
Semiheated	Anchorage	4.32	28.33	4.32	28.14	0.5%	0.4%
Nonresidential	Fairbanks	14.84	16.61	13.65	15.05	8.3%	8.2%
Residential	Fairbanks	9.49	38.23	9.30	35.56	4.7%	3.7%
Semiheated	Fairbanks	4.34	39.95	4.33	39.45	0.9%	0.7%

Arizona

Summary

Arizona is a "home rule" state with no mandatory state-wide commercial energy code. However, many counties and cities have adopted an energy efficiency code, most often the 2006 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC), therefore the 2006 IECC was used as the base code in the analysis. Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Arizona. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

The 2006 IECC is the most commonly adopted commercial building energy code at the time this report was written. The reference standard for the 2006 IECC is Standard 90.1-2004 and the 2006 IECC shares many features with Standard 90.1-2004. However, the 2006 IECC was created slightly later than Standard 90.1-2004 and thus was able to benefit from changes to Standard 90.1 being contemplated for Standard 90.1-2007. The 2006 IECC is widely considered to be slightly more stringent due to the later creation date in addition to the differences in the development process at ASHRAE and ICC.

- Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.
- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2006 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- Lack of a detailed space-by-space lighting design method in the 2006 IECC. (However, this is available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004).
- More stringent economizer requirements in colder climates in Standard 90.1-2007.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 7 and Table 8.

Table 7. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 2B		Climate Zone 3B	
	IECC 2006	90.1-2007	IECC 2006	90.1-2007
Nonresidential				
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.124	0.125	0.084
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
Window*	0.72 (0.25)	0.72 (0.25)	0.62 (0.25)	0.62 (0.25)
Residential				
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.064	0.125	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
Window*	1.22 (0.25)	0.72 (0.25)	0.62 (0.39)	0.62 (0.25)
Semiheated				
Exterior Wall	0.184	0.184	0.184	0.184
Roof	0.167	0.167	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor				

Table 8 Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 4B		Climate Zone 5B	
	IECC 2006	90.1-2007	IECC 2006	90.1-2007
Nonresidential				
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.064	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Residential				
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.064	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-10/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Semiheated				
Exterior Wall	0.134	0.134	0.123	0.123
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor				

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Arizona Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
		Energy Use Intensity				***Savings 90.1-2007 vs. IECC 2006***	
		IECC 2006		**90.1-2007**			
Building Prototype	**Location**	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Phoenix	13.12	2.45	12.95	2.43	1.3%	1.3%
Residential	Phoenix	10.19	2.28	9.68	1.60	6.6%	5.8%
Semiheated	Phoenix	4.22	4.12	4.22	3.96	0.8%	0.3%
Nonresidential	Sierra Vista	11.75	3.13	11.52	3.08	2.0%	2.0%
Residential	Sierra Vista	9.88	2.18	9.40	1.60	6.1%	5.4%
Semiheated	Sierra Vista	4.33	4.32	4.33	4.17	0.8%	0.4%
Nonresidential	Prescott	11.36	4.25	10.90	3.97	4.3%	4.1%
Residential	Prescott	9.27	8.37	9.04	5.69	8.7%	5.6%
Semiheated	Prescott	4.33	10.27	4.33	10.12	0.6%	0.4%
Nonresidential	Flagstaff	10.85	5.36	10.56	4.88	3.5%	3.0%
Residential	Flagstaff	8.73	12.67	8.73	10.28	5.7%	3.0%
Semiheated	Flagstaff	4.35	15.39	4.34	15.29	0.4%	0.3%

Arkansas

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, the 2003 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC). Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Arkansas. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

The 2003 was a widely adopted version of the IECC, which was the first non-supplement version of the IECC to reference the newer ASHRAE standards. The reference standard for the 2003 IECC is Standard 90.1-2001.

- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2003 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2001.)
- More climate "zones" or "bins" defined in 2003 IECC than in Standard 90.1-2007 (33 bins versus 8 climate zones).
- More stringent building envelope requirements (due in large part to having fewer climate zones) in Standard 90.1-2007.
- No differentiation of window types, as opposed to the differentiation by frame material and usage in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.
- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Energy recovery ventilation systems in Standard 90.1-2007.
- More strict requirements for VAV fan control in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- More detailed outdoor lighting power requirements in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Potential loophole for indoor lighting power density in that Standard 90.1-2001 has the "old" lighting power densities, while Chapter 8 of the 2003 IECC has the "new" lighting power densities. (Example, "old" value for offices = 1.3 watts per square foot, "new" value for offices = 1.0 watt per square foot).

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 9.

Table 9. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 3A		Climate Zone 4A	
	IECC 2003	90.1-2007	IECC 2003	90.1-2007
Nonresidential				
Exterior Wall	0.138	0.084	0.105	0.064
Roof	0.062	0.048	0.059	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
Window*	0.62 (0.40)	0.62 (0.25)	0.62 (0.40)	0.52 (0.40)
Residential				
Exterior Wall	0.138	0.064	0.105	0.064
Roof	0.062	0.048	0.059	0.048
Slab	NR	NR.	NR	NR
Window*	1.22 (0.50)	0.62 (0.25)	0.62 (0.50)	0.52 (0.40)
Semiheated				
Exterior Wall	0.184	0.184	0.134	0.134
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor				

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Arkansas Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. IECC 2003*	
		IECC 2003		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Little Rock	12.52	3.89	12.05	3.63	4.0%	3.9%
Residential	Little Rock	9.66	10.00	9.14	6.44	12.4%	9.0%
Semiheated	Little Rock	4.35	7.35	4.35	7.21	0.6%	0.3%
Nonresidential	Fayetteville	12.37	4.49	12.00	4.17	3.4%	3.2%
Residential	Fayetteville	9.70	7.86	9.52	5.84	6.4%	4.1%
Semiheated	Fayetteville	4.35	8.99	4.35	8.84	0.7%	0.4%

Colorado

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, the 2003 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC). Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Colorado. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

The 2003 was a widely adopted version of the IECC, which was the first non-supplement version of the IECC to reference the newer ASHRAE standards. The reference standard for the 2003 IECC is Standard 90.1-2001.

- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2003 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2001.)
- More climate "zones" or "bins" defined in 2003 IECC than in Standard 90.1-2007 (33 bins versus 8 climate zones).
- More stringent building envelope requirements (due in large part to having fewer climate zones) in Standard 90.1-2007.
- No differentiation of window types, as opposed to the differentiation by frame material and usage in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.
- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Energy recovery ventilation systems in Standard 90.1-2007.
- More strict requirements for VAV fan control in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- More detailed outdoor lighting power requirements in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Potential loophole for indoor lighting power density in that Standard 90.1-2001 has the "old" lighting power densities, while Chapter 8 of the 2003 IECC has the "new" lighting power densities. (Example, "old" value for offices = 1.3 watts per square foot, "new" value for offices = 1.0 watt per square foot).

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 10 and Table 11.

Table 10. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 4B		Climate Zone 5B	
	IECC 2003	90.1-2007	IECC 2003	90.1-2007
Nonresidential				
Exterior Wall	0.103	0.064	0.092	0.064
Roof	0.054	0.048	0.061	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
Window*	0.62 (0.40)	0.52 (0.40)	0.62 (0.40)	0.48 (0.40)
Residential				
Exterior Wall	0.103	0.064	0.092	0.064
Roof	0.054	0.048	0.061	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-10/2ft.
Window*	0.62 (0.50)	0.52 (0.40)	0.62 (0.50)	0.48 (0.40)
Semiheated				
Exterior Wall	0.134	0.134	0.123	0.123
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor				

Table 11. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 6B		Climate Zone 7	
	IECC 2003	90.1-2007	IECC 2003	90.1-2007
Nonresidential				
Exterior Wall	0.071	0.064	0.067	0.064
Roof	0.05	0.048	0.047	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-15/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.50)	0.48 (0.40)	0.57 (0.50)	0.48 (0.40)
Residential				
Exterior Wall	0.067	0.064	0.071	0.064
Roof	0.047	0.048	0.05	0.048
Slab	NR	R-15/2ft.	NR	R-15/2ft.
Window*	0.52 (0.50)	0.48 (0.40)	0.52 (0.40)	0.48 (0.40)
Semiheated				
Exterior Wall	0.113	0.113	0.113	0.113
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor				

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Colorado Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	**Location**	***Energy Use Intensity***				***Savings 90.1-2007 vs. IECC 2003***	
		IECC 2003		**90.1-2007**			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	La Junta	12.04	4.90	11.67	4.44	3.7%	3.4%
Residential	La Junta	9.27	11.01	9.14	8.31	7.4%	4.5%
Semiheated	La Junta	4.36	12.73	4.35	12.58	0.6%	0.3%
Nonresidential	Boulder	11.48	5.40	11.03	4.93	4.5%	4.2%
Residential	Boulder	9.18	12.42	9.06	9.52	7.5%	4.6%
Semiheated	Boulder	4.37	14.69	4.36	14.58	0.5%	0.3%
Nonresidential	Eagle	11.45	6.35	10.95	5.89	4.8%	4.6%
Residential	Eagle	8.98	13.99	8.98	13.22	1.7%	0.9%
Semiheated	Eagle	4.37	18.68	4.36	18.57	0.4%	0.3%
Nonresidential	Alamosa	11.30	6.43	10.88	5.97	4.3%	4.0%
Residential	Alamosa	8.93	15.62	8.91	14.43	2.7%	1.6%
Semiheated	Alamosa	4.39	21.34	4.39	21.22	0.3%	0.3%

Connecticut

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, the 2006 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC)[9]. Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Connecticut. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

The 2006 IECC is the most commonly adopted commercial building energy code at the time this report was written. The reference standard for the 2006 IECC is Standard 90.1-2004 and the 2006 IECC shares many features with Standard 90.1-2004. However, the 2006 IECC was created slightly later than Standard 90.1-2004 and thus was able to benefit from changes to Standard 90.1 being contemplated for Standard 90.1-2007. The 2006 IECC is widely considered to be slightly more stringent due to the later creation date plus the differences in the development process at ASHRAE and ICC.

- Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.
- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2006 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- Lack of a detailed space-by-space lighting design method in the 2006 IECC. (However, this is available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004).
- More stringent economizer requirements in colder climates in Standard 90.1-2007.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 12.

[9] Connecticut's new code, the 2006 IECC, became effective August 2009.

Table 12. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 5A	
	IECC 2006	90.1-2007
Nonresidential		
Exterior Wall	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	NR
Window*	0.55 (0.40)	0.48 (0.40)
Residential		
Exterior Wall	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.
Window*	0.55 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Semiheated		
Exterior Wall	0.123	0.123
Roof	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor		

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Connecticut Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
		Energy Use Intensity				***Savings 90.1-2007 vs. IECC2006***	
		IECC 2006		**90.1-2007**			
Building Prototype	**Location**	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Hartford	12.04	6.77	11.68	5.81	4.6%	3.8%
Residential	Hartford	9.00	18.04	8.96	15.69	5.1%	3.1%
Semiheated	Hartford	4.35	19.03	4.34	18.92	0.4%	0.3%

Delaware

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, the 2001 IECC. Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Delaware. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

The 2001 IECC was a widely adopted version of the IECC, and was the first version of the IECC to reference the newer ASHRAE standards. The reference standard for the 2001 Supplement to the 2000 IECC is Standard 90.1-1999.

- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2001 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard - Standard 90.1-2001.)
- More climate "zones" or "bins" defined in 2001 IECC than in Standard 90.1-2007 (33 bins versus 8 climate zones)
- More stringent building envelope requirements (due in large part to having fewer climate zones) in Standard 90.1-2007
- No differentiation of window types, as opposed to the differentiation by frame material and usage in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.
- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Energy recovery ventilation systems in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- More strict requirements for VAV fan control in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Increased boiler efficiency requirements in Standard 90.1-2007.
- More detailed outdoor lighting power requirements in Standard 90.1-2007.
- More stringent interior lighting power requirements in Standard 90.1-2007. (Example, "old" value for offices = 1.3 watts per square foot for whole building, "new" value for offices = 1.0 watt per square foot).

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 13.

Table 13. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 4A	
	IECC 2001	90.1-2007
Nonresidential		
Exterior Wall	0.098	0.064
Roof	0.062	0.048
Slab	NR	NR
Window*	0.62 (0.40)	0.52 (0.40)
Residential		
Exterior Wall	0.098	0.064
Roof	0.062	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.
Window*	0.62 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)
Semiheated		
Exterior Wall	0.134	0.134
Roof	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor		

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Delaware Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	**Location**	***Energy Use Intensity***				***Savings 90.1-2007 vs. IECC 2001***	
		IECC 2001		**90.1-2007**			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Wilmington	13.19	5.06	11.82	4.68	10.1%	10.3%
Residential	Wilmington	9.12	14.97	8.98	12.02	7.4%	4.7%
Semiheated	Wilmington	4.34	14.22	4.34	14.07	0.5%	0.3%

District of Columbia

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current code, the 2006 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC) with an amendment to reference Standard 90.1-2007. Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Connecticut. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current Code and Standard 90.1-2007

The 2006 IECC is the most commonly adopted commercial building energy code at the time this report was written. The reference standard for the 2006 IECC is Standard 90.1-2004 and the 2006 IECC shares many features with Standard 90.1-2004. However, the 2006 IECC was created slightly later than Standard 90.1-2004 and thus was able to benefit from changes to Standard 90.1 being contemplated for Standard 90.1-2007. The 2006 IECC is widely considered to be slightly more stringent due to the later creation date in addition to the differences in the development process at ASHRAE and ICC.

- Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.
- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2006 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- Lack of a detailed space-by-space lighting design method in the 2006 IECC. (However, this is available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004).
- More stringent economizer requirements in colder climates in Standard 90.1-2007.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 14.

Table 14. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 4A	
	IECC 2006	90.1-2007
Nonresidential		
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)
Residential		
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)
Semiheated		
Exterior Wall	0.134	0.134
Roof	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor		

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Washington D.C. Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	**Location**	***Energy Use Intensity***				***Savings 90.1-2007 vs. IECC 2006***	
		IECC 2006		**90.1-2007**			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	DC	12.46	5.19	11.86	4.62	5.5%	5.1%
Residential	DC	9.24	15.40	9.03	11.36	10.2%	6.6%
Semiheated	DC	4.34	13.69	4.33	13.54	0.5%	0.3%

Georgia

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state commercial energy code, Standard 90.1-2004 with amendments. The Georgia state-specific version of COM*check* 3.6.1 was used to identify the envelope and lighting requirements to be used in the baseline for the analysis. Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Georgia. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

Standard 90.1-2004 is currently DOE's requirement for commercial building energy codes, based on DOE's most recent determination of energy savings as mandated by the Energy Policy Act of 1992. DOE expects to issue its determination on Standard 90.1-2007 sometime in the Summer or Fall of 2009. When published, the complete results of this comparison may be found at http://www.energycodes.gov/implement/determinations_com.stm. ASHRAE processed 44 separate addenda to Standard 90.1-2004 in creating Standard 90.1-2007. In comparing Standard 90.1-2004 to Standard 90.1-2007, Standard 90.1-2007:

- Has more strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates.
- Differentiates windows by fixed versus operable rather than by frame material and usage.
- Includes a requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces.
- Removes a deadband exception for data processing centers that eliminates the possibility of simultaneous heating and cooling.
- Increases stringency in fan power limitations.
- Increases boiler efficiency requirements.
- Applies part-load fan power requirements to more smaller systems.
- Revises the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise.
- The 2006 Georgia amendments provide assembly U-factors for metal building roofs taking into account the purlin spacing and a lab-tested U-factor of R-19 for screw down roofs without thermal blocks.

Overall, Standard 90.1-2007 is expected to be more stringent than Standard 90.1.2004, as demonstrated by the simulation results shown below.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 15.

Table 15. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 2A		Climate Zone 3A		Climate Zone 4A	
	State Code	90.1-2007	State Code	90.1-2007	State Code	90.1-2007
Nonresidential						
Exterior Wall	0.124	0.124	0.124	0.084	0.124	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Window*	1.22 (0.39)	0.72 (0.25)	0.57 (0.39)	0.62 (0.25)	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)
Residential						
Exterior Wall	0.124	0.064	0.124	0.084	0.124	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Window*	1.22 (0.39)	0.72 (0.25)	0.57 (0.39)	0.62 (0.25)	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)
Semiheated						
Exterior Wall	0.184	0.184	0.184	0.184	0.134	0.134
Roof	0.167	0.167	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor						

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Georgia Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. 90.1-2004*	
		90.1-2004		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Savannah	12.73	3.05	12.54	2.90	1.7%	1.6%
Residential	Savannah	9.27	4.68	9.05	4.28	3.2%	2.8%
Semiheated	Savannah	4.22	5.72	4.22	5.56	0.8%	0.4%
Nonresidential	Atlanta	12.09	3.85	11.71	3.60	3.4%	3.3%
Residential	Atlanta	9.15	6.69	8.93	6.14	3.5%	2.9%
Semiheated	Atlanta	4.33	7.34	4.33	7.24	0.4%	0.2%
Nonresidential	Rome	12.15	4.57	11.71	4.22	4.0%	3.8%
Residential	Rome	9.41	6.96	9.31	5.36	5.0%	3.0%
Semiheated	Rome	4.31	8.25	4.31	8.10	0.7%	0.4%

Hawaii

Summary

Hawaii has no statewide commercial code, therefore for this state comparison, DOE has selected Standard 90.1-1999 as the baseline standard for the analysis. Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Hawaii. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

Standard 90.1-1999 precedes Standard 90.1-2004 and is therefore older (and less stringent) than DOE's currently mandated commercial building energy standard, Standard 90.1-2004. This selection was made with the belief that Standard 90.1-1999 is an appropriate representation of commercial current practice, as it was developed more than ten years ago. DOE's analysis of Standard 90.1-1999 is included in DOE's determination of energy savings for Standard 90.1-2004, which compared Standard 90.1-2004 to Standard 90.1-2001 and Standard 90.1-1999. The complete results of this analysis may be found at http://www.energycodes.gov/implement/determinations_90.1-2004.stm. In comparing Standard 90.1-1999 to Standard 90.1-2007, Standard 90.1-2007:

- Has fewer climate "zones" or "bins" (26 bins versus 8 climate zones).
- Has more stringent building envelope requirements (due in large part to having fewer climate zones).
- Has more strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates.
- Differentiates windows by fixed versus operable rather than by frame material and usage.
- Includes a requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces.
- Removes a deadband exception for data processing centers that eliminates the possibility of simultaneous heating and cooling.
- Increases stringency in fan power limitations.
- Increases boiler efficiency requirements.
- Applies part-load fan power requirements to more smaller systems.
- Revises the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise.
- Has more detailed outdoor lighting power requirements.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 16.

Table 16. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 1A	
	90.1-1999	90.1-2007
Nonresidential		
Exterior Wall	0.124	0.124
Roof	0.063	0.063
Slab	NR	NR
Window*	1.22 (0.25)	1.22 (0.25)
Residential		
Exterior Wall	0.124	0.124
Roof	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR
Window*	1.22 (0.25)	1.22 (0.25)
Semiheated		
Exterior Wall	1.18	1.18
Roof	1.28	1.28
Slab	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor		

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Hawaii Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	**Location**	***Energy Use Intensity***				***Savings 90.1-2007 vs. 90.1-1999***	
		90.1-1999		**90.1-2007**			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Honolulu	13.99	2.18	12.77	2.18	8.4%	8.6%
Residential	Honolulu	9.93	0.00	9.85	0.00	0.8%	0.8%
Semiheated	Honolulu	4.61	0.16	4.61	0.01	0.9%	0.4%

Idaho

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, the 2006 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC). Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Idaho. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

The 2006 IECC is the most commonly adopted commercial building energy code at the time this report was written. The reference standard for the 2006 IECC is Standard 90.1-2004 and the 2006 IECC shares many features with Standard 90.1-2004. However, the 2006 IECC was created slightly later than Standard 90.1-2004 and thus was able to benefit from changes to Standard 90.1 being contemplated for Standard 90.1-2007. The 2006 IECC is widely considered to be slightly more stringent due to the later creation date in addition to the differences in the development process at ASHRAE and ICC.

- Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.
- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2006 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- Lack of a detailed space-by-space lighting design method in the 2006 IECC. (However, this is available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004).
- More stringent economizer requirements in colder climates in Standard 90.1-2007.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 17.

Table 17. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 5B		Climate Zone 6B	
	IECC 2006	90.1-2007	IECC 2006	90.1-2007
Nonresidential				
Exterior Wall	0.085	0.064	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	R-10/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.49)	0.48 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Residential				
Exterior Wall	0.085	0.064	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-15/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Semiheated				
Exterior Wall	0.123	0.123	0.113	0.113
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor				

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Idaho Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. IECC 2006*	
		IECC 2006		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Boise	11.72	5.21	11.17	5.01	4.6%	4.6%
Residential	Boise	9.08	13.33	9.03	11.13	5.4%	3.1%
Semiheated	Boise	4.34	14.60	4.34	14.49	0.5%	0.3%
Nonresidential	Pocatello	11.79	6.70	11.36	5.84	5.0%	4.3%
Residential	Pocatello	9.15	18.32	9.10	15.59	5.8%	3.5%
Semiheated	Pocatello	4.37	20.29	4.36	20.19	0.4%	0.3%

Illinois

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, the 2006 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC). Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Illinois. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

The 2006 IECC is the most commonly adopted commercial building energy code at the time this report was written. The reference standard for the 2006 IECC is Standard 90.1-2004 and the 2006 IECC shares many features with Standard 90.1-2004. However, the 2006 IECC was created slightly later than Standard 90.1-2004 and thus was able to benefit from changes to Standard 90.1 being contemplated for Standard 90.1-2007. The 2006 IECC is widely considered to be slightly more stringent due to the later creation date in addition to the differences in the development process at ASHRAE and ICC.

- Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.
- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2006 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- Lack of a detailed space-by-space lighting design method in the 2006 IECC. (However, this is available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004).
- More stringent economizer requirements in colder climates in Standard 90.1-2007.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 18.

Table 18. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 4A		Climate Zone 5A	
	IECC 2006	90.1-2007	IECC 2006	90.1-2007
Nonresidential				
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.064	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Residential				
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.064	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-10/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Semiheated				
Exterior Wall	0.134	0.134	0.123	0.123
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor				

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Illinois Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. IECC 2006*	
		IECC 2006		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Belleville	12.93	5.74	12.27	5.03	6.0%	5.5%
Residential	Belleville	9.52	17.38	9.26	13.30	9.9%	6.7%
Semiheated	Belleville	4.39	16.55	4.38	16.41	0.5%	0.3%
Nonresidential	Springfield	12.52	6.80	12.15	5.86	4.4%	3.7%
Residential	Springfield	9.32	19.12	9.25	16.87	4.8%	3.0%
Semiheated	Springfield	4.40	21.34	4.39	21.23	0.4%	0.3%

Indiana

Summary

Indiana has a commercial energy code based on the 1992 Model Energy Code. Since Indiana's code is an older code, DOE selected Standard 90.1-1999 as the baseline standard for the analysis. Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Indiana. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

Standard 90.1-1999 precedes Standard 90.1-2004 and is therefore older (and less stringent) than DOE's currently mandated commercial building energy standard, Standard 90.1-2004. This selection was made in the belief that Standard 90.1-1999 is an appropriate representation of commercial current practice, as it was developed more than ten years ago. DOE's analysis of Standard 90.1-1999 is included in DOE's determination of energy savings for Standard 90.1-2004, which compared Standard 90.1-2004 to Standard 90.1-2001 and Standard 90.1-1999. The complete results of this analysis may be found at http://www.energycodes.gov/implement/determinations_90.1-2004.stm. In comparing Standard 90.1-1999 to Standard 90.1-2007, Standard 90.1-2007:

- Has fewer climate "zones" or "bins" (26 bins versus 8 climate zones).
- Has more stringent building envelope requirements (due in large part to having fewer climate zones).
- Has more strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates.
- Differentiates windows by fixed versus operable rather than by frame material and usage.
- Includes a requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces.
- Removes a deadband exception for data processing centers that eliminates the possibility of simultaneous heating and cooling.
- Increases stringency in fan power limitations.
- Increases boiler efficiency requirements.
- Applies part-load fan power requirements to more smaller systems.
- Revises the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise.
- Has more detailed outdoor lighting power requirements.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 19.

Table 19. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 4A		Climate Zone 5A	
	90.1-1999	90.1-2007	90.1-1999	90.1-2007
Nonresidential				
Exterior Wall	0.124	0.064	0.84	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Residential				
Exterior Wall	0.124	0.064	0.84	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Semiheated				
Exterior Wall	0.134	0.134	0.123	0.123
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor				

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Indiana Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. 90.1-1999*	
		90.1-1999		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Evansville	13.31	5.15	11.99	4.78	9.6%	9.7%
Residential	Evansville	9.20	11.86	9.15	10.52	3.5%	2.1%
Semiheated	Evansville	4.33	13.16	4.33	13.01	0.5%	0.3%
Nonresidential	Indianapolis	13.40	6.16	11.80	5.60	11.6%	11.8%
Residential	Indianapolis	9.20	16.22	9.14	14.61	3.8%	2.4%
Semiheated	Indianapolis	4.36	18.22	4.35	18.12	0.4%	0.3%

Iowa

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, the 2006 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC). Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Iowa. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

The 2006 IECC is the most commonly adopted commercial building energy code at the time this report was written. The reference standard for the 2006 IECC is Standard 90.1-2004 and the 2006 IECC shares many features with Standard 90.1-2004. However, the 2006 IECC was created slightly later than Standard 90.1-2004 and thus was able to benefit from changes to Standard 90.1 being contemplated for Standard 90.1-2007. The 2006 IECC is widely considered to be slightly more stringent due to the later creation date plus the differences in the development process at ASHRAE and ICC.

- Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.
- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2006 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- Lack of a detailed space-by-space lighting design method in the 2006 IECC. (However, this is available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004).
- More stringent economizer requirements in colder climates in Standard 90.1-2007.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 20.

Table 20. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 5A		Climate Zone 6A	
	IECC 2006	90.1-2007	IECC 2006	90.1-2007
Nonresidential				
Exterior Wall	0.085	0.064	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	R-10/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Residential				
Exterior Wall	0.085	0.064	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-15/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)	0.62 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Semiheated				
Exterior Wall	0.123	0.123	0.113	0.113
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor				

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Iowa Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. IECC 2006*	
		IECC 2006		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Des Moines	12.49	7.22	12.09	6.21	4.8%	4.0%
Residential	Des Moines	9.25	20.65	9.18	18.23	5.1%	3.2%
Semiheated	Des Moines	4.42	23.25	4.41	23.14	0.3%	0.3%
Nonresidential	Mason City	13.01	9.28	12.49	7.81	6.0%	5.0%
Residential	Mason City	9.19	28.78	9.12	25.68	5.5%	3.6%
Semiheated	Mason City	4.45	33.71	4.44	33.60	0.2%	0.2%

Kansas

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, the 2006 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC). Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Kansas. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

The 2006 IECC is the most commonly adopted commercial building energy code at the time this report was written. The reference standard for the 2006 IECC is Standard 90.1-2004 and the 2006 IECC shares many features with Standard 90.1-2004. However, the 2006 IECC was created slightly later than Standard 90.1-2004 and thus was able to benefit from changes to Standard 90.1 being contemplated for Standard 90.1-2007. The 2006 IECC is widely considered to be slightly more stringent due to the later creation date in addition to the differences in the development process at ASHRAE and ICC.

- Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.
- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2006 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- Lack of a detailed space-by-space lighting design method in the 2006 IECC. (However, this is available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004).
- More stringent economizer requirements in colder climates in Standard 90.1-2007.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 21.

Table 21. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 4A		Climate Zone 5A	
	IECC 2006	90.1-2007	IECC 2006	90.1-2007
Nonresidential				
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.064	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Residential				
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.064	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-10/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Semiheated				
Exterior Wall	0.134	0.134	0.123	0.123
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor				

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Kansas Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. IECC 2006*	
		IECC 2006		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Topeka	12.86	5.83	12.20	5.08	6.0%	5.5%
Residential	Topeka	9.48	16.98	9.23	12.77	10.3%	6.8%
Semiheated	Topeka	4.37	16.21	4.37	16.07	0.5%	0.3%
Nonresidential	Goodland	12.10	6.01	11.73	5.31	4.1%	3.5%
Residential	Goodland	9.24	17.65	9.17	15.37	5.2%	3.2%
Semiheated	Goodland	4.45	21.47	4.44	21.36	0.4%	0.3%

Kentucky

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, the 2006 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC). Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Kentucky. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

The 2006 IECC is the most commonly adopted commercial building energy code at the time this report was written. The reference standard for the 2006 IECC is Standard 90.1-2004 and the 2006 IECC shares many features with Standard 90.1-2004. However, the 2006 IECC was created slightly later than Standard 90.1-2004 and thus was able to benefit from changes to Standard 90.1 being contemplated for Standard 90.1-2007. The 2006 IECC is widely considered to be slightly more stringent due to the later creation date in addition to the differences in the development process at ASHRAE and ICC.

- Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.
- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2006 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- Lack of a detailed space-by-space lighting design method in the 2006 IECC. (However, this is available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004).
- More stringent economizer requirements in colder climates in Standard 90.1-2007.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 22.

Table 22. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 4A	
	IECC 2006	90.1-2007
Nonresidential		
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)
Residential		
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)
Semiheated		
Exterior Wall	0.134	0.134
Roof	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor		

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Kentucky Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	**Location**	***Energy Use Intensity***				***Savings 90.1-2007 vs. IECC 2006***	
		IECC 2006		**90.1-2007**			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Lexington	12.39	5.47	11.81	4.80	5.6%	5.1%
Residential	Lexington	9.20	15.72	9.00	11.67	10.0%	6.5%
Semiheated	Lexington	4.33	13.95	4.33	13.80	0.5%	0.3%

Louisiana

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, Standard 90.1-2004. Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Louisiana. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

Standard 90.1-2004 is currently DOE's requirement for commercial building energy codes, based on DOE's most recent determination of energy savings as mandated by the Energy Policy Act of 1992. DOE expects to issue its determination on Standard 90.1-2007 sometime in the Summer or Fall of 2009. When published, the complete results of this comparison may be found at http://www.energycodes.gov/implement/determinations_com.stm . ASHRAE processed 44 separate addenda to Standard 90.1-2004 in creating Standard 90.1-2007. In comparing Standard 90.1-2004 to Standard 90.1-2007, Standard 90.1-2007:

- Has more strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates.
- Differentiates windows by fixed versus operable rather than by frame material and usage.
- Includes a requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces.
- Removes a deadband exception for data processing centers that eliminates the possibility of simultaneous heating and cooling.
- Increases stringency in fan power limitations.
- Increases boiler efficiency requirements.
- Applies part-load fan power requirements to more smaller systems.
- Revises the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise.

Overall, Standard 90.1-2007 is expected to be more stringent than Standard 90.1.2004, as demonstrated by the simulation results shown below.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 23.

Table 23. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 2A		Climate Zone 3A	
	90.1-2004	90.1-2007	90.1-2004	90.1-2007
Nonresidential				
Exterior Wall	0.124	0.124	0.124	0.084
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
Window*	1.22 (0.25)	0.72 (0.25)	1.22 (0.25)	0.62 (0.25)
Residential				
Exterior Wall	0.084	0.064	0.084	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-10/2ft.
Window*	1.22 (0.25)	0.72 (0.25)	1.22 (0.39)	0.62 (0.25)
Semiheated				
Exterior Wall	0.184	0.184	0.184	0.184
Roof	0.167	0.167	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor				

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Louisiana Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. 90.1-2004*	
		90.1-2004		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Baton Rouge	12.58	2.94	12.44	2.83	1.3%	1.2%
Residential	Baton Rouge	9.23	3.59	9.09	2.95	3.2%	2.3%
Semiheated	Baton Rouge	4.22	4.66	4.22	4.51	0.8%	0.4%
Nonresidential	Shreveport	13.02	3.45	11.97	3.38	7.6%	7.9%
Residential	Shreveport	9.65	4.90	9.31	3.62	6.4%	4.9%
Semiheated	Shreveport	4.32	5.04	4.32	4.90	0.7%	0.4%

Maine

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, Standard 90.1-2001. Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Maine. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

Standard 90.1-2001 precedes Standard 90.1-2004 and is therefore older (and less stringent) than DOE's currently mandated commercial building energy standard, Standard 90.1-2004. DOE's analysis of Standard 90.1-2001 is included in DOE's determination of energy savings for Standard 90.1-2004, which compared Standard 90.1-2004 to Standard 90.1-2001 and Standard 90.1-1999. The complete results of this analysis may be found at http://www.energycodes.gov/implement/determinations_90.1-2004.stm . DOE specifically chose not to do a determination for Standard 90.1-2001 because Standard 90.1-2001 was judged to be less stringent than Standard 90.1-1999 and thus would have received a negative determination. Standard 90.1-2001 may be best described as a "bug fix" or "Version 2" of Standard 90.1-1999. In comparing Standard 90.1-2001 to Standard 90.1-2007, Standard 90.1-2007:

- Has fewer climate "zones" or "bins" than defined in Standard 90.1-2001 (26 bins versus 8 climate zones).
- Has more stringent building envelope requirements (due in large part to having fewer climate zones).
- Has more strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates.
- Differentiates windows by fixed versus operable rather than by frame material and usage.
- Includes a requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces.
- Removes a deadband exception for data processing centers that eliminates the possibility of simultaneous heating and cooling.
- Increases stringency in fan power limitations.
- Increases boiler efficiency requirements.
- Applies part-load fan power requirements to more smaller systems.
- Revises the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise.
- Has more detailed outdoor lighting power requirements.
- Has more stringent indoor lighting power allowances (for example, offices are allowed 1.3 watts per square foot in Standard 90.1-2001 and 1 watt per square foot in Standard 90.1-2007). This is the single most significant difference between Standard 90.1-2001 and Standard 90.1-2007.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 24.

Table 24. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 6A		Climate Zone 7	
	90.1-2001	90.1-2007	90.1-2001	90.1-2007
Nonresidential				
Exterior Wall	0.084	0.064	0.064	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-15/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)	0.57 (0.49)	0.42 (0.45)
Residential				
Exterior Wall	0.064	0.064	0.064	0.042
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	R-15/2ft.	R-10/2ft.	R-15/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)	0.62 (0.49)	0.42 (0.45)
Semiheated				
Exterior Wall	0.113	0.113	0.113	0.113
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor				

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Maine Energy End Use and Percentage Savings

Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. 90.1-2001*	
		90.1-2001		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Portland	12.77	7.28	11.37	6.48	11.0%	11.0%
Residential	Portland	8.87	20.34	8.82	18.23	4.5%	2.8%
Semiheated	Portland	4.34	21.96	4.33	21.85	0.3%	0.3%
Nonresidential	Caribou	13.66	9.48	12.00	8.85	11.2%	11.7%
Residential	Caribou	9.11	28.74	8.94	26.11	5.4%	4.0%
Semiheated	Caribou	4.40	34.63	4.40	34.44	0.4%	0.3%

Maryland

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, the 2006 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC)[10]. Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Maryland. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

The 2006 IECC is the most commonly adopted commercial building energy code at the time this report was written. The reference standard for the 2006 IECC is Standard 90.1-2004 and the 2006 IECC shares many features with Standard 90.1-2004. However, the 2006 IECC was created slightly later than Standard 90.1-2004 and thus was able to benefit from changes to Standard 90.1 being contemplated for Standard 90.1-2007. The 2006 IECC is widely considered to be slightly more stringent due to the later creation date in addition to the differences in the development process at ASHRAE and ICC.

- Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.
- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2006 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- Lack of a detailed space-by-space lighting design method in the 2006 IECC. (However, this is available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004).
- More stringent economizer requirements in colder climates in Standard 90.1-2007.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 25.

[10] Maryland's new code, the 2009 IECC, becomes effective October 2009.

Table 25. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 4A		Climate Zone 5A	
	IECC 2006	90.1-2007	IECC 2006	90.1-2007
Nonresidential				
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.064	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Residential				
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.064	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-10/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Semiheated				
Exterior Wall	0.134	0.134	0.123	0.123
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor				

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Maryland Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. IECC 2006*	
		IECC 2006		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Baltimore	12.46	5.19	11.86	4.62	5.5%	5.1%
Residential	Baltimore	9.24	15.40	9.03	11.36	10.2%	6.6%
Semiheated	Baltimore	4.34	13.69	4.33	13.54	0.5%	0.3%
Nonresidential	Mountain Lake Park	11.55	6.42	11.23	5.58	4.2%	3.5%
Residential	Mountain Lake Park	8.81	14.97	8.79	12.63	5.3%	3.0%
Semiheated	Mountain Lake Park	4.32	15.29	4.32	15.17	0.4%	0.3%

Massachusetts

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, the 2006 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC). Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Massachusetts. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

The 2006 IECC is the most commonly adopted commercial building energy code at the time this report was written. The reference standard for the 2006 IECC is Standard 90.1-2004 and the 2006 IECC shares many features with Standard 90.1-2004. However, the 2006 IECC was created slightly later than Standard 90.1-2004 and thus was able to benefit from changes to Standard 90.1 being contemplated for Standard 90.1-2007. The 2006 IECC is widely considered to be slightly more stringent due to the later creation date in addition to the differences in the development process at ASHRAE and ICC.

- Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.
- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2006 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- Lack of a detailed space-by-space lighting design method in the 2006 IECC. (However, this is available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004).
- More stringent economizer requirements in colder climates in Standard 90.1-2007.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 26.

Table 26. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 5	
	IECC 2006	90.1-2007
Nonresidential		
Exterior Wall	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	NR
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Residential		
Exterior Wall	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	NR
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Semiheated		
Exterior Wall	0.123	0.123
Roof	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor		

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Massachusetts Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	**Location**	***Energy Use Intensity***				***Savings 90.1-2007 vs. IECC 2006***	
		IECC 2006		**90.1-2007**			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Boston	11.85	6.25	11.54	5.44	4.0%	3.3%
Residential	Boston	8.95	19.50	8.89	17.15	5.1%	3.1%
Semiheated	Boston	4.39	20.75	4.39	20.63	0.4%	0.3%

Michigan

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, Standard 90.1-1999. Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Michigan. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

Standard 90.1-1999 precedes Standard 90.1-2004 and is therefore older (and less stringent) than DOE's currently mandated commercial building energy standard – Standard 90.1-2004. This selection was made with the belief that Standard 90.1-1999 is an appropriate representation of commercial current practice, as it was developed more than ten years ago. DOE's analysis of Standard 90.1-1999 is included in DOE's determination of energy savings for Standard 90.1-2004, which compared Standard 90.1-2004 to Standard 90.1-2001 and Standard 90.1-1999. The complete results of this analysis may be found at http://www.energycodes.gov/implement/determinations_90.1-2004.stm. In comparing Standard 90.1-1999 to Standard 90.1-2007, Standard 90.1-2007:

- Has fewer climate "zones" or "bins" (26 bins versus 8 climate zones).
- Has more stringent building envelope requirements (due in large part to having fewer climate zones).
- Has more strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates.
- Differentiates windows by fixed versus operable rather than by frame material and usage.
- Includes a requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces.
- Removes a deadband exception for data processing centers that eliminates the possibility of simultaneous heating and cooling.
- Increases stringency in fan power limitations.
- Increases boiler efficiency requirements.
- Applies part-load fan power requirements to more smaller systems.
- Revises the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise.
- Has more detailed outdoor lighting power requirements.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 27.

Table 27. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 5A		Climate Zone 6A		Climate Zone 7	
	90.1-1999	90.1-2007	90.1-1999	90.1-2007	90.1-1999	90.1-2007
Nonresidential						
Exterior Wall	0.084	0.064	0.084	0.064	0.064	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-15/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.42 (0.45)
Residential						
Exterior Wall	0.084	0.064	0.084	0.064	0.064	0.042
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-15/2ft.	NR	R-15/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.42 (0.45)
Semiheated						
Exterior Wall	0.123	0.123	0.113	0.113	0.113	0.113
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor						

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Michigan Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. 90.1-1999*	
		90.1-1999		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Lansing	13.64	7.13	11.96	6.34	12.1%	12.2%
Residential	Lansing	8.97	21.58	8.92	19.67	4.0%	2.6%
Semiheated	Lansing	4.36	23.21	4.35	23.10	0.3%	0.3%
Nonresidential	Alpena	13.49	8.39	11.70	7.30	13.2%	13.2%
Residential	Alpena	8.86	24.26	8.81	21.91	4.6%	2.9%
Semiheated	Alpena	4.34	25.26	4.33	25.15	0.3%	0.2%
Nonresidential	Sault Ste. Marie	13.59	9.85	11.76	8.61	13.3%	13.4%
Residential	Sault Ste. Marie	9.01	27.16	8.93	22.90	7.8%	5.0%
Semiheated	Sault Ste. Marie	4.35	29.37	4.35	29.18	0.5%	0.3%

Minnesota

Summary

Minnesota has a state-specific code[11]. Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Minnesota. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

In comparing Minnesota's state-specific code to Standard 90.1-2007, Standard 90.1-2007:

- Has more strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates.
- Differentiates windows by fixed versus operable rather than by frame material and usage.
- Includes a requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces.
- Removes a deadband exception for data processing centers that eliminates the possibility of simultaneous heating and cooling.
- Increases stringency in fan power limitations.
- Increases boiler efficiency requirements.
- Applies part-load fan power requirements to more smaller systems.
- Revises the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise.
- Has more stringent building envelope requirements.
- Has more detailed outdoor lighting power requirements.
- Has more stringent indoor lighting power allowances and densities.

Minnesota's code:

- Allows the use of multiple whole building types.
- Requires secondary portions to be listed if the building has secondary functions that are 10 percent or more of the gross lighted area.
- Has control credits for luminaires automatically controlled by occupancy sensors, daylight sensors, programmable timing controls or lumen maintenance controls. Depending on the lighting control type an adjustment factor can be used to reduce the overall fixture wattage.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 28.

[11] This analysis is based on the state-specific code that went into effect June 2009.

Table 28. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 6A		Climate Zone 7	
	State Code	90.1-2007	State Code	90.1-2007
Nonresidential				
Exterior Wall	0.084	0.064	0.064	0.064
Roof	0.043	0.048	0.043	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-15/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)	0.57 (0.49)	0.42 (0.45)
Residential Exterior				
Wall	0.064	0.064	0.064	0.042
Roof	0.043	0.048	0.043	0.048
Slab	NR	R-15/2ft.	R-10/2ft.	R-15/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.40)	0.48 (0.40)	0.57 (0.49)	0.42 (0.45)
Semiheated Exterior				
Wall	0.113	0.113	0.113	0.113
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor				

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Minnesota Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. State Code*	
		State Code		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	St. Paul	13.33	9.76	12.10	8.32	10.1%	9.7%
Residential	St. Paul	9.04	24.35	9.05	23.08	2.2%	1.3%
Semiheated	St. Paul	4.40	28.07	4.40	27.94	0.3%	0.2%
Nonresidential	Duluth	13.86	10.14	12.28	9.29	10.9%	11.1%
Residential	Duluth	9.17	30.65	9.00	28.03	5.2%	3.9%
Semiheated	Duluth	4.43	37.47	4.42	37.27	0.4%	0.3%

Mississippi

Summary

Mississippi has no statewide commercial code, therefore for this state comparison, DOE has selected Standard 90.1-1999 as the baseline standard for the analysis. Standard 90.1-2007 would substantially improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Mississippi. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

Standard 90.1-1999 precedes Standard 90.1-2004 and is therefore older (and less stringent) than DOE's currently mandated commercial building energy standard, Standard 90.1-2004. This selection was made with the belief that Standard 90.1-1999 is an appropriate representation of commercial current practice, as it was developed more than ten years ago. DOE's analysis of Standard 90.1-1999 is included in DOE's determination of energy savings for Standard 90.1-2004, which compared Standard 90.1-2004 to Standard 90.1-2001 and Standard 90.1-1999. The complete results of this analysis may be found at http://www.energycodes.gov/implement/determinations_90.1-2004.stm. In comparing Standard 90.1-1999 to Standard 90.1-2007, Standard 90.1-2007:

- Has fewer climate "zones" or "bins" (26 bins versus 8 climate zones).
- Has more stringent building envelope requirements (due in large part to having fewer climate zones).
- Has more strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates.
- Differentiates windows by fixed versus operable rather than by frame material and usage.
- Includes a requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces.
- Removes a deadband exception for data processing centers that eliminates the possibility of simultaneous heating and cooling.
- Increases stringency in fan power limitations.
- Increases boiler efficiency requirements.
- Applies part-load fan power requirements to more smaller systems.
- Revises the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise.
- Has more detailed outdoor lighting power requirements.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 29.

Table 29. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 2A		Climate Zone 3A	
	90.1-1999	90.1-2007	90.1-1999	90.1-2007
Nonresidential				
Exterior Wall	0.124	0.124	0.124	0.084
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
Window*	1.22 (0.25)	0.72 (0.25)	1.22 (0.25)	0.62 (0.25)
Residential				
Exterior Wall	0.124	0.064	0.084	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
Window*	1.22 (0.25)	0.72 (0.25)	1.22 (0.39)	0.62 (0.25)
Semiheated				
Exterior Wall	0.184	0.184	0.184	0.184
Roof	0.167	0.167	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor				

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Mississippi Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. 90.1-1999*	
		90.1-1999		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Biloxi	13.60	2.89	12.44	2.82	8.2%	8.4%
Residential	Biloxi	9.31	4.50	9.06	3.32	5.7%	4.2%
Semiheated	Biloxi	4.22	4.98	4.22	4.82	0.8%	0.4%
Nonresidential	Jackson	14.02	3.43	11.93	3.42	14.0%	14.5%
Residential	Jackson	9.54	5.67	9.21	4.16	6.8%	5.0%
Semiheated	Jackson	4.32	5.47	4.32	5.33	0.7%	0.4%

Missouri

Summary

Missouri has no statewide commercial code, therefore for this state comparison, DOE has selected Standard 90.1-1999 as the baseline standard for the analysis. Standard 90.1-2007 would substantially improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Missouri. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

Standard 90.1-1999 precedes Standard 90.1-2004 and is therefore older (and less stringent) than DOE's currently mandated commercial building energy standard, Standard 90.1-2004. This selection was made in the belief that Standard 90.1-1999 is an appropriate representation of commercial current practice, as it was developed more than ten years ago. DOE's analysis of Standard 90.1-1999 is included in DOE's determination of energy savings for Standard 90.1-2004, which compared Standard 90.1-2004 to Standard 90.1-2001 and Standard 90.1-1999. The complete results of this analysis may be found at http://www.energycodes.gov/implement/determinations_90.1-2004.stm. In comparing Standard 90.1-1999 to Standard 90.1-2007, Standard 90.1-2007:

- Has fewer climate "zones" or "bins" (26 bins versus 8 climate zones).
- Has more stringent building envelope requirements (due in large part to having fewer climate zones).
- Has more strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates.
- Differentiates windows by fixed versus operable rather than by frame material and usage.
- Includes a requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces.
- Removes a deadband exception for data processing centers that eliminates the possibility of simultaneous heating and cooling.
- Increases stringency in fan power limitations.
- Increases boiler efficiency requirements.
- Applies part-load fan power requirements to more smaller systems.
- Revises the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise.
- Has more detailed outdoor lighting power requirements.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 30.

Table 30. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 4A		Climate Zone 5A	
	90.1-1999	90.1-2007	90.1-1999	90.1-2007
Nonresidential				
Exterior Wall	0.124	0.064	0.084	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Residential				
Exterior Wall	0.064	0.064	0.064	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-10/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Semiheated				
Exterior Wall	0.134	0.134	0.123	0.123
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor				

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Missouri Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. 90.1-1999*	
		90.1-1999		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	St. Louis	13.87	5.49	12.27	5.03	11.2%	11.4%
Residential	St. Louis	9.33	14.69	9.26	13.30	3.5%	2.2%
Semiheated	St. Louis	4.39	16.55	4.38	16.41	0.5%	0.3%
Nonresidential	St. Joseph	13.32	5.76	11.96	5.30	10.0%	10.1%
Residential	St. Joseph	9.55	14.10	9.49	12.63	3.6%	2.3%
Semiheated	St. Joseph	4.39	16.46	4.38	16.35	0.4%	0.3%

Montana

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, the 2003 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC). Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Montana. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

The 2003 was a widely adopted version of the IECC, which was the first non-supplement version of the IECC to reference the newer ASHRAE standards. The reference standard for the 2003 IECC is Standard 90.1-2001.

- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2003 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2001.)
- More climate "zones" or "bins" defined in 2003 IECC than in Standard 90.1-2007 (33 bins versus 8 climate zones).
- More stringent building envelope requirements (due in large part to having fewer climate zones) in Standard 90.1-2007.
- No differentiation of window types, as opposed to the differentiation by frame material and usage in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.
- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Energy recovery ventilation systems in Standard 90.1-2007.
- More strict requirements for VAV fan control in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- More detailed outdoor lighting power requirements in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Potential loophole for indoor lighting power density in that Standard 90.1-2001 has the "old" lighting power densities, while Chapter 8 of the 2003 IECC has the "new" lighting power densities. (Example, "old" value for offices = 1.3 watts per square foot, "new" value for offices = 1.0 watt per square foot).

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 31.

Table 31. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 6B	
	IECC 2003	90.1-2007
Nonresidential		
Exterior Wall	0.071	0.064
Roof	0.049	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.50)	0.48 (0.40)
Residential		
Exterior Wall	0.071	0.064
Roof	0.049	0.048
Slab	NR	R-15/2ft.
Window*	0.52 (0.50)	0.48 (0.40)
Semiheated		
Exterior Wall	0.113	0.113
Roof	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor		

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Montana Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	**Location**	***Energy Use Intensity***				***Savings 90.1-2007 vs. IECC 2003***	
		IECC 2003		**90.1-2007**			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Helena	12.00	6.94	11.43	6.36	5.2%	5.0%
Residential	Helena	9.07	17.15	9.05	15.99	2.5%	1.5%
Semiheated	Helena	4.37	21.04	4.36	20.92	0.4%	0.3%

Nebraska

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, the 2003 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC). Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Nebraska. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

The 2003 was a widely adopted version of the IECC, which was the first non-supplement version of the IECC to reference the newer ASHRAE standards. The reference standard for the 2003 IECC is Standard 90.1-2001.

- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2003 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2001.)
- More climate "zones" or "bins" defined in 2003 IECC than in Standard 90.1-2007 (33 bins versus 8 climate zones).
- More stringent building envelope requirements (due in large part to having fewer climate zones) in Standard 90.1-2007.
- No differentiation of window types, as opposed to the differentiation by frame material and usage in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.
- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Energy recovery ventilation systems in Standard 90.1-2007.
- More strict requirements for VAV fan control in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- More detailed outdoor lighting power requirements in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Potential loophole for indoor lighting power density in that Standard 90.1-2001 has the "old" lighting power densities, while Chapter 8 of the 2003 IECC has the "new" lighting power densities. (Example, "old" value for offices = 1.3 watts per square foot, "new" value for offices = 1.0 watt per square foot).

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 32.

Table 32. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 5A	
	IECC 2003	90.1-2007
Nonresidential		
Exterior Wall	0.084	0.064
Roof	0.052	0.048
Slab	NR	NR
Window*	0.57 (0.40)	0.48 (0.40)
Residential		
Exterior Wall	0.084	0.064
Roof	0.052	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.50)	0.48 (0.40)
Semiheated		
Exterior Wall	0.123	0.123
Roof	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor		

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Nebraska Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	**Location**	***Energy Use Intensity***				***Savings 90.1-2007 vs. IECC 2003***	
		IECC 2003		**90.1-2007**			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Omaha	12.84	6.49	12.19	5.98	5.4%	5.2%
Residential	Omaha	9.42	19.17	9.33	16.81	5.2%	3.3%
Semiheated	Omaha	4.41	22.36	4.40	22.25	0.3%	0.3%

Nevada

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, the 2006 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC). Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Nevada. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings. Southern Nevada amended the 2006 IECC to include different lighting power densities (LPDs) for certain building types. The only amendment that affected the simulation was the 1.1 LPD used for office buildings (nonresidential) in Las Vegas.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

The 2006 IECC is the most commonly adopted commercial building energy code at the time this report was written. The reference standard for the 2006 IECC is Standard 90.1-2004 and the 2006 IECC shares many features with Standard 90.1-2004. However, the 2006 IECC was created slightly later than Standard 90.1-2004 and thus was able to benefit from changes to Standard 90.1 being contemplated for Standard 90.1-2007. The 2006 IECC is widely considered to be slightly more stringent due to the later creation date in addition to the differences in the development process at ASHRAE and ICC.

Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.

- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2006 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- Lack of a detailed space-by-space lighting design method in the 2006 IECC. (However, this is available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- More stringent economizer requirements in colder climates in Standard 90.1-2007.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 33.

Table 33. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 3B		Climate Zone 5B	
	IECC 2006	90.1-2007	IECC 2006	90.1-2007
Nonresidential				
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.084	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
Window*	0.62 (0.39)	0.62 (0.25)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Residential				
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.084	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	R-10/2ft.
Window*	0.62 (0.39)	0.62 (0.25)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Semiheated				
Exterior Wall	0.184	0.184	0.123	0.123
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor				

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Nevada Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. IECC 2006*	
		IECC 2006		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Las Vegas	12.54	3.12	11.75	3.08	5.9%	6.1%
Residential	Las Vegas	10.05	3.24	9.50	2.41	7.2%	6.3%
Semiheated	Las Vegas	4.41	5.12	4.41	4.97	0.7%	0.4%
Nonresidential	Reno	11.01	5.08	10.75	4.64	3.1%	2.7%
Residential	Reno	8.98	9.07	8.96	7.15	5.0%	2.7%
Semiheated	Reno	4.33	11.62	4.33	11.50	0.5%	0.4%

New Hampshire

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, the 2006 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC)[12]. Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in New Hampshire. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

The 2006 IECC is the most commonly adopted commercial building energy code at the time this report was written. The reference standard for the 2006 IECC is Standard 90.1-2004 and the 2006 IECC shares many features with Standard 90.1-2004. However, the 2006 IECC was created slightly later than Standard 90.1-2004 and thus was able to benefit from changes to Standard 90.1 being contemplated for Standard 90.1-2007. The 2006 IECC is widely considered to be slightly more stringent due to the later creation date in addition to the differences in the development process at ASHRAE and ICC.

- Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.
- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2006 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- Lack of a detailed space-by-space lighting design method in the 2006 IECC. (However, this is available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- More stringent economizer requirements in colder climates in Standard 90.1-2007.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 34.

[12] New Hampshire's new code, the 2009 IECC, becomes effective October 2009.

Table 34. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 5A		Climate Zone 6A	
	IECC 2006	90.1-2007	IECC 2006	90.1-2007
Nonresidential				
Exterior Wall	0.085	0.064	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	R-10/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Residential				
Exterior Wall	0.085	0.064	0.064	0.064
Roof	0.048	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-15/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Semiheated				
Exterior Wall	0.123	0.123	0.113	0.113
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor				

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

New Hampshire Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. IECC 2006*	
		IECC 2006		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Manchester	11.90	6.29	11.54	5.44	4.4%	3.7%
Residential	Manchester	8.95	19.50	8.89	17.15	5.1%	3.1%
Semiheated	Manchester	4.39	20.75	4.39	20.63	0.4%	0.3%
Nonresidential	Concord	11.96	8.06	11.51	6.77	5.8%	4.7%
Residential	Concord	8.99	19.81	8.94	17.71	4.5%	2.8%
Semiheated	Concord	4.33	21.41	4.32	21.31	0.3%	0.3%

New Jersey

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, Standard 90.1-2004. Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in New Jersey. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

Standard 90.1-2004 is currently DOE's requirement for commercial building energy codes, based on DOE's most recent determination of energy savings as mandated by the Energy Policy Act of 1992. DOE expects to issue its determination on ANSI/ASHRAE/IESNA Standard 90.1-2007 sometime in the Summer or Fall of 2009. When published, the complete results of this comparison may be found at http://www.energycodes.gov/implement/determinations_com.stm. ASHRAE processed 44 separate addenda to Standard 90.1-2004 in creating Standard 90.1-2007. In comparing Standard 90.1-2004 to Standard 90.1-2007, Standard 90.1-2007:

- Has more strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates.
- Differentiates windows by fixed versus operable rather than by frame material and usage.
- Includes a requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces.
- Removes a deadband exception for data processing centers that eliminates the possibility of simultaneous heating and cooling.
- Increases stringency in fan power limitations.
- Increases boiler efficiency requirements.
- Applies part-load fan power requirements to more smaller systems.
- Revises the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise.

Overall, Standard 90.1-2007 is expected to be more stringent than Standard 90.1.2004, as demonstrated by the simulation results shown below.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 35.

Table 35. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 4A		Climate Zone 5A	
	90.1-2004	90.1-2007	90.1-2004	90.1-2007
Nonresidential				
Exterior Wall	0.124	0.064	0.084	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Residential				
Exterior Wall	0.064	0.064	0.064	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-10/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Semiheated				
Exterior Wall	0.134	0.134	0.123	0.123
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor				

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

New Jersey Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. 90.1-2004*	
		90.1-2004		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Newark	12.53	5.37	11.90	4.74	5.8%	5.4%
Residential	Newark	9.01	15.02	8.96	13.53	3.7%	2.3%
Semiheated	Newark	4.35	15.87	4.35	15.72	0.5%	0.3%
Nonresidential	Paterson	12.08	6.47	11.62	5.59	5.1%	4.4%
Residential	Paterson	9.02	17.29	8.97	15.64	3.8%	2.3%
Semiheated	Paterson	4.35	18.88	4.34	18.77	0.4%	0.3%

New Mexico

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, the 2006 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC). Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in New Mexico. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

The 2006 IECC is the most commonly adopted commercial building energy code at the time this report was written. The reference standard for the 2006 IECC is Standard 90.1-2004 and the 2006 IECC shares many features with Standard 90.1-2004. However, the 2006 IECC was created slightly later than Standard 90.1-2004 and thus was able to benefit from changes to Standard 90.1 being contemplated for Standard 90.1-2007. The 2006 IECC is widely considered to be slightly more stringent due to the later creation date in addition to the differences in the development process at ASHRAE and ICC.

- Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.
- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2006 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- Lack of a detailed space-by-space lighting design method in the 2006 IECC. (However, this is available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard – Standard 90.1-2004.)
- More stringent economizer requirements in colder climates in Standard 90.1-2007.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 36.

Table 36. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 3B		Climate Zone 4B		Climate Zone 5B	
	IECC 2006	90.1-2007	IECC 2006	90.1-2007	IECC 2006	90.1-2007
Nonresidential						
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.084	0.125	0.064	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Window*	0.62 (0.25)	0.62 (0.25)	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Residential						
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.064	0.125	0.064	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-15/2ft.
Window*	0.62 (0.39)	0.62 (0.25)	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Semiheated						
Exterior Wall	0.184	0.184	0.134	0.134	0.113	0.113
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor						

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

New Mexico Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. IECC 2006*	
		IECC 2006		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Las Cruces	18.34	5.16	17.97	4.99	2.1%	2.1%
Residential	Las Cruces	9.60	3.53	9.17	2.58	6.7%	5.5%
Semiheated	Las Cruces	4.33	5.22	4.33	5.07	0.7%	0.4%
Nonresidential	Albuquerque	18.29	6.65	17.53	6.26	4.3%	4.2%
Residential	Albuquerque	9.45	8.33	9.18	5.74	8.6%	5.7%
Semiheated	Albuquerque	4.34	10.21	4.34	10.06	0.6%	0.4%
Nonresidential	Santa Fe	17.27	9.36	16.75	8.39	4.0%	3.5%
Residential	Santa Fe	8.94	13.02	8.94	10.52	5.8%	3.1%
Semiheated	Santa Fe	4.35	16.47	4.34	16.37	0.4%	0.3%

New York

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, the 2003 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC). Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in New York. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

The 2003 was a widely adopted version of the IECC which was the first non-supplement version of the IECC to reference the newer ASHRAE standards. The reference standard for the 2003 IECC is Standard 90.1-2001.

- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2003 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard - Standard 90.1-2001.)
- More climate "zones" or "bins" defined in 2003 IECC than in Standard 90.1-2007 (33 bins versus 8 climate zones).
- More stringent building envelope requirements (due in large part to having fewer climate zones) in Standard 90.1-2007.
- No differentiation of window types, as opposed to the differentiation by frame material and usage in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.
- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Energy recovery ventilation systems in Standard 90.1-2007.
- More strict requirements for VAV fan control in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- More detailed outdoor lighting power requirements in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Potential loophole for indoor lighting power density in that Standard 90.1-2001 has the "old" lighting power densities, while Chapter 8 of the 2003 IECC has the "new" lighting power densities. (Example, "old" value for offices = 1.3 watts per square foot, "new" value for offices = 1.0 watt per square foot).

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 37.

Table 37. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 4A		Climate Zone 5A		Climate Zone 6A	
	IECC 2003	90.1-2007	IECC 2003	90.1-2007	IECC 2003	90.1-2007
Nonresidential						
Exterior Wall	0.101	0.064	0.079	0.064	0.076	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.054	0.048	0.053	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	R-10/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Residential						
Exterior Wall	0.101	0.064	0.079	0.064	0.076	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.054	0.048	0.053	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-15/2ft.
Window*	0.62 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)	0.62 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)	0.62 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Semiheated						
Exterior Wall	0.134	0.134	0.123	0.123	0.113	0.113
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor						

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

New York Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. IECC 2003*	
		IECC 2003		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	New York City	12.32	5.42	11.85	4.76	4.8%	4.3%
Residential	New York City	9.05	17.04	8.90	13.89	7.7%	5.0%
Semiheated	New York City	4.37	16.53	4.37	16.39	0.5%	0.3%
Nonresidential	Albany	12.21	7.57	11.79	6.38	5.4%	4.4%
Residential	Albany	8.89	21.46	8.85	18.92	5.2%	3.2%
Semiheated	Albany	4.34	21.38	4.33	21.27	0.4%	0.3%
Nonresidential	Binghamton	12.09	7.90	11.65	6.62	5.7%	4.7%
Residential	Binghamton	8.93	22.94	8.88	20.44	4.9%	3.1%
Semiheated	Binghamton	4.40	24.64	4.39	24.51	0.3%	0.2%

North Carolina

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, the 2006 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC) with amendments. Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in North Carolina. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

The North Carolina amendments to the 2006 IECC do not appear to affect the envelope requirements and lighting power densities relevant to the simulations used in the analysis. The 2006 IECC is the most commonly adopted commercial building energy code at the time this report was written. The reference standard for the 2006 IECC is Standard 90.1-2004 and the 2006 IECC shares many features with Standard 90.1-2004. However, the 2006 IECC was created slightly later than Standard 90.1-2004 and thus was able to benefit from changes to Standard 90.1 being contemplated for Standard 90.1-2007. The 2006 IECC is widely considered to be slightly more stringent due to the later creation date in addition to the differences in the development process at ASHRAE and ICC.

- Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.
- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2006 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- Lack of a detailed space-by-space lighting design method in the 2006 IECC. (However, this is available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004).
- More stringent economizer requirements in colder climates in Standard 90.1-2007.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 38.

Table 38. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 3A		Climate Zone 4A		Climate Zone 5A	
	IECC 2006	90.1-2007	IECC 2006	90.1-2007	IECC 2006	90.1-2007
Nonresidential						
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.084	0.125	0.064	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Window*	0.62 (0.39)	0.62 (0.25)	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Residential						
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.084	0.125	0.064	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-10/2ft.
Window*	0.62 (0.39)	0.62 (0.25)	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Semiheated						
Exterior Wall	0.184	0.184	0.134	0.134	0.123	0.123
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor						

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

North Carolina Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. IECC 2006*	
		IECC 2006		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Charlotte	12.02	3.87	11.64	3.60	3.5%	3.3%
Residential	Charlotte	9.22	7.52	8.90	5.87	7.1%	5.3%
Semiheated	Charlotte	4.30	6.83	4.30	6.69	0.7%	0.3%
Nonresidential	Raleigh	12.06	4.57	11.64	4.21	3.9%	3.7%
Residential	Raleigh	9.37	8.63	9.18	5.91	8.3%	5.2%
Semiheated	Raleigh	4.31	9.08	4.31	8.93	0.7%	0.4%
Nonresidential	Boone	11.55	6.42	11.23	5.58	4.2%	3.5%
Residential	Boone	8.81	14.97	8.79	12.63	5.3%	3.0%
Semiheated	Boone	4.32	15.29	4.32	15.17	0.4%	0.3%

North Dakota

Summary

North Dakota has no statewide commercial code, therefore for this state comparison, DOE has selected Standard 90.1-1999 as the baseline standard for the analysis. Standard 90.1-2007 would substantially improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in North Dakota. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

Standard 90.1-1999 precedes Standard 90.1-2004 and is therefore older (and less stringent) than DOE's currently mandated commercial building energy standard, Standard 90.1-2004. This selection was made with the belief that Standard 90.1-1999 is an appropriate representation of commercial current practice, as it was developed more than ten years ago. DOE's analysis of Standard 90.1-1999 is included in DOE's determination of energy savings for Standard 90.1-2004, which compared Standard 90.1-2004 to Standard 90.1-2001 and Standard 90.1-1999. The complete results of this analysis may be found at http://www.energycodes.gov/implement/determinations_90.1-2004.stm. In comparing Standard 90.1-1999 to Standard 90.1-2007, Standard 90.1-2007:

- Has fewer climate "zones" or "bins" (26 bins versus 8 climate zones).
- Has more stringent building envelope requirements (due in large part to having fewer climate zones).
- Has more strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates.
- Differentiates windows by fixed versus operable rather than by frame material and usage.
- Includes a requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces.
- Removes a deadband exception for data processing centers that eliminates the possibility of simultaneous heating and cooling.
- Increases stringency in fan power limitations.
- Increases boiler efficiency requirements.
- Applies part-load fan power requirements to more smaller systems.
- Revises the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise.
- Has more detailed outdoor lighting power requirements.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 39.

Table 39. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 6A		Climate Zone 7	
	90.1-1999	90.1-2007	90.1-1999	90.1-2007
Nonresidential				
Exterior Wall	0.084	0.064	0.064	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-15/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)	0.46 (0.45)	0.42 (0.45)
Residential				
Exterior Wall	0.085	0.064	0.064	0.042
Roof	0.048	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	R-15/2ft.	NR	R-15/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)	0.62 (0.49)	0.42 (0.45)
Semiheated				
Exterior Wall	0.113	0.113	0.113	0.113
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor				

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

North Dakota Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. 90.1-1999*	
		90.1-1999		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Bismarck	13.72	9.15	12.21	7.97	11.3%	11.2%
Residential	Bismarck	9.15	27.20	9.08	24.00	5.9%	3.8%
Semiheated	Bismarck	4.40	31.00	4.39	30.91	0.2%	0.2%
Nonresidential	Minot	13.86	9.71	12.46	8.92	9.8%	9.9%
Residential	Minot	9.54	30.12	9.26	26.70	7.0%	5.4%
Semiheated	Minot	4.45	37.94	4.45	37.76	0.4%	0.3%

Ohio

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, the 2006 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC). Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Ohio. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

The 2006 IECC is the most commonly adopted commercial building energy code at the time this report was written. The reference standard for the 2006 IECC is Standard 90.1-2004 and the 2006 IECC shares many features with Standard 90.1-2004. However, the 2006 IECC was created slightly later than Standard 90.1-2004 and thus was able to benefit from changes to Standard 90.1 being contemplated for Standard 90.1-2007. The 2006 IECC is widely considered to be slightly more stringent due to the later creation date in addition to the differences in the development process at ASHRAE and ICC.

- Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.
- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2006 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- Lack of a detailed space-by-space lighting design method in the 2006 IECC. (However, this is available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- More stringent economizer requirements in colder climates in Standard 90.1-2007.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 40.

Table 40. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 4A		Climate Zone 5A	
	IECC 2006	90.1-2007	IECC 2006	90.1-2007
Nonresidential				
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.064	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Residential				
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.064	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-10/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Semiheated				
Exterior Wall	0.134	0.134	0.123	0.123
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor				

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below for each state by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Ohio Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. IECC 2006*	
		IECC 2006		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Cincinnati	12.04	5.21	11.53	4.64	5.0%	4.6%
Residential	Cincinnati	9.13	12.17	8.95	8.51	9.8%	6.1%
Semiheated	Cincinnati	4.31	10.87	4.31	10.72	0.6%	0.4%
Nonresidential	Columbus	11.93	6.25	11.59	5.45	4.1%	3.4%
Residential	Columbus	9.09	15.45	9.05	13.31	4.9%	2.9%
Semiheated	Columbus	4.35	16.35	4.35	16.23	0.4%	0.3%

Oklahoma

Summary

Oklahoma has no statewide commercial code, therefore for this state comparison, DOE has selected Standard 90.1-1999 as the baseline standard for the analysis. Standard 90.1-2007 would substantially improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Oklahoma. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

Standard 90.1-1999 precedes Standard 90.1-2004 and is therefore older (and less stringent) than DOE's currently mandated commercial building energy standard, Standard 90.1-2004. This selection was made in the belief that Standard 90.1-1999 is an appropriate representation of commercial current practice, as it was developed more than ten years ago. DOE's analysis of Standard 90.1-1999 is included in DOE's determination of energy savings for Standard 90.1-2004, which compared Standard 90.1-2004 to Standard 90.1-2001 and Standard 90.1-1999. The complete results of this analysis may be found at http://www.energycodes.gov/implement/determinations_90.1-2004.stm. In comparing Standard 90.1-1999 to Standard 90.1-2007, Standard 90.1-2007:

- Has fewer climate "zones" or "bins" (26 bins versus 8 climate zones).
- Has more stringent building envelope requirements (due in large part to having fewer climate zones).
- Has more strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates.
- Differentiates windows by fixed versus operable rather than by frame material and usage.
- Includes a requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces.
- Removes a deadband exception for data processing centers that eliminates the possibility of simultaneous heating and cooling.
- Increases stringency in fan power limitations.
- Increases boiler efficiency requirements.
- Applies part-load fan power requirements to more smaller systems.
- Revises the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise.
- Has more detailed outdoor lighting power requirements.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 41.

Table 41. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 3A		Climate Zone 4A	
	90.1-1999	90.1-2007	90.1-1999	90.1-2007
Nonresidential				
Exterior Wall	0.124	0.084	0.124	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
Window*	0.57 (0.25)	0.62 (0.25)	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)
Residential				
Exterior Wall	0.064	0.064	0.064	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR.	NR	R-10/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.62 (0.25)	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)
Semiheated				
Exterior Wall	0.184	0.184	0.134	0.134
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor				

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Oklahoma Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. 90.1-1999*	
		90.1-1999		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Oklahoma City	13.49	4.11	12.21	3.85	9.2%	9.3%
Residential	Oklahoma City	9.30	9.42	9.12	9.41	1.5%	1.7%
Semiheated	Oklahoma City	4.42	10.79	4.42	10.66	0.5%	0.3%
Nonresidential	Guymon	13.39	4.71	11.87	4.43	10.8%	11.1%
Residential	Guymon	9.27	11.50	9.19	10.20	3.6%	2.2%
Semiheated	Guymon	4.40	14.56	4.40	14.41	0.5%	0.3%

Pennsylvania

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, the 2006 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC). Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Pennsylvania. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

The 2006 IECC is the most commonly adopted commercial building energy code at the time this report was written. The reference standard for the 2006 IECC is Standard 90.1-2004 and the 2006 IECC shares many features with Standard 90.1-2004. However, the 2006 IECC was created slightly later than Standard 90.1-2004 and thus was able to benefit from changes to Standard 90.1 being contemplated for Standard 90.1-2007. The 2006 IECC is widely considered to be slightly more stringent due to the later creation date plus the differences in the development process at ASHRAE and ICC.

- Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.
- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2006 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- Lack of a detailed space-by-space lighting design method in the 2006 IECC. (However, this is available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- More stringent economizer requirements in colder climates in Standard 90.1-2007.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 42.

Table 42. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 4A		Climate Zone 5A		Climate Zone 6A	
	IECC 2006	90.1-2007	IECC 2006	90.1-2007	IECC 2006	90.1-2007
Nonresidential						
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.064	0.085	0.064	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	R-10/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Residential						
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.064	0.085	0.064	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-15/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Semiheated						
Exterior Wall	0.134	0.134	0.123	0.123	0.113	0.113
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor						

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Pennsylvania Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. IECC 2006*	
		IECC 2006		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Philadelphia	12.56	5.47	11.93	4.79	5.9%	5.4%
Residential	Philadelphia	9.17	17.68	8.96	13.30	10.4%	6.8%
Semiheated	Philadelphia	4.31	15.28	4.31	15.15	0.4%	0.3%
Nonresidential	Harrisburg	11.81	6.01	11.48	5.25	4.1%	3.4%
Residential	Harrisburg	9.15	14.35	9.11	12.23	5.0%	2.9%
Semiheated	Harrisburg	4.33	15.31	4.33	15.20	0.5%	0.3%
Nonresidential	Bradford	12.08	8.59	11.61	7.17	6.1%	5.0%
Residential	Bradford	8.80	23.91	8.78	20.82	5.9%	3.6%
Semiheated	Bradford	4.36	25.34	4.35	25.22	0.3%	0.2%

Rhode Island

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, the 2006 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC) with amendments. Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Rhode Island. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings. The Rhode Island amendments did not affect the simulation inputs.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

The 2006 IECC is the most commonly adopted commercial building energy code at the time this report was written. The reference standard for the 2006 IECC is Standard 90.1-2004 and the 2006 IECC shares many features with Standard 90.1-2004. However, the 2006 IECC was created slightly later than Standard 90.1-2004 and thus was able to benefit from changes to Standard 90.1 being contemplated for Standard 90.1-2007. The 2006 IECC is widely considered to be slightly more stringent due to the later creation date in addition to the differences in the development process at ASHRAE and ICC.

- Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.
- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2006 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- Lack of a detailed space-by-space lighting design method in the 2006 IECC. (However, this is available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- More stringent economizer requirements in colder climates in Standard 90.1-2007.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 43.

Table 43. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 5A	
	State	90.1-2007
Nonresidential		
Exterior Wall	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.048	0.048
Slab	R-7.5/2ft.	NR
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Residential		
Exterior Wall	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.048	0.048
Slab	R-7.5/2ft.	R-10/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Semiheated		
Exterior Wall	0.123	0.123
Roof	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor		

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Rhode Island Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	**Location**	***Energy Use Intensity***				***Savings 90.1-2007 vs. State Code***	
		State Code		**90.1-2007**			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Providence	11.67	6.22	11.39	5.44	3.8%	3.1%
Residential	Providence	8.91	17.89	8.86	15.59	5.1%	3.1%
Semiheated	Providence	4.36	19.16	4.36	19.06	0.4%	0.3%

South Carolina

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, the 2006 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC)[13]. Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in South Carolina. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

The 2006 IECC is the most commonly adopted commercial building energy code at the time this report was written. The reference standard for the 2006 IECC is Standard 90.1-2004 and the 2006 IECC shares many features with Standard 90.1-2004. However, the 2006 IECC was created slightly later than Standard 90.1-2004 and thus was able to benefit from changes to Standard 90.1 being contemplated for Standard 90.1-2007. The 2006 IECC is widely considered to be slightly more stringent due to the later creation date in addition to the differences in the development process at ASHRAE and ICC.

- Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.
- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2006 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- Lack of a detailed space-by-space lighting design method in the 2006 IECC. (However, this is available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- More stringent economizer requirements in colder climates in Standard 90.1-2007.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 44.

[13] The 2006 IECC is effective in South Carolina July 2009.

Table 44. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 3A	
	IECC 2006	90.1-2007
Nonresidential		
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.084
Roof	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR
Window*	0.62 (0.25)	0.62 (0.25)
Residential		
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR
Window*	0.62 (0.39)	0.62 (0.25)
Semiheated		
Exterior Wall	0.184	0.184
Roof	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR

*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

South Carolina Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	**Location**	***Energy Use Intensity***				***Savings 90.1-2007 vs. IECC 2006***	
		IECC 2006		**90.1-2007**			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Columbia	12.00	3.73	11.76	3.48	2.4%	2.2%
Residential	Columbia	9.46	5.59	9.11	4.29	6.6%	5.1%
Semiheated	Columbia	4.30	5.78	4.30	5.64	0.7%	0.4%

South Dakota

Summary

South Dakota has no statewide commercial code, therefore for this state comparison, DOE has selected Standard 90.1-1999 as the baseline standard for the analysis. Standard 90.1-2007 would substantially improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in South Dakota. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

Standard 90.1-1999 precedes Standard 90.1-2004 and is therefore older (and less stringent) than DOE's currently mandated commercial building energy standard – Standard 90.1-2004. This selection was made with the belief that Standard 90.1-1999 is an appropriate representation of commercial current practice, as it was developed more than ten years ago. DOE's analysis of Standard 90.1-1999 is included in DOE's determination of energy savings for Standard 90.1-2004, which compared Standard 90.1-2004 to Standard 90.1-2001 and Standard 90.1-1999. The complete results of this analysis may be found at http://www.energycodes.gov/implement/determinations_90.1-2004.stm. In comparing Standard 90.1-1999 to Standard 90.1-2007, Standard 90.1-2007:

- Has fewer climate "zones" or "bins" (26 bins versus 8 climate zones).
- Has more stringent building envelope requirements (due in large part to having fewer climate zones).
- Has more strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates.
- Differentiates windows by fixed versus operable rather than by frame material and usage.
- Includes a requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces.
- Removes a deadband exception for data processing centers that eliminates the possibility of simultaneous heating and cooling.
- Increases stringency in fan power limitations.
- Increases boiler efficiency requirements.
- Applies part-load fan power requirements to more smaller systems.
- Revises the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise.
- Has more detailed outdoor lighting power requirements.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 45.

Table 45. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 5A		Climate Zone 6A	
	90.1-1999	90.1-2007	90.1-1999	90.1-2007
Nonresidential				
Exterior Wall	0.084	0.064	0.084	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	R-10/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Residential				
Exterior Wall	0.064	0.064	0.064	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-15/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Semiheated				
Exterior Wall	0.123	0.123	0.113	0.113
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor				

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

South Dakota Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. 90.1-1999*	
		90.1-1999		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Yankton	13.86	7.80	12.40	6.87	10.7%	10.6%
Residential	Yankton	9.18	24.71	9.11	22.63	4.1%	2.7%
Semiheated	Yankton	4.42	27.96	4.41	27.87	0.3%	0.2%
Nonresidential	Pierre	13.65	7.66	12.17	6.81	10.9%	10.8%
Residential	Pierre	9.42	22.35	9.35	20.30	4.2%	2.8%
Semiheated	Pierre	4.44	27.27	4.43	27.15	0.3%	0.2%

Tennessee

Summary

Tennessee has a code based on 90A90B, therefore for this state comparison, DOE has selected Standard 90.1-1999 as the baseline standard for the analysis. Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Tennessee. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

Standard 90.1-1999 precedes Standard 90.1-2004 and is therefore older (and less stringent) than DOE's currently mandated commercial building energy standard, Standard 90.1-2004. This selection was made with the belief that Standard 90.1-1999 is an appropriate representation of commercial current practice, as it was developed more than ten years ago. DOE's analysis of Standard 90.1-1999 is included in DOE's determination of energy savings for Standard 90.1-2004, which compared Standard 90.1-2004 to Standard 90.1-2001 and Standard 90.1-1999. The complete results of this analysis may be found at http://www.energycodes.gov/implement/determinations_90.1-2004.stm. In comparing Standard 90.1-1999 to Standard 90.1-2007, Standard 90.1-2007:

- Has fewer climate "zones" or "bins" (26 bins versus 8 climate zones).
- Has more stringent building envelope requirements (due in large part to having fewer climate zones).
- Has more strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates.
- Differentiates windows by fixed versus operable rather than by frame material and usage.
- Includes a requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces.
- Removes a deadband exception for data processing centers that eliminates the possibility of simultaneous heating and cooling.
- Increases stringency in fan power limitations.
- Increases boiler efficiency requirements.
- Applies part-load fan power requirements to more smaller systems.
- Revises the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise.
- Has more detailed outdoor lighting power requirements.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 46.

Table 46. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 3A		Climate Zone 4A	
	90.1-1999	90.1-2007	90.1-1999	90.1-2007
Nonresidential				
Exterior Wall	0.124	0.084	0.124	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
Window*	0.57 (0.25)	0.62 (0.25)	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)
Residential				
Exterior Wall	0.084	0.064	0.064	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	R-10/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.62 (0.25)	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)
Semiheated				
Exterior Wall	0.184	0.184	0.134	0.134
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor				

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Tennessee Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. 90.1-1999*	
		90.1-1999		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Memphis	13.28	3.71	12.01	3.55	9.2%	9.4%
Residential	Memphis	9.42	6.51	9.18	6.01	3.4%	3.0%
Semiheated	Memphis	4.34	6.99	4.34	6.85	0.6%	0.3%
Nonresidential	Nashville	13.57	4.82	12.05	4.52	10.7%	10.9%
Residential	Nashville	9.38	9.48	9.33	8.35	3.2%	1.9%
Semiheated	Nashville	4.34	11.33	4.34	11.18	0.6%	0.4%

Texas

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, the 2001 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC). Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Texas. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

The 2001 IECC was a widely adopted version of the IECC, and was the first version of the IECC to reference the newer ASHRAE standards. The reference standard for the 2001 Supplement to the 2000 IECC is Standard 90.1-1999.

- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2001 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard - Standard 90.1-2001.)
- More climate "zones" or "bins" defined in 2001 IECC than in Standard 90.1-2007 (33 bins versus 8 climate zones)
- More stringent building envelope requirements (due in large part to having fewer climate zones) in Standard 90.1-2007
- No differentiation of window types, as opposed to the differentiation by frame material and usage in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.
- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Energy recovery ventilation systems in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- More strict requirements for VAV fan control in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Increased boiler efficiency requirements in Standard 90.1-2007.
- More detailed outdoor lighting power requirements in Standard 90.1-2007.
- More stringent interior lighting power requirements in Standard 90.1-2007. (Example, "old" value for offices = 1.3 watts per square foot for whole building, "new" value for offices = 1.0 watt per square foot).

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 47 and Table 48.

Table 47. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 2A		Climate Zone 2B	
	IECC 2001	90.1-2007	IECC 2001	90.1-2007
Nonresidential				
Exterior Wall	0.124	0.124	0.124	0.124
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
Window*	1.22 (0.50)	0.72 (0.25)	1.22 (0.40)	0.72 (0.25)
Residential				
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.064	0.125	0.064
Roof	0.06	0.048	0.067	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
Window*	1.22 (0.60)	0.72 (0.25)	1.22 (0.60)	0.72 (0.25)
Semiheated				
Exterior Wall	0.184	0.184	0.184	0.184
Roof	0.167	0.167	0.167	0.167
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor				

Table 48. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 3A		Climate Zone 3B		Climate Zone 4B	
	IECC 2001	90.1-2007	IECC 2001	90.1-2007	IECC 2001	90.1-2007
Nonresidential						
Exterior Wall	0.124	0.084	0.124	0.084	0.124	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Window*	0.57 (0.50)	0.62 (0.25)	1.22 (0.50)	0.62 (0.25)	0.57 (0.40)	0.52 (0.40)
Residential						
Exterior Wall	0.154	0.064	0.157	0.064	0.112	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.061	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	R-10/2ft.
Window*	1.22 (0.60)	0.62 (0.25)	1.22 (0.60)	0.62 (0.25)	0.62 (0.50)	0.52 (0.40)
Semiheated						
Exterior Wall	0.184	0.184	0.184	0.184	0.134	0.134
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor						

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Texas Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	**Location**	***Energy Use Intensity***				***Savings 90.1-2007 vs. IECC2001***	
		IECC 2001		**90.1-2007**			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Austin	22.37	4.75	20.46	4.59	8.2%	8.4%
Residential	Austin	10.38	5.65	9.38	3.89	12.6%	11.0%
Semiheated	Austin	4.24	5.26	4.24	5.10	0.8%	0.4%
Nonresidential	Houston	22.25	4.71	20.25	4.58	8.6%	8.8%
Residential	Houston	10.38	5.42	9.31	3.31	14.1%	12.1%
Semiheated	Houston	4.22	4.73	4.22	4.58	0.8%	0.4%
Nonresidential	El Paso	20.61	4.97	17.97	4.99	11.9%	12.4%
Residential	El Paso	10.14	4.50	9.17	2.58	13.4%	11.4%
Semiheated	El Paso	4.33	5.22	4.33	5.07	0.7%	0.4%
Nonresidential	Fort Worth	22.66	5.31	19.22	5.34	14.2%	14.7%
Residential	Fort Worth	10.37	6.10	9.42	3.96	13.0%	11.0%
Semiheated	Fort Worth	4.38	5.61	4.38	5.50	0.5%	0.3%
Nonresidential	Amarillo	20.68	7.36	18.29	6.92	11.1%	11.3%
Residential	Amarillo	9.40	12.85	9.15	10.20	7.7%	5.3%
Semiheated	Amarillo	4.41	14.56	4.41	14.41	0.5%	0.3%

Utah

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, the 2006 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC). Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Utah. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

The 2006 IECC is the most commonly adopted commercial building energy code at the time this report was written. The reference standard for the 2006 IECC is Standard 90.1-2004 and the 2006 IECC shares many features with Standard 90.1-2004. However, the 2006 IECC was created slightly later than Standard 90.1-2004 and thus was able to benefit from changes to Standard 90.1 being contemplated for Standard 90.1-2007. The 2006 IECC is widely considered to be slightly more stringent due to the later creation date in addition to the differences in the development process at ASHRAE and ICC.

- Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.
- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2006 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- Lack of a detailed space-by-space lighting design method in the 2006 IECC. (However, this is available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- More stringent economizer requirements in colder climates in Standard 90.1-2007.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 49.

Table 49. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 3B		Climate Zone 5B		Climate Zone 6B	
	IECC 2006	90.1-2007	IECC 2006	90.1-2007	IECC 2006	90.1-2007
Nonresidential						
Exterior Wall	0.085	0.064	0.085	0.064	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	R-10/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.25)	0.48 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Residential						
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.064	0.085	0.064	0.085	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-15/2ft.
Window*	0.62 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Semiheated						
Exterior Wall	0.123	0.123	0.123	0.123	0.113	0.113
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor						

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Utah Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. IECC 2006*	
		IECC 2006		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Saint George	11.82	4.23	11.77	4.15	0.6%	0.5%
Residential	Saint George	10.55	3.48	10.14	2.04	7.2%	5.4%
Semiheated	Saint George	4.38	6.87	4.37	6.76	0.6%	0.4%
Nonresidential	Salt Lake City	11.60	5.24	11.29	4.79	3.4%	3.0%
Residential	Salt Lake City	9.26	11.39	9.21	9.35	5.2%	3.0%
Semiheated	Salt Lake City	4.36	13.49	4.35	13.45	0.2%	0.2%
Nonresidential	Logan	11.60	5.77	11.26	5.19	3.9%	3.4%
Residential	Logan	9.46	11.72	9.41	9.58	5.3%	3.0%
Semiheated	Logan	4.35	14.55	4.34	14.44	0.5%	0.3%

Vermont

Summary

Vermont has a state-specific code. The envelope requirements are based on the 2004 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC) with Vermont-specific amendments, and lighting system requirements are based on Standard 90.1-2004 lighting power densities and 2004 IECC exemptions and allowances. Mechanical requirements are based on the 2004 IECC and Vermont-specific amendments. The Vermont state-specific version of COM*check* 3.6.1 was used to identify the envelope and lighting requirements to be used in the baseline for the analysis. Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Vermont. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2003 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2001.)
- More climate "zones" or "bins" defined in 2003 IECC than in Standard 90.1-2007 (33 bins versus 8 climate zones).
- More stringent building envelope requirements (due in large part to having fewer climate zones) in Standard 90.1-2007.
- No differentiation of window types, as opposed to the differentiation by frame material and usage in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.
- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Energy recovery ventilation systems in Standard 90.1-2007.
- More strict requirements for VAV fan control in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- More detailed outdoor lighting power requirements in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Potential loophole for indoor lighting power density in that Standard 90.1-2001 has the "old" lighting power densities, while Chapter 8 of the 2003 IECC has the "new" lighting power densities. (Example, "old" value for offices = 1.3 watts per square foot, "new" value for offices = 1.0 watt per square foot).

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 50.

Table 50. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 6A	
	State Code	90.1-2007
Nonresidential		
Exterior Wall	0.064	0.064
Roof	0.04	0.048
Slab	R-10/4ft.	R-10/2ft.
Window*	0.48 (0.40)	0.48 (0.40)
Residential Exterior		
Wall	0.064	0.064
Roof	0.04	0.048
Slab	R-10/4ft.	R-10/2ft.
Window*	0.48 (0.40)	0.48 (0.40)
Semiheated		
Exterior Wall	0.113	0.113
Roof	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor		

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Vermont Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	**Location**	***Energy Use Intensity***				***Savings 90.1-2007 vs. State Code***	
		State Code		**90.1-2007**			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Burlington	12.10	8.62	11.89	7.31	4.0%	2.8%
Residential	Burlington	8.87	21.91	8.90	21.66	0.3%	0.0%
Semiheated	Burlington	4.36	25.92	4.35	25.81	0.3%	0.2%

Virginia

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, the 2006 IECC. Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Virginia. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

The 2006 IECC is the most commonly adopted commercial building energy code at the time this report was written. The reference standard for the 2006 IECC is Standard 90.1-2004 and the 2006 IECC shares many features with Standard 90.1-2004. However, the 2006 IECC was created slightly later than Standard 90.1-2004 and thus was able to benefit from changes to Standard 90.1 being contemplated for Standard 90.1-2007. The 2006 IECC is widely considered to be slightly more stringent due to the later creation date plus the differences in the development process at ASHRAE and ICC.

- Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.
- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2006 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- Lack of a detailed space-by-space lighting design method in the 2006 IECC. (However, this is available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- More stringent economizer requirements in colder climates in Standard 90.1-2007.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 51.

Table 51. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 4A	
	IECC 2006	90.1-2007
Nonresidential		
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)
Residential		
Exterior Wall	0.125	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.52 (0.40)
Semiheated		
Exterior Wall	0.134	0.134
Roof	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor		

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Virginia Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	**Location**	***Energy Use Intensity***				***Savings 90.1-2007 vs. IECC 2006***	
		IECC 2006		**90.1-2007**			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Richmond	12.11	4.81	11.64	4.37	4.4%	4.1%
Residential	Richmond	9.37	10.52	9.15	7.39	9.1%	5.8%
Semiheated	Richmond	4.31	10.34	4.31	10.20	0.6%	0.4%

West Virginia

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, the 2003 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC). Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in West Virginia. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

The 2003 was a widely adopted version of the IECC which was the first non-supplement version of the IECC to reference the newer ASHRAE standards. The reference standard for the 2003 IECC is Standard 90.1-2001.

- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2003 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2001.)
- More climate "zones" or "bins" defined in 2003 IECC than in Standard 90.1-2007 (33 bins versus 8 climate zones).
- More stringent building envelope requirements (due in large part to having fewer climate zones) in Standard 90.1-2007.
- No differentiation of window types, as opposed to the differentiation by frame material and usage in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.
- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Energy recovery ventilation systems in Standard 90.1-2007.
- More strict requirements for VAV fan control in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- More detailed outdoor lighting power requirements in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Potential loophole for indoor lighting power density in that Standard 90.1-2001 has the "old" lighting power densities, while Chapter 8 of the 2003 IECC has the "new" lighting power densities. (Example, "old" value for offices = 1.3 watts per square foot, "new" value for offices = 1.0 watt per square foot).

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 52.

Table 52. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 4A		Climate Zone 5A	
	IECC 2003	90.1-2007	IECC 2003	90.1-2007
Nonresidential				
Exterior Wall	0.095	0.064	0.086	0.064
Roof	0.06	0.048	0.06	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
Window*	0.62 (0.40)	0.52 (0.40)	0.62 (0.50)	0.48 (0.40)
Residential				
Exterior Wall	0.095	0.064	0.086	0.064
Roof	0.06	0.048	0.06	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-10/2ft.
Window*	0.62 (0.50)	0.52 (0.40)	0.62 (0.60)	0.48 (0.40)
Semiheated				
Exterior Wall	0.134	0.134	0.123	0.123
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor				

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

West Virginia Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. IECC 2003*	
		IECC 2003		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Charleston	11.87	5.23	11.53	4.64	3.9%	3.4%
Residential	Charleston	9.04	10.92	8.95	8.51	6.6%	3.9%
Semiheated	Charleston	4.31	10.87	4.31	10.72	0.6%	0.4%
Nonresidential	Elkins	11.85	6.05	11.23	5.58	5.5%	5.4%
Residential	Elkins	8.85	15.46	8.79	12.63	6.7%	4.0%
Semiheated	Elkins	4.32	15.29	4.32	15.17	0.4%	0.3%

Wisconsin

Summary

Standard 90.1-2007 contains improvements in energy efficiency over the current state code, the 2006 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC) with amendments. The Wisconsin amendments did not affect any simulation inputs. Standard 90.1-2007 would improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Wisconsin. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

The 2006 IECC is the most commonly adopted commercial building energy code at the time this report was written. The reference standard for the 2006 IECC is Standard 90.1-2004 and the 2006 IECC shares many features with Standard 90.1-2004. However, the 2006 IECC was created slightly later than Standard 90.1-2004 and thus was able to benefit from changes to Standard 90.1 being contemplated for Standard 90.1-2007. The 2006 IECC is widely considered to be slightly more stringent due to the later creation date plus the differences in the development process at ASHRAE and ICC.

- Less strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates in Standard 90.1-2007.
- A requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Fan power limitation in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Revision of the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise in Standard 90.1-2007.
- Lack of residential and semiheated space requirements in the 2006 IECC. (However, these are available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- Lack of a detailed space-by-space lighting design method in the 2006 IECC. (However, this is available by way of the ASHRAE reference standard, Standard 90.1-2004.)
- More stringent economizer requirements in colder climates in Standard 90.1-2007.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 53.

Table 53. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 6A		Climate Zone 7	
	IECC2006	90.1-2007	IECC2006	90.1-2007
Nonresidential				
Exterior Wall	0.085	0.064	0.064	0.064
Roof	0.048	0.048	0.039	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-15/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)	0.52 (0.40)	0.42 (0.45)
Residential				
Exterior Wall	0.085	0.064	0.064	0.042
Roof	0.048	0.048	0.039	0.048
Slab	NR	R-15/2ft.	NR	R-15/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)	0.52 (0.40)	0.42 (0.45)
Semiheated				
Exterior Wall	0.113	0.113	0.113	0.113
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor				

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Wisconsin Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. IECC 2006*	
		IECC 2006		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Madison	12.35	8.18	11.88	6.90	5.7%	4.7%
Residential	Madison	9.09	22.74	9.04	19.92	5.6%	3.5%
Semiheated	Madison	4.38	24.87	4.38	24.75	0.3%	0.3%
Nonresidential	Superior	12.78	11.05	12.28	9.29	6.3%	5.1%
Residential	Superior	9.02	30.19	9.00	28.03	3.7%	2.4%
Semiheated	Superior	4.43	37.47	4.42	37.27	0.4%	0.3%

Wyoming

Summary

Wyoming has no statewide commercial code, therefore for this state comparison, DOE has selected Standard 90.1-1999 as the baseline standard for the analysis. Standard 90.1-2007 would substantially improve energy efficiency in commercial buildings in Wyoming. The analysis of the impact of Standard 90.1-2007 resulted in energy and cost savings.

Main Differences Between the Current State Code and Standard 90.1-2007

Standard 90.1-1999 precedes Standard 90.1-2004 and is therefore older (and less stringent) than DOE's currently mandated commercial building energy standard, Standard 90.1-2004. This selection was made with the belief that Standard 90.1-1999 is an appropriate representation of commercial current practice, as it was developed more than ten years ago. DOE's analysis of Standard 90.1-1999 is included in DOE's determination of energy savings for Standard 90.1-2004, which compared Standard 90.1-2004 to Standard 90.1-2001 and Standard 90.1-1999. The complete results of this analysis may be found at http://www.energycodes.gov/implement/determinations_90.1-2004.stm. In comparing Standard 90.1-1999 to Standard 90.1-2007, Standard 90.1-2007:

- Has fewer climate "zones" or "bins" (26 bins versus 8 climate zones).
- Has more stringent building envelope requirements (due in large part to having fewer climate zones).
- Has more strict requirements for vestibules in cold climates.
- Differentiates windows by fixed versus operable rather than by frame material and usage.
- Includes a requirement for demand controlled ventilation in high occupancy spaces.
- Removes a deadband exception for data processing centers that eliminates the possibility of simultaneous heating and cooling.
- Increases stringency in fan power limitations.
- Increases boiler efficiency requirements.
- Applies part-load fan power requirements to more smaller systems.
- Revises the additional lighting power allowance for retail displays to lower the allowance for some categories of merchandise.
- Has more detailed outdoor lighting power requirements.

A comparison of the thermal envelope requirements is provided in Table 54.

Table 54. Comparison of Envelope Requirements (U-factors in Btu/hr.ft2.°F)

	Climate Zone 5B		Climate Zone 6B		Climate Zone 7B	
	90.1-1999	90.1-2007	90.1-1999	90.1-2007	90.1-1999	90.1-2007
Nonresidential						
Exterior Wall	0.084	0.064	0.084	0.064	0.084	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	NR	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-15/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.40)	0.48 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Residential						
Exterior Wall	0.064	0.064	0.064	0.064	0.064	0.064
Roof	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048	0.063	0.048
Slab	NR	R-10/2ft.	NR	R-15/2ft.	NR	R-15/2ft.
Window*	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)	0.57 (0.39)	0.48 (0.40)
Semiheated						
Exterior Wall	0.123	0.123	0.113	0.113	0.113	0.113
Roof	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097	0.097
Slab	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
*Window SHGC shown in parentheses next to the U-factor						

Energy Analysis

An energy analysis was conducted comparing each state's base code to Standard 90.1-2007. The EnergyPlus software was used to determine the energy impacts. Summary savings results are shown below by building type. Results are shown for the electricity and natural gas energy use intensity (in kWh/sf-year and kBtu/sf-year, respectively) for both the base code and Standard 90.1-2007. Results are also shown for the percent reduction of overall site energy usage and energy cost from the base case to Standard 90.1-2007. The energy cost savings are estimated using national average energy costs of $0.0939 per kWh for electricity and $1.2201 per therm for natural gas. Presentation of the individual results for electricity and natural gas usage allows interested parties to calculate source energy or energy cost savings based on state (rather than national average) fuel prices. Total annual energy usage for the three building prototypes may be calculated by multiplying the energy use intensity numbers by the square footage of the prototype building.

Wyoming Energy End Use and Percentage Savings							
Building Prototype	Location	*Energy Use Intensity*				*Savings 90.1-2007 vs. 90.1-1999*	
		90.1-1999		90.1-2007			
		Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Electricity (kWh/sf/yr)	Natural Gas (kBtu/sf/yr)	Energy	Cost
Nonresidential	Torrington	12.93	5.64	11.57	5.27	10.1%	10.3%
Residential	Torrington	9.15	15.95	9.08	14.18	4.2%	2.6%
Semiheated	Torrington	4.41	19.15	4.40	19.03	0.4%	0.3%
Nonresidential	Cheyenne	12.64	6.06	11.25	5.57	10.6%	10.8%
Residential	Cheyenne	9.10	18.87	9.04	16.81	4.5%	2.8%
Semiheated	Cheyenne	4.42	23.86	4.42	23.75	0.3%	0.2%
Nonresidential	Rock Springs	12.80	6.72	11.38	6.03	11.0%	11.1%
Residential	Rock Springs	9.08	20.98	9.03	18.71	4.7%	3.0%
Semiheated	Rock Springs	4.41	26.12	4.40	26.02	0.3%	0.2%

Appendix A – Prototype Building Descriptions

Table A-1: Nonresidential Prototype Building Characteristics

Characteristic	Prototype Building Model Description
GENERAL	
Building Type	Medium Office
Gross Floor Area	53,600 ft²
Building Shape	Rectangle
Aspect Ratio	1.5 (164 ft x 109 ft)
Number of Floors	3
Window-to-Wall Ratio	33% (modeled as strip windows of 5 ft. high)
Floor Height	13 ft
Floor-to-Ceiling Height	9 ft
Exterior Wall	Steel-framed wall
Roof	Insulation entirely above deck, metal deck roof
Floor	8" Slab-on-grade
INTERNAL LOADS	
Occupancy	
Number of People	5 persons / 1000 sf
Lighting	
Power Density	1.0 w/sf
Plug Load	
Average Power Density	0.75 w/sf
HVAC	
Heating Type	Gas furnace
Cooling Type	Packaged DX Unit
Fan Control	Variable air volume
Distribution/Terminal Units	VAV terminal box with electric reheating coil
Cooling T-stat	75°F (80°F setback)
Heating T-stat	70°F (60°F setback)
SERVICE WATER HEATER	
Water Heater Type	Electric storage water heater
Tank Capacity, gallons	260
Supply Temperature, °F	120

Table A-2: Residential Prototype Building Characteristics

Characteristic	Prototype Building Model Description
GENERAL	
Building Type	Multifamily residential building
Gross Floor Area	33,700 ft²
Building Shape	Rectangle
Aspect Ratio	2.75 (152 ft x 56 ft)
Number of Floors	4
Activity Area	Each floor has 8 (25'x38') apartments, except ground floor which has 7 apartments and one lobby/office
Window-to-Wall Ratio	15% (4ft high view windows)
Floor Height	10 ft
Floor-to-Ceiling Height	10 ft (for the office area only)
Exterior Wall	Steel-framed wall
Roof	Insulation entirely above deck, metal deck roof
Floor	8" Slab-on-grade
INTERNAL LOADS	
Occupancy	
Number of People	78 persons total (average 2.5 persons per apartment unit)
Lighting	
Average Power Density	• Apartment units: 0.36 w/sf • Corridors: 0.5 w/sf • Office area: 1.1 w/sf
Plug Load	
Average Power Density	0.62 w/sf
HVAC	
Heating Type	Gas furnace
Cooling Type	Split system DX (one per apartment)
Fan Control	Constant volume
Distribution/Terminal Units	Single zone/direct air
Cooling T-stat	75°F (no setback assumed)
Heating T-stat	70°F (no setback assumed)
SERVICE WATER HEATER	
Water Heater Type	Individual residential electric storage water heater
Tank Capacity, gallons	20 (per apartment unit)
Supply Temperature, °F	120

Table A-3: Semiheated Prototype Building Characteristics

Characteristic		Prototype Building Model Description
GENERAL		
	Building Type	Non-refrigerated warehouse
	Gross Floor Area	49,500 ft²
	Building Shape	Wide rectangle
	Aspect Ratio	2.2 (330 ft x 150 ft)
	Number of Floors	1
	Activity Area (percentage of gross floor area)	• Bulk storage area: 34,500 ft² (70%) • Fine storage area: 12,450 ft² (25%) • Office area: 2,550 ft² (5%)
	Window-to-Wall Ratio	• Storage area: No windows • Office area: 12% view windows
	Floor Height	28 ft
	Floor-to-Ceiling Height	14 ft (for the office area only)
	Exterior Wall	Metal building wall
	Roof	Metal building roof
	Floor	6" Slab-on-grade
	Door	7 opaque doors (3'x7'), 7 roll-up dock doors (8'x10')
INTERNAL LOADS		
	Occupancy	
	Number of People	5 (in the office area)
	Lighting	
	Average Power Density	• Bulk storage area: 0.8 w/sf • Fine storage area: 0.8 w/sf • Office area: 1.0 w/sf
	Plug Load	
	Average Power Density	Office: 0.75 w/sf Bulk storage: 0.24 w/sf
HVAC		
	Heating Type	• Bulk storage area: Unit heater • Fine storage area: Gas furnace • Office area: Gas furnace
	Cooling Type	• Bulk storage area: No cooling • Fine storage area: Direct expansion • Office area: Direct expansion
	Fan Control	Constant volume
	Distribution/Terminal Units	Single zone/Direct air

Characteristic		Prototype Building Model Description
	Cooling T-stat	• Fine storage area: 80°F • Office area: 75°F (85°F setback)
	Heating T-stat	• Bulk storage area: 50°F • Fine storage area: 60°F • Office area: 70°F (60°F setback)
SERVICE WATER HEATER		
	Water Heater Type	Electric storage water heater
	Tank Capacity, gallons	20
	Supply Temperature, °F	120

The U.S. Department of Energy's Building Energy Codes Program is an information resource on national model energy codes. We work with other government agencies, state and local jurisdictions, national code organizations, and industry to promote stronger building energy codes and help states adopt, implement, and enforce those codes.

BECP Website:
www.energycodes.gov

BECP Technical Support:
techsupport@becp.pnl.gov
www.energycodes.gov/support/helpdesk.php

EERE Information Center
1-877-EERE-INF (1-877-337-3463)
www.eere.energy.gov/informationcenter

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY | Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy

PNNL-18544 • September 2009

Printed with a renewable-source ink on paper containing at least 50% wastepaper, including 10% post-consumer waste.