NRC FORM 591M PART	1			U.S NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION				
(06-2010)								
10 CFR 2.201 SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION								
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION	NINSPECTED:	W SERVICES	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE	Doulano	4 Commission			
18STINGY	INSPECT		USNUCLEAR	Regulator				
332 TAIR	d Ave. IN 4754	6	Region III	NVILLE RA				
			2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE US NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION RegIONITE 2443WARENVILLER LISIE, IZ 60532					
	2011/001		LISIC, IL	40352				
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S		4. LICENSEE NUMBER		5. DATE(S) OF INS	PECTION			
030-383	; 57	13-3280	8-01	7/28/1	/			
LICENSEE:								
			ense as they relate to radiation sa					
Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:								
		-	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••					
1. Based on th	ie inspection indings, no	violations were identified.						
2. Previous vic	plation(s) closed.							
			s non-cited violations, are not beir					
	ed, non-repetitive, and co REG-1600, to exercise di		eing taken, and the remaining crite	ria in the NRC Enforc	ement			
Non-cited violation(s) were discussed involving the following requirement(s):								
4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance								
with 10 CFR 19.11								
Statement of Corrective Actions								
I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of								
corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps aiready taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.								
Title		Printed Name	Sign	ature	Date			
LICENSEE'S								
REPRESENTATIVE								
NRC INSPECTOR			h 3 1	0				
	E.L.K.	17.00	E.L. Ku	her.	7/28/11			
Branch Chief				- <u>7</u> ~				
	Disting	or TER loome	~ Alat	la Elony	85/2011			
			L L	,				

NRC FORM 591 M PART 3 (06-2010) 10 CFR 2.201 Docket File Information									
SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION									
1. LICENSEE Testing & Inspection Services 332 third Ave. Jasper, IN 47546 REPORT NUMBER(S) 2011-001			2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE NRC Region III 2443 Warrenville Rd Lisle, IL 60532						
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-38354		5. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 13-32808-01			6. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION 7/28/2011				
7. INSPECTION PROCEDURES 87124		8. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.01-03.07							
		SUPPLEMENT	AL INSPECTION INFORM	ATION					
1.PROGRAM 03121	2. PRIORITY 5	3. LICENSEE CO	3. LICENSEE CONTACT David Key		4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 812/848-2056				
Main Office Inspection Next Inspection Date:7/2016									
The licensee is a small engineering firm that employs 15 individuals. The licensee possesses one Seaman moisture density gauge, for use daily/weekly during the construction season (May-November) for soils engineering projects. The licensee does not perform any service or maintenance activities on its gauges; these services are performed by the manufacturer. Currently, the licensee employs four authorized gauge users who have completed manufacturers training. Device is stored in a locked room and is chained to the wall in the licensee's office in Jasper, Indiana.									
Performance Observations									
At the time of this inspection, the gauge was not in use. The operator possessed required shipping papers which contained all appropriate information and were accessible in the transport vehicle. Gauge/case was locked and chained to the rear of the vehicle during transport. The licensee uses an SUV to transport the gauge. The operator demonstrated an adequate level of understanding of emergency and handling procedures during job-site interviews. The inspector has no problems with security during transport.									
· ·									
					PHY BYERS 2004				