WBN2Public Resource

From: Clark, Mark Steven [msclark0@tva.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2011 3:32 PM

To: Bryan, Robert H Jr

Cc: Milano, Patrick; Poole, Justin; Hilmes, Steven A; Crouch, William D; Knuettel, Edward Terry

Subject: 20110701 Open Item List Master TVA Update 07-25-11.docx Attachments: 20110701 Open Item List Master TVA Update 07-25-11.docx

Bob:

The attached list is ready for transmittal to the NRC.

Regards,

Steve

Steve Clark Bechtel Power Corp. Control Systems Watts Bar 2 Completion Project

Phone: 423.365.3007 e-mail: msclark0@tva.gov **Hearing Identifier:** Watts_Bar_2_Operating_LA_Public

Email Number: 484

Mail Envelope Properties (7AB41F650F76BD44B5BCAB7C0CCABFAF1816A2D4)

Subject: 20110701 Open Item List Master TVA Update 07-25-11.docx

 Sent Date:
 7/26/2011 3:31:58 PM

 Received Date:
 7/26/2011 3:32:28 PM

 From:
 Clark, Mark Steven

Created By: msclark0@tva.gov

Recipients:

"Milano, Patrick" <Patrick.Milano@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Poole, Justin" < Justin. Poole@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Hilmes, Steven A" <sahilmes@tva.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Crouch, William D" <wdcrouch@tva.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Knuettel, Edward Terry" <etknuettel@tva.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Bryan, Robert H Jr" <rhbryan@tva.gov>

Tracking Status: None

Post Office: TVANUCXVS2.main.tva.gov

Files Size Date & Time

MESSAGE 293 7/26/2011 3:32:28 PM

20110701 Open Item List Master TVA Update 07-25-11.docx 565981

Options

Priority:StandardReturn Notification:NoReply Requested:NoSensitivity:Normal

Expiration Date: Recipients Received:

No. SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
001 All	All	The Watts Bar Nuclear Plant FSAR red-line for Unit 2 (Agency 1	12/15/2009 Presentation Slides	1. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	3/12/2010	NNC 11/19/09: The FSAR contains
002 All	All	Are there I&C components and systems that have changed to a	12/15/2009 Presentation Slides	2. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	3/12/2010	NNC 11/19/09: The FSAR contains
003 All	All	Because a digital I&C platform can be configured and programmed 1	12/15/2009 Presentation Slides	3. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	3/12/2010	NNC 11/19/09: The FSAR contains
004 All	All		Responder: Webb 1/13/10 Public Meeting	4. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	January 13, 2010	NNC 11/19/09: LIC-110 Rev. 1 Section
005 7.1.3.		By letter date February 28, 2008 (Agencywide Documents Access	Responder: Craig/Webb	5. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
006		Amendment 95 of the FSAR, Chapter 7.3, shows that change 7.3-1	By letter dated February 5, 2010: TVA provided the Unit 2	6. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	NNC: WCAP-12096 Rev. 7
007 7.1.3.		The setpoint methodology has been reviewed and approved by the T		7. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	TVA to provide Rev. 8 of the Unit 1
008 7.3		There are several staff positions that provide guidance on setpoint T	TVA Letter Dated March 12, 2010 (Enclosure 1, Item No. 8	8. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
009 7.3.2	5.6,	Change 7.3-2, identified in Watts Bar Nuclear Plant FSAR red-line T	TVA Letter Dated March 12, 2010 (Enclosure 1, Item No. 9	9. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	3/12/10,	
010 7.3	7.3	The original SER on Watts Bar (NUREG-0847) documents that the T	TVA Letter Dated March 12, 2010 (Enclosure 1, Item No. 10	10. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	3/12/10,	
011 7.3.2	5.6,	NUREG-0847 Supplement No. 2 Section 7.3.2 includes an	TVA Letter Dated March 12, 2010 (Enclosure 1, Item No. 11	11. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	ML101680598,	
012 7.4	7.4	The original SER on Watts Bar (NUREG-0847) documents that the T	TVA Letter Dated March 12, 2010 (Enclosure 1, Item No. 12	12. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
013 7.1.3.		Chapter 7 and Chapter 16 of Amendment 95 to the FSAR do not	ΓVA Letter Dated March 12, 2010 (Enclosure 1, Item No. 13	13. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	TS have been docketed.
014 All	All	Provide the justification for any hardware and software changes	Date: 4/27/10	14. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	
015			Date: 4/27/10	15. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	
016			Date: 4/27/10	16. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	
017 7.3.1	7.3.1,		Date: 4/27/10	17. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	
018			Date: 4/27/10	18. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	
019		, ,	Date: 4/27/10	19. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	
020		Provide environmental qualification information pursuant to Section D	Date: 4/27/10	20. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	NNC 4/30/10: SRP Section 7.0 states:
021	7.3	For the Foxboro Spec 200 platform, identify any changes in	Date: 5/25/10	21. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	The resolution of this item will be
022 7.3.2	5.6,	Verify the auxiliary feedwater control refurbishment results in a like-		22. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	
023		Provide environmental qualification (10 CFR 50.49) information for D	Date: 4/27/10	23. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	NNC 4/30/10: SRP Section 7.0 states:
024		Provide a schedule by the January 13, 2010, meeting for providing D	During the January 13, 2010 meeting, TVA presented a	24. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	N/A – Request for	NNC 4/30/10: Carte to address
025 7.5.2	7.5.1	For the containment radiation high radiation monitor, verify that the		25. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	ML101230248,	
026		Provide environmental qualification (10 CFR 50.49) information for D	Date: 4/27/10	26. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	NNC 4/30/10: SRP Section 7.0 states:
027 7.7.1.		For Foxboro I/A provide information regarding safety/non-safety-	Date: 4/27/10	27. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	
028		For the turbine control AEH system, verify that the refurbishment	Responder: Mark Scansen	28. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	
029		For the rod control system, verify that the refurbishment results in a	Date: 4/27/10	29. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	
030		Regarding the refurbishment of I&C equipment, identify any	Responder: Clark	30. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	
031		For the rod position indication system (CERPI), provide information	Date: 4/27/10	31. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	CERPI is non-safety related.
032		For the process computer, need to consider cyber security issues	Date: 4/27/10	32. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	EICB will no longer consider cyber
033			Date: 4/27/10	33. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	The loose parts monitoring system is
034		<u></u>	Responder: TVA	34. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	TVA Letter dated	
034.		Chapter 7.1 – Introduction		35. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	
034.		Chapter 7.2 - Reactor Trip System		36. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	
034. 7.3	7.3	Chapter 7.3 – ESFAS		37. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	
034. 7.5.1.	7.5.2	Chapter 7.5 - Instrumentation Systems Important to Safety		38. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Closed
034. 7.5.1.	7.5.2	└ ○ ☐ Chapter 7.6 - All Other Systems Required for Safety		39. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Closed
034.		Chapter 7.7 Control Systems		40. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	
035		2/18/2010 R	Responder: Clark	41. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 1	TVA Letter dated	LIC-110 Section 6.2.2 states: "Design
036 7.5.2	7.5.1	February 18, 2010	Date: 5/25/10	42. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting		NNC: Unit 2 FSAR Section 7.5.1, "Post
037 7.5.1.	7.5.2	~ [≥] ^q 2/18/2010	Responder: Clark Date: 5/25/10	43. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	TVA Letter dated	FSAR Amendment 100 provides

038 7.5.1. 039 040 042 All 044 7.5.2 045 046 047 7.5.2 048 7.5.2 049 7.5.2 051 052 053 7.5.2	All 7.5.1 7.5.1 7.5.1 7.5.1 7.5.1 7.5.1		January 13, 2010 January 13, 2010 February 25, 2010: Telecom February 25, 2010 February 25, 2010 February 25, 2010 A/8/2010 April 8, 2010 4/8/2010	Responder: Clark Date: 5/25/10 Responder: Clark Date: 5/25/10 Responder: Clark Date: 5/25/10 Date: 5/25/10 Date: 5/25/10 Date: 5/25/10 Date: 5/25/10 Responder: WEC/Hilmes Date: 5/25/10 Date: 5/25/10 Responder: WEC Date: 5/25/10	44. Y 45. Y 46. Y 47. Y 48. Y 49. Y 50. Y 51. Y	Closed Closed Closed Closed Closed Closed Closed Closed	Closed Closed Closed Closed Closed Closed Closed Closed	EICB RAI	FSAR amendment TVA Letter dated TVA Letter dated TVA Letter dated	
040 042 All 044 7.5.2 045 046 047 7.5.2 048 7.5.2 049 7.5.2 051 052 7.5.2	7.5.1 7.5.1 7.5.1 7.5.1 7.5.1 7.5.1		January 13, 2010 February 25, 2010 February 25, 2010 February 25, 2010 February 25, 2010 A/8/2010 April 8, 2010 4/8/2010	Responder: Clark Date: 5/25/10 Date: 5/25/10 Date: 5/25/10 Date: 5/25/10 Date: 5/25/10 Responder: WEC/Hilmes Date: 5/25/10 Date: 5/25/10	46. Y 47. Y 48. Y 49. Y 50. Y	Closed Closed Closed Closed Closed	Closed Closed Closed Closed	EICB RAI EICB RAI EICB RAI EICB RAI	FSAR amendment TVA Letter dated TVA Letter dated TVA Letter dated	The equation for the calculation of the
042 All 044 7.5.2 045 046 047 7.5.2 048 7.5.2 049 7.5.2 050 7.5.2 051 052 7.5.2	7.5.1 7.5.1 7.5.1 7.5.1 7.5.1 7.5.1		February 25, 2010 April 8, 2010 4/8/2010 4/8/2010	Date: 5/25/10 Date: 5/25/10 Date: 5/25/10 Date: 5/25/10 Responder: WEC/Hilmes Date: 5/25/10 Date: 5/25/10	47. Y 48. Y 49. Y 50. Y	Closed Closed Closed Closed	Closed Closed Closed	EICB RAI EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated TVA Letter dated TVA Letter dated	
044 7.5.2 045 046 047 7.5.2 048 7.5.2 049 7.5.2 050 7.5.2 051 052 7.5.2	7.5.1 7.5.1 7.5.1 7.5.1 7.5.1 7.5.1		February 25, 2010 February 25, 2010 February 25, 2010 4/8/2010 April 8, 2010 4/8/2010	Date: 5/25/10 Date: 5/25/10 Date: 5/25/10 Responder: WEC/Hilmes Date: 5/25/10 Date: 5/25/10	49. Y 50. Y 51. Y	Closed Closed Closed	Closed Closed	EICB RAI EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated TVA Letter dated	The drawing provided did not have the
045 046 047 7.5.2 048 7.5.2 049 7.5.2 050 7.5.2 051 052 7.5.2 7.5.2	7.5.1 7.5.1 7.5.1 7.5.1 7.5.1		February 25, 2010 February 25, 2010 4/8/2010 April 8, 2010 4/8/2010	Date: 5/25/10 Date: 5/25/10 Responder: WEC/Hilmes Date: 5/25/10 Date: 5/25/10	49. Y 50. Y 51. Y	Closed Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
046 047 7.5.2 048 7.5.2 049 7.5.2 050 7.5.2 051 052 7.5.2 7.5.2	7.5.1 7.5.1 7.5.1 7.5.1		February 25, 2010 February 25, 2010 4/8/2010 April 8, 2010 4/8/2010	Date: 5/25/10 Responder: WEC/Hilmes Date: 5/25/10 Date: 5/25/10	50. Y 51. Y	Closed				
047 7.5.2 048 7.5.2 049 7.5.2 050 7.5.2 051 052 7.5.2 7.5.2	7.5.1 7.5.1 7.5.1 7.5.1	_0 _0 _0	4/8/2010 April 8, 2010 4/8/2010	Responder: WEC/Hilmes Date: 5/25/10 Date: 5/25/10	51. Y		Closed	N/A Degreest for		
0487.5.20497.5.20507.5.20517.5.20527.5.2	7.5.1 7.5.1 7.5.1 7.5.1		April 8, 2010 4/8/2010	Date: 5/25/10				IN/A - Request for	N/A	
0497.5.20507.5.20517.5.20527.5.2	7.5.1 7.5.1 7.5.1		4/8/2010		52 Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
050 7.5.2 051 7.5.2 052 7.5.2	7.5.1	O	4/0/2010	Responder: WEC Date: 5/25/10	0Z. 1	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
051 052 7.5.2	7.5.1		4/8/2010	2 3(3) 5/20/10	53. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
052 7.5.2				Responder: WEC Date: 5/25/10	54. N	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	NNC 11/18/10: SysRS Rev. 2 contains
		(0	April 15, 2010	Date: 5/25/10	55. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Review addressed by another Open
053 752	751	<u> </u>	April 19, 2010	Date: 5/25/10	56. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 12		
1.5.2	7.0.1	<u></u> ∽ ∽ .	April 19, 2010	Date: 5/25/10	57. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 13		
054 7.5.2	7.5.1		4/19/2010	Responder: Slifer/Clark Date: 5/25/10	58. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 14	TVA Letter dated	
055 7.5.2	7.5.1	<u></u> ∽ ∽ .	4/19/2010	Responder: Slifer/Clark Date: 5/25/10	59. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 15	TVA Letter dated	
056		<u></u> ∽ ∽ .	April 19, 2010	Date: 5/25/10	60. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 16	TVA Letter dated	Sorrento Radiation Monitoring
057 7.5.2	7.5.1	∵ ഗ .	4/19/2010	Responder: TVA I&C Staff Date: 5/25/10	61. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 17	TVA Letter dated	
058 7.5.0	7.5	∵ ഗ .	April 19, 2010	Date: 5/25/10	62. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 18	TVA Letter dated	
059 7.5.2	7.5.1	∵ ഗ .	April 19, 2010	Date:	63. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 19	TVA Letter dated	
060 7.5.2	7.5.1	υÜ	April 19, 2010	Date: 5/25/10	64. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Addressed by Open Item No. 47
061 7.5.2	7.5.1	υÜ	April 19, 2010	Date: 5/25/10	65. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Addressed by Open Item No. 48
062 7.5.2	7.5.1	_O	April 19, 2010	Date: 5/25/10	66. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Addressed by Open Item No. 49
063 7.5.2	7.5.1			Date: 5/25/10	67. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Addressed by Open Item No. 50
064 7.5.2	7.5.1	υO	By letter dated March 12, 2010 TVA stated that the target submittal	Responder: Webb Date: 4/8/2010	68. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - No question	TVA Letter dated	
065 7.5.2			By letter dated March 12, 2010 TVA stated that the target submittal			Closed	Closed	N/A - No question		
066 7.5.2			By letter dated March 12, 2010 TVA stated that the target submittal			Closed	Closed		TVA Letter dated	
070 7.5.2					71. N	Closed	Closed	1	TVA Letter dated	NNC 11/23/10: The dues date in this
071 7.5.2		_O	By letter dated March 12, 2010 TVA stated that the target submittal		72. N	Closed	Closed		N/A	NNC 11/23/10: The dues date in this
072 7.5.2			By letter dated March 12, 2010 TVA stated that the target submittal		73. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - No question		
073 7.5.2			By letter dated March 12, 2010 TVA stated that the target submittal		74. N	Closed	Closed	N/A - No question		
075 7.5.2			By letter dated March 12, 2010 TVA stated that the target submittal		75. N	Closed	Closed		N/A	
076 7.5.2		+				Closed	Closed		N/A	
077 7.5.2			By letter dated March 12, 2010 TVA stated that the target submittal		77. Y	Closed	Closed	1	TVA Letter dated	
078				Responder: Clark Date: 5/25/10	78. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
079				Responder: Clark Date: 5/25/10		Closed	Closed	EICB RAI		Reviewed under Item 154
080				Responder: WEC	80. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 2	TVA Letter dated	
082 7.5.2	7.5.1	+		Responder: WEC Date: 6/18/10		Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	NNC 11/18/10: See also Open Item No.
083 7.5.2				Date: 6/18/10		Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
084 7.5.2				Date: 6/18/10	83. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
085 7.5.2				Responder: WEC	84. N	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI		
087 7.5.2		_		Date: 5/24/10	85. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 20	TVA Letter dated	
088 7.5.2				Date: 5/24/10		Closed	Closed	RAI No. 21	TVA Letter dated	

						T D	I			1	1
No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
089			_0	5/6/2010	Responder: Clark	87. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	NNC: Docketed response states that
090			CO	5/6/2010	Responder: Clark Date: 5/25/10	88. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
091	7.4	7.4	a	May 20, 2010	Date: 5/25/10	89. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI No.1	TVA Letter dated	
093			_ C	May 20, 2010	Date: 5/25/10	90. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Will be reviewed under item 154
094			_ U	5/20/2010	Responder: Clark Date: 5/25/10	91. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Information was found in FSAR
095	7.8.1,	XX	a	May 20, 2010	Date:	92. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI No. 2	TVA Letter dated	
096	7.7.5	XX	a	5/20/2010	Responder:	93. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI No.3	TVA Letter dated	
097	7.4.2	7.4	a	May 20, 2010	Date:	94. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI No.4	TVA Letter dated	
098	7.4.2	7.4	a	May 25, 2010	Date:	95. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI No.5	TVA Letter dated	
099			OB 6	April 12, 2010	Date:	96. Y	Closed	Closed			Closed to Item 129
100			_O	5/20/2010	Responder: WEC	97. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - No question	N/A	
102			_O	May 24, 2010	Date: 5/24/10	98. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	TVA Letter dated	Request for schedule not information.
103	7.4	7.4	a	5/27/2010	Responder: Ayala Date: 5/27/10	99. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI No.1	TVA Letter dated	Submittal date is based on current
104	7.4	7.4	a	5/27/2010	Responder: Merten Date: 5/27/10	100. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI No.1	TVA Letter dated	Submittal date is based on current
105			_ U	April 29, 2010	Date:	101. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Will be reviewed under item 154.
106			S	May 6, 2010	Date: 5/25/10	102. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 9	TVA Letter dated	
107			S	May 6, 2010	Date: 5/28/10	103. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 22	TVA Letter dated	
108			ب ن	May 6, 2010	Date: 5/25/10	104. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Will be reviewed under OI#154
109.	7.8	XX			Responder: N/A	105. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	
109.			_0	5/6/2010	Responder: N/A	106. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Duplicate of another open Item.
110					Date:	107. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Information was found.
111			_0	May 6, 2010	Date: 5/28/10	108. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	TVA Letter dated	Request to help find, not a request for
112				June 1, 2010	Date:	109. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Information was received
113			ب ن	6/1/2010	Responder: Clark	110. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
114	7.2	7.2	ب ن	6/1/2010	Responder: WEC	111. Y	Close	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
115			_0	2/25/2010	Responder: Clark	112. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
116			_ U		Responder: WEC	113. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	Letter sent to Westinghouse requesting
117	7.1	7.1			Responder: Hilmes	114. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
118	7.4	7.4	a 🗅 -	6/8/2010	Responder: Merten	115. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI No.1	TVA Letter dated	Submittal date is based on current
119			\sim or	June 10, 2010	Date:	116. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 23	TVA Letter dated	
120					Responder: Hilmes/Merten/Costley		Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
121					Responder: Webb/Webber		Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
122			_O		Date:		Closed	Closed		N/A	
123	7.7.3	7.4.1,	а D -		Responder:		Closed	Closed	ML101720589,	TVA Letter dated	
124	7.7.5	XX	_		Responder:	+	Closed	Closed	ML101720589, Item	_	
125	7.7.8	7.7.1.12			Responder:		Closed	Closed	ML101720589, Item		
126	7.8	7.8			Date:		Closed	Closed	ML101720589, Item		
127	7.2	7.2			Responder: WEC/Clark		Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
128	7.2	7.2			Responder: WEC Drake /TVA Craig	125. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	Track through SE open item
129							Closed	Closed	N/A	TVA Letter dated	
130					Responder: Clark		Closed	Closed	N/A	TVA Letter dated	
131					Responder: Clark		Closed	Closed	N/A	TVA Letter dated	
132							Closed	Closed	N/A	TVA Letter dated	
										201101 44104	

	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
133			~ a	6/28/2010	Responder: Clark	130. Y	Closed	Closed		TVA Letter dated	
134			E	6/28/2010	Responder: Clark	131. Y	Closed	Closed		TVA Letter dated	
135 7	7.3.1	7.3.1	- D B	6/30/2010	Responder: Clark	132. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI not necessary	TVA Letter dated	
136 7	7.3.2,	7.4, 5.6,	- D B	6/30/2010	Responder: Clark	133. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI not necessary	TVA Letter dated	
137			_ O	Several WBN2 PAMS documents contain a table titled, "Document	Responder: WEC	134. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101650255, Item	TVA Letter dated	
139			_ U	The WBN2 PAMS System Requirements Specification (WBN2	Responder: WEC	135. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101650255, Item	TVA Letter dated	WBN2 PAMS System Requirements
140			_ U	The first requirement in the WBN2 PAMS SysRS (i.e., R2.2-1)	Responder: Clark	136. N	Closed	Closed	ML101650255, Item	TVA Letter dated	WBN2 PAMS System Requirements
141			[Deleted by DORL	Date:	137. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101650255, Item	n	WBN2 PAMS System Requirements
146			~° (6/17/2010	Responder:	138. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101650255, Item	n	PAMS System Requirements
147			_O	6/17/2010	Responder:	139. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101650255, Item	ı	PAMS System Requirements
148			_O	6/17/2010	Responder:	140. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101650255, Item	n	PAMS System Requirements
149	7.2	7.2	_ o _Ω F	FSAR Section 7.1.1.2(2), Overtemperature delta T and	Responder: Tindell	141. Y	Close	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	
150	7.2	7.2	_ U	Many of the changes were based on the Westinghouse document	Responder: Clark	142. Y	Close	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	
151	7.2	7.2	_ ∪ ^ω F	Provide the EDCR 52378 and 54504 which discusses the basis for	Responder: Clark	143. Y	Close	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	
152	7.2	7.2			Responder: Merten/Clark	144. Y	Close	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	
153	7.2	7.2	_ o _Ω F	FSAR section 7.2.1.1.7 added the reference to FSAR section	Responder: Craig/Webb	145. Y	Close	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	
154	7.2	7.2	_ ∪°	FSAR section 7.2.1.1.10, setpoints: NRC staff has issued RIS	Responder: Craig/Webb	146. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
155	7.2	7.2	_ U	Summary of FSAR change document section 7.2 states that	Date:	147. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101720589, Item	1	
156	7.2	7.2	_ o _Ω l	FSAR section 7.2.2.1.1 states that dashed lines in Figure 15.1-	Responder: WEC	148. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	Response on hold pending
157	7.2	7.2			Responder: Tindell	149. Y	Close	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	
158	7.2	7.2	_∪ _O F	FSAR section 7.2.2.1.1, paragraph six was changed to state that	Responder: Tindell	150. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	
159	7.2	7.2	_∪ _O F	FSAR section 7.2.2.1.2 discusses reactor coolant flow	Responder: Craig	151. Y	Close	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	
160	7.2	7.2			Responder: Tindell	152. Y	Close	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	
161	7.2	7.2			Responder: Clark	153. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	
162	7.2	7.2	_∪ _O β	FSAR section 7.2.2.2(14) states that bypass of a protection	Responder: Tindell	154. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	
163	7.2	7.2	60		Date:	155. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101720589, Item	n	
164	7.2	7.2	2 - D-F	FSAR section 7.2.2.2(20) has been revised to include the plant	Responder: Perkins	156. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	Item No. 8 sent to DORL
165	7.2	7.2	_∪ _U	FSAR section 7.2.2.3.2, last paragraph of this section has been	Responder: Clark	157. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	
166	7.2	7.2			Responder: Clark	158. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	
167	7.2	7.2			Responder: Clark	159. Y	Close	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	
168	7.2	7.2			Responder: Clark	160. Y	Close	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	
169				6/18/2010	Responder: Clark	161. Y	Closed	Closed			
170						162. Y	Closed	Closed			
	7.2	7.2			Responder: Craig	163. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	Closed to SE Open Item
172					Responder: Craig	164. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI		
	7.1	7.1			Responder: Craig/Webb/Powers	165. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI		
174					Responder: Hilmes/Craig	166. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI		
175					Responder:	167. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI		
	7.1	7.1			Responder: Craig/Webb	168. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI		
	.5.2.	7.5.1				169. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	TVA Letter dated	RAI not required
	.5.2.	7.5.1			Responder: Clark	170. Y	Closed		N/A	TVA Letter dated	RAI not required
179					Responder: WEC	171. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A – Closed to	NA	
180				The SRP, BTP 7-14, Section B.3.3.1 states that Regulatory Guide		172. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A – Closed to	NA	

						Response	I	<u> </u>		1	1
No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
181			$-\circ$	An emphasis is placed on traceability in System Requirements	Responder: WEC	173. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - Closed to	NA	
182			$-\circ$	Characteristics that the SRP states that a Software Requirements	Responder: WEC	174. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - Closed to	NA	
184			$-\circ$	7/15/2010	Responder: WEC	175. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - Closed to	N/A	
186	7.7.8	7.7.1.12	a D	7/15/2010	Responder: Perkins/Clark	176. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI No.6	TVA Letter dated	
187			_0	By letter dated June 18, 2010, TVA docketed responses to NRC	Responder: Merten	177. N	Closed	Closed	ML101970033, Item	TVA Letter dated	Are these connections already
188			$-\circ$	By letter dated June 30, 2010, TVA docketed, "Tennessee Valley	Responder: Clark	178. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101970033, Item	TVA Letter dated	
189		7.6.7	∵ ഗ .	7/20/2010	Responder: Clark	179. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 3	TVA Letter dated	
190	7.9			FSAR Table 7.1-1 states: "Regulatory Guide 1.133, May 1981	Responder: Clark	180. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 4	TVA Letter dated	Closed to OI-331.
191	7.9		$-\circ$	NUREG-0800 Chapter 7, Section 7.9, "Data Communication	Responder: Jimmie Perkins	181. Y	Closed	Closed	ML10197016, Item	TVA Letter dated	
192	7.5.1.	7.5.2	_≥ a	The NRC Staff is using SRP (NUREG-0800) Chapter 7 Section	Responder: Clark	182. Y	Closed	Closed	Item No. 1 sent to	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML1028618855 sent to
193	7.5.1.	7.5.2	_≥ a	The WBU2 FSAR, Section 7.5.2, "Plant Computer System,"	Responder: Clark	183. Y	Closed	Closed	Item No. 2 sent to	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML1028618855 sent to
194	7.5.1.	7.5.2.1	_≥ a	The WBU2 FSAR Section 7.5.2.1, "Safety Parameter Display	Responder: Costley/Norman	184. Y	Closed	Closed	Item No. 3 sent to	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML1028618855 sent to
195	7.5.1.	7.5.2.2	_ ≥ @	Bypassed and Inoperable Status Indication (BISI)	Responder: Costley/Norman	185. Y	Closed	Closed	Item No. 4 sent to	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML1028618855 sent to
196	7.5.1.	7.5.2.2	_≥ დ	Bypassed and Inoperable Status Indication (BISI)	Responder: Costley/Norman	186. Y	Closed	Closed	Item No. 5 sent to	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML1028618855 sent to
197			×	Open Item 197 was never issued.		187. Y	Closed	Closed			
198	7.5.1.	7.5.2.2	_≥ თ	SRP Section 7.5, Subsection III, "Review Procedures" states:	Responder: Costley/Norman	188. Y	Closed	Closed	Item No. 6 sent to	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML1028618855 sent to
199	7.5.1.	7.5.2.3	_ ≥ @	The WBU2 FSAR Section 7.5.2.3, "Technical Support Center and	Responder: Costley/Norman	189. Y	Closed	Closed	Item No. 7 sent to	TVA Letter dated	Related SE Section 7.5.5.3 EICB RAI
200	7.2			7/21/2010	Responder: Clark	190. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
201	7.7.1.	7.7.11	$-\circ$	7/21/2010	Responder: Webb	191. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
203	7.5.1.	7.5.2	_ ≥ @	7/26/2010	Responder: Clark	192. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
204	7.5.1.	7.5.2	_ ≥ @	7/26/2010	Responder: Costley/Norman	193. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
205			ب ب	7/26/2010	Responder: Clark	194. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	Question B related to prior NRC
206	7.5.1.	7.5.2	_≥ a	7/27/2010	Responder: Clark	195. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
207			-0	July 27, 2010	Date:	196. Y	Closed	Closed			
208	7.5.2.	7.5.1	_ ≥ @	7/27/2010	Responder: Clark	197. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
209	7.5.2.	7.5.1	_≥ ø	7/27/2010	Responder: Clark	198. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
210		7.5.1	ه ≤ پ	7/27/2010	Responder: Clark	199. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
211	7.5.1.		_O	7/27/2010	Responder: Clark	200. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	Relates to SE Sections:
214			\sim \circ	7/27/2010	Responder: WEC	201. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
215			~ Д	7/29/2010	Responder: WEC	202. Y	Closed	Closed			
216	7.5.1.	7.5.2		7/29/2010	Responder: Clark	203. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
217				7/6/2010	Responder: Clark	204. Y	Close	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
218				7/6/2010	Responder: Clark	205. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
219			٦٥	8/4/2010	Responder: TVA Licensing	206. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI		
220			٥	8/4/2010	Responder: Ayala	207. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
221	7.7.1.	7.7.1.3	_≥ ø	8/4/2010	Responder: Trelease	208. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
222				8/4/2010	Responder: Clark	209. Y	Close	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
223				8/4/2010	Responder: Clark	210. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI		
224	7.5.1.	7.5.2		8/4/2010	Responder: Norman (TVA CEG)	211. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
225				8/4/2010	Responder: Scansen	212. Y	Close	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
226			_ O	8/4/2010	Responder: TVA Licensing	213. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A – Information	TVA Letter dated	See also Open Item Nos. 41 & 270.
227				8/4/2010	Responder: Clark	214. Y	Close	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
228			_O	8/4/2010	Responder: Clark	215. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
229			_O	8/4/2010	Responder: Clark	216. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
230			_ O	8/4/2010	Responder: Webb	217. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
231				8/4/2010	Responder: Clark	218. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
232			<u> </u>	8/4/2010	Responder: Clark	219. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 5	TVA Letter dated	
233			_ O	8/4/2010	Responder: Clark	220. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
234				8/4/2010	Responder:	221. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A – Duplicate	N/A	
235			U	8/4/2010	Responder: TVA Licensing	222. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	
236			_ <u>0</u>	8/4/2010	Responder: Clark	223. Y	Close	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
237			C	8/4/2010	Responder: Clark	224. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
238				8/4/2010	Responder: Webb/Hilmes	225. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - Duplicate	N/A	
239			_ O	8/4/2010	Responder: Hilmes	226. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A – Meeting	N/A	
240				8/4/2010	Responder: Clark	227. Y	Close	Closed	MI102910008	TVA Letter dated	
241			∵ ∽ .	8/4/2010	Responder: Davies	228. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 10	TVA Letter dated	
242				8/4/2010	Responder: Hilmes	229. Y	Close	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
243				8/3/2010	Responder: WEC	230. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A – Closed to	N/A	
247				8/8/2010	Responder: WEC	231. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	Response is	LIC-101 Rev. 3 Appendix B Section 4,
248			_ O	8/8/2010	Responder: WEC	232. Y	Closed	Closed		Response is	LIC-101 Rev. 3 Appendix B Section 4,
249				8/8/2010	Responder: WEC	233. Y	Closed	Closed			LIC-101 Rev. 3 Appendix B Section 4,
253			_ O	8/8/2010	Responder: Clark	234. Y	Closed	Closed		TVA Letter dated	Related to Open Item no. 83.
254			C	8/10/2010	Responder: WEC	235. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - Request to	TVA Letter dated	
255			C	8/10/2010	Responder: WEC	236. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - Request to	TVA Letter dated	
256				8/10/2010	Responder: WEC	237. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - Request to	TVA Letter dated	
257			0	8/10/2010	Responder: WEC	238. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - Request to	N/A	
258				8/10/2010	Responder: WEC	239. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - Request to	N/A	
259			C	8/10/2010	Responder: WEC	240. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - Request to	TVA Letter dated	
260			_ O	8/10/2010	Responder: WEC	241. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - Request to	N/A	
261			_ O	8/10/2010	Responder: WEC	242. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A – Closed to	TVA Letter dated	LIC-110 Rev. 1 Section 6.2.2 states:
262			CO	8/10/2010	Responder: WEC	243. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - Request to	N/A	
263				8/11/2010	Responder: WEC	244. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101650255, Item		
264				8/11/2010	Responder: WEC	245. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101650255, Item	1	
265			$-\circ$	8/11/2010	Responder: WEC	246. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101650255, Item	1	
266				8/11/2010	Responder: Webb/Webber	247. Y	Closed	Closed		TVA Letter dated	
267				8/11/2010	Responder: WEC	248. Y	Closed	Closed			
268				8/19/2010	Responder: WEC	249. N	Closed	Closed			
269				8/20/2010	Responder: NRC	250. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	
270				8/23/2010	Responder: Clark	251. Y	Closed	Closed			See also Open Item Nod. 41 & 245.
271				8/23/2010	Responder: WEC	252. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A – Closed to	NA	
272	7.5.2.	7.5.1	ه ≥ ر	8/26/2010	Responder: Clark	253. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
273	7.5.2.	7.5.1		8/26/2010	Responder: Clark	254. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
274.				8/26/2010	Responder: Stockton	255. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 6	TVA Letter dated	
274.	7.5.2.	7.5.1		8/26/2010	Responder: Clark	256. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
275				8/27/2010	Responder: Clark	257. Y	Closed	Closed	Not Required	N/A	
276	7.6	7.6	٥	8/27/2010	Responder: Webb	258. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	

								1		1	
	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
277	7.6	7.6.3	_ O	8/27/2010	Responder: Clark	259. Y	Close	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
278	7.6	7.6.6		8/27/2010	Responder: Trelease	260. Y	Close	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
279	7.6	7.6.6	Ü	8/27/2010	Responder: Mather	261. Y	Close	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
280	7.6	7.6.6	_ O	8/27/2010	Responder: Trelease	262. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
281	7.6	7.6.8) O	8/27/2010	Responder: Webb	263.	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
282	7.6	7.6.9	Ü	8/27/2010	Responder: Trelease	264. Y	Close	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
283 7	7.7.5	XX	a	8/27/2010	Responder: Clark	265. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI No.13	TVA Letter dated	This item is a follow-up question to item
284 7	7.7.3	7.4.1	a	8/27/2010	Responder: Webber	266. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI No.14	TVA Letter dated	This item is a follow-up question to item
285 7	7.3.3	7.3	a	8/27/2010	Responder: McNeil	267. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI No.15	TVA Letter dated	This item is a follow-up question to item
286 7	7.7.3	9.3.4.2.4	a	8/27/2010	Responder: Webber	268. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI No.16	TVA Letter dated	
287	7.3	7.3-1			Responder: Elton	269. Y	Closed	Closed	ML102390538, Item	Response	
288	7.3		_ <u>0</u>	9/2/2010	Responder: McNeil	270. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI		
289			<u> </u>	9/2/2010	Responder: Faulkner	271. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 24	TVA Letter dated	
290		7.7) C	9/7/2010	Responder: Clark	272. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	This item is a duplicate of item 291.
291		7.7	_ O	9/7/2010	Responder: Clark	273. Y	Closed	Closed		TVA Letter dated	
292 7	7.2.5	7.2) O	9/7/2010	Responder: Craig	274. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
293 7	7.7.4	7.2.2.3.5	_ ≥ ø	9/8/2010	Responder: Craig	275. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
294		7.3.1.1.1		9/9/2010	Responder: Elton	276. Y	Closed	Closed	ML102390538, Item	Response	
295	7.3	7.3.1.1.2	D a	9/9/2010	Responder: Elton	277. Y	Closed	Closed	ML102390538, Item	Response	
296	7.3	7.3.1.2.1	a	9/9/2010	Responder: Elton	278. Y	Closed	Closed	ML102390538, Item	Response	
297	7.3	7.3.1.2.2	a	9/9/2010	Responder: Elton	279. Y	Closed	Closed	ML102390538, Item	Response	
298	7.3	XX	a	9/9/2010	Responder: Clark	280. Y	Closed	Closed	ML102390538, Item	Response	
299			_ O	Provide Common Q Software Requirements Specification Post	Attachment 41 of the 10/5 letter contains the Common Q	281. Y	Closed	Closed		TVA Letter dated	
300			<u> </u>	Need Radiation Monitoring System Description/Design Criteria	Responder: Temples/Mather	282. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 25	TVA Letter	
301			∵ S .	1.TVA is requested to address the consequences of software	Responder: WEC/Davies/Clark	283. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 11	TVA Letter dated	Note 1:
302 7	7.5.2.	7.5.1	ه ≤ ر	09/17/2010	Responder: Tindell	284. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
303 7	7.5.2.	7.5.1	o ≤ (09/17/2010	Responder: Tindell	285. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
304 7	7.5.2.	7.5.1	o ≤ ∪	09/17/2010	Responder: Tindell	286. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
305 7	7.5.2.	7.5.1	o ≤ _	09/17/2010	Responder: Tindell	287. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
306	7.1	7.1	_ O	FSAR amendment 100, page 7.1-12 provides the definition of	Responder: Hilmes	288. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
307	7.1	7.1	_ O	(1) FSAR amendment 100, Section 7.1, page 7.1-12, definition of	Responder: Hilmes	289. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
308	7.1	7.1		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	Responder: Hilmes	290. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
309	7.1	7.1.2.1.9		(1)1 6/11 differentiation 100,1 age 7.1 14, Westinghouse setpoint	Responder: Hilmes	291. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
310	7.1	7.1.2.1.9	<u> </u>	(1) FSAR amendment 100, Page 7.1-14, TVA setpoint	Responder: Hilmes	292. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
311	7.1	7.1			Responder: Hilmes	293. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
312		7.0	Ü	By letter dated September 10,2010, TVA provided the summary	Responder: Stockton	294. Y	Close	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
313 7	7.7.8	7.7.1.12	a	EDCR 52408 (installation of AMSAC in Unit 2) states that Design	Responder: Ayala	295. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI No.18	TVA Letter dated	
314	7.3	7.3				296. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI No. 19	TVA Letter dated	Related to OI 10
315 7	7.5.3	7.5.3		IE Bulletin 79-27 required that emergency operating procedures to	Responder: S. Smith (TVA Operations)	297. Y	Close	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
316 7	7.5.2.	7.5	<u> </u>	TVA has provided various documents in support of RM-1000 high	Responder: Temples/Mather	298. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 26		
317 7	7.5.2.	7.5		TVA has provided a proprietary and a non-proprietary version of	Responder: Temples	299. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 27	TVA Letter dated	
318 7	7.5.2.	7.5	∵ S .	TVA has provided the following documents for RM-1000	Responder: Temples	300. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 28	TVA Letter dated	
319 7	7.5.2.	7.5	<u> </u>	TVA provided System Verification Test Results 04507007-1TR	Responder: Temples	301. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 29	TVA Letter dated	

Sec	<u>Ayc</u>	iua iui	VVCCKIY	I CICC	on with the tier chapter tonly)	IduED070.000	<u>√∧</u>			Оре	ii iteilis to be	Resolved for SER Approval
1.	No.	SE Sec.			Issue	TVA Response(s)	Acceptable	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
1	320			В —	Per Westinghouse letter WBT-D-2340, TENNESSEE VALLEY	Responder: Clark	302. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Duplicate of item 156
1.	321			ш _	For the purposes of measuring reactor coolant flow for Reactor	Responder: Clark	303. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Duplicate of OI# 157
1982 1	322		7.7.1.11	CO	Section 7.7.1.11 will be added to FSAR Amendment 101 to provide	Responder: Clark	304. Y	Closed	Closed			
1982 1	324			_ ≥ a	Per the NRC reviewer, the BISI calculation is not required to be		305. Y	Closed	Closed			
1	325					Responder: TVA Startup Olson	306. Y	Closed	Closed			Closed to open item ?
2.5	326			ن پ	TVA uses double-sided methodology for as-found and as-left	Responder: Webb	307. Y	Closed	Closed		TVA Letter dated	
23	328	7.5.2.	7.5	∵ ∽ .	Provide the model number for the four containment high range	Responder: Temples	308. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 30	TVA Letter dated	
7.2 7.3 7.4 7.5	329	7.6.1	7.6.7	∵ S .	Section 7.6.7 of the FSAR (Amendment 100) states that, "The	Responder: Clark	309. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 1	TVA Letter dated	
1	330	7.3	7.3	a	Related to Item 298	Responder: Hilmes/Faulkner	310. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI No.20	Item 7, TVA letter	
1	331	7.6.1	7.6.7	∵ ∽ .	As a follow up of OI 190, Staff has reviewed the proprietary version	Responder: WEC/Harless/Clark	311. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 8	TVA Letter dated	Follow-up of OI-190.
23.4 7.2 7.5	332	7.5.2.	7.5.1	_ ≥ a	10/26/2010		312. Y	Closed	Closed	ML103000105 Item	TBD	EICB RAI ML103000105 sent to DORL
Secondary Control Co	333	7.5.2.	7.5.1	_ ≥ a	10/27/2010		313. Y	Closed	Closed	ML103000105 Item	TBD	EICB RAI ML103000105 sent to DORL
New Part No. No. New Part No. No. New Part No. New Par	334	7	7	a	FSAR Figure 7A-3 "Mechanical Flow and Control Diagram	Responder: Stockton	314. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI not required.	N/A	RAI not required because the figure is
Second Content	335	7.6.1	7.6.7	∵ ∽ .	LPMS: Reference to OI-331, sub item 2.	Responder: WEC	315. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI# 1, EICB letter	TVA letter, dated	We need to confirm when MEEB when
A	336	7.5.2.	7.5	∵ S .	Re: RM-1000 Report 04508905-QR	Responder: GA	316. Y	Closed	Closed			
339 7.5.2 7.5	337	7.5.2.	7.5	∵ S .	Re: RM-1000 Report 04508905-QR	Responder: GA	317. Y	Closed	Closed			
1	338	7.5.2.	7.5	∵ S .	In page 3-15 and appendix B of Qualification Test Report	04508905-QR, "Qualification Test Report for RM-1000	318. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI #4 letter dated	FSAR amend 103	Note: Item to be added to Section 3.10
The continue of the second o	339	7.5.2.	7.5	∵ S .	In the Qualification Test Report 04508905-QR, the licensee	As agreed to with the reviewer, Attachment 1 contains the	319. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI #5 letter dated	FSAR amend 103	Note: Item to be added to Section 3.10
343 7.52 7.5 - 0 Seismic RRS in the 04508905-QR report Fouries 3-2 and 3-3 (1) The cause of the difference between the RRS and TRS 5/2 Y Closed Close	341	7.5.2.	7.5	∵ S .	FSAR Tables 3.10 list seismically qualified equipment. However,	A review of WBN Unit 2 FSAR amendment 102 chapters	320. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI #1 letter dated	FSAR amend 103	
43 7.6.2 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5	342	7.5.2.	7.5	∵ S .	Please confirm that RM-1000 monitors and the associated	The RM-1000 containment high range radiation monitors are	321. Y	Closed	Closed			
1.5 1.5	343	7.5.2.	7.5	∵ S .	Seismic RRS in the 04508905-QR report Figures 3-2 and 3-3	(1) The cause of the difference between the RRS and TRS	322. Y	Closed	Closed			
347 7.5.2 7.5 9 0 Qualification report 04508905-SSP does not address EMIRFI Qualification report 04038903-TSP, Qualification Basis for 325. Y Closed Closed Closed Closed RAI # 9, letter FSAR amend 103 Note: Item to be added to Section 3.10 7.5.2 7.5 9 7 The replacement schedule for the components that have a The Perilson of the Ministry of The replacement schedule for the components that have a The replacement sche	344	7.6.6	?	B _ G	Unit 1 SE discussed in Section 7.6.5, "Valve Power Lockout".	(a) In accordance with OPDP-6, "Locked Valve/Breaker	323. Y	Close	Closed			Close based on TVA letter dated
348 7.5.2 7.5	345	7.5.2.	7.5	∵ S .	Provide the normal temperatures and expected periods of high/low	RM-1000 in a NIM Bin was Tested at 39°F for 72 Hrs and	324. Y	Closed	Closed		Response	
Sample S	347	7.5.2.	7.5	∵ S .	Qualification report 04508905-1SP does not address EMI/RFI	Qualification report 04038903-7SP, Qualification Basis for	325. Y	Closed	Closed			
75.2. 7.5	348	7.5.2.	7.5	<u> </u>	Qualification report 04508905-2SP does not address EMI/RFI	Qualification report 04038903-7SP, Qualification Basis for	326. Y	Closed	Closed			
7.5. 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5	350	7.5.2.	7.5	~ S .	The seismic required response spectra (RRS) is shown in Figures	The RM-1000 was seismically tested in a NIM Bin and the	327. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI # 9, letter	FSAR amend 103	Note: Item to be added to Section 3.10
75.2. 7.5	351	7.5.2.	7.5	_ s .	The replacement schedule for the components that have a	The replacement schedules stated in 04508905-1SP,	328. Y	Closed	Closed			
5.5.2. 7.5. $-\frac{1}{1}$ Staff has not found the stated exclusion zone for EMI/RFI Cautions and distance limitations for WBN Unit 1 legacy 331. Y Closed Close	352	7.5.2.	7.5	<u> </u>	Please clarify how many RM-1000 radiation monitors are being	The total number of RM-1000 units procured under MR	329. Y	Closed	Closed			
356 7.5.2. 7.5	354	7.5.2.	7.5	_ s .	RG 1.180 endorsed the guidance of IEEE-1050-1996 with	(1) The WBN Unit 2 grounding system design is in	330. Y	Closed	Closed			The grounding specification used by
7.5.2 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5	355	7.5.2.	7.5	_ s .	Staff has not found the stated exclusion zone for EMI/RFI	Cautions and distance limitations for WBN Unit 1 legacy	331. Y	Closed	Closed			
37 7.7.9	356	7.5.2.	7.5	_ s .	The attachment number refers to your February 25, 2011 letter.	The loss of the RM-3 output (current to frequency (I/F)	332. Y	Closed	Closed		Closed by TVA	
7.5. In attachment numbers refer to your Pedraty 25, 2011 Fetter. In Ammoniplete response was inadvertently submitted in TVA SS4. To Closed Cl	357	7.5.2.	7.5	_ s .	In Attachment 5, Qualification Test Report Supplement, RM-1000	Attachment 8 contains GA-ESI qualification report	333. Y	Closed	Closed		Closed by TVA	
362 7.6.1 7.6.7 2 0 OI #331 requested TVA to provide information regarding how the TVA committed to provide a letter on the docket (targeted is 336. Closed Closed Closed 375 7.7.9 4 1. During the conference call held on 4/12, the staff requested TVA Partial Response to NRC Request: 337. N Closed Closed Closed 377 7.7.9 4 1. Further explanation is required for the sentence in EDCR TVA Response 338. Closed Closed 378 4 Nake the following WEC proprietary documents available for NRC Per Westinghouse letter WBT-D-3201 (Reference 1), the 339. Closed Closed 379 4 Provide proprietary and non-proprietary versions of the WINCISE The proprietary versions of the slides were provided in TVA 340. Closed Closed 380 4 Provide Non-Proprietary functional description of the WINCISE Attachment 3 contains the Westinghouse Non-Proprietary 341. Closed Closed Closed	358	7.5.2.	7.5	○ S .	The attachment numbers refer to your February 25, 2011 letter. In	An incomplete response was inadvertently submitted in TVA	334. Y	Closed	Closed		Closed by TVA	
375 7.7.9	360			٦٥	In order for staff to review the acceptability of the Incore	(a) The Watts Bar Unit 2 In-core Instrumentation System	335.	Closed	Closed			
7.7.9 The further explanation is required for the sentence in EDCR TVA Response 338. Closed Closed TVA Response 338. Closed Closed TVA Response TVA Response 338. Closed TVA Response TVA Response 339. Closed TVA Response TVA Response SVA Re	362	7.6.1	7.6.7	ь	OI #331 requested TVA to provide information regarding how the	TVA committed to provide a letter on the docket (targeted is	336.	Closed	Closed			
378 Signal And State And S	375	7.7.9		∀	1. During the conference call held on 4/12, the staff requested	TVA Partial Response to NRC Request:	337. N	Closed	Closed			
379 Provide proprietary and non-proprietary versions of the WINCISE The proprietary versions of the slides were provided in TVA 340. Closed 380 Provide Non-Proprietary functional description of the WINCISE Attachment 3 contains the Westinghouse Non-Proprietary 341. Closed Closed	377	7.7.9		< − >	Further explanation is required for the sentence in EDCR	TVA Response	338.	Closed	Closed			
380 Provide Non-Proprietary functional description of the WINCISE Attachment 3 contains the Westinghouse Non-Proprietary 341. Closed Closed	378			<	Make the following WEC proprietary documents available for NRC	Per Westinghouse letter WBT-D-3201 (Reference 1), the	339.	Closed	Closed			
	379			∀ _ >	Provide proprietary and non-proprietary versions of the WINCISE	The proprietary versions of the slides were provided in TVA	340.	Closed	Closed			
Non-Proprietary description of the qualification of the SPS cabinet Attachment 5 contains the Westinghouse non-proprietary 342. Closed Closed	380			< <u> </u>	Provide Non-Proprietary functional description of the WINCISE	Attachment 3 contains the Westinghouse Non-Proprietary	341.	Closed	Closed			
	382			∀ _ >	Non-Proprietary description of the qualification of the SPS cabinet	Attachment 5 contains the Westinghouse non-proprietary	342.	Closed	Closed			

¹ AP-1000 is a registered trademark of the Westinghouse Electric Company LLC

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
384			\ 	Non-Proprietary description of the differences between Unit 1 and	The only similarities between the WBN Unit 1 and Unit 2 IIS	343.	Closed	Closed			
385			¥	Non-Proprietary description of the calc note shown to the NRC at	Attachment 7 contains Westinghouse non-proprietary	344.	Closed	Closed			
386				Provide a description of the communications between the SPS and	There is no direct communication between the SPS cabinets	345.	Closed	Closed			
387			∀	Provide a copy of the analysis which states how Westinghouse has	As discussed in the Westinghouse WINCISE presentation at	346.	Closed	Closed			
349	7.5.2.	7.5		effects of a DBE, (3) the event radiation dose is less than or equal to 1 x 10 ⁴ rads, and (4) the total event plus the 40 year TID (total integrated dose) is less than or equal to 5 x 10 ⁴ rads (reference WB-DC-40-54). TVA to address lack of radiation qualification for WBN-2.	WBNTSR-005, "Dose Due to the Control Building Emergency Air Cleanup Filters" Revision 3. However, on page 25 of WBNTSR-005, the shine from this source into the control room is negligible and is not considered in the dose calculation for the control room. Calculation WBNAPS3-126, "EQ Dose in the U1/U2 Auxiliary Instrument Rooms and the Computer Room in the Control Building" Revision 0 documents the environmental qualification (EQ) radiation dose in the control building. A review of this document by the TVA radiation protection engineer determined that the TID including the normal and accident dose values for the control room is less than 1x10³ RAD. Calculation WBNAPS3-126, will be revised to include the control room by July 1, 2011. Since the control room TID has been determined to be less than 1x10³ RAD, radiation qualification of the RM-1000.		Open	Open-Mech Eng to revise calculation Due: 2/25/11 TVA to provide the assessment document or a summary of the document with the reference to the appropriate document/documents. February 25, 2011 response is acceptable. Item will be tracked as a confirmatory item in the SE. TVA to provide calculation or summary of calculation when complete.			
041	7.5.2	7.5.1	EICB	to where the material was reviewed and approved in the CQ TR or SPM:	Responder: WEC Items (1) and (2) were docketed by TVA letter dated April 8, 2010. Item (3) will be addressed by Revision 2 of the Licensing Technical Report. Due 12/3/10 Item (4) will be addressed by Westinghouse developing a WBN2 Specific Test Plan to compensate for the fact that the NRC disapproved WNA-PT-00058-GEN during the original Common Q review. Due 12/7/10 Item (5) Procedures that are listed in the SPM compliance table in the Licensing Technical Report revision 1 supersede that test procedure WNA-TP-00357-GEN.Due 10/22/10 For Item 3, Attachment 19 contains the Westinghouse document "Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report," WNA-LI-00058-WBT, Revision 2, dated December 2010. Attachment 20 contains the Westinghouse Application for Withholding for the "Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report," WNA-LI-00058-WBT, Revision 2, dated December		Partial Response is included in letter dated 10/5/10. The SysRS and SRS incorporate requirements from many other documents by reference. NNC 8/25/10: (3) An earlier version of this report was docketed for the Common Q topical report; therefore, there should be no problem to docket this version. (4) Per ML091560352, the testing process	Due 3/29/11 NNC 1/27/11: Issues with the STP were discussed in the weekly public meetings. Westinghouse to: (1) perform STP self assessment., and (2) Augment Test Summary report to provide missing test plan information NNC 2/3/11: At next audit compare & discuss: (1) WNA-PT-00058-GEN Rev. 0 (2) WNA-PT-00138-WBT Rev. 0	Summary NRC Meeting Summary ML093560019, Item	6/18/10	See also Open Item Nos. 226 & 270.

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
	Sec.	Sec.	POC		 For Item 4, Attachment 9 contains the Westinghouse document "Nuclear Automation Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects, Post Accident Monitoring System Test Plan," WNA-PT-00138-WBT, Revision 0, dated November 2010. Attachment 10 contains the Westinghouse Application for Withholding for the WNA-PT-00138-WBT, Revision 0 "Nuclear Automation Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects, Post Accident Monitoring System Test Plan," WNA-PT-00138-WBT, Revision 0, dated November 17, 2010. TVA Response to Follow-up NRC Request: (1) WEC presented the results of the self assessment to the NRC on February 2, 2011. (2) By agreement between TVA, WEC and the NRC, the Post Accident Monitoring System Test Plan, WNA-PT-00138-WBT, Revision 0 will not be revised. Instead a non-proprietary Common Q PAMS Test Summary Report will be developed and submitted to address the issues with the STP. Attachment 1 contains non-proprietary WNA-TR-02451-WBT, Revision 0, "Test 	Y/N	SPM.				
					Summary Report for the Post Accident Monitoring System," dated March 2011.						
043	7.5.2	7.5.1		The PAMS ISG6 compliance matrix supplied as Enclosure 1 to TVA letter dated February 5, 2010 is a first draft of the information needed. The shortcomings of the first three lines in the matrix are: Line 1: Section 11 of the Common Q topical report did include a commercial grade dedication program, but this program was not approved in the associated SE. Westinghouse stated that this was the program and it could now be reviewed. The NRC stated that TVA should identified what they believe was previously reviewed and approved. Line 2: TVA stated the D3 analysis was not applicable to PAMS, but provided no justification. The NRC asked for justification since SRP Chapter 7.5 identified SRM to SECV-93-087 Item II.Q as being SRP acceptance criteria for PAMS. Line 3: TVA identified that the Design report for computer integrity was completed as part of the common Q topical report. The NRC noted that this report is applicable for a system in a plant, and the CQ topical report did not specifically address this PAMS system at Watts Bar Unit 2. NRC then concluded that TVA should go through and provide a more complete and thorough compliance matrix.	Attachment 3 contains the revised Common Q PAMS ISG-6 Compliance Matrix, dated June 11, 2010, that addresses these items (Reference 13). By letter Dated June 18, 2010 (see Attachment 3) TVA provided a table, "Watts Bar 2 - Common Q PAMS ISG-6 Compliance Matrix." It is TVA's understanding that this comment is focused on the fact that there are documents that NRC has requested that are currently listed as being available for audit at the	2. N	Pending Submittal of Revision 3 of the Licensing Technical Report due 3/29/11. Revised response included in letter dated 12/22/10. Response is included in letter dated 10/5/10. Revised compliance matrix is unacceptable. NNC 8/12/10: It is not quite enough to provide all of the documents requested. There are two possible routes to review that the NRC can undertake: (1) follow ISG6, and (2) follow the CQ SPM. The TVA response that was originally pursued was to follow ISG6, but some of the compliance items for ISG6 were addressed by referencing the SPM. The NRC approved the CQ TR and associated SPM; it may be more appropriate to review the WBN2 PAMS application to for adherence to the SPM that to ISG6. In either	NNC 2/2/11: Issues with Common Q TR & SPM compliance were discussed in the weekly public meetings.	Item No. 2	TVA Letter dated 2/5/10 TVA Letter dated 5/12/10 TVA Letter dated 6/18/10 TVA Letter dated 10/5/10	NNC 8/25/10: A CQ PAMS ISG6 compliance matrix was docketed on: (1) February, 5 12010, (2) March 12, 2010, & (3) June 18, 2010. The staff has expressed issued with all of these compliance evaluations. The staff is still waiting for a good compliance evaluation. NNC 11/23/10: WNA-LI-00058-WT-P Rev. 1 Section 7 does not include the RSED documents, and it should. Table 6-1 Item No. 15 should also include the RSED RTMs.

	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
					TVA Response to Follow-up NRC Request: WNA-LI-00058-WT-P, Revision 2, "Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report" submitted in TVA Letter to NRC dated December 3, 2010, (Reference 1) contains the following changes to address the NRC requests: (1) While RSEDs are not specifically mentioned, Section 7 has been revised to be applicable to both hardware and software which includes the RSEDs. (2) Table 6-1 item 15 reference added for WNA-VR-00280-WBT (RESD) TVA Response to Second Follow-up NRC Request: The NRC audited the Westinghouse commercial item dedication process for both hardware and software during the week of February 28 to March 4, 2011. The audit found the processes acceptable. Westinghouse and TVA previously agreed to provide additional information to address this item in Revision 3 of the Licensing Technical Report. Attachment 2 contains WNA-LI-00058-WBT-P, "Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report," Revision 3, dated March 2011 (proprietary). Attachment 3 contains WNA-LI-00058-WBT-NP, "Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report," Revision 3 dated March 2011 (non-proprietary). Attachment 4 contains CWA-11-311, Application for Withholding Proprietary Information from Public Disclosure, WNA-LI-00058-WBT-P, Revision 3 "Nuclear Automation Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects, Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report," dated March 14, 2011.		path chosen, the applicant should provide documents and a justification for the acceptability of any deviation from the path chosen. For example, it appears that the Westinghouse's CDIs are commercial grade dedication plans, but Westinghouse maintains that they are commercial grade dedication reports; this apparent deviation should be justified or explained.				
067 7.	7.5.2	7.5.1	(Carte	By letter dated March 12, 2010 TVA stated that the target submittal date for the "Commercial Grade Dedication Instructions for Al687, Al688, Upgraded PC node box and flat panels." was September 28, 2010.	Responder: WEC Date: 5/25/10 The following status is from the revised WB2 Common Q PAMS ISG-6 Compliance Matrix submitted in response to Item 43: a. Al687, Al688 – Scheduled for September 28, 2010 b. Upgraded PC node box and flat panel displays – Per Westinghouse letter WBT-D-2024 (Reference 7), these items are available for audit at the Westinghouse Rockville office. c. Power supplies – Per Westinghouse letter WBT-D-2035 (Reference 12), these items are available for audit at the Westinghouse Rockville office. To be addressed during 9/20-9/21 audit TVA Response to Follow-up NRC Request: WNA-LI-00058-WT-P, Revision 2, "Post-Accident Monitoring	3. N	Open Pending Submittal of Revision 3 of the Licensing Technical Report due 3/29/11. Response included in letter dated 12/22/10. This item is addressed in Rev. 2 of the Licensing Technical Report	Open-NRC Review Due: 3/29/11 NNC 2/2/11: Section 7 of the WBN2 PAMS LTR should be updated to include: (1) non-proprietary description of commercial grade dedication, and (2) Software example Commercial grade dedication will also be addressed at the next audit.	was opened to track comm8ittment	TVA Letter dated 6/18/10	

No	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
No.	Sec.		POC	By letter dated March 12, 2010 TVA stated that the target submittal date for the "Summary Report on acceptance of Al687, Al688, Upgraded PC node box, flat panels, and power supplies." was September 28, 2010.	System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report" submitted in TVA Letter to NRC dated December 3, 2010, (Reference 1) contains the following change to address the NRC request: Section 7, "Commercial Grade Dedication Process," has been revised to describe the general commercial grade dedication process for both hardware and software and uses a description of the Al687 dedication process as an example of how the process is applied. TVA Response to Follow-up NRC Request dated 2/2/11: The non-proprietary commercial grade dedication discussion is included in Attachment 3, WNA-LI-00058-WBT-NP, "Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report," Revision 3 dated March 2011 (non-proprietary) Section 7. The software example is included in Attachment 2, WNA-LI-00058-WBT-P, "Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report," Revision 3, dated March 2011 (proprietary) Section 7.	Acceptable Y/N	Open Response included in letter dated 12/22/10. This item is addressed in Rev. 2 of the Licensing Technical Report	Resolution Path Open-NRC Review NNC 2/2/11: Commercial grade dedication will be addressed at the next audit. Summary reports for Al687 & Al688 were docketed one month late.	N/A - No question was asked. Item was opened to trac comm8ittment made by applicant.	TVA Letter dated 6/18/10	Comments

No. S	SE ec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
No. S	ec.	FSAK Sec.		Issue	Comparison of Tested Conditions for the Al687 and Al688 Common Q Modules and Supporting Components to the Watts Bar Unit 2 (WBT) Requirements," dated September 10, 2010 b. EQLR-171, Revision 0, "Environmental and Seismic Test Report, Analog Input (Al)687 & Al688 Modules for use in Common Q PAMS," dated September 10, 2010 c. CN-EQT-10-44, Revision 0, "Dynamic Similarity Analysis for the Watts Bar Unit 2 Post Accident Monitoring System (PAMS)," dated September 28, 2010 (2) Upgraded PC Node Box – As stated in Westinghouse letter WBT-D-2024, dated June 9, 2010 "NRC Access to Common Q Documents at the Westinghouse Rockville Office," (Reference 6), the following documents are available for NRC audit at the Westinghouse Rockville office: a. CDI-3722, Revision 7, "Next Generation PC Node Box Commercial Dedication Instruction" b. LTR-EQ-10-50 "PC Node Box/Flat Panel Display System Components Qualification Summary" (3) Flat Panel Displays – As stated in Westinghouse letter WBT-D-2024, dated June 9, 2010 "NRC Access to Common Q Documents at the Westinghouse Rockville Office," (Reference 6), the following documents are available for NRC audit at the Westinghouse Rockville Office: a. CDI-3803, Revision 8, "Next Generation Flat Panel Display (FPD) Commercial Dedication Instruction" b. LTR-EQ-10-50 "PC Node Box/Flat Panel Display System Components Qualification Summary" (4) Power supplies – As stated in Westinghouse letter WBT-D-2035 dated June 11, 2010 "NRC Access to Common Q Documents at the Westinghouse Rockville Office" (Reference 7), the following documents are available for NRC audit at the Westinghouse Rockville Office. a. CDI-4057, Revision 4, "Commercial Dedication Instruction" b. EQ-TP-1 05-GEN, Revision 0, "Electromagnetic Compatibility Test Plan and Procedure for Quint Power Supplies and Safety System Line Filter" Compatibility Test Plan and Procedure For Common Q Ualification Test Procedure For Common Q	Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
					Power Supplies, Quint Power Supplies, Line Filter Assemblies, and South Texas Units 3 & 4 Circuit" d. EQ-TP-117-GEN, Revision 0, "Environmental Qualification Test Procedure For Common Q Power Supplies, Quint Power Supplies, and Line Filter Assemblies"						
069 7.	5.2	7.5.1	EICB (Carte)		Responder: WEC Date: 5/25/10 Attachment 1 contains non-proprietary WNA-TR-02451-WBT, Revision 0, "Test Summary Report for the Post		Open Pending Submittal of the Test Summary Report due 3/29/11	Open-NRC Review Due 3/29/11 NNC 2/3/11: The	N/A - No question N was asked. Item was opened to track comm8ittment	I/A	

	,				on with IVA (I&C Chapter 7 Only)	TauED070.d0C	-					Resolved for SER Approval
Ν		SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
					As agreed, the Watts Bar 2 PAMS Specific FAT Report will not be submitted. Instead a non-proprietary PAMS Test Summary Report will be submitted.	Accident Monitoring System," dated March 2011.		Awaiting for document to be docketed by TVA.	current due dated above is 4 months later than planned.	made by applicant.		
0	74 7	7.5.2	7.5.1	EICB (Carte)	date for the Post FAT IV&V Phase Summary Report was November 30, 2010.	Responder: WEC Date: 5/25/10 Attachment 1 contains WNA-VR-00283-WBT-P, "IV&V Summary Report for the Post Accident Monitoring System," Revision 4, dated March 2011 (proprietary). Attachment 2 contains WNA-VR-00283-WBT-NP, "IV&V Summary Report for the Post Accident Monitoring System," Revision 4, dated March 2011 (non-proprietary). Attachment 3 contains CWA-11-3121, Application for Withholding Proprietary Information from Public Disclosure, WNA-VR-00283-WBT-P, Revision 4 "Nuclear Automation IV&V Summary Report for the Post Accident Monitoring System" (Proprietary)," dated March 3, 2011.	6. N	Open Response in letter dated March 16, 2011	Open-NRC Review Due TBD NNC 2/3/11: At least 3 months later than planned.	was asked. Item was opened to track commitment made	N/A	Rev. 4 will be available for the NRC audit on 2/28/11. This document will not be submitted. Rev. 5 will be submitted after resolution of the datastorm display issue.
0	31 7	7.5.2	7.5.1	EICB (Carte)	The PAMS Licensing Technical Report (WNA-LI-00058-WBT Rev. 0, Dated April 2010), in Section 7, lists codes and standards applicable to the Common Q PAMS. This list contains references to old revisions of several regulatory documents, for example: (1) RG 1.29 - September 1978 vs. March 2007 (2) RG 1.53 - June 1973 vs. November 2003 (a) IEEE 379-1994 vs2000 (3) RG 1.75 - September 1975 vs. February 2005 (a) IEEE 384-1992 vs1992 (4) RG 1.100 - June 1988 vs. September 2009 (a) IEEE 344-1987 vs2004 (5) RG 1.152 - January 1996 vs. January 2006 (a) IEEE 7-4.33.2-1993 vs2003 (6) RG 1.168 - September 1997 vs. February 2004 (a) IEEE 1012-1986 vs1998 (b) IEEE 1028-1988 vs1997 (7) IEEE 279-1991 vs. 603-1991 (8) IEEE 323-1983 vs1974 (RG 1.89 Rev. 1 June 1984 endorses 323-1974) However, LIC-110, "Watts Bar Unit 2 License Application Review,"	Responder: Merten/WEC The codes and standards documents listed in Section 7 of the Common Q PAMS Licensing Technical Report are the documents that the Common Q platform was licensed to when the NRC approved the original topical report and issued the approved SER. The WBN Unit 2 Common Q PAMS is designed in accordance with the approved Common Q topical report and approved SER and the codes and standards on which the SER was based. Since the current versions referenced are not applicable to WBN Unit 2, there is no basis for a comparison review. Bechtel to develop a matrix and work with Westinghouse to provide justification. TVA Response to Follow-up NRC Request: Attachment 4 contains the results of the TVA analysis of standards and regulatory guides applicable to the Common Q PAMS. Based on the results of the analysis, the Common Q PAMS design meets the applicable requirements and is acceptable.		ML101600092 Item No.1: There are three sets of regulatory criteria that relate to a Common Q application (e.g. WBN2 PAMS): (a) Common Q platform components – Common Q TR (b) Application Development Processes – Common Q SPM (c) Application Specific – current regulatory criteria The Common Q Topical Report and associated appendices primarily addressed (a) and (b). The Common Q SER states: 'Appendix 1, "Post Accident Monitoring Systems," provides the functional requirements and conceptual design approach for upgrading an existing PAMS based on Common Q components (page 58, Section 4.4.1.1, "Description")On the basis of the above review, the staff concludes that Appendix 1 does not contain sufficient information to establish the generic acceptability of the proposed PAMS design (page 56, Section 4.4.1.3, "PAMS Evaluation")' The NRC did not approve the proposed PAMS design. Section 6, "References," and Section 7, "Codes and Standards Applicable to the Common Q PAMS," of the PAMS Licensing Technical Report contain items that are not the current regulatory criteria.		EICB RAI ML102910002 Item No. 9	TVA Letter dated 6/18/10	NNC 1/5/11: See Also Open Item No. 86 and 202. NNC 4/125/2011: See Open Item No. 364.

١	o. SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
							Please provide an explanation of how the WBN2 PAMS conforms with the application specific regulatory criteria applicable to the WBN2 PAMS design. For example IEEE Std. 603-1991 Clause 5.6.3, "Independence Between Safety Systems and Other Systems," and Clause 6.3, "Interaction Between the Sense and Command Features and Other Systems," contain application specific requirements that must be addressed by a PAMS system. Awaiting TVA Response.				
0	36 7.5.2	7.5.1	EICB (Carte)	differences.	Licensing Technical Report are the documents that the Common Q platform was licensed to when the NRC approved the original topical report and issued the approved SER. The WBN Unit 2 Common Q PAMS is designed in accordance with the approved Common Q topical report and approved SER and the regulatory documents on which the SER was based. Since the current versions referenced are not applicable to WBN Unit 2, there is no basis for a comparison review.	f	Open TVA to address with item OI 81.	Open-NRC Review Due 2/25/11 NNC 2/3/11: The above due date has been missed by at least 2 months. Please provide new due date.	EICB RAI ML102910002 Item No. 14	TVA Letter dated 6/18/10	NNC 1/6/11: See Also Open Item No.81 & 202
1	01		DORL (Poole)	4/12/2010 The non-proprietary versions of the following RM-1000, Containment High Range Post Accident Radiation Monitor documents will be provided by June 30, 2010. 1. V&V Report 04508006A 2. System Description 04508100-1TM 3. Qualification Reports 04508905-QR, 04508905-1 SP, 04508905-2SP, 04508905-3SP 4. Functional Testing Report 04507007-1TR	Responder: Slifer The documents, and affidavits for withholding for the listed documents were submitted to the NRC on TVA letter to the NRC dated July 15, 2010.	9. Y	Open Documents provided in letter dated 07/15/10	Open-NRC Review Due 10/14/10 Confirm receipt.	N/A		TVA is working with the vendor to meet the 6/30 date, however there is the potential this will slip to 7/14.

	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
138			EICB (Carte)	By letter dated February 3, 2010, Westinghouse informed TVA that certain PAMS documentation has been completed. (a) The draft ISG6 states that a commercial grade dedication plan should be provided with an application for a Tier 2 review. By letter dated February 5, 2010, TVA stated that the commercial grade dedication plan was included in the Common Q Topical Report Section 11, "Commercial Grade Dedication Program." Section 11 includes a description of the Common Q Commercial Grade Dedication Program, and states: "A detailed review plan is developed for each Common Q hardware or software component that requires commercial grade dedication." Please provide the commercial grade dedication plans for each Common Q hardware or software component that has not been previously reviewed and approved by the NRC. (b) The draft ISG6 states that a commercial grade dedication report should be provided within 12 months of requested approval for a Tier 2 review. (i) Please provide 00000-ICE-37722 Rev. 0, "Commercial Grade Dedication Report for the QNX Operating System for Common Q Applications." (ii) Please provide WNA-CD-00018-GEN Rev. 3, "Commercial Dedication Report for QNX 4.25G for Common Q Applications."	Responder: WEC This item is used to track all Commercial Grade Dedication issues. a. WNA-LI-00058-WT-P, Revision 2, "Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report" submitted in TVA Letter to NRC dated December 3, 2010, (Reference 1) contains the following changes to address the NRC request: Section 7, "Commercial Grade Dedication Process" has been revised to describe the general commercial grade dedication process for both hardware and software and uses a description of the Al687 dedication process as an example of how the process is applied. As listed in Table 6-3. "Westinghouse Watts Bar 2 Common Q PAMS Documents at Westinghouse Rockville Office, the following commercial grade dedication documents are available for NRC audit at the Westinghouse Rockville office: (list included in letter) b. It is TVA's understanding that the submittal of the documents listed in (b.i) and (b.ii) is no longer required. Rather, it was agreed, that the inclusion of a description of the commercial grade dedication process in revision 2 of the Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report, WNA-LI-00058-WT-P, would be sufficient to address this request. TVA Response to Follow-up NRC Request: The non-proprietary commercial grade dedication discussion is included in Attachment 3, WNA-LI-00058-WBT-NP, "Post- Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report," Revision 3 dated March 2011 (non-proprietary) Section 7. The software example is included in Attachment 2, WNA-LI-00058-WBT-P, "Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report," Revision 3, dated March 2011 (proprietary) Section 7.	Y/N 10. N	the Licensing Technical Report due 3/29/11. Revised response included in letter dated 12/22/10 TVA agreed to include a description of the generic Westinghouse hardware commercial grade dedication process in the PAMS licensing technical report. (see ML102920031 Item No 1) TVA agreed to include (in the PAMS licensing technical report) an	dedication will be addressed at the next audit. NNC 2/17/11: The description of the commercial grade dedication process in the CQ PAMS LTR Rev. 2 should be updated to include a non-proprietary description and to include a software example.	ML101650255, Item No. 2		See also No. 82.
142			EICB (Carte)	The applicable regulatory guidance for reviewing the WBN2 PAMS SysRS would be IEEE 830 as endorsed by Regulatory Guide 1.172 and BTP 7-14 Section B.3.3.1, Requirements Activities – Software Requirements Specifications." IEEE 830-1994 Section 4.3.8, "Traceable," states: "A [requirements specification] is traceable of the origin of each of its requirements is clear" 1. How did TVA ensure the traceability of each requirement in the WBN2 PAMS SysRS.	Responder: WEC	11. N	Revised response included in letter dated 02/25/11 Response included in letter dated 12/22/10 TVA/Westinghouse agreed to include the V&V evaluation of their reusable software element	NNC 2/2/11: Updated Specifications and RTMs to be provided by TVA Tractability to be addressed during the next audit.	ML101650255, Item No. 6		WBN2 PAMS System Requirements Specification TVA docketed WNA-DS-01617-WBT Rev. 1, "RRAS Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects Post Accident Monitoring System- System Requirements Specification," dated December 2009.

	П			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				-	•	T	 T
No. Se		FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
				2. Explain the source(s) of the requirements present in the Post Accident Monitoring System's Software Requirements Specification. To clarify, many documents have requirements that are incorporated by reference into the SRS, but what served to direct the author to include those various documents in the SRS or, if the requirement is based on the System Requirements Specification, what directed the author to include the requirement there? 3. Clarify whether the unnumbered paragraphs in the Post Accident Monitoring System's Software Requirements Specification, such as in the section headings, or are all such sections simply considered to be informative? Does the same apply to documents referenced by the SRS? Such as WCAP-16096-NP-A, Rev. 1A, "Software Program Manual for Common Q Systems," which is incorporated by reference in requirement R2.3-2 in the SRS. R2.3-2 [The PAMS software shall comply with the requirements and guidelines defined in WCAP-16096-NP-A, "Software Program Manual for Common Q Systems" (reference 5).] If any requirements are expressed in such unnumbered paragraph form instead of individually identified requirements, please list them, describe why they satisfy the fundamental requirement of unambiguity, and describe how they were verified. 4. Are there any sources of requirements in parallel with the	i. WNA-DS-01617-WBT, "Post Accident Monitoring System - System Requirements Specification" ii. WNA-DS-01667-WBT, "Post Accident Monitoring System - System Design Specification" (hardware) iii. WNA-SD-00239-WBT, "Software Requirements Specification for the Post Accident Monitoring System" (software) TVA Response to 2: As documented in the RTM, some software requirements are taken from generic documents. The decision to include generic software requirements was to reduce the overall scope for Common Q features that are unchanged across projects. Westinghouse reviewed the generic PAMS requirements and included those requirements that were	Y/N	evaluation would also include an evaluation of how the WBN2 specific requirements were addressed by the reusable software elements. (see ML102920031 Item No 5)	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
				4. Are there any sources of requirements in parallel with the Post Accident Monitoring System's Software Requirements Specification? Meaning does the SRS contain, explicitly or by reference, all the requirements that were used in the design phase for the application specific software, or do software design phase activities use requirements found in any other source or document? If so, what are these sources or documents?	The Westinghouse SRS, WNA-SD-00239-WBT, Revision 3 contains references to other Westinghouse software requirements documents. Specifically, 00000-ICE-3238, Revision 5, "Software Requirements Specification Post Accident Monitoring System" 00000-ICE-3239, Revision 13, "Software Requirements Specification for the Common Q Generic Flat Panel Display Software"						
					Source: E-mail from Westinghouse (Matthew A. Shakun) to Bechtel (Mark S. Clark), RE: December 22 letter review, dated December 17, 2010 (Reference 13)						

			* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *							resolved for SER Approval
No. SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
			5. References 12, 27, 29, and 31-44 in the Post Accident Monitoring System's Software Requirements Specification are various types of "Reusable Software Element". These references are used in the body of the SRS, for example:" R5.3.14-2 [The Addressable Constants CRC error signal shall be TRUE when any CAL CRC's respective ERROR terminal = TRUE (WNA-DS-00315-GEN, "Reusable Software Element Document CRC for Calibration Data" [Reference 12]).] They are also included via tables such as found in requirement R7.1.2-1 [The Watts Bar 2 PAMS shall use the application-specific type circuits and custom PC elements listed in Table 7.1-1.] Do the referenced reusable software element documents include requirements not explicitly stated in the SRS? If so what is their origin?	Projects Requirements Traceability Matrix for the Reactor						

	•		•							• •
No. SE Sec	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
				5. IEEE 830 says you shouldn't have process requirements in the SRS. Westinghouse has agreed to remove these requirements.						
				TVA Response: Westinghouse confirmed that process requirements have been removed from the SRS.						
				Source: E-mail from Westinghouse (Andrew P. Drake) to Bechtel (Mark S. Clark), RE: Common Q RAI concerns, dated December 8, 2010 (Reference 17)						
				6. Westinghouse will perform and document an evaluation of the SRS to ensure compliance with Reg. Guide 1.172 and justify any deviations.						
				TVA Response: WNA-LI-00058-WBT-P, Revision 2, "Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report" submitted in TVA Letter to NRC dated December 3, 2010, (Reference 1):						
				Section 9, "Compliance Evaluation Of The Watts Bar 2 PAMS Software Requirements Specification To IEEE Standard 830-1998 And Regulatory Guide 1.172" has been added.						
				7. 25 issues identified by V&V where some requirements have not been included in the System Design Specification (SDS) (14) and SRS (11) at the revisions reviewed by V&V. Have these been addressed?						
				TVA Response: The twenty-five (25) issues are captured in Exception Reports (ERs): V&V-769 and V&V-770. These ERs have all been addressed and the ERs have been closed satisfactorily by Westinghouse IV&V.						
				Source: E-mail from Westinghouse (Matthew A. Shakun) to Bechtel (Mark S. Clark), RE: December 22 letter review, dated December 17, 2010 (Reference 13)						
				8. Some hardware requirements are contained in the SRS instead of the System Design Specification (SDS). These will be removed from the SRS and incorporated into the next revision of the SDS.						
				TVA Response: The hardware requirements in the Software Requirements Specification have been deleted and moved to System Design Specification.						
				Source: E-mail from Westinghouse (Matthew A. Shakun) to Bechtel (Mark S. Clark), RE: December 22 letter review, dated December 16, 2010 (Reference 15)						
				9. RTM item R4.2-2 protection class software set to 0. Needs to be fixed internally. Write CAPs to revise the						

No. SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
				application restrictions document on AC160.						
				TVA Response: Westinghouse CAPs IR# 10-259-M034 has been issued. This item will be addressed in revision 4 of the RTM.						
				Source: E-mail from Westinghouse (Matthew A. Shakun) to Bechtel (Mark S. Clark), RE: December 22 letter review, dated December 17, 2010 (Reference 13)						
				10. Westinghouse to improve the traceability of the tests that are performed with the function enable (FE) switch in the "ENABLE" position.						
				TVA Response: The tests that are performed with the FE keyswitch in the ENABLE position are defined in the SRS Sections: 6.2 "Manually Initiated Testing," 7.2.23 "Annunciator Test Display," 7.2.25 "Saturation Margin Test Display," and 7.2.26 "Analog Output Test Display."						
				11. Westinghouse to revise documents to be consistent with referring to the FE switch in the "ENABLE" position.						
				TVA Response: Westinghouse has elected to standardize on the terms "FE keyswitch" and "ENABLE." A review of recent documents for compliance with this comment and commitment was performed with the following results: a. Revision 3 of the SysRS, and SDS have been revised to use the terms "FE keyswitch." Revision 3 of the SDS is consistent in use of the term "ENABLE." b. SysRS Revision 3 is not consistent in use of the term "ENABLE" as noted below: i. R2.5.2.1-2 uses the term "ENABLED" instead of "ENABLE" ii. R2.5.2.1.3-3, R2.6.3.3-1, R2.6.3.3-2, R2.6.3.3-3, and R2.6.3.3-7, use the term "Enable" instead of "ENABLE" c. Revision 3 of the SRS is not consistent in use of the terms "FE keyswitch" and "ENABLE" as noted below: i. Tables 7.2-1 "Train A PAMS Data Transmitted to the Plant Computer" and 7.2-2 "Train B PAMS Data Transmitted to the Plant Computer" items 101 and 102 in the SRS refer to the FE switch. All other items in the SRS refer to the FE keyswitch. ii. Section 2.1, page 2-4, uses the term "Enable" instead of "ENABLE" iii. Requirements R7.2.14-6 and R7.2.16-7 use the term "active" instead of "ENABLE" iii. Requirements R7.2.14-6 and R7.2.16-7 use the term "active" instead of "ENABLE"						
				iv. Requirements R7.2.23-2, R7.2.25-2, R7.2.26-2, R7.2.31-4, 7.2.56 FPDS Availability, and R7.2.57-4 use the term "enabled" instead of "ENABLE"						

No. SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
NO. Sec.	Sec.		Issue	d. WNA-AR-00180-WBT-P, Revision 0, "Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) for the Post Accident Monitoring System," dated October 2010, submitted in TVA letter to NRC dated (Reference 12) is not consistent in use of the term "FE keyswitch" as noted below: i. Section 2.2 "System Description" and Table 3-1 "WB2 PAMS FMEA" refer to the FE switch. ii. Table 3-1 describes the switch as the "Functional Enable (FE) switch" and the "FE key-switch" e. Revision 2 of the Licensing Technical Report is not consistent in use of the term "FE keyswitch" as noted below: i. Sections 2.2, 5.3 use the term (FE) keylock switch on pages 2-3 (2 places), page 5-3, page 5-6 (4 places) The identified discrepancies in the use of the terms "FE keyswitch" and "ENABLE" in the SysRS, SRS, FMEA and Licensing Technical Report, will be corrected in the next revision of the documents. 12. The flow of information is from the SysRS to the SDS (hardware) and SRS (software). Describe how the documents are used. Describe in 1.1 of the SysRS. Need a good write up of how the process works. TVA Response: See response to letter item 13 (NRC Matrix Item 145). 13. Westinghouse and TVA will develop a revised schedule for document submittals and provide it to the NRC no later than 9/30/10 TVA Response: The revised document submittal schedule was included as item 3 NRC Request (Matrix Item Number 142, TVA Commitments Nos. 10 and 17) in TVA letter to NRC dated October 26, 2010 (Reference 5). 14. TVA will update the Procurement Requisition Resolution Matrix and submit it to show how the Common Q PAMS design meets the contract requirements. TVA Response: The Procurement Requisition Resolution Matrix has been updated and is included in WNA-LI-00058-WBT-P Revision 2, "Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report" submitted in TVA Letter to NRC dated December 3, 2010, (Reference 1), as Section 11, "TVA Contract Compliance Matrix." 15. Westinghouse to add the Software Design Descriptions	Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI NO. & Date	KAI Kesp. Date	Comments
				to the RTM TVA Response: The Software Design Description documents were						

radEB876.docx

No. SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
No. SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.		Issue	added to the RTM in WNA-VR-00279-WBT, Rev 2. Source: E-mail from Westinghouse (Matthew A. Shakun) to Bechtel (Mark S. Clark), RE: December 22 letter review, dated December 17, 2010 (Reference 13) 16. Westinghouse to clarify how requirements or documents are incorporated by reference into the Common Q PAMS requirements. TVA Response: When a Common Q PAMS requirements document references a section of another document, all requirements in that section are applicable. Source: E-mail from Westinghouse (Matthew A. Shakun) to Bechtel (Mark S. Clark), RE: December 22 letter review, dated December 17, 2010 (Reference 13) 17. Westinghouse to review the use of "shall" outside of numbered paragraphs in requirements documents to ensure that all requirements are captured and clearly identified. TVA Response: See response in letter dated December 22, 2010, item 2 (NRC Matrix Item 050). 18. Westinghouse to resolve the following questions concerning Software Design Descriptions (SDDs) a. Is the SDD a standalone document or will it incorporate the generic SDD by reference? b. What are the SDDs? c. PAMS is a delta document so how do we capture all the generic requirements for traceability. TVA Response: a. There are three SDDs prepared specifically for the Watts Bar 2 PAMS project. These are listed below in Item b. These documents and superior	Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
				requirements documents refer to other generic SDDs also listed in Item b. b. The SDDs developed for this project are: i. WNA-SD-00248-WBT, Revision 1, "Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects Software Design Description for the Post Accident Monitoring System Flat Panel Display" ii. WNA-SD-00250-WBT, Revision 1, "Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects Software Design Description for the Post Accident Monitoring System AC160 Software" iii. WNA-SD-00277-WBT, Revision 2, "Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C						

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
					Projects Software Design Description for the Post Accident Monitoring System Flat Panel Display System Screen Design Details"						
					iv. Other generic SDDs referenced by the PAMS project are: (a) 00000-ICE-20157, Revision 18, "Software Design Description for the Common Q Generic Flat-Panel Software"						
					(b) 00000-ICE-30152, Revision 5, "Software Design Description Post Accident Monitoring System AC160"						
					(c) 00000-ICE-30140, Revision 4, "Software Design Description for the Common Q Core Protection Calculator System Database and Utility Functions"						
					c. Refer to WNA-VR-00279-WBT, Revision 3. Source: E-mail from Westinghouse (Matthew A. Shakun) to Bechtel (Mark S. Clark), RE: December 22 letter review, dated December 17, 2010 (Reference 13)						
					19. For Reusable Software Elements, Westinghouse to describe as qualified libraries by following the SPM and qualified using the Software Elements Test procedure under Appendix B program. Provide a summary of RSEDs generic WCAP. Westinghouse to determine if the WCAP was docketed under the AP1000. RSED concept is not in the SPM. WCAP-15927 AP-1000 does not discuss RCEDs. WCAP process was acceptable. RSEDs are listed in the SDD References.						
					TVA Response: Section 3.2.4.1 of WCAP-15927 describes the RSED design process for custom PC elements and type circuits. The Glossary of Terms in the SPM defines custom PC elements and type circuits as modules. Therefore, the relationship between WCAP-15927 describing the RSED process as circuits, is defined in the SPM requirements for software module development.						
					WCAP-15927 is on the AP1000 docket. Source: E-mail from Westinghouse (Matthew A.						
					Shakun) to Bechtel (Mark S. Clark), RE: December 22 letter review, dated December 17, 2010 (Reference 13) TVA Response to Follow-up NRC Request:						
					WNA-VR-00279-WBT, Revision 4, "Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects Requirements Traceability Matrix for the Post Accident Monitoring System" is scheduled to be available for audit at the Westinghouse Rockville office February 21, 2011. The document will be available at the Westinghouse Cranberry offices to support the NRC						

	SE	FSAR	NRC			Response					
No.	Sec.	Sec.	POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
					Common Q PAMS audit. Attachment 9 contains the proprietary version of WNA-DS-01617-WBT-P, Revision 4, "Post Accident Monitoring System - System Requirements Specification," dated February 2011. Attachment 10 contains the non-proprietary version WNA-DS-01617-WBT-NP, Revision 4, "Post Accident Monitoring System - System Requirements Specification," dated February, 2011. Attachment 11 contains the Application for Withholding Proprietary Information from Public Disclosure, WNA-DS-01617-WBT-P, Revision 4, "Nuclear Automation Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects, Post Accident Monitoring System - System Requirements Specification" (Proprietary), dated February 10, 2011. Attachment 12 contains the proprietary version of WNA-DS-01667-WBT-P, Revision 4, "Post Accident Monitoring System — System Design Specification," dated February 2011. Attachment 13 contains the non-proprietary version WNA-DS-01667-WBT-NP, Revision 4, "Post Accident Monitoring System — System Design Specification," dated February 2011. Attachment 14 contains the Application for Withholding Proprietary Information from Public Disclosure, WNA-DS-01667-WBT-P, Revision 4, "Nuclear Automation Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects Post Accident Monitoring System - System Design Specification" (Proprietary), dated February 11, 2011. Attachment 15 contains the proprietary version of WNA-SD-00239-WBT-P, Revision 4, "Software Requirements Specification for the Post Accident Monitoring System," dated February 2011. Attachment 16 contains the non-proprietary version WNA-SD-00239-WBT-NP, Revision 4, "Software Requirements Specification for the Post Accident Monitoring System," dated February 2011. Attachment 17 contains the Application for Withholding Proprietary Information from Public Disclosure, WNA-SD-00239-WBT-P, Revision 4, "Nuclear Automation Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects, Software Requirements Specification for the Post Accident Monitoring System" (Proprietary), dated February 10, 2011.						
143			EICB (Carte)	The WBN2 PAMS Software Requirements Specification (WBN2 PAMS SRS – ML101050202) contains a table (see page iii) titled, "Document Traceability & Compliance," which states that the WBN2 PAMS SRS was created to support the three documents identified (one of which is the WBN2 PAMS SysRS). Section 1.1, "Overview," of the WBN2 PAMS SRS states: "This document describes requirements for the major software components" (a) Please list and describe each of the "major software components". Please include a description of any NRC review for each of these components. (b) Please list and describe each of the other software components. Please include a description of any NRC review for each of these components.	Responder: WEC Addressed in the 9/15 public meeting and 9/20 - 9/21 audit. A detailed explanation will be provided. TVA Response: (a) and (b) The requested information is provided in the following documents: i. WNA-LI-00058-WBT-P, Revision 2, "Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report," Table 6-1, "Document Requirements" which lists the software documentation requirements for the Common Q PAMS and Section 11 "TVA Contract Compliance Matrix" submitted in TVA Letter to	12. N	Open Response included in letter dated 12/22/10	Open-NRC Review Due 2/25/11 (document submittals) To be addressed by Revision of the RTM, SRS, SysRS, and SysDS. NNC 2/2/11: Updated Specifications and RTMs to be provided by TVA NNC 2/3/11: The	ML101650255, Item No. 7		WBN2 PAMS System Requirements Specification TVA docketed WNA-DS-01617-WBT Rev. 1, "RRAS Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects Post Accident Monitoring System- System Requirements Specification," dated December 2009.

No. SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date RAI Resp. Date	Comments
	Jec.		(c) What other documents contain the requirements for the other software components? The WBN2 PAMS System Design Specification (WBN2 PAMS SDS) contains a table (see page iii) titled, "Document Traceability & Compliance," which states that the WBN2 PAMS SysRS was created to support the WBN2 PAMS SDS, Section 1.1, "Purpose," of the WBN2 PAMS SDS states: "The purpose of this document is to define the hardware design requirements" (c) Do the WBN2 PAMS SRS and SDS, together, implement all of the requirements in the WBN2 PAMS SysRS? (e) Please briefly describe all of the documents that implement the WBN2 PAMS SysRS.	NRC, dated December 3, 2010 (Reference 1). ii. WNA-DS-01617-WBT-P, Revision 3, "Post Accident Monitoring System- System Requirements Specification," dated December 2010 (Attachment 1) iii. WNA-SD-00239-WBT-P, Revision 3, "Software Requirements Specification for the Post Accident Monitoring System," dated December 2010 (Attachment 7) iv. WNA-VR-00279-WBT, Revision 3, "Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects Requirements Traceability Matrix for the Post Accident Monitoring System" (available for NRC audit at the Westinghouse Rockville office) To the best of TVA's knowledge, no prior NRC review of the software components has been performed. (c) WNA-VR-00280-WBT, Revision 2, "Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects Requirements Traceability Matrix for the Reactor Vessel Level Indication System (RVLIS) Custom PC Elements" (available for NRC audit at the Westinghouse Rockville office) (d) No. Please see Item (e) below. (e) The documents that describe the requirements that implement the WBN Unit 2 SysRS are: i. WNA-VR-00279-WBT, Revision 3, "Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects Requirements Traceability Matrix for the Post Accident Monitoring System" (available for NRC audit at the Westinghouse Rockville office) ii. WNA-VR-00280-WBT, Revision 2, "Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects Requirements Traceability Matrix for the Reactor Vessel Level Indication System (RVLIS) Custom PC Elements" (available for NRC audit at the Westinghouse Rockville office) Source: E-mail from Westinghouse (Matthew A. Shakun) to Bechtel (Mark S. Clark), RE: December 22 letter review, dated December 17, 2010 (Reference 13) TVA Response to Follow-up NRC Request: See Response to item 3 (Item number 142)			above due date has been missed by at least 2 months. Please provide new due date.		
144		EICB (Carte)	these documents have been provided on the docket). (a) Please describe the third document (i.e., NABU-DP-00014-GEN Revision 2, "Design Process for Common Q Safety Systems").	Responder: WEC (a) The purpose of NABU-DP-00014-GEN document is to define the process for system level design, software design and implementation, and hardware design and implementation for Common Q safety system development. This document supplements the Common Q SPM, WCAP-16096-NP-A. The scope of NABU-DP-00014-GEN includes the design and implementation processes for the application development. For a fuller description of the design process described in NABU-DP-00014-GEN please refer to the	13. N	Open Pending Submittal of Revision 3 of the Licensing Technical Report due 3/29/11. Revised response included in letter dated 12/22/10 Response provided in letter dated	Responses to items a and e provided.	ML101650255, Item TVA Letter dated 10/5/10	WBN2 PAMS Software Requirements Specification By letter dated April 8, 2010 (ML10101050203), TVA docketed WNA-SD-00239-WBT, Revision 1, ""RRAS Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects, Software Requirements Specification for the Post Accident Monitoring System," dated February 2010 (ML101050202).

ı l				T	1	•				
No. SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
			(c) Does the PAMS SRS implement the requirements in these three documents? (d) Please describe if and how these three documents are used in the development of the PAMS Software Design Description. (e) Do the WBN2 V&V activities include verification that the requirements of these three documents have been incorporated into the WBN2 PAMS SRS.	Design Process for AP1000 Common Q Safety Systems, WCAP-15927 on the AP1000 docket. Since this is a Westinghouse process document that is not specifically referenced in the SRS, it will be removed in the next revision of the document. (b) — Closed to items 142 and 145 (c) — Closed 142 (d) — Closed to Item 142 (e) WBN2 PAMS Software Requirements Specification (WNA-SD-00239-WBT, Rev. 1) refers to Document Traceability & Compliance table on page iii. This table has three entries; Design Process for Common Q Safety Systems (NABU-DP-00014-GEN, Rev. 2), RRAS Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects Post Accident Monitoring System — System Requirements Specification (WNA-DS-01617-WBT, Rev. 1), and RRAS Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects Post Accident Monitoring System — System Design Specification (WNA-DS-01667-WBT, Rev. 1). IV&V performed a Requirements Traceability Assessment during which it reviewed Software Requirements Specification (WNA-DS-01667-WBT, Rev. 1) and System Design Specification (WNA-DS-01617-WBT, Rev. 1) and System Design Specification (WNA-DS-01667-WBT, Rev. 1). Requirements within Software Requirements Specification that are referring to NABU-DP-00014-GEN, Rev. 2, Design Process for Common Q Safety Systems, have also been reviewed for traceability and compliance. During IV&V's RTA effort the anomaly reports V&V-769 and V&V-770 have been initiated and reported in the IV&V Phase Summary Report for the System Definition Phase, WNA-VR-00283-WBT, Rev. 0. IV&V has verified that the requirements in SRS are derived from the specified documents listed in the Document Traceability and Compliance Table of WBN2 PAMS SRS. TVA Response to Follow-up NRC Request: (1) Item (a) in the original list, NABU-DP-00014-GEN Revision 2, "Design Process for Common Q Safety Systems," is available for NRC audit at the Westinghouse Rockville office. (2) WNA-LI-00058-WT-P, Revision 2, "Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report" submitted in TVA Letter to NRC dated December 3		information to be docketed.	questions was to understand how the origin of the requirements in the requirements specifications were documented. TVA stated that the origin of the requirements would be demonstrated in Rev. 2 of the CQ PAMS LTR. NNC 2/3/11: CQ PAMS LTR Rev. 2 Section 11 & 12 do not adequately demonstrate the origin of requirements in SysRS. TVA to describe how to address concern.			

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
					Section 13, Origin Tracing of WBN2 PAMS System Requirements Specification was added to the Licensing Technical Report Revision 3 to address this concern. Attachment 2 contains WNA-LI-00058-WBT-P, "Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report," Revision 3, dated March 2011 (proprietary).						
145				The WBN2 PAMS System Design Specification (WBN2 PAMS SDS) contains a table (see page iii) titled, "Document Traceability & Compliance," which states that the WBN2 PAMS SDS was created to support the WBN2 PAMS SysRS. (a) Does the WBN2 PAMS SDS implement all of the hardware requirements in the WBN2 PAMS SysRS? (b) Please briefly describe all of the documents that implement the hardware requirements of the WBN2 PAMS SysRS. This item is used to track all traceability issues with the System Design Specification (SDS). At the September 15 public meeting in Rockville, the following actions were agreed to. These items partially address the traceability concerns with the System Design Specification. This item will be updated with the results of the September 20 and 21 Commercial Grade Dedication and SDS RTM audit. 1. Westinghouse will perform completed a review of the Requirements Traceability Matrix(RT), using the issues identified at the 9/15 public meeting as a guide (documented below) and update the RTM as required. 2. Some hardware requirements are contained in the SRS instead of the System Design Specification (SDS). These will be removed from the SRS and incorporated into the next revision of the SDS. 3. 25 issues identified by V&V where some requirements have not been included in the SDS (14) and SRS (11) at the revisions reviewed by V&V. Have these been addressed? Yes. The next revisions of the SDS and SRS address these issues. 4. TVA will update the Procurement Requisition Resolution Matrix and submit it to show how the Common Q PAMS design meets the contract requirements. 5. The next issue of the IV&V report will include the Requirements phase review of the RTM and a partial review for the Design phase. 6. Westinghouse to provide the generic AC160 and flat panel specifications. 7. Westinghouse and TVA to develop a schedule of licensing document submittals that can be met by the project team. 8. The flow of information is from the SysRS to the SDS	 Responder: WEC The review and update of the RTM is complete. The revised RTM can be made available for NRC audit at the Westinghouse office in Rockville. Please see letter Item 10 (NRC Matrix Item 142, sub item 13). Please see letter Item 10 (NRC Matrix Item 142, sub item 12). Section 11 "TVA Contract Compliance Matrix" was added to WNA-LI-00058-WBT-P, Revision 2, "Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report" submitted in TVA Letter to NRC dated December 3, 2010, (Reference 1). WNA-VR-00283-WBT, Revision 1, "IV&V Summary Report for the Post Accident Monitoring System," submitted in TVA to NRC letter dated December 3, 2010 (Reference 1) includes the Requirements and Design phase reviews. Per Westinghouse letter WBT-D-2268 "NRC Access to Common Q Documents at the Westinghouse Rockville Office" dated August 16, 2010 (Reference 9) "System Requirements Specification for the Common Q Generic Flat Panel Display," 00000-ICE-30155, Revision 9 is available for audit at the Westinghouse Rockville office The generic AC160 specifications are contained in the documents listed below. The documents are available for NRC audit at the Westinghouse Rockville office in accordance with the letter number referenced. List is contained in letter. A schedule was developed and is reviewed weekly by Westinghouse and TVA project management. The revised document submittal schedule was included as item 3 NRC Request (Matrix Item Number 142, TVA Commitments Nos. 10 and 17) in TVA letter to NRC dated October 26, 2010. The flow of documentation information was provided to the NRC inspector during the_Common Q PAMS audit. Source: E-mail from Westinghouse (Andrew P. Drake) to Beechtel (Mark S. Clark), RE: RAI on SysRS, dated December 8, 2010 		12/22/10 During the September 20-21, 2010 audit at Westinghouse, it was	Open-NRC Review Due 2/25/11 To be addressed by Revision of the RTM, SRS, SysRS, and SysDS.	ML101650255, Item No. 9		WBN2 PAMS System Design Specification TVA docketed WNA-DS-01667-WBT Rev. 1, "RRAS Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects Post Accident Monitoring System- System Design Specification," dated December 2009.
	1	1		6. The new of information is from the cystre to the ope	December 0, 2010	1		<u> </u>			<u> </u>

Agenda ioi	VVCCKIY	1 CICC	oni with TVA (I&C Chapter 7 only)	TauEDo70.uuc	,, <u>, </u>					Resolved for SER Approval
No. SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
			(hardware) and SRS (software). Describe how the documents are used. Describe in 1.1 of the SysRS. Need a good write up of how the process works.	TVA Response to Follow-up NRC Request: See Response to item 3 (Item number 142)						
183			An emphasis is placed on traceability in System Requirements Specifications in the SRP, in the unmodified IEEE std 830-1993, and even more so given the modifications to the standard listed in Regulatory Guide 1.172, which breaks with typical NRC use of the word "should" to say "Each identifiable requirement in an SRS must be traceable backwards to the system requirements and the design bases or regulatory requirements that is satisfies" On page 1-2 of the Post Accident Monitoring System's Software Requirements Specification in the background section, is the sentence "Those sections of the above references that require modification from the generic PAMS are defined in the document" referring purely to the changes from WNA-DS-01617-WBT "Post Accident Monitoring System-System Requirements Specification" or is it saying that there are additional changes beyond those and that the SRS defines them? If there are additional changes, what is their origin?	Responder: WEC The generic Software Requirements Specification applies except as modified by the WBN Unit 2 System Requirements Specification. TVA Response to Follow-up NRC Request:	15. Y	Open Pending Submittal of Revision 3 of the Licensing Technical Report due 3/29/11. Revised response included in letter dated 12/22/10. Response provided in letter dated 10/21/10	NNC 11/18/10: The point behind this open item was that TVA must demonstrate that the origin of each requirement in the WEC requirements specification is known and documented. TVA stated that this information would be in CQ PAMS LTR Rev. 2. NNC 2/3/11: CQ PMS LTR Rev. 2 Sections 11 & 12 do not prove this information. TVA to	EICB RAI ML102980066 Item No. 9	TVA Letter dated 10/21/10 Enclosure 1 Item No. 4	
185			which breaks with typical NRC use of the word "should" to say	reusable software elements. As listed in item 15 of Table 6-1 "Document Requirements" of WNA-LI-00058-WT-P, Revision 2, "Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report" submitted in TVA Letter to NRC, dated December 3, 2010, a RTM for implementation of the RSEDs (WNA-VR-00280-WBT)	16. N	Open Response included in letter dated 12/22/10.	provide a plan to address requested information. Open-NRC Review NNC 11/18/10: (1)The point behind this open item was that TVA must demonstrate that the origin of each requirement in the WEC requirements specification is known and documented. TVA stated that this information would be in CQ PAMS LTR Rev. 2. (2) TVA also said it would provide a RTM for the RSED NNC 2/3/11: To be addressed during next audit.	EICB RAI ML102980066 Item No. 17		

202	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	EICB (Carte)	circuits and custom PC elements listed in Table 7.1-1.] Do the referenced reusable software element documents include requirements not explicitly stated in the SRS? If so what is their origin? 7/22/2010 The letter (ML0003740165) which transmitted the Safety Evaluation for the Common Q topical report to Westinghouse stated: "Should our criteria or regulations change so that our conclusions as to the acceptability of the report are invalidated, CE Nuclear Power and/or the applicant referencing the topical report	TVA Response(s) Responder: WEC Revision 1 of the Licensing Technical Report will provide more detailed information on the changes to the platform.	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions Open	Resolution Path Open-NRC Review	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
202	7.5.2		EICB (Carte)	Do the referenced reusable software element documents include requirements not explicitly stated in the SRS? If so what is their origin? 7/22/2010 The letter (ML0003740165) which transmitted the Safety Evaluation for the Common Q topical report to Westinghouse stated: "Should our criteria or regulations change so that our conclusions as to the acceptability of the report are invalidated, CE Nuclear Power and/or the applicant referencing the topical report	Revision 1 of the Licensing Technical Report will provide	17. N	Open	Open-NRC Review	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
				documentation, or submit justification for continued applicability of the topical report without revision of the respective documentation." Question No 81 identified many criteria changes; please revise the respective documentation or submit justification for continued applicability of the topical report.	Rev. 2 of the Licensing Technical Report will include the applicability of guidance. TVA Response to Follow-up NRC Request: WNA-LI-00058-WBT-P, Revision 2, "Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report" (LTR) submitted in TVA Letter to NRC dated December 3, 2010, contains the following change to address the NRC request: Section 9, "Compliance Evaluation of the Watts Bar 2 PAMS Software Requirements Specification to IEEE Standard 830-1998 and Regulatory Guide 1.172" to show the origin of the		Response included in letter dated 12/22/10 Partial Response provided in letter dated 10/5/10 NNC 1/5/11: Summary provided in Licensing Technical Report R2 has been reviewed and found to be unacceptable. LTR Section 9 evaluates the	Due 2/25/11 & 3/29/11 to provide information requested. Due TBD	ML102980066 Item No. 4		NNC 1/5/11: See Also Open Item No. 81 and 86.
					1998 and Regulatory Guide 1.172" to show the origin of the requirements has been added. The descriptions and commitments in the Topical Report (TR) still apply. The LTR provides compliance evidence to the new ISG-04 criteria. The statement in the SE means that the TR can be evaluated against later NRC criteria when it appears.		compliance of the SRS to IEEE 830-1998. There are two issues with this evaluation: (1) IEEE 830-1998 is not the current SRP acceptance criteria. IEEE 830-1998 has not been formally endorsed by a regulatory guide. (2) Westinghouse committed to evaluate the SRS against 830 when				
					Source: E-mail from Westinghouse (Matthew A. Shakun) to Bechtel (Mark S. Clark), RE: December 22 letter review, dated December 17, 2010 Partial TVA Response to Follow-up NRC Request:		the NRC identified several inconsistencies. Yes ISG-4 is one new criteria, and an evaluation against it has been				
					Attachment 4 contains the results of the TVA analysis of standards and regulatory guides applicable to the Common Q PAMS. Based on the results of the analysis, the Common Q PAMS design is acceptable. The final response is pending submittal of the Licensing Technical Report Revision 3 scheduled for March 29, 2011.		provided. In addition, LTR Rev. 2 Section 13 states: "The applicable NRC regulatory guides, IEEE and EPRI industry standards for the common Q PAMS are shown below. Compliance to these codes and standards are stated in Section 4 of				
					TVA Response to Follow-up NRC Request: (1) As discussed on page 9-1 of the Licensing Technical Report (Attachment 2) a comparison of IEEE 830-1993 and IEEE 830-1998 was performed and it was determined that the 1998 version enveloped all the requirements of the 1993 version which is endorsed by Regulatory Guide 1.172. Therefore the use of IEEE 830-1998 is acceptable.		Reference 1." Reference 1 is the common Q topical report.				

No	SE Sec	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
					the Software Requirements Specification against the requirements of IEEE 830-1998. (3) See TVA to NRC letter "Watts Bar Nuclear Plant (WBN) Unit 2 – Instrumentation And Controls Staff Information Requests," dated February 25, 2011 Attachment 4 "Common Q PAMS Regulatory Guide and IEEE Standard Analysis." (4) This section of the Licensing Technical Report (Attachment 2) has been relocated to section 15. The comment has been addressed by adding Reference 40 to TVA to NRC letter dated February 25, 2011, Attachment 4 which is the "Common Q PAMS Regulatory Guide and IEEE Standard Analysis."						
21	2 7.5.2		EICB (Carte)	Pietre dated June 18, 2010 (ML101940236) TVA stated (Enclosure 1, Attachment 3, Item No. 3) that the PAMS system design specification and software requirements specification contain information to address the "Design Report on Computer Integrity, Test and Calibration" The staff has reviewed these documents, and it is not clear how this is the case. (1) Please describe how the information provided demonstrates compliance with IEEE 603-1991 Clauses 5.5, 5.7, 5.10, & 6.5. (2) Please describe how the information provided demonstrates conformance with IEEE 7-4.3.2-2003 Clauses 5.5 & 57.	Responder: WEC Application specific requirements for testing. This cannot be addressed in a topical report. Evaluation of how the hardware meets the regulatory requirements. WEC to provide the information and determine where the information will be located. IEEE-603 1991: 5.5 System Integrity. The safety systems shall be designed to accomplish their safety functions under the full range of applicable conditions enumerated in the design basis. TVA Response: The applicable conditions and Common Q PAMS system compliance are contained in WNA-LI-00058-WBT-P, Rev. 2, "Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report" submitted in TVA Letter to NRC dated December 3, 2010, Section 11, "Contract Compliance Matrix" items: 87 and 88 Seismic 89, 90, 91, 92 and 185 EMI/RFI 300, 301 and 302 Environmental Seismic qualification of the equipment to meet the design basis requirements 5.7 Capability for Test and Calibration. Capability for testing and calibration of safety system equipment shall be provided while retaining the capability of the safety systems to accomplish their safety functions. The capability for testing and calibration of safety system equipment shall be provided during power operation and shall duplicate, as closely as practicable, performance of the safety function. Testing of Class 1E systems shall be in accordance with the requirements of IEEE Std 338-1987. Exceptions to testing and calibration during power operation are allowed where this capability cannot be provided without adversely affecting the safety or operability of the generating station. In this case:		Open Partial Response included in letter dated 03/16/11 Final response due 3/29/11	NNC 2/17/2011: IEEE 603 Clause 5.5 basically states that conditions identified in IEEE 603 Clauses 4.7 & 4.8 must be addressed in the design. Energy supply conditions have not been identified, or explicitly addressed. NNC 2/18/11: Clause 5.7 is acceptably addressed.	EICB RAI ML102980066 Item No. 10		

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
					 appropriate justification shall be provided (for example, demonstration that no practical design exists), acceptable reliability of equipment operation shall be otherwise demonstrated, and the capability shall be provided while the generating station is shut down. TVA Response: The requirements for test and calibration and Common Q PAMS system compliance, are contained in WNA-LI-00058-WBT-P, Rev. 2, "Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report" Section 11, "TVA Contract Compliance Matrix" items: 202 self test 350 Maintenance Bypass 351 Loop Tuning Parameters, 400 and 401 3.7.2 Testing, Calibration, and Verification 402, 403 and 404, 3.7.3 Channel Bypass or Removal from Operation 5.10 Repair. The safety systems shall be designed to facilitate timely recognition, location, replacement, repair, and adjustment of malfunctioning equipment. TVA Response: The requirements for repair and Common Q PAMS system compliance are contained in WNA-LI-00058-WBT-P, Rev. 2, "Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report" Section 11, "TVA Contract Compliance Matrix" items:			NNC 2/18/2011: WNA-AR-00189-WBT Rev. 0 Table 5-2 shows a MTTR of 7.2 hours. It is not clear how this satisfies the contractual item No. 179. The Contract Compliance Matrix Item 179 in Revision 3 of the LTR has been revised to show this item as a deviation and to reflect TVA's acceptance of the 7.2 hour MTTR value. Attachment 2 contains WNA-LI-00058-WBT-P, "Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report," Revision 3, dated March 2011 (proprietary).			

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
					 (1) Checking the operational availability of sensors by use of the methods described in 6.5.1. (2) Specifying equipment that is stable and retains its calibration during the post-accident time period. 						
					TVA Response: The requirements for sense and command feature testing and Common Q PAMS system compliance are contained in WNA-LI-00058-WBT-P, Revision 2, "Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report" Section 11 "TVA Contract Compliance Matrix" items:						
					 10, display of sensor diagnostic information 202 self test 205 self diagnostics and watchdog timer 264 through 271, system self checks 311 system status displays, 341 alarms, 344 on-line diagnostics 						
					IEEE 7-4.3.2-2003 5.5 System integrity In addition to the system integrity criteria provided by IEEE Std 603-1998, the following are necessary to achieve system integrity in digital equipment for use in safety systems: — Design for computer integrity — Design for test and calibration — Fault detection and self-diagnostics						
					5.5.1 Design for computer integrity The computer shall be designed to perform its safety function when subjected to conditions, external or internal, that have significant potential for defeating the safety function. For example, input and output processing failures, precision or round off problems, improper recovery actions, electrical input voltage and frequency fluctuations, and maximum credible number of coincident signal changes.						
					If the system requirements identify a safety system preferred failure mode, failures of the computer shall not preclude the safety system from being placed in that mode. Performance of computer system restart operations shall not result in the safety system being inhibited from performing its function.						
					 TVA Response: Common Q PAMS system reliability and failure modes are described in: WNA-AR-00180-WBT, Revision 0, "Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) for the Post Accident Monitoring System" WNA-AR-00189-WBT, Revision 0 "Post Accident Monitoring System Reliability Analysis" 						
					The requirements for mean time between failure and Common Q PAMS system compliance are contained in WNA-LI-00058-WBT-P, Revision 2, "Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical						

No.		FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
INO.	Sec.		POC	issue	Report," Section 11 "TVA Contract Compliance Matrix" item 178. 5.5.2 Design for test and calibration Test and calibration functions shall not adversely affect the ability of the computer to perform its safety function. Appropriate bypass of one redundant channel is not considered an adverse effect in this context. It shall be verified that the test and calibration functions do not affect computer functions that are not included in a calibration change (e.g., setpoint change). V&V, configuration management, and QA shall be required for test and calibration functions on separate	Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	KAI NO. & Dale	rai resp. Date	Continents
					computers (e.g., test and calibration computer) that provide the sole verification of test and calibration data. V&V, configuration management, and QA shall be required when the test and calibration function is inherent to the computer that is part of the safety system.						
					V&V, configuration management, and QA are not required when the test and calibration function is resident on a separate computer and does not provide the sole verification of test and calibration data for the computer that is part of the safety system.						
					 TVA Response: The requirements for test and calibration and Common Q PAMS system compliance are contained in WNA-LI-00058-WBT-P, Revision 2, "Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report" Section 11 "TVA Contract Compliance Matrix" items: 202 self test 350 Maintenance Bypass 351 Loop Tuning Parameters, 400 and 401 3.7.2 Testing, Calibration, and Verification 402, 403 and 404, 3.7.3 Channel Bypass or Removal from Operation 						
					5.5.3 Fault detection and self-diagnostics Computer systems can experience partial failures that can degrade the capabilities of the computer system, but may not be immediately detectable by the system. Self-diagnostics are one means that can be used to assist in detecting these failures. Fault detection and self-diagnostics requirements are addressed in this sub-clause.						
					The reliability requirements of the safety system shall be used to establish the need for self-diagnostics. Self diagnostics are not required for systems in which failures can be detected by alternate means in a timely manner. If self-diagnostics are incorporated into the system requirements, these functions shall be subject to the same V&V processes as the safety system						

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
	060.	060.	1 00		functions.	Y/N					
					If reliability requirements warrant self-diagnostics, then computer programs shall incorporate functions to detect and report computer system faults and failures in a timely manner. Conversely, self-diagnostic functions shall not adversely affect the ability of the computer system to perform its safety function, or cause spurious actuations of the safety function. A typical set of self-diagnostic functions includes the following: — Memory functionality and integrity tests (e.g., PROM checksum and RAM tests) — Computer system instruction set (e.g., calculation tests) — Computer peripheral hardware tests (e.g., watchdog timers and keyboards) — Computer architecture support hardware (e.g., address lines and shared memory interfaces) — Communication link diagnostics (e.g., CRC checks)						
					Infrequent communication link failures that do not result in a system failure or a lack of system functionality do not require reporting.						
					 When self-diagnostics are applied, the following self-diagnostic features shall be incorporated into the system design: a) Self-diagnostics during computer system startup b) Periodic self-diagnostics while the computer system is operating c) Self-diagnostic test failure reporting 						
					TVA Response: The requirements for fault detection and self diagnostics and Common Q PAMS system compliance are contained in WNA-LI-00058-WBT-P, Rev. 2, "Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report" Section 11 "TVA Contract Compliance Matrix" items: 107 error free download 202 self test 205 self diagnostics and watchdog timer						
					 264 through 271, continuous on-line self checks 311 system status displays, 341 alarms, 344 on-line diagnostics 						
					5.7 Capability for test and calibrationNo requirements beyond IEEE Std 603-1998 are necessary.TVA Response: No response required.						
					Concurrence: E-mail from Westinghouse (Andrew P. Drake) to Bechtel (Mark S. Clark), RE: RAI 212 Response - Errors in the Contract Compliance Matrix, dated December 17, 2010						

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
					 (a) Energy Supply conditions are specified in WNA-DS-01617-WBT-P, System Requirements Specification Rev. 4, Requirement 4.1-1 which requires 120Vac ±10% and 60±3Hz. Power to the Common Q PAMS is provided from the 120Vac vital power system. Per WBN Unit 2 FSAR section 8.3.1.1 the vital 120 volt ac system specifications are 120Vac ±2% and 60±0.5Hz. Based on this, the power provided meets the system requirements. Electromagnetic compatibility, seismic and environmental qualification of the equipment to meet the design basis requirements is documented in EQ-QR-68-WBT-P, Revision 0 "Qualification Summary Report for Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS)" (Proprietary) (Attachment 4). Attachment 5 contains EQ-QR-68-WBT-NP, Revision 0 "Qualification Summary Report for Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS)" (non-proprietary). Attachment 6 contains CWA-11-3118, Application for Withholding Proprietary Information from Public Disclosure, EQ-QR-68-WBT-P, Revision 0 "Qualification Summary Report for Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS)," (Proprietary), dated February 28, 2011. (b) The Contract Compliance Matrix Item 179 in Revision 3 of the Licensing Technical Report will be revised to show this item as a deviation and to reflect TVA's acceptance of the 7.2 hour MTTR value. WNA-LI-00058-WBT-P, "Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report," Revision 3, (proprietary) dated March 2011, will be submitted no later than March 29, 2011. 					
244			EICB (Carte	Section 8.2.2 of the Common Q SPM (ML050350234) states that the Software Requirements Specification (SRS) shall be developed using IEEE 830 and RE 1.172. Clause 4.8, "Embedding project requirements in the SRS," of the IEEE 830 states that an SRS should address the software product, not the process of producing the software. In addition Section 4.3.2.1 of the SPM states "Any alternatives to the SPM processes or additional project specific information for theSCMPshall be specified in the PQP. Contrary to these two statements in the SPM, the WBN2 PAMS SRS (ML101050202) contains many process related requirements, for example all seventeen requirements in Section 2.3.2, "Configuration Control," address process requirements for configuration control. Please explain how the above meets the intent of the approved SPM.	The process related requirements have been removed from	19. N	Revised response is included in letter dated 12/22/10 Response is provided in letter dated 10/25/10. NNC 11/18/10: SysRS Rev. 2 also contains process requirements that are more appropriately incorporated into process documentation.	with Common Q TR & SPM compliance were discussed in the weekly public meetings.	Response is provided in letter dated 10/25/10.	LIC-101 Rev. 3 Appendix B Section 4, "Safety Evaluation" states: "the information relied upon in the SE must be docketed correspondence." LIC-101 Rev. 3 states: "The safety analysis that supports the change requested should include technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposal in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety."

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
					00239-WBT-P, Revision 3, "Software Requirements Specification for the Post Accident Monitoring System," dated December 2010. Source: E-mail from Westinghouse (Andrew P. Drake) to Bechtel (Mark S. Clark), RE: Common Q RAI concerns,						
					dated December 8, 2010 (Reference 17) TVA Response to Follow-up NRC Request:						
					The documents discussed in Item 3 have been revised to address compliance with the Topical Report (TR) and the Software Program Manual (SPM).						
245			te)	8/3/2010	Responder: WEC	20. N	Open	Open-NRC Review	EICB RAI		LIC-101 Rev. 3 Appendix B Section 4,
			EICB (Carte	Section 5.8 of the Common Q SPM (ML050350234) identifies the required test documentation for systems developed using the Common Q SPM. Please provide sufficient information for the NRC staff to independently assess whether the test plan for WBN2 PAMS, is as described in the SPM (e.g., Section 5.8.1).	Relates to the commitment to provide the test plan and the SPM compliance matrix Attachment 9 contains the Westinghouse document "Post Accident Monitoring System Test Plan," WNA-PT-00138-WBT, Revision 0, dated November 2010. Attachment 10 contains the Westinghouse Application for Withholding for the "Post Accident Monitoring System Test Plan," WNA-PT-00138-WBT, Revision 0, dated November 2010. TVA Response to Follow-up NRC Request: The results of the self assessment were reviewed by Westinghouse with the NRC on February 2, 2011 and were further reviewed by TVA during the NRC Common Q PAMS audit during the week of February 28 to March 4, 2011. Corrections to WNA-TR-02451-WBT, "Test Summary Report for the Post Accident Monitoring System" and the self assessment were made as a result of the TVA review to ensure this comment was fully addressed. By agreement between TVA, WEC and the NRC, the Post Accident Monitoring System Test Plan, WNA-PT-00138-WBT, Revision 0 will not be revised. Instead a non-proprietary Common Q PAMS Test Summary Report will be developed and submitted to address the issues with TR and SPM compliance. Attachment 1 contains non-proprietary		Pending Submittal of the Test Summary Report due 3/29/11 Response included in letter dated 12/3/10 Common Q PAMS Test Summary Report scheduled to be submitted March 29, 2011.	Due 3/29/11 NNC 2/2/11: Issues with the Common Q TR & SPM were discussed in the weekly public meetings. Westinghouse to perform Common Q TR & SPM compliance self assessment	ML102980066 Item No. 119		"Safety Evaluation" states: "the information relied upon in the SE must be docketed correspondence." LIC-101 Rev. 3 states: "The safety analysis that supports the change requested should include technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposal in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety."
					WNA-TR-02451-WBT, Revision 0, "Test Summary Report for the Post Accident Monitoring System," dated March 2011.						
246			EICB (Carte)	Section 4.3.2.1, "Initiation Phase" of the Common Q SPM	Responder: WEC As agreed ISG6 does not apply to the Common Q PAMS	21. N	Open Panding Submittal of Pavision 3 of	Open-NRC Review Due 3/29/11	EICB RAI ML102980066 Item No. 15	Response is provided in letter dated 10/25/10	LIC-101 Rev. 3 Appendix B Section 4, "Safety Evaluation" states: "the information relied upon in the SE must be desketed correspondence."
			EIC	developed. Many other section of the SPM identify that this PQP should contain information required by ISG6. Please provide the PQP. If "PQP" is not the name of the documentation produced, please describe the documentation produced and provide the information that the SPM states should be in the PQP.	platform. The information required to address this question concerning the PQP and SPM has been added to compliance matrix in revision 1 of the Licensing Technical Report. Attachment 1 of letter dated 10/25/10 contains the proprietary version of Westinghouse document "Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA), Watts Bar Unit 2 (WBN2), Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS), Licensing Technical Report, Revision 1, WNA-LI-00058-WBT-P, Dated October 2010"		Pending Submittal of Revision 3 of the Licensing Technical Report due 3/29/11. PQP provided for audit the week of 2/28/11. Response is provided in letter dated 10/25/10 NNC 11/18/10: PQP has not been provided and CQ PAMS LTR Rev. 1 does not contain comparable	with the Common Q TR & SPM implementation were discussed in the weekly public meetings. Westinghouse to perform Common Q TR & SPM compliance self			be docketed correspondence." LIC-101 Rev. 3 states: "The safety analysis that supports the change requested should include technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposal in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety."

_Open Items to be Resolved for SER Approval

<u> </u>					T _			T		
No. SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
250		(e)	8/8/2010	TVA Response to Follow-up NRC Request: The results of the Common Q TR and SPM self assessment were reviewed by Westinghouse with the NRC on February 2, 2011. The Westinghouse Watts Bar Unit 2 NSSS Completion I&C Projects Project Quality Plan, WNA-PQ-00220-WBT, Revision 1 is available for NRC audit at the Westinghouse Rockville Office and was available for review during the NRC Common Q PAMS audit during the week of February 28 to March 4, 2011. During the audit, the Westinghouse Quality Assurance in process audit of the Common Q PAMS project was reviewed by the NRC inspector with no issues identified. Responder: WEC		information. Open	Open-NRC Review			LIC-101 Rev. 3 Appendix B Section 4,
			The SPM describes the software and documents that will be created and placed under configuration control. The SCMP (e.g., SPM Section 6, "Software Configuration Management Plan")	Westinghouse develops Software Release Reports/Records and a Configuration Management Release Report. Describe the documents and when they will be produced. Summarize guidance on how to produce these records, focus on project specific requirements in SPM etc. TVA Response to Follow-up NRC Request: The following documentation shows that the configuration management tasks for that activity group have been successfully accomplished. 2. WNA-LI-00058-WT-P, Revision 2, "Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS) Licensing Technical Report" submitted in TVA Letter to NRC dated December 3, 2010, (Reference 1) contains the following changes to address the NRC requests: a. Section 2.2.1 Hardware/Software Change Process has been added to describe the process of how changes are evaluated. b. Section 2.2.2, "Software" has been expanded to include a table detailing evolutionary software changes that have occurred since the initial submittal and the change evaluation of the life cycle. 3. WNA-PT-00138-WBT, Revision 0, "Nuclear Automation Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects, Post Accident Monitoring System Test Plan," (Proprietary), dated November 2010 submitted in TVA Letter to NRC, dated December 3, 2010 (Reference 1).		Revised response included in letter dated 12/22/10 Response included in letter dated 10/25/10.	'			"Safety Evaluation" states: "the information relied upon in the SE must be docketed correspondence." LIC-101 Rev. 3 states: "The safety analysis that supports the change requested should include technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposal in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety."
251			8/8/2010 The SPM describes the software testing and documents that will be created. The SPM also describes the testing tasks that are to be carried out. The acceptance criterion for software test implementation is that the tasks in the SPM have been carried out in their entirety. Please provide information that shows that testing been successfully accomplished.	Responder: WEC The software testing performed and documents created are addressed by the SPM Compliance matrix contained in Revision 1 of the Licensing Technical Report. Attachment 1 of the letter dated 10/25/10 contains the Proprietary version of Westinghouse's document titled:	23. N	Open Pending Submittal of the Test Summary Report due 3/29/11 Revised response included in letter dated 12/22/10	Open-NRC Review Due 3/29/11 NNC 2/2/11: Issues with the Common Q TR & SPM were discussed in the weekly public			LIC-101 Rev. 3 Appendix B Section 4, "Safety Evaluation" states: "the information relied upon in the SE must be docketed correspondence." LIC-101 Rev. 3 states: "The safety analysis that supports the change requested should include technical

No. SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
				"Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA), Watts Bar Unit 2 (WBN2), Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS), Licensing Technical Report, Revision 1, WNA-LI-00058-WBT-P, Dated October 2010" TVA Response to Follow-up NRC Request: Please see the response to RAI item 21 in letter dated 12/22/10, NRC Matrix Item 250. TVA Response to second Follow-up NRC Request: The results of the Common Q TR and SPM self assessment were reviewed by Westinghouse with the NRC on February 2, 2011. By agreement between TVA, WEC and the NRC, the Post Accident Monitoring System Test Plan, WNA-PT-00138-WBT, Revision 0 will not be revised. Instead a non-proprietary Common Q PAMS Test Summary Report will be developed and submitted to address the issues with TR and SPM compliance. Attachment 1 contains non-proprietary WNA-TR-02451-WBT, Revision 0, "Test Summary Report for the Post Accident Monitoring System," dated March 2011.		Partial response is provided in letter dated 10/25/10	meetings. Westinghouse to perform Common Q TR & SPM compliance self assessment			information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposal in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety."
252		EICB (Carte)	The SPM contain requirements for software requirements traceability analysis and associated documentation (see Section 5.4.5.3, "Requirements Traceability Analysis"). Please provide information that demonstrates that requirements traceability analysis has been successfully accomplished.			Open Response included in letter dated 12/22/10 Read ML091560352	Open-NRC Review Due 2/25/11 (document submittals) NNC 2/2/11: Updated RTMs and specifications to be provided. Requirements traceability to be addressed during the next audit.			LIC-101 Rev. 3 Appendix B Section 4, "Safety Evaluation" states: "the information relied upon in the SE must be docketed correspondence." LIC-101 Rev. 3 states: "The safety analysis that supports the change requested should include technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposal in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety."

No. SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
				 The Independent Verification & Validation (IV&V) report covering the Concept and Definition phases ("Nuclear Automation Watts Bar Unit 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects, IV&V Summary Report for the Post Accident Monitoring System," (Proprietary), WNA-VR-00283-WBT, Revision 1, dated November 2010), submitted in TVA Letter to NRC dated December 3, 2010 (Reference 1). The Independent Verification &Validation (IV&V) report covering the Design and Implementation phases ("Nuclear Automation Watts Bar Unit 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects, IV&V Summary Report for the Post Accident Monitoring System," (Proprietary), WNA-VR-00283-WBT, Revision 2, dated November 2010), submitted in TVA Letter to NRC dated December 3, 2010 (Reference 1). The integration phase is covered in Attachment 10, the proprietary version of "IV&V Summary Report for the Post Accident Monitoring System," WNA-VR-00283-WBT-P, Revision 3, dated December 2010. Attachment 11 contains the non-proprietary version of "IV&V Summary Report for the Post Accident Monitoring System," WNA-VR-00283-WBT-NP, Revision 3, dated December 2010. Attachment 12 contains the "Application For Withholding Proprietary Information From Public Disclosure WNA-VR-00283-WBT-P, Revision 3, "IV &V Summary Report for the Post Accident Monitoring System" (Proprietary)," dated December 2010. TVA Response to Follow-up NRC Request: 						
323			WCAP-13869 revision 1 was previously reviewed under WBN Unit 1 SER SSER 13 (Reference 8). Unit 2 references revision 2. An analysis of the differences and their acceptability will be submitted to the NRC by November 15, 2010		25. Y	there should be no difference between Unit 1 and 2 Amendment 104 has been submitted with this change. Please verify and close.	Open-NRC Review Due: Need to provide additional info on why Rev. 1 is acceptable for both units. 3/10/11 Staff does not agree with the statement that there is no technical differences between WCAP-13869 rev.1 and rev2., but staff agree that rev1 and change analysis could be basis for acceptance for both Watts Bar units. 4/6/11 TVA response is		TVA Letter dated 10/29/10 Enclosure 1 Item No. 36	

_Open Items to be Resolved for SER Approval

		•		on with the flac chapter tonly)	Taulbor 0.doc						Resolved for SER Approval
No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
								acceptable, however this item remains open until TVA makes changes to FSAR.			
327			oole)	SC-2001 sheets 1 through 6. An affidavit for withholding and non-	Responder: Webber In accordance with correspondence from Foxboro, there is	26. Y	Open Response Included in letter dated	Open-NRC Review Due 11/24/10			
			DORL (Poole)	31, 2011.	no proprietary information contained in the 08F802403-SC-2001 drawings. Based on this, no affidavit for withholding is required. Attachment 1 contains versions of the drawings with the proprietary information block removed.		11/24/10	540 1 1/2 1/10			
340	7.5.2.	7.5		Provide test result curves for all EMI/RFI tests listed in Table 3.2.3 (page 3-8) of the Qualification Test Report 04508905-QR. In	Responder: GA	27. N	Open	Open-NRC Review			
				addition, please provide the standards or the guidance documents used as the source for ENV 50140, ENV 55011 Class A, and EN	The following responses are based on e-mail: GA-ESI to Bechtel, dated December 8, 2010 (Reference 20),		Due 4/30/11	Provide the qual reports by 1/28/11 per TVA			
			EICB (Singh)	55022 Class B.	 (1) The EMI/RFI tests described in Table 3-2 are based on GA-ESI report 04509050 and are summarized in GA-ESI report 04508905-QR. The independent laboratory report, with curves, is part of GA-ESI report 04508905-QR additional EMC testing was performed in accordance with TVA specific requirements. The results of the subsequent EMC testing are reported in GA-ESI report 04038800. GA-ESI report 04038800 includes the test curves and the report is used as the basis for EMC qualification of the Upper and Lower Inside Containment Post Accident Radiation Monitors (2-RE-90-271 through -274). The results of the testing and the acceptability of the RM-1000 monitors for use at WBN Unit 2 are addressed in GA-ESI report 04038903-7SP. This report will be submitted no later than January 28, 2010. (2) ENV 50140, EN 55011, and EN 55022 are British Standard Institution (BSI) publications concerning equipment electromagnetic and radio frequency performance. The standard titles are shown below: a. ENV 50140 - Electromagnetic Compatibility - Basic Immunity Standard - Radiated Radio-Frequency Electromagnetic Field - Immunity Test b. EN 55011 - Industrial, scientific and medical equipment - Radio-frequency disturbance characteristics - Limits and methods of measurement c. EN 55022 - Information technology equipment - Radio disturbance characteristics - Limits and methods of measurement TVA Response to Follow-up NRC Request: 		Response included in letter dated 12/22/10.	letter of 12/22/10. Due: 2/25/11 Clarification Needed: Per 2/25/11 response TVA document SS- E18.14.01, Rev. 3 is the source document for all testing. Please provide this document for staff review. In addition British Standards (e.g. ENV 50140) have been cited in testing which are not per RG 1.180, R1. TVA to describe compliance of SS-E18-14.01 to RG 1.180 with justification for deviations. No test curves have been provided in any of the reports. As a minimum TVA to provide a few sample test curves or justify not supplying them. No EMI/RFI curves have been provided as yet. TVA to provide representative curves. NRC review proceeding in parallel.			
					The total EMI/RFI testing of the RM-1000 and current-to- frequency converter is documented in the following reports:			NRC current review guidance is based on compliance with RG			
					 Attachment 5 contains the proprietary version of General Atomics Electronic Systems 04508905-1SP, "Qualification Test Report Supplement, RM-1000 			1.180 or equal with justification for variations. TVA is			
					Upgrade." See sections 5.1.1, 5.1.2 and 5.1.4 for			requested to provide			

No. SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
				 EMI/RFI. Attachment 7 contains the proprietary version of General Atomics Electronic Systems 04038903-7SP, "Qualification Basis for 04034101 (2-RE-90-271, 272, 273 & 274)." See section 5 for EMC qualification basis. Attachment 8 contains the proprietary version of General Atomics Electronic Systems 04038903-QSR, "Qualification Summary Report for Watts Bar Nuclear Plant Unit 2 Replacement Radiation Monitors." See section 3.4 for electromagnetic compatibility qualification requirements. Attachment 23 contains the proprietary version of General Atomics Electronic Systems 04508905-QR, "Qualification Test Report for RM-1000 Processor Module and Current-To-Frequency Converter." See sections 3.2.1 through 3.2.5 and 6.2 for EMI/RFI. Attachments 7 and 8 document the EMI/RFI testing specific to the WBN Unit 2 RM-1000 monitors and current-to-frequency converters. TVA Response to Second Follow-up NRC Request: GA-ESI qualification report 04038903-7SP, "Qualification Basis for 04034101 (2-RE-90-271, 272, 273 & 274)" Revision C dated February 22, 2011(Proprietary), submitted on TVA to NRC letter dated February 25, 2011 (Reference 2), section 5.1 states: "GA-ESI has performed the tests on a 2 channel RM-1000 radiation monitoring system the configuration of which is shown in GA-ESI drawing 04509000 System Installation Configuration, RFI/EMI Test, RM-1000 the results of which are issued in GA-ESI report 04038800, RM-1000 EMC Test Report, TVA and 04509050, RM-1000 EMC Test Report. The equipment tested used an RM-1000 microprocessor radiation monitor Display/Control NIM Bin Assembly, an I-F Converter, line filter, and an RD-23 detector. The monitor system being qualified is the same as the monitor system tested and includes ECO-17656 modifications to ensure EMC compliance." Attachment 1 contains the TVA "Browns Ferry High Range Radiation Monitor" which contains the requested EMI test curves. We have confirmed that the GA-ESI reports (04509050, and 040			the roadmap for compliance to RG 1.180 with justifications for any deviations. Simply following TVA standard specification SS E18.14.01, Rev. 3 is not sufficient.			

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date RAI I	Resp. Date	Comments
					Attachment 1 provides a comparison of the TVA EMC specification SS E18.14.01, Revision 3 requirements to RG 1.180 requirements.						
359	7.7.1. 1		EICB (Carte)	Was the CERPI system developed under a 10 CFR 50 Appendix B compliant program?	CERPI is a non-safety related system. Therefore, 10 CFR 50 Appendix B is not applicable.	28.	Open Due 4/15/11	Open-NRC Review			
361	7.7.1. 1		EICB (Carte)	Was the Foxboro IA system developed under a 10 CFR 50 Appendix B compliant program?	Foxboro I/A is a non-safety related system. Therefore, 10 CFR 50 Appendix B is not applicable.	29.	Open Due 4/15/11	Open-NRC Review			
363	7.5.1. 1.3 and 7.9.1	7.5.2	B (Rahn and Mossman)	associated with the Technical Support Center and Nuclear Data Link. TVA responded in Letter Dated October 5, 2010, Item 63; however, TVA's response does not address the quality aspects of these system features. A similar question had been asked for Quality Criteria adherence for the SPDS and the BISI functions of the Integrated Computer System. In response to that request (same letter) TVA provided a description of TVA procedures, BISI software development procedures, and various management measures that will be taken to assure high quality in the design, operation, and maintenance of the SPDS and BISI functions of the ICS. Since the TSC and Nuclear Data Link information originates in the SPDS function of the ICS, are there any aspects of the quality measures that apply to the TSC and NDL features developed as part of quality processes for the ICS that are applicable to the data communications features? Specifically, what is the scope of TVA Procedure SPP-2.6 "Computer Software Control"? How does it apply to the ICS functions of a) SPDS, b) BISI, and c) TSC and NDL functions? Wouldn't there be aspects of the quality procedures that apply to the development, maintenance, and operations of the software needed to support the data communications features. Also, what quality measures will be applied to develop, maintain, and operate	TVA Procedure SPP-2.6 "Computer Software Control" has been superseded by TVA Procedure NPG-SPP-12.7, "Computer Software Control," Revision 0, dated December 17, 2010 (Attachment 3). To ensure quality, the design, testing, and inspection of all Integrated Computer System (ICS) software including a) SPDS, b) BISI and c) Technical Support Center (TSC) and Nuclear Data Link (NDL) functionality is controlled by qualified personnel in accordance with TVA procedure NPG-SPP-12.7. The TSC and NDL functions are provided and performed by the ICS and, in the case of NDL, the Central Emergency Control Center (CECC) computers in Chattanooga. Any changes to ICS software must be documented and controlled using TVA procedure NPG-SPP-12.7. This includes the a) SPDS, b) BISI and c) TSC and NDL functions. The procedure details controls and processes required for the development, modification, and configuration management of computer software used to support the design, operation, modification, and maintenance of TVA's nuclear power plants consistent with the Nuclear Quality Assurance Plan. Controls in NPG-SPP-12.7 guide the development and testing of the software changes. Other controls established by this procedure to further maintain quality standards are: The application custodian implements controls to prevent unauthorized changes to the software. Changes are made in a non-production environment, and validation testing takes place before the change is installed on the ICS when possible. Once validation testing begins, the source code is placed under configuration control. When the modifications are installed on the ICS, an operability test is performed to demonstrate that the software is installed correctly and is functioning correctly in its operating environment. Documentation related to ICS software changes are QA records. The software source code is kept in a physically secure, environmentally controlled space to prevent inadvertent changes. Cyber security considerations are also considered in the		Due 4/30/11	Open-NRC Review			

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
					 storage environment. The data goes through several validation steps before being presented to the operators. When redundant sensors are used, the data received by the computer can be processed by software to determine if the quality of one or more points is questionable. The hardware involved in the TSC and NDL functionality is verified to be operable on a periodic basis. In the case of the NDL functionality, the ICS transmits the required data to the CECC on a continuous basis. The CECC monitors the status of the ICS data communications and alarms are generated when the link is not active. The Emergency Plan (EP) staff conducts a quarterly test that verifies that NDL data is successfully transmitted from each unit to the NRC. 						
367	7.5.2.	7.5	EICB (Carte)	On 5/6/2010 (See Open Item No. 81) the NRC Staff requested an evaluation of the Common Q PAMS against the current staff position. By letter dated 2/25/11 (ML110620219), TVA docketed a response. The WBN2 FSAR (Amendment 103) references RG 1.153 Rev. 0, "Criteria for Safety Systems." The Common Q PAMS is designed to meet the requirements of RG 1.153 Rev. 1. By letter dated February 25, 2010 (ML110620219), TVA stated: "The subject Regulatory Guides [RG 1.153 Rev. 0 & 1] endorse and reference other standards. Common Q PAMS has been evaluated to comply with the requirements of these other endorsed standards ([Comparison report in this letter titled IEEE-279-1971 to IEEE-603-1991 Comparison]). Therefore no additional analysis needs to be performed and no further action is necessary." However, the "Comparison report in this letter titled IEEE-279-1971 to IEEE-603-1991 Comparison," stated: "The first of the two standards, IEEE-279, is part of the design basis of WBN2 but is not relevant to Common Q PAMS. The second standard, IEEE-603-1991 is not part of the design basis for the Common Q PAMS forWBN2." Based on the reasoning quoted above, WBN2 did not evaluate the Common Q PAMS against the criteria of RG 1.153 Rev. 1; therefore, the staff finds the following open item (see also Open Items No. 1 & 2 above.): TVA to evaluate Common Q PAMS for conformance with RG 1.153 Rev. 1.	Common Q PAMS complies with Regulatory Guide 1.153 Revision 1. The response in Attachment 4 to TVA to NRC letter dated February 25, 2011 (Reference 3) was in error.	31. Y	Open Due 5/15/11	Open-NRC Review			NNC 4/125/2011: See Open Item No. 81.
368	7.5.2. 2	7.5	EICB (Carte)		Attachment 6 contains the evaluation for Common Q PAMS for conformance with RG 1.152 Revision 2	32. Y	Open Due 5/15/11	Open-NRC Review			NNC 4/125/2011: See Open Item No. 81.

		•		om with IVA (I&C Chapter / Only)	TauEDo70.000	,, <u>,</u>					Resolved for SER Approval
No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
				February 25, 2010 (ML110620219), TVA stated: "RG 1.152 rev 2 endorses ANSI/IEEE-ANS-7-4.3.2-2003, but also provides extra regulatory guidance concerning computer based cyber security. Since this revision was not part of the design basis of WBN2 or Common Q PAMS, the project makes no commitment to the compliance of RG 1.152 rev 2." Based upon the review of this item, the staff finds the following open item: 1 TVA to evaluate Common Q PAMS for conformance with RG 1.152 Rev. 2.							
372	7.5.2.	7.5		On 5/6/2010 (See Open Item No. 81) the NRC Staff requested an evaluation of the Common Q PAMS against the current staff position. By letter dated 2/25/11 (ML110620219), TVA docketed a response. The requirements in the SysRS and SRS are not traceable back to the design basis (e.g., IEEE Std 603-1991 Section 4) for the system. The SRS does not include any documented evidence that it was ever independently reviewed in accordance with the 10CFR50 Appendix B Criterion III, "Design Control." (Note: It appears that the only Common Q or WBN2 PAMS document that was independently reviewed in accordance with 10 CFR 50 Appendix B requirements is the SysRS.) Based upon the review of the SysRS and SRS, the staff finds that there is reasonable assurance that the systems fully conform to the applicable guidelines, except for the following open items: 1 TVA to produce an acceptable description of how the SysRS and SRS implement the design basis requirements of IEEE 603-1991 Clause 4. 2 TVA to produce a final SRS that is independently reviewed in accordance with 10CFR50Appendix B, "Criterion III Design Control," requirements.	 Attachment 7 contains the evaluation for how the Common Q PAMS SysRS and SRS implement the design basis requirements of IEEE 603-1991 Clause 4. This item is the result of a request made by the NRC staff on the Watts Bar 2 PAMS project conducted at the Westinghouse facility in Warrendale, PA the week of February 28, 2011: "For the WB N2 PAMS project, Westinghouse will provide documentation in their Rockville MD offices demonstrating that each document requiring independent review was in fact independently reviewed CAPs No. 11-061-M047 will contain a commitment to provided documented evidence of appropriate independent reviews." The referenced CAPS issue has been closed. To summarize the CAPS disposition: All revisions of the Watts Bar NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects Post Accident Monitoring System "System Design Specification (WNA-DS-01 667-WBT-PINP, Revision 0 to Revision 4)", "Software Design Description for the AC 160 Software (WNA-SD-00250-WBT, Revision 0 to Revision 3)", and "Software Design Description for the FPDS Software (WNA-SD-00250-WBT, Revision 0 to Revision 3)" documents have been independently reviewed (verified) per WEC 6.1. Please note that according to NSNP 3.3.3, the independent review is considered as an acceptable method of verification. The above documents, for all revisions, include a verifier (an independent reviewer) who is a competent individual other than the document author to verify that the document is technically correct and satisfactorily meets the intended requirements. The front page of each document lists the author, the independent reviewer (the first reviewer listed; second reviewer listed is the Project Manager verifying document's compliance to the program rules). The second page lists any contributors to the document. It is 		Open Response submitted 6/13/11	Open-NRC Review			NNC 4/125/2011: See Open Item No. 81.

radEB876.docx

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
					important to note that the document's independent reviewer (verifier) is NOT included within the list of contributors indicating their independence from the original work. In summary, according to WEC 6.1 the Responsible Manager (also listed on the front page) must 1) approve						
					the document for issuance, 2) ensure that the verification method and design methodology are demonstrated appropriately, and; 3) ensure that the qualifications of the originator and verifier are adequate. The manager(s) listed on the document attests to the fact that he or she has completed these responsibilities. Moreover, the manager has ensured that 1) the verifier is competent to perform the independent review, 2) did not perform the original work even though they may be from the same organization or group, and 3) assigned to						
					verify that the document is technically correct and satisfactorily meets the intended requirements.						
373	7.5.2. 2	7.5	(Carte)	The SDDs do not include any documented evidence that they were independently reviewed in accordance with the 10CFR50 Appendix B Criterion III, "Design Control." Based upon the review of the SDDs, the staff the following open	See the response to Letter Item 4 (NRC Matrix Item	34. N	Open Response submitted 6/13/11	Open-NRC Review			
			EICB (item: 1 TVA to produce final SDDs that are independently reviewed in accordance with 10 CFR50 Appendix B Criterion III, "Design Control," requirements.							
374	7.5.2. 2	7.5		By letter dated October 29, 2010 (ML103120711), TVA docketed a draft technical evaluation associated with an engineering design change (ML103120712) that states the Common Q PAMS will require changes in the technical specifications. The technical specifications (TS) have not be received yet for review. The TS will be reviewed once they are received. 1 Confirm/Verify Technical Specification changes associated with Common Q PAMS are acceptable.	 The Technical Specification Changes required by implementation of the Common Q PAMS were made in Revision B of the Technical Specifications which were submitted on TVA to NRC letter dated February 2, 2010. "Watts Bar Nuclear Plant (WBN) - Unit 2 - Developmental Revision B of the Technical Specifications (TS), TS Bases, Technical Requirements Manual (TRM), TRM Bases; and Pressure and Temperature Limits Report (PTLR)" ADAMS ascension number ML100550326 (Reference 2). 		Open Due 5/15/11	Open-NRC Review			
376	7.7.9			DCI-CVIB Input: Reference—EDCR # 52321, Revision A—EDCR Unit Difference	TVA does not agree with this recommendation. The IITA assemblies cannot be inspected for wall thinning using internal eddy current methods as can a thimble tube. In	36.	Open Response submitted 6/13/11	Open-NRC Review			Related to OI 360
				Form Bechtel Document Page 2 –Maintenance Difference—	addition, after the IITAs are irradiated, inspection using external ultrasonic measurements as are done for pipe inspections would result in excessive personnel exposure.		Troopondo dabrintod o/ 10/11				
			lvarado)	which will replace Movable In-Core Detectable Systems (MIDs)	While visual inspection is possible, it cannot detect wall thinning. As documented in Westinghouse to TVA letter WBT-D-3072						
			EICB (Alvarado)	(1) IITAs are not fully extracted and they are held in a movable frame assembly.	"WINCISE Vibration Induced Wear Calculation Conclusion," dated April 6, 2011 (Reference 8) calculation CN-PO-09-15, "Westinghouse Incore Information Surveillance and Engineering (WINCISE) Incore Instrument Thimble Assembly						
				(2) IITAs exert lower vibration amplitude and therefore, aging degradation due to wear does not occur.		y					
				(3) Loss of reactor coolant system pressure boundary due to breach of IITA outer sheath does not occur.							

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
				Question: The staff believes that the licensee should provide an inspection program to confirm that the aforementioned attributes associated with IITAs are valid and this inspection program can be a part of a routine maintenance program. Replacement of 58 CETs for the current 65 CETs –to be addressed by the fuels division.	referenced proprietary letter and calculation are available for review at the Westinghouse Rockville office.						
213	7.5.2		EICB (Carte)	(Énclosure 1, Attachment 3, Item No. 3) that the PAMS system design specification and software requirements specification contain information to address the "Theory of Operation Description." The staff has reviewed these documents, and it is not clear how this is the case. The docketed material does not appear to contain the design basis information that is required to evaluate compliance with the Clause of IEEE 603. (1) Please provide the design basis (as described in IEEE 604 Clause 4) of the Common Q PAMS. (2) Please provide a regulatory evaluation of how the PAMs complies with the applicable regulatory requirements for the theory of operation. For example: Regarding IEEE 603 Clause 5.8.4 (1) What are the manually controlled protective actions? (2) How do the documents identified demonstrate compliance with this clause?	Responder: WEC Conformance with IEEE 603 is documented in the revised Common Q PAMS Licensing Technical Report and the Common Q PAMS System Design Specification. Attachment 1 contains the proprietary version of Westinghouse document "Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA), Watts Bar Unit 2 (WBN2), Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS), Licensing Technical Report, Revision 1, WNA-LI-00058-WBT-P, Dated October 2010" Attachment 8 contains the proprietary version of Westinghouse document "Nuclear Automation Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects Post Accident Monitoring System – System Design Specification", WNA-DS-01667-WBT, Rev. 2 dated September 2010. TVA Response to Follow-up NRC Request: The Regulatory Guide 1.97 classification of the Common Q PAMS variables is documented in TVA Design Criteria WB-DC-30-7 "Post Accident Monitoring Instrumentation" which was submitted as Attachment 5 on TVA to NRC letter "Watts Bar Nuclear Plant (WBN) Unit 2 – Instrumentation And Controls Staff Information Requests" dated June 18, 2010 (Reference 1) The hardware design bases for the Common Q PAMS is described in the WBN Unit 2 FSAR section 7.5.1.8 "Post Accident Monitoring System (PAMS)." The Common Q PAMS indications are used to support operator response to events described in chapter 15 of the WBN Unit 2 FSAR such as: RCCA/RCCA Bank dropped/misaligned Steam Generator Tube Rupture Inadvertent Loading of a Fuel Assembly Into an Improper Position Loss of Shutdown Power Major Reactor Coolant System Pipe Ruptures (Loss Of Coolant Accident)	1. N	Open Due 7/22/11 Prepare a design basis report for the Common Q PAMS based on IEEE 603-1991 Clause 4.	Open-TVA/Bechtel Due 3/29/11 NNC 2/3/11: The identified documentation does not include the design bases. Please provide schedule for providing the requested information.	EICB RAI ML102980066 Item No. 18		
346	7.5.2. 3	7.5	EICB (Singh)	TVA has previously stated in response to open item 319 that RM-1000 System Verification Test Results report, 04507007-1TR is not applicable to WBN-2. However, TVA has not provided a WBN-2 specific test results report. Please identify and provide the appropriate test results reports to complete the review.	Boodinone o room our mineral room o your	2. N	Open Due: TBD	Open-TVA/Bechtel Due: 2/25/11 The proposed response			MSC 7/11/11: Assigned to J. Temples as GA-ESI Contract Manager for Licensing Support to confirm procedure was developed under 10CFR50 Appendix B.

No. SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
				271, 272, 273 & 274) (Attachment 7) are the Watts Bar Unit 2 equipment specific qualification reports. TVA Response to Follow up NRC Request: Report 04507007-1TR "RM-1000 System Verification Test Results" is applicable to the WBN Unit 2 monitors. The applicability is that 04507006 "RM-1000 System Test Procedure Specification" and contains evidence that the V&V tests were performed with version 1.0 software code. The verification report for version 1.1 software is document 04508005 "RM-1000 Software Version 1.1 Software Verification Report." Document 04508006 "RM-1000 Version 1.2 Software Verification Report." Document 04508006 "RM-1000 Version 1.2 Software Verification Report." Document 04508006 "RM-1000 Version 1.2 Software Verification and Validation Report" shows that the required test was completed to validated version 1.2 code for the RM-1000. The Engineering reviewed and approved proprietary versions of 04507007-1TR, 04508005 and 04508006 will be submitted within two weeks of receipt from GA-ESI. The unreviewed proprietary versions, non-proprietary versions and affidavit for withholding were submitted on TVA to NRC letter July 15, 2010 (Reference 3). TVA Response to Follow up NRC Request GA-ESI has a single process for buying material, assembling and testing modules. The same process is used for any part number, safety related or not so they can avoid having to store the same part number in two different locations and avoid the possibility of mixing them up. Therefore, the Sorrento Electronics "safety-related" production modules and the Sequoyah "non-safety-related" modules are physically identical. Based on the above the report is acceptable.			appears to be conflicting with the proposed response for OI-351 regarding not submitting the 04508905-QR report. TVA to re-assess proposed response for both OIs. TVA to re-evaluate previous responses to OI-316 and OI-319 which have conflicting responses regarding the applicability of 04507007-1TR. NRC Follow-up question Report 04507007-1TR, 1999 states in the Test Summary that "Initially the testing was done using the SE safety related production modules that had undergone software V&V testing. The majority of the testing was done by using two of the Sequoyah nonsafety related production modules for the TVA contract, substituted for the SE modules." Since the report is based on primarily non safety related production modules for the TVA contract, substituted for the SE modules." Since the report is based on primarily non safety related components TVA to clarify and justify why NRC should accept this test report for safety related V&V testing. NRC Follow-up All safety related work must be quality controlled per 10CFR50, App. B. TVA to confirm that the procedure used for the system verification tests (04507007) was actually a procedure that was prepared per that was prepared per			

353 7.	Sec. 7.5.2.	Sec.	POC		TVA Response(s)	Acceptable	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
	_					Y/N		10CFR50, App. B.			
		7.5		Please provide a summary of the [manufacturer's] commercial	GA-ESI submitted their commercial grade dedication	3. N	Open	Open-TVA/Bechtel			MSC 7/11/11: Assigned to J. Temples
	3			dedication plan for radiation monitors with references to the	procedure (OP-7.3-240, "Safety-Related Commercial Grade		Dura TDD	TVA to note that staff			as GA-ESI Contract Manager for
				guidance document that it follows. Also please include different facets (e.g. receiving, inspection, testing etc.) of the plan.	Item Parts Acceptance," Revision H) to engineering for review. Engineering review of the procedure found that the		Due: TBD	has written a safety			Licensing Support to obtain revised procedure.
				racets (e.g. receiving, inspection, testing etc.) of the plan.	procedure, Section 5, did not require multiple dedication			evaluation and			procedure.
					methods for complex CGI or CGI used in digital safety			accepted EPRI TR-			
					systems. As a result, it was determined that the GA-ÉSI			106439 (1996) as an			
					program did not meet the requirements of NUREG-800,			acceptable method of			
					Section 7.0A, Revision 5.			addressing commercial			
					A discussion with GA-ESI found that while not required by			dedication. EPRI NP- 5652 must be used in			
					procedure, GA-ESI does perform vendor surveys as required			conjunction with the			
					by Method 2 of NP-5652. The surveys are done based on			additional guidance in			
					prudent business practices. Based on this discussion, GA-			EPRI TR-106439 for			
					ESI agreed to review the CGI used in the WBN Unit 2 digital			commercial dedication			
					safety-related monitors to determine if they had been			processes e.g. EPRI			
					dedicated by more than one method.			NP-6404, EPRI TR- 102260, GL 89-02, and			
					The review of the CGI used in the WBN Unit 2 digital safety-			GL-91-05 per Section			
					related monitors determined that all CGI had been dedicated			3.3 of EPRI TR-			
					using Method 1 of EPRI guideline NP-5652. However, in the			106439.			
					sample of items reviewed, there were CGI that were						
					dedicated using a single method. Based on the results of the			Follow-up			
					engineering procedure review and the results of the GA-ESI			clarification:			
					CGI review, Service Request 346896 was initiated to document the condition and to place the monitors in			TVA to review and			
			_		"Conditional Release" status.			satisfy itself with the			
			(Singh)		Conditional Notocooc States.			procedure and provide			
			S)		Based on the results of the previous reviews, GA-ESI agreed			NRC a copy of the			
			EICB		to the following plan of action to resolve the CGD issue:			procedure for review.			
			ш		0.4 501 at all as the transport of the definition			In addition, TVA and			
					GA-ESI shall revise its commercial grade dedication procedure (OP-7.3-240) to require multiple dedication			GA to provide information as to what			
					methods be utilized for complex commercial grade			additional measures			
					items and commercial grade items for digital safety			were taken by GA with			
					class systems. The evidence that this has been			available			
					completed will be provided to TVA by April 15, 2011.			documentation to prove			
								that more than one			
					Specifically, Method 1 and at least one additional method from the list below will be used to ensure that			method was followed for commercial			
					the CGD procedure complies with the current SRP.			dedication.			
					and dob procedure complied with the durient of the			dodiodion.			
					Method 1 - Special Tests and Inspections			Follow-up comments			
					Method 2 - Commercial Grade Survey of Supplier						
					Method 3 - Source Verification			Staff has reviewed GA			
					Method 4 - Acceptable Supplier/Item Performance Record			document OP-7.3-240 and requests further			
					Necolu			clarification, addition, or			
					2. GA-ESI shall take actions consistent with the revised			amplification on the			
					operating procedure to address the CGIs used in the			following comments:			
					WBN Unit 2 safety-related digital monitors. Evidence						
					that those actions have been completed will be provided			Section 2, Applicable			
					no later than September 1, 2011.			<u>Documents</u> Please add EPRI TR-102260 and			
					Based on the above action plan, TVA will resolve the issues			IEEE 7-4.3.2 for digital			
					with the GA-ESI CGD of CGI used in the WBN Unit 2			components. Also add			
					monitors and submit documentation of the resolution to the			generic letter GL 91-05,			

-										
No. SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
				NRC by:			Licensee Commercial-			
				,			Grade Procurement			
				GA-ESI procedure OP-7.3-240 revision: April 30, 2011			and Dedication			
				 Resolution of CGD of CGI used in WBN Unit 2 RM- 			Program.			
				1000 monitors: September 15, 2011			Section 3, Definitions,			
							Approved Supplier List			
				TVA Response to Follow up NRC Request			(ASL) How is Quality			
				TVA Response to Follow up title Request			Assurance Program			
				(4) T)(A)			approved? Is a vendor			
				(1) TVA has reviewed the revised GA-ESI procedure and			survey performed?			
				determined that changes bring the CGD program into			Please explain the			
				conformance with the requirements of NUREG-800,			basis for approving the			
				Section 7.0A, Revision 5 EPRI topical report TR-			Quality Assurance			
				106439 and EPRI guideline NP-5652. Attachment 2			Plan.			
				contains GA-ESI procedure OP-7.3-240 "Safety-Related Commercial Grade Item Parts Acceptance," Revision I.			Section 3, Definitions,			
				Commercial Grade Item Parts Acceptance, Revision I.			Basic Components			
	1			(2) As stated in TVA to NRC letter dated April 15,			Incomplete definition.			
	1			2011(Reference 1), Attachment 4, List of New			The definition for basic			
	1			Commitment Items, item 2, the due date for resolution			components must			
				of this issue is September 15, 2011.			match the definition in			
				of this issue is September 13, 2011.			10CFR Part 21.			
							Section 3, Definitions,			
							Components Please			
							use or add the			
							examples in the			
							definitions which are			
							relevant to General			
							Atomics supplied			
							equipment.			
							Section 3, Definitions,			
							Critical Characteristics			
							(CC) Incomplete			
							definition. Use the			
							definition in 10CFR Part			
							21.			
							Section 3, Definitions,			
							<u>Dedication</u> Incomplete			
							definition. Please use			
							the definition in 10CFR			
	1						Part 21. 10CFR Part			
	1						21 applies to components subject to			
	1						10CFR50, Appendix B			
	1						and other facilities			
	1						(other than nuclear			
	1						power plants).			
	1						Section 3, Definitions,			
	1						Identical Item Include			
	1						same manufacturer and			
	1						manufactured at the			
	1						same time (Per			
	1						Inspection Procedure			
							43004) along with same			
	1						part, make and model			
	1						number.			
	1						Section 3, Definitions,			
	1						<u>Like-for-Like</u> This			
	1						should be defined the			
	1						same as identical item			
							Same as identical item			

_											
No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
								as noted in the			
								comment above.			
								Section 4, Selection of			
								SR CGI Acceptance			
								Methods In the			
								introductory paragraph			
								of this section please			
								elaborate where			
								guidance for technical			
								evaluation can be			
								found.			
								Section 4, Method 1,			
								Sub-item a Bullet No. 5 states the sample size			
								to be taken but does			
								not provide any			
								guidance regarding the			
								methodology for			
								sample size selection.			
								Please include the			
								guidance for sample			
								selection methodology			
								that is based on a			
								recognized industry			
								statistical method (e.g.			
								EPRI 7218).			
								Section 4.a, Method 1 Bullet No. 6 describes			
								tests and inspections			
								but does not provide			
								the parameters of			
								acceptability.			
								Section 4.b, Method 2			
								In the second			
								paragraph please modify the wording to			
								modify the wording to			
								clarify that a			
								commercial grade			
								survey can be used to			
								accept critical characteristics of			
								simple or complex			
								parts.			
								Section 4.b, Method 2			
								Bullet No. 5 Suggest			
								that the wording be			
								changed to clarify that			
								the critical			
								characteristics cannot			
								be verified easily.			
								Section 4.b, Method 2			
								Paragraph following			
								Bullet No. 5 Staff			
								agrees that the			
								approach is acceptable initially as long as			
								receipt inspection is			
								performed (Reference			
								GL 89-02).			
								OL 00 02).			

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
								Subsequently a design			
								engineer must evaluate			
								the performance based			
								on a defined frequency. Section 4.b, Method 2			
								Second paragraph			
								following Bullet No. 5.			
								After the first sentence add the sentence that			
								engineering will			
								determine the			
								acceptability of critical			
								characteristics. Section 4.c. Method 3 –			
								Source Verification			
								Please add an opening			
								sentence explaining that this method is used			
								when a supplier does			
								not have adequate			
								programmatic controls			
								in place. Section 4.e.			
								Combination of two or			
								more methods In the			
								first paragraph please			
								state that method 2 and method 4 alone are not			
								sufficient per the			
								guidance of GL 89-02.			
								Section 6.e. Part Changes Add the fact			
								that the change should			
								be based on			
								equivalency evaluations.			
								Section 6.f. Previous			
								Dedication Review In			
								the first paragraph			
								please make it clear that engineering should			
								evaluate there have			
								been no design			
								changes. Section 6.h. Technical			
								Evaluation In the first			
								paragraph (Item 1)			
								there is a need to			
								augment guidance for technical evaluation.			
								Need to explain the			
								comment further.			
								Section 6.h. Technical Evaluation In the fourth			
								paragraph (Item 4)			
								This paragraph does			
								not match the definition			
								of like-for-like replacement as noted			
								replacement as noted			

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
								by staff comments. Section 6.i. Determination of Critical Characteristics It is not clear why the critical characteristics should be limited to environment. Please expand or justify the statement. Section 9, 10CFR21 Please modify the requirements of reporting per the guidance of 10CFR21.21. These requirements apply to, "Each individual, corporation, partnership, dedicating entity, or other entity subject to the regulations in this part". Section 10 Certifications Please change the last sentence to clarify that once the critical characteristics have been verified and the item dedicated it is no longer commercial grade. Present wording causes confusion. General It is recommended that the order in which the procedure is organized be re-evaluated. Following the Definitions section, safety classification should be addressed first then followed by technical evaluation, and then the acceptance methods.			
364	7.5.2.	7.5	EICB (Carte)	evaluation of the Common Q PAMS against the current staff	 Table 7.1-1 will be updated to reference IEEE Std 603-1991 for the Common Q PAMS. TVA has reviewed the requirements of IEEE Std 603-1991 for the Sorrento Containment High Range Radiation Monitors and determined that IEEE Std 603-1991 is not applicable. IEEE 603-1991 is applicable to actuation systems. While TVA lists the containment high range radiation monitors as RG 1.97 Revision 2 		Open Due 7/22/11 Requires preparation and submittal of FSAR Amendment 105 to update table 7.1-1	Open-TVA/Bechtel Submit FSAR Amend 105			NNC 4/125/2011: See Open Item No. 81.

No. SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
			IEEE Std 603-1991 as being applicable to accident monitoring instrumentation. Based upon the review of this item, the staff finds the following open items: 1 TVA to demonstrate that the Common Q PAMS meets the applicable regulatory requirements in IEEE Std 603-1991. 2 TVA to updated FSAR (Amendment 103) Table 7.1-1 to reference IEEE Std 603-1991 for WBN2 Common Q PAMS and Sorrento Containment High Radiation Monitors.	Type A variables, the classification is not based on the RG 1.97 requirements which states: "Type A, those variables that provide primary information needed to permit the control room operating personnel to take the specified manually controlled actions for which no automatic control is provided and that are required for safety systems to accomplish their safety functions for design basis accident event." TVA calculation WBNOSG4047, "PAM Type "A" Variables Determination" uses a broader definition. The calculation definition is: "The type "A" variables will be divided into three groups based on the parameter's purpose. The groups are: (1) event identification, (2) event recovery to plant stabilization, and (3) maintaining the stabilized conditions from event recovery to hot standby. Following a reactor trip, the termination point for transients at WBNP is considered a stabilized condition at hot standby per chapter 15 of the WBN FSAR. Event recovery actions are those manual actions taken to mitigate a design basis accident to a stabilized condition. The plant can be considered stabilized when the plant parameters vary slowly and automatic systems are not being initiated. The diagnostic process consciously performed by the operator via the plant variables to interpret an event indication will be considered as a safety-related operator action regardless of the lack of manual manipulation of equipment. This diagnostic process is necessary to enable the operator to distinguish the "type" of transient and take the correct mitigating actions." A review of TVA calculation WBNOSG4047 and the associated Emergency Instructions found that there are no operator actions that are meet the RG 1.97 Revision 2 definition for a Type A variable which are based on the containment high range radiation monitors. Based						NNC 5/4/2011: Please explain why the TVA calculation WBN0SG4047, "PAM Type "A" Variables Determination" uses a broader definition for Type A variables than is in the FSAR (Amendment 103). Why is this definition not in the FSAR? NNC 5/4/2011: Will the FSAR (Amendment 103) Table 7.5-2 Variable No. 4, "Containment Radiation" be updated to change the variable type
265 750	7.5		On E/G/2010 (See Open Item No. 91) the NIDC Staff requested on	on this review, IEEE 603 is not applicable to the containment high range radiation monitors.	5 V	Open	Open T/A/Registed			designation? Will this variable still be Qualification Category No. 1?
365 7.5.2. 2	7.5	EICB (Carte)	position. By letter dated 2/25/11 (ML110620219), TVA docketed a response: "that WBN2 is not committed in complying with Reg. Guide 1.75Since WBN2 is not committed to RG 1.75 or IEEE-384, no comparison is required" However, WBN2 is committed to RG 1.75 Rev. 2, "Physical Independence of Electric Systems." RG 1.75 Rev. 3 and IEEE Std. 384-1992 are used, in part, to address IEEE Std 603-1991 Clause 5.6.1. The current NRC staff position for RG 1.75 is documented in Rev. 3. Based upon the review of this item, the staff finds the following open item:	conformance with Regulatory Guide 1.75 Revision 3 or IEEE Std 384-1992. As noted in WBN Unit 2 FSAR section 8.1.5.3 "Compliance to Regulatory Guides and IEEE Standards" note 2 "Regulatory Guide 1.75 was issued after the Watts Bar design was complete. Separations criteria for WBNP are		Open Due 7/22/11 Requires preparation and submittal of FSAR Amendment 105 to update table 7.1-1	Open-TVA/Bechtel			NNC 4/125/2011: See Open Item No. 81.

_Open Items to be Resolved for SER Approval

				oni with TVA (I&O Chapter 7 Only)	Taulbo7 0.doc						Resolved for SER Approvar
No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
				Sorrento Containment High Radiation monitor. The Common Q PAMS was designed to meet the requirements of RG 1.75 Rev. 2. WBN2 did not perform an analysis to RG 1.75 Rev. 3. Based upon the review of this item, the staff finds the following open item: 2 TVA to evaluate Common Q PAMS and the Sorrento	actions. To ensure that non-1E cables do not degrade 1E cables, non-1E routed in a Class 1 structures are evaluated to ensure that they are adequately protected to prevent propagation of damage from the non 1E cables to 1E cables. The NRC reviewed TVA's separation criteria as supplemented by a breaker testing program in SSER 16 and found it to be acceptable. The same criteria and breaker testing program are applicable to WBN Unit 2.						
366	7.5.2.	7.5	EICB (Carte)	evaluation of the Common Q PAMS against the current staff position.		6. Y	Open Due 7/22/11 Requires preparation and submittal of FSAR Amendment 105 to update table 7.1-1	Open-TVA/Bechtel			NNC 4/125/2011: See Open Item No. 81.
370	7.5.2.	7.5	EICB (Carte)	evaluation of the Common Q PAMS against the current staff position.	Table 7.1-1.	7. Y	Open Due 7/22/11 Requires preparation and submittal of FSAR Amendment 105 to update table 7.1-1	Open-TVA/Bechtel Submit FSAR Amend 105			NNC 4/125/2011: See Open Item No. 81.
396	3.10			Provide proprietary, non-proprietary versions and affidavits for withholding for the following documents: General Atomics Electronic Systems 04038903-1SP, Qualification Basis for 04031101-001 (2-RE-90-130 &131).		8.	Open Schedule: TBD	Open-TVA/Bechtel			MSC 7/11/11: Assigned to J. Temples as GA-ESI Contract Manager for Licensing Support.

No. SE Sec	FSA Sec		RC OC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
				 General Atomics Electron Systems 04038903-2SP, Qualification Basis for 04031301-001 (2_RE-90-106). General Atomics Electronic Systems 04038903-4SP, Qualification Basis for 04031501-001 (2-RE-90-112). 			Request from NRC Civil branch received from J. Poole via e-mail on July 6, 2011.				
092		DORL	(Pool	TVA to review Licensee Open Item list and determine which items	Responder: Hilmes This item will close when we are no longer using this document as a communications tool.	1. Y	Open Due SER Issue	Open-TVA/Oversight Due: SER Issue			Continuous review as items are added
381			EICB (Alvarado)	assemblies with references to any EQ report (if applicable) – June 10th	cable assemblies.	1.	Open Due: TBD Response submitted 6/13/11 NRC Update (Alvarado) – need the proprietary versions of reports, such as EQ tests, EMI/RFI tests, calculations, and other documents to make a safety determination, not non-proprietary reports that reference these reports and calculations. NRC update (RA) 6/16: The ifnormation provided in this attachment was identical to the information provided on May 6 letter. However, we have not received the information related that show compliance with RG 1.180 (Regarding EMI and RFI) for the MI cable assemblies. So this item is still open.				
383			EICB (Alvarado)	Non-Proprietary description of the qualification of the IITA with references to EQ report(s) Provide the following documents, which describe operation of the	Attachment 6 contains the Westinghouse non-proprietary description of the qualification of the IITA.	3.	Response submitted 6/13/11 NRC Update (Alvarado) – need the proprietary versions of reports, such as EQ tests, EMI/RFI tests, calculations, and other documents to make a safety determination, not non-proprietary reports that reference these reports and calculations. NRC update (RA) 6/16: The report will be available after June 30. This is still an open item				
388				Provide the following documents, which describe operation of the WINCISE Application Server. • Sections 1 and 2 of the WNA-GO-00075-WBT, WINCISE Signal Processing System Cabinet Operation & Maintenance Manual. Revision 0. • WNA-DS-01811-WBT, WINCISE Signal Processing		3.	Open Due: TBD	Open-1VA/WEC			

	1							- -		
No. SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
			 System Design Requirements. Revision 0, May 2010 420A90, WINCISE Functional Specification for Watts Bar Unit 2. Revision 2. 							
389			Provide the proprietary version of the calculation described in "WNA-CN-00157-WBT, Watts Bar 2 IIS Signal Processing System Isolation Requirements". Revision 0.		4.	Open Due: TBD	Open-TVA/WEC			
390			Westinghouse has indicated that the WINCISE Application Servers, as well as the BEACON are redundant. However, the WINCISE Application Server communicates only with Beacon A. Westinghouse also explained that to switch from Beacon A to Beacon B, the operator must perform this manually. After reviewing Westinghouse documents, we couldn't find explanation that automatic switchover to the other server is not permitted.		5.	Open Due: TBD	Open-TVA/WEC			
391			Clarify the type of connector used with the MI cable in Unit 2. During this review, we identified the following connectors, but we could not establish which one will be used in unit 2. Also explain if the qualification performed is still valid or if it is going to be revised. O Whittaker connectors O Litton connectors O Electronic Resources Division (ERD) connectors		6.	Open Due: TBD	Open-TVA/WEC			
392			Clarify the logic to substract failed detectors from the algorithm used to calculate core power. During the May 12 meeting with Westinghouse and TVA, Westinghouse explained that the WINCISE Application Server includes an algorithm to substract failed detectors. However, during our review in Westinghouse office, we could not find description on how this algorithm is accomplished. Furthermore, the document BEACON Data Processing Application Program Software Requirements Specification includes the following assumption: "BEACON will perform the substraction between various length elements for the vanadium detector design. This is appropriate, as BEACON is more equipped to support the replacement value algorithm should an element fail. BEACON has knowledge of the flux shape which is critical to this process."		7.	Open Due: TBD	Open-TVA/WEC			
393			Clarify if the IITA assembly and the 6-to-1 transition cable have been subjected to the 600VDC dielectric strength test. Document Watts Bar 2 IIS Signal Processing System Isolation Requirements states that the MI and IITA assemblies need to be subjected to a 600VDC dielectric strength test. Letter LTR-ME-10-3 summarizes the result for the MI cable. However, similar documentation was not available for the IITA assembly.		8.	Open	Open-TVA/WEC			
394			Confirm that power supply cables to the WINCISE SPS cabinet are not routed with cables that are greater than 264V.		9.	Open	Open-TVA/WEC			
395			Confirm that power supply to the WINCISE SPS cabinet are from isolated 1E sources, such that power supply routed to		10.	Open Open	Open-TVA/WEC			

agen	ida idi	vveekiy	reie	com with TVA (I&C Chapter 7 only)	radeB876.doc	<u>X</u>			Оре	n items to be R	esolved for SER Approval
No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC		TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
				PAMS train A is the same routed to SPS cabinet 1, and power supply routed to PAMS train B is the same routed to SPS cabinet 2.							
69	7.5.2. 2	7.5		On <u>5/6/2010</u> (See Open Item No. 81) the NRC Staff requested an evaluation of the Common Q PAMS against the current staff position.		1. N	Open Due 7/22/11	Open-TVA/WEC/GA			NNC 4/125/2011: See Open Item No 31.
				By letter dated <u>2/25/11</u> (ML110620219), TVA docketed a response.			Due date reflects Common Q.				
			EICB (Carte)	The WBN2 FSAR (Amendment 103) references IEEE 7-4.3.2-1982, "IEEE Standard Criteria for Digital Computers in Safety Systems of Nuclear Power Generating Stations" as endorsed by Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.152, "Criteria for Use of Computers in Safety Systems of Nuclear Power Plants," Revision 0 for the Eagle 21 system. The current regulatory position is documented in RG 1.152 Rev. 2 which endorses IEEE Std 7-4.3.2-2003 as an acceptable method for using digital computers to meet IEEE Std 603-1991. Based upon the review of this item, the staff finds the following open item: 1 WBN2 to updated FSAR Table 7.1-1 to reference IEEE 7-4.3.2-2003 as being applicable to WBN2 Common Q PAMS and the Sorrento Containment High Radiation monitor.			Date for Sorrento RM-1000 monitors is 1/15/12				
71	7.5.2. 2	7.5		On <u>5/6/2010</u> (See Open Item No. 81) the NRC Staff requested an evaluation of the Common Q PAMS against the current staff		2. N	Open	Open-TVA/WEC/GA			NNC 4/125/2011: See Open Item No
	2			position.			Due 7/22/11			\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
				By letter dated <u>2/25/11</u> (ML110620219), TVA docketed a response.			Due date reflects Common Q.				
			EICB (Carte)	and IEEE Std. 323-2003 as being applicable to WBN2 Common Q PAMS and the Sorrento Containment High Radiation monitor. TVA did not docket an evaluation against the criteria in RG 1.209. Based upon the review of this item, the staff finds the following open item:			Date for Sorrento RM-1000 monitors is 1/15/12				
				2 WBN2 to evaluate Common Q PAMS for conformance with RG 1.209 and IEEE Std. 323-2003.							