### ATTACHMENT 5

Salem Generating Stations

EAL Technical Bases Document

(Strike-out Version)



## Salem Generating Station

# Event Classification Guide (ECG)

Emergency Action Level Technical Bases

> Draft E 7/30/2010

#### SALEM EVENT CLASSIFICATION GUIDE (ECG) EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL (EAL) TECHNICAL BASES TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### ECG – EAL Bases Front-Matter Materials:

- EP-SC-111-200 SGS ECG EAL Technical Bases Table of Contents
- EP-SC-111-201 Emergency Action Level (EAL) Technical Basis Introduction
- EP-SC-111-202 ECG Usage

#### ECG EAL Sections – Bases Information:

- EP-SC-111-203 EAL Bases for Category R1 Offsite Rad Conditions
- EP-SC-111-204 EAL Bases for Category R2 Onsite Rad Conditions / Fuel Pool Events
- EP-SC-111-205 EAL Bases for Category R3 CR/CAS Rad
- EP-SC-111-206 EAL Bases for Category E ISFSI
- EP-SC-111-207 EAL Bases for Category H1 Hazards Natural & Destructive Phenomena (Quake, High Winds / Tornado, Turbine Rotating Component Failure, Internal Flooding, River Level, Vehicle Crash / Projectile Impact)
- EP-SC-111-208 EAL Bases for Category H2 Hazards Fire or Explosion
- EP-SC-111-209 EAL Bases for Category H3 Hazards Hazardous Gas
- EP-SC-111-210 EAL Bases for Category H4 Hazards Security
- EP-SC-111-211 EAL Bases for Category H5 Hazards Control Room Evacuation
- EP-SC-111-212 EAL Bases for Category H6 Hazards EC Judgment
- EP-SC-111-213 EAL Bases for Category S1 System Malfunction Loss of AC Power

#### SALEM EVENT CLASSIFICATION GUIDE (ECG) EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL (EAL) TECHNICAL BASES TABLE OF CONTENTS

- EP-SC-111-214 EAL Bases for Category S2 System Malfunction Loss of DC Power
- EP-SC-111-215 EAL Bases for Category S3 System Malfunction ATWT/Criticality
- EP-SC-111-216 EAL Bases for Category S4 System Malfunction Inability to Reach or Maintain Shutdown Conditions
- EP-SC-111-217 EAL Bases for Category S5 System Malfunction Loss of Annunciators / Instrumentation
- EP-SC-111-218 EAL Bases for Category S6 System Malfunction Communication
- EP-SC-111-219 EAL Bases for Category S7 System Malfunction Fuel Clad Degradation
- EP-SC-111-220 EAL Bases for Category S8 System Malfunction RCS Leakage
- EP-SC-111-221 EAL Bases for Category F1 Fission Product Barriers Fuel
- EP-SC-111-222 EAL Bases for Category F2 Fission Product Barriers RCS
- EP-SC-111-223 EAL Bases for Category F3 Fission Product Barriers Containment
- EP-SC-111-224 EAL Bases for Category C1 Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction - Loss of AC Power
- EP-SC-111-225 EAL Bases for Category C2 Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction - Loss of DC Power
- EP-SC-111-226 EAL Bases for Category C3 Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction - RPV Level
- EP-SC-111-227 EAL Bases for Category C4 Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction - RCS Temperature

#### SALEM EVENT CLASSIFICATION GUIDE (ECG) EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL (EAL) TECHNICAL BASES TABLE OF CONTENTS

- EP-SC-111-228 EAL Bases for Category C5 Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction Communication
- EP-SC-111-229 EAL Bases for Category C6 Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction - Inadvertent Criticality

#### ECG – EAL Technical Bases Supporting Documents:

EP-SC-111-230 - Use of Fission Product Barrier Table (Tab – Attachment 1)

EP-SC-111-231 - EAL Bases Figures / Drawings (Tab – Attachment 2)

EP-SC-111-232 - EAL Definitions (Tab – Attachment 3)

EP-SC-111-233 - Glossary of Abbreviations & Acronyms (Tab – Attachment 4)

EP-SC-111-234 - SGS-to-NEI 99-01 EAL Cross-reference (Tab – Attachment 5)

EP-SC-111-235 - Salem EAL Rad Set-Point Calculation Document (Tab – Attachment 6)



#### Salem Generating Station Event Classification Guide (ECG)

#### Emergency Action Level Technical Bases

Draft E 7/30/10

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Sect</u>	ion	<u>Page</u>
1.	Purpose	2
2.	Emergency Classification Descriptions	2
3.	Fission Product Barriers	3
4.	EAL Relationship to EOPs	5
5.	Symptom-Based vs. Event-Based Approach	5
6.	EAL Organization	6
7.	Operating Mode Applicability	8
8.	EAL Technical Bases Organization	9
9.	References	11

. .

,

•

#### 1. PURPOSE

This document provides an explanation and rationale for each Salem Generating Station (SGS) Emergency Action Level (EAL). It should be used to facilitate review of the SGS EALs, provide historical documentation for future reference and serve as a training aid. Decision-makers responsible for implementation of the Event Classification Guide (ECG) may use this document as a technical reference in support of EAL interpretation. This information may assist the Emergency Coordinator in making classifications, particularly those involving judgment or multiple events. The information may also be useful in training, for explaining event classifications to offsite officials, and facilitate regulatory review and approval of the classification scheme.

The expectation is that emergency classifications are to be made as soon as conditions are present and recognizable for the classification, but within 15 minutes or less in all cases of conditions present. Use of this document for assistance is not intended to delay the emergency classification.

This document is controlled pursuant to 10 CFR 50.54(q).

#### 2. Emergency Classification Descriptions

The NRC and Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) established four emergency classes for fixed nuclear facilities.

An emergency class is used for grouping off-normal nuclear power plant conditions according to their relative radiological seriousness and the time sensitive onsite and offsite actions needed to respond to such conditions.

The four emergency classes are (in order of less severe to most severe):

- Unusual Event (UE)
- Alert (A)
- Site Area Emergency (SAE)
- General Emergency (GE)
- 2.1 Unusual Event

Events are in progress or have occurred which indicate a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant or indicates a security threat to facility protection has been initiated.

• The lowest level of emergency at the plant, which can usually be handled by the normal operating shift.

Salem

Page 2 of 11

Rev. 0 (draft E)

 No releases of radioactive material requiring offsite response or monitoring are expected unless further degradation of safety systems occurs. Dose consequences in Unrestricted Areas would not reach 20 mRem TEDE.

#### 2.2 Alert

Events are in progress or have occurred which involve an actual or potential substantial degradation of the level of safety of the plant or a security event that involves probable life threatening risk to site personnel or damage to site equipment because of **HOSTILE ACTION**.

- Emergency Response personnel are required in addition to the normal operating shift.
   The entire emergency response organization is called in. The TSC is activated, and the EOF and ENC are manned and may activate if needed for support.
- Any release of radioactive material is expected to be limited to a small fraction of the EPA Protective Action Guideline exposure levels. Dose consequences in Unrestricted Areas would not reach 100 mRem TEDE.

#### 2.3 Site Area Emergency

Events are in progress or have occurred which involve an actual or likely failure of plant functions needed for protection of the public or **HOSTILE ACTION** that result in intentional damage or malicious acts; (1) toward site personnel or equipment that could lead to the likely failure of or; (2) that prevent effective access to equipment needed for the protection of the public.

- The entire emergency response organization is activated.
- Any release of radioactive material is not expected to exceed EPA Protective Action Guideline exposure levels beyond the plant boundary. Dose consequences in Unrestricted Areas not to exceed 1000 mRem TEDE.

#### 2.4 General Emergency

Events are in process or have occurred which involve actual or **IMMINENT** substantial core degradation or melting with potential for loss of containment integrity or **HOSTILE ACTIONS** that result in an actual loss of physical control of the facility.

- The entire emergency response organization is activated.
- Release of radioactive material can be expected to exceed EPA Protective Action Guideline exposure levels of 1000 mRem TEDE in Unrestricted Areas.

#### 3. Fission Product Barriers

Many of the EALs derived from the NEI 99-01 methodology are fission product barrier based. That is, the conditions that define the EALs pertain to the loss or potential loss of one or more

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

Introduction

of the three fission product barriers. "Loss" and "Potential Loss" signify the relative damage and threat of damage to the barrier. "Loss" means the barrier no longer assures containment of radioactive materials; "Potential Loss" infers an increased probability of barrier loss and decreased certainty of maintaining the barrier.

#### 3.1 Barrier Descriptions

The EAL fission product barriers are:

<u>Fuel Clad Barrier (FB)</u>: The Fuel Clad barrier consists of the zircalloy or stainless steel fuel bundle tubes that contain the fuel pellets.

<u>Reactor Coolant System Barrier (RB)</u>: The Reactor Coolant System barrier includes the Reactor Coolant System primary side and its connections up to and including the pressurizer safety and relief valves, and other connections up to and including the primary isolation valves.

<u>Containment (CB)</u>: The Containment barrier includes the containment building and connections up to and including the outermost containment isolation valves. This barrier also includes the main steam, feedwater, and blowdown line extensions outside the containment building up to and including the outermost secondary side isolation valve.

3.2 Emergency Classification Based on Fission Product Barrier Degradation

The following criteria for event classification relate to fission product barrier loss or potential loss:

UNUSUAL EVENT

ANY loss or ANY potential loss of Containment

ALERT

<u>ANY</u> loss or <u>ANY</u> potential loss of either Fuel Clad or RCS

SITE AREA EMERGENCY

Loss or potential loss of ANY two barriers OR

Potential loss of 2 barriers with the loss of the 3<sup>rd</sup> barrier

#### GENERAL EMERGENCY

Loss of <u>ANY</u> two barriers and loss or potential loss of third barrier

Page 4 of 11

Rev. 0 (draft E)

Salem

Discrete threshold values associated with fission product barrier loss and potential loss are given in Attachment 1, Use of Fission Product Barrier Table. The bases for the thresholds are discussed in the following ECG sections:

- EP-SC-111-221 EAL Bases for Fuel Clad Barrier
- EP-SC-111-222 EAL Bases for RCS Barrier
- EP-SC-111-223 EAL Bases for Containment Barrier

A point system (described in Attachment 1) is used to determine fission product barrier emergency classification levels as well as Protective Action Recommendations (PARs) if a General Emergency is declared.

#### 4. EAL Relationship to EOPs

Where possible, the EALs have been made consistent with and utilize the conditions defined in the SGS Emergency Operating Procedures (EOPs). While the symptoms that drive operator actions specified in the EOPs are not indicative of all possible conditions which warrant emergency classification, they define the symptoms, independent of initiating events, for which reactor plant safety and/or fission product barrier integrity are threatened. When these symptoms are clearly representative of one of the NEI Initiating Conditions, they have been utilized as an EAL. This permits rapid classification of emergency situations based on plant conditions without the need for additional evaluation or event diagnosis. Although some of the EALs presented here are based on conditions defined in the EOPs, classification of emergencies using these EALs is not dependent upon EOP entry or execution. The EALs can be utilized independently or in conjunction with the EOPs.

#### 5. Symptom-Based vs. Event-Based Approach

To the extent possible, the EALs are symptom-based; that is, the action level threshold is defined by values of key plant operating parameters that identify emergency or potential emergency conditions. This approach is appropriate because it allows the full scope of variations in the types of events to be classified as emergencies. However, a purely symptom-based approach is not sufficient to address all events for which emergency classification is appropriate. Particular events to which no predetermined symptoms can be ascribed have also been utilized as EALs since they may be indicative of potentially more serious conditions not yet fully realized.

#### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

#### 6. EAL Organization

#### 6.1 EAL Groups

The EAL scheme is divided into three broad groups:

- EALs applicable under <u>all</u> plant operating modes This group would be reviewed by the EAL-user any time emergency classification is considered.
- EALs applicable only under <u>hot</u> operating modes This group would only be reviewed by the EAL-user when the plant is in Hot Shutdown, Hot Standby, Startup or Power Operations operating modes.
- EALs applicable only under <u>cold</u> operating modes This group would only be reviewed by the EAL-user when the plant is in Cold Shutdown or Refueling operating modes or when the Reactor Vessel is defueled.

The purpose of the groups is to avoid review of hot condition EALs when the plant is in a cold condition and avoid review of cold condition EALs when the plant is in a hot condition. This approach significantly minimizes the total number of EALs that must be reviewed by the EAL-user for a given plant condition, reduces EAL-user reading burden and, thereby, speeds identification of the EAL that applies to the emergency.

6.2 EAL Categories and Subcategories

Within each EAL group, EALs are assigned to categories/subcategories. Category titles generally align with the EAL Recognition Categories of NEI 99-01.

Subcategory titles are selected to represent conditions that are operationally significant to the EAL-user. Subcategories are used as necessary to further divide the EALs of a category into logical sets of possible emergency classification thresholds.

The SGS EAL categories/subcategories and their relationship to NEI Recognition Categories are listed below.

SGS EALs				
Category	Subcategory			
Group: Any Operating Mode:				
R – Abnormal Rad Release / Rad Effluent	<ol> <li>1 – Offsite Rad Conditions</li> <li>2 – Onsite Rad Conditions/Fuel Pool Events</li> <li>3 - CR/CAS Rad</li> </ol>			
E - ISFSI	Spent Fuel Transit			
H – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety	<ol> <li>Natural &amp; Destructive Phenomena</li> <li>Fire or Explosion</li> <li>Hazardous Gas</li> <li>Security</li> <li>Control Room Evacuation</li> <li>EC Judgment</li> </ol>			
Group: Hot Conditions:				
S – System Malfunction	<ol> <li>Loss of AC Power</li> <li>Loss of DC Power</li> <li>ATWT / Criticality</li> <li>Inability to Reach or Maintain Shutdown Conditions</li> <li>Instrumentation</li> <li>Communications</li> <li>Fuel Clad Degradation</li> <li>RCS Leakage</li> </ol>			
F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation	None			
Group: Cold Conditions:				
C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction	<ul> <li>1 – Loss of AC Power</li> <li>2 – Loss of DC Power</li> <li>3 – RCS Level</li> <li>4 – RCS Temperature</li> <li>5 – Communications</li> <li>6 – Inadvertent Criticality</li> </ul>			

#### 7. Operating Mode Applicability

With the exception of **ISFSI** (which is not assigned an operating mode), NEI 99-01 assigns one or more operating modes to each EAL. The **ISFSI** EAL will be applicable in all operating modes at Salem Generating Station; as such, operating mode applicability is N/A for the **ISFSI** EAL.

MODE		K <sub>eff</sub>	THERMAL POWER *	T <sub>AVG</sub>
1.	Power Operation	≥ 0.99	> 5%	≥ 350 <sup>°</sup> F
2.	Startup	≥ 0.99	≤ 5%	≥ 350°F
3.	Hot Standby	< 0.99	0	≥ 350 <sup>°</sup> F
4.	Hot Shutdown	< 0.99	0	> 200 <sup>°</sup> F & < 350 <sup>°</sup> F
5.	Cold Shutdown	< 0.99	0	≤ 200 <sup>°</sup> F
6.	Refueling **	<u>&lt;</u> 0.95	0	≤ 140 <sup>°</sup> F
	Defueled	NA	NA	NA – no fuel in Reactor Vessel

#### 7.1 Operating Mode Definitions

- \* Excluding Decay Heat
- \*\* Fuel in the Reactor Vessel with the head closure bolts less than fully tensioned or with the head removed

#### 7.3 Applicability

The plant operating mode that exists at the time that the event occurs (prior to any protective system or operator action is initiated in response to the condition) should be compared to the operating mode applicability of the EALs. If a lower or higher plant operating mode is reached before the emergency classification is made, the declaration shall be based on the operating mode that existed at the time the event occurred.

For events that occur in Cold Shutdown or Refueling, escalation is via EALs that have Cold Shutdown or Refueling for mode applicability, even if Hot Shutdown (or a higher mode) is entered during any subsequent heat-up. In particular, the fission product barrier EALs are applicable only to events that initiate in Hot Shutdown or higher.

#### 8. EAL Technical Bases Organization

EAL technical bases are provided for each EAL according to:

- EAL category (R, E, H, S, F and C)
- EAL subcategory

Figures cited in EAL basis discussions are provided in Attachment 2. EAL defined terms and abbreviations and acronyms are listed in Attachments 3 and 4, respectively.

For each EAL, the following information is provided:

- EAL Category Letter & Title
- EAL Subcategory Number & Title
- Initiating Condition

Site-specific description of the generic IC given in NEI 99-01.

• Operating Mode Applicability

One or more of the following operating modes comprise the conditions to which each EAL is applicable: 1 - Power Operations, 2 – Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown, 5 - Cold Shutdown, 6 - Refueling, D - Defueled, N/A - Not Applicable or All.

For Fission Product Barrier Table bases, Operating Mode Applicability is always Operating Modes 1, 2, 3 and 4. For these EALs, the barrier threat (Loss or Potential Loss) is listed.

• <u>EAL# and Classification Level (EAL# & Point Value for Fission Product Barrier Table EAL bases)</u>:

The EAL number is a unique identifier to support accurate communication of the emergency classification to onsite and offsite personnel. Four characters define each EAL identifier:

Category R, E, H, C and S EALs: (Example: SU7.1)

- 1. First character (letter) Corresponds to the EAL category (R, E, H, C or S)
- 2. Second character (letter) Emergency classification level: U for Unusual Event, A for Alert, S for Site Area Emergency, or G for General Emergency.
- 3. Third character (number): Subcategory number within the given category. Subcategories are sequentially numbered beginning with the number one (1).

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

Introduction

If a category does not have a subcategory, this character is assigned the number one (1).

4. Fourth character (number): The numerical sequence of the EAL within the EAL subcategory. If the subcategory has only one EAL, it is given the number one (1).

Selected EALs in Category H have been designated as "Common Site" events. These events are annotated with the phrase "(Common Site)" immediately following the classification level.

Category F Fission Product Barrier EALs: (Example CB4-P)

- 1. First and second characters (letters) identify the barrier to which the EAL applies.
  - FB: Fuel Clad Barrier
  - RB: Reactor Coolant Barrier
  - CB: Containment Barrier
- 2. Third character (number) Sequential number beginning with the number one (1) for the first threshold in the barrier loss or potential loss of the Fission Product Barrier Table (Attachment 1)
- 3. Last character (letter) preceded by a dash (-) designates if EAL is for a potential loss or loss of the barrier in question.
  - P: Potential Loss
  - L: Loss

#### • EAL (enclosed in rectangle)

Exact wording of the EAL as it appears in the EAL wallcharts.

• <u>Basis</u>

The basis discussion applicable to the EAL taken from NEI 99-01.

#### • Explanation/Discussion/Definitions

Description of the site-specific rationale for the EAL.

#### • EAL Basis Reference(s)

Source documentation from which the EAL is derived. The first reference in each list gives the NEI 99-01 IC and example EAL number. A cross-reference of SGS EALs and NEI 99-01 ICs/EALs is given in Attachment 5.

#### 9. REFERENCES

- 9.1 NEI 99-01 Revision 5, Methodology for Development of Emergency Action Levels, Final, February 2008 (ADAMS Accession Number of ML080450149)
- 9.2 NRC Regulatory Issue Summary (RIS) 2003-18, Supplement 2, Use of Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) 99-01, Methodology for Development of Emergency Action Levels Revision 4, Dated January 2003 (December 12, 2005) (ADAMS Accession Number of ML051450482)
- 9.3 NRC Regulatory Issue Summary (RIS) 2007-02 Clarification of NRC Guidance for Emergency Notifications During Quickly Changing Events, Dated February 2007 (ADAMS Accession Number of ML062370311)
- 9.4 Salem EAL Comparison Matrix NRC submittal document that defines differences between NEI 99-01, Rev. 05 and PSEG submitted Salem EALs

#### EVENT CLASSIFICATION GUIDE (ECG) USE

#### NOTE

It is expected the Shift Manager (SM) always serves at the Emergency Coordinator (EC) during the initiating event even if the SM is out of the control room. The Control Room Supervisor (CRS) assumes operational command and control responsibility for the shift crew but not as the EC. The CRS should ensure that the SM is immediately called back to the control room on any conditions that require ECG assessment. Only if the SM is not able (sick or hurt) may the CRS serve as the EC.

#### 1. EC Judgment

The EALs described in the ECG are not all inclusive and will not identify each and every condition, parameter or event which could lead to an event classification. The following guidance should be used by the EC:

- IF an EAL has been exceeded, but satisfaction of the <u>Initiating Condition (IC) is in</u> <u>question</u>,
- THEN CLASSIFY the event IAW the EAL.
- IF however, it is clear that the EAL has <u>NOT</u> been exceeded (and will not),
- THEN DO NOT classify the event.
- IF an IC has been satisfied, but exceeding the specific EAL is in guestion,
- THEN CLASSIFY the event IAW the IC.

<u>In any case,</u>

<u>IF</u> the plant conditions are equivalent to one of the four emergency classes as described in Section 2 of EP-SC-111-201,

THEN CLASSIFY the event based on EC discretion IAW EALs in Category H.

#### 2. Assessment Time

2.1 Timeliness

Assessment of an Emergency Condition should be completed in a timely manner, which is considered to be within 15 minutes of when events **are known or should have been known**. If an EAL specifies a duration time (e.g., loss of annunciators for 15 minutes or longer), the assessment time runs concurrently with the EAL duration time and is the same length.

Salem



#### 2.2 Duration Time Exceeded

If an event is recognized or reported and the required duration time is known to have already been exceeded, the duration portion of the EAL should be considered as being satisfied and the assessment time for the remaining portions of the EAL should be within 15 minutes from the time of recognition.

#### 3. Implementing Actions

The ECG is not a stand-alone document. At times, the ECG will refer the user to other attachments or procedures for accomplishment of specific evolutions such as: Accountability, Recovery, development of PARs, etc.

The ECG should be considered an "Implementing Procedure" and used in accordance with the requirements of a Level 2 – Reference Use procedure as defined in HU-AA-104-101, Procedure Use and Adherence. The ECG classification sections allow for judgment and decision making as to whether or not an EAL is exceeded.



#### 4. Classification

The primary tools for determining the emergency classification level are the EAL wallcharts. The user of the EAL wallcharts may (but is not required to) consult the EAL Technical Bases in order to obtain additional information concerning the EALs under classification consideration. To use the EAL wallcharts, follow this sequence:

- 1. Assess the event and/or plant conditions and determine which EAL Group is most appropriate.
- 2. Review EAL categories and subcategories on the appropriate wallcharts.
- 3. For each applicable subcategory, review EALs in the subcategory beginning with the highest emergency classification level to the lowest classification level (left to right).
- 4. If the HOT conditions wallchart is employed, also review the Fission Product Barrier (FPB) Table (Wallchart sheet 3) as follows:
  - a. Examine the FPB categories in the left column of the table.
  - b. Select the category that most likely coincides with event conditions.
  - c. Review all thresholds in this category for each fission product barrier.
  - d. For each threshold that is exceeded, identify its point value and determine the classification level in accordance with the instructions on the Fission Product Barrier Table (or in EAL Technical Basis, Attachment 1).

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

Usage

#### NOTE

The Emergency Coordinator should classify and declare an emergency before an Emergency Action Level (EAL) is exceeded if, in the EC's judgment, it is determined that the EAL will be exceeded within 2 hours

5. REVIEW the associated EALs as compared to the event and SELECT the <u>highest</u> appropriate emergency. If identification of an EAL is questionable refer to paragraph 1 above.

If there is any doubt with regard to assessment of a particular EAL, the <u>ECG EAL</u> <u>Technical Basis Document</u> should be reviewed. Words contained in an EAL that appear in uppercase and bold print (e.g., **VALID**) are defined at the end of the basis for the EAL. Words or numbers contained in an EAL that are in bold print but not uppercase are EAL threshold values (e.g.,  $\geq$  15 minutes).

- 6. If an EAL has been exceeded, equal level EALs or lower level EALs are not required to be seperately reported as long as the applicable information is communicated to the NRC using ECG Attachment 5, EP-SC-111-F5, NRC Data Sheet Completion Reference.
- 7. When the Shift Manager (SM) is the Emergency Coordinator, the Shift Technical Advisor (STA) is responsible to perform an independent verification of the EAL classification. The STA verification does not alleviate the requirement of the SM to make a timely classification. Should the SM fill the STA role, independent verification of the EAL classification will be delegated to another on-shift SRO, the Independent Assessor.
- 8. Identify and implement the referenced ECG form based on the Emergency Classification Level.

0	Unusual Event	Implement EC-SC-111-F1
0	Alert	Implement EC-SC-111-F2
0	Site Area Emergency	Implement EC-SC-111-F3
0	General Emergency	Implement EC-SC-111-F4
0	Unusual Event (Common Site)	Implement EC-SC-111-F8

9. Continue assessment after classification and attachment initiation, by returning to the EAL wallcharts to review EALs that may result in escalation/de-escalation of the emergency level.



#### 5. Emergency Short Duration Events

- 1. A Short Duration emergency event is a transitory event that meets or exceeds one or more EALs for less than 15 minutes (i.e., action is taken and the plant returned to a condition in which no EAL applies). For a Short Duration event the Control Room Staff is aware of the event and realizes that an EAL had been exceeded.
- 2. Short Duration events that occur will be assessed and emergency classification made, if appropriate, within 15 minutes of control room indications or the receipt of the information, indicating that an EAL has or had been exceeded. This classification is to be made even if no EALs are currently being exceeded (i.e., actions have been taken to stabilize the Plant such that no EALs currently apply).
- 3. For some events, the condition may be corrected before a declaration has been made. The key consideration in this situation is to determine whether or not further plant damage occurred while the corrective actions were being taken. In some situations, this can be readily determined, in other situations, further analyses (e.g., coolant radiochemistry sampling, may be necessary). Classify the event as indicated and terminate the emergency once assessment shows that there were no consequences from the event and other termination criteria are met.
- 4. Guidance for classifying transient events addresses the period of time of event recognition and classification (15 minutes). However, in cases when EAL declaration criteria may be met momentarily during the normal expected response of the plant, declaration requirements should not be considered to be met when the conditions are a part of the designed plant response, or result from appropriate Operator actions.

#### 6. Conditions Discovered After-the-Fact

There may be cases in which a plant condition that exceeded an EAL was not recognized at the time of occurrence but is identified well after the condition has occurred (e.g., as a result of routine log or record review), and the condition no longer exists. In these cases, an emergency should not be declared. Reporting requirements of 10 CFR 50.72 are applicable and the guidance of NUREG-1022, Rev. 2, Section 3, should be applied.

- 1. An After-the-Fact event is defined as an event that exceeded an EAL threshold and was not recognized at the time of occurrence but is identified greater than 1 hour after the condition has occurred (e.g., as a result of a routine log review, record review, post trip review, engineering evaluation) and the condition no longer exists.
- 2. For an After-the-Fact event the Control Room Staff was either not aware of the event or did not realize that an EAL was exceeded at the time of the occurrence.
- 3. Plant emergency events that are in progress or have occurred with ongoing adverse consequences/effects should not be considered After-the-Fact events and should therefore be classified and declared as an ongoing emergency event.

Salem

Usage

4. EMERGENCY CONDITIONS - After-the-Fact events that occur will be assessed and evaluated to ensure that no EAL currently applies. An emergency declaration is NOT required and a non-emergency, One-Hour Report should be initiated in accordance with non-emergency RALs in the ECG.

#### 7. NRC Communications During An Emergency Guidance

- 1. Complete and accurate communications with the NRC Operations Center during emergencies is required and expected. The purpose of notifying the NRC within one-hour of an emergency, is to provide event information when immediate NRC action may be required to protect the public health and safety <u>OR</u> when the NRC needs accurate and timely information to respond to heightened public concern. If the information we provide is not accurate or does not contain sufficient detail, then we hamper the NRC from doing their job.
- 2. The NRC Data Sheet, along with the Initial Contact Message Form, is the primary vehicle to ensure the NRC is kept informed. General Guidance on completing the event description portion of the NRC Data Sheet is provided in the NRC Data Sheet (ECG Attachment 5).

#### 8. Event Retraction Guidance

- <u>IF</u> an ENS notification to the NRC was made as directed by the applicable ECG Attachment <u>AND</u> it is later determined that the event or condition is <u>not</u> reportable,
- <u>THEN</u> the notification may be retracted as follows:
- 1. OBTAIN both the Operations Shift Manager's and Shift Manager's approval of any proposed retractions. Ensure Reg Assurance is consulted prior to approval to retract an Event.
- 2. COMPLETE "page 1" of ECG Attachment, EP-SC-111-F5, NRC Datasheet Completion Reference, providing a retraction of the original notification. Event Description Section of NRC Data Sheet should explain the rationale for the retraction.
- 3. NOTIFY the NRC Operations Center and NRC Resident Inspector.
- 4. RECORD on the "NRC Data Sheet" the name of the NRC contact that received the retraction information.
- 5. FORWARD the retraction "NRC Data Sheet" with the rest of the original attachment of the ECG that was implemented when the original notification was made to the Operations Shift Manager.

#### 9. Common Site Events Guidance

- Selected EALs in Category H (Unusual Event level only) have been designated as "Common Site" events. These events will be annotated with the words "Common Site" just below the mode applicability line in the wallcharts and next to the classification level in the EAL Bases document.
- 2. The Common Site UE ECG Attachment 8, EP-SC-111-F8, Declaration of "Common Site" UE, will direct the SM to establish agreement on which SM will declare and report the event. Therefore, either Salem or Hope Creek will report Common Site Unusual Events, but not both.
- 3. Events classified at an Alert or higher level require plant specific information to be provided to the states of New Jersey and Delaware, the NRC, and to PSEG Emergency Response Facilities and therefore will not be classified as common site events.

#### 10. EAL Classification Considerations

- 1. Planned evolutions involve preplanning to address the limitations imposed by the condition, the performance of required surveillance testing, and the implementation of specific controls prior to knowingly entering the condition in accordance with the specific requirements of the SGS Technical Specifications. Activities which cause the site to operate beyond that allowed by the SGS Technical Specifications, planned or unplanned, may result in an EAL threshold being met or exceeded. Planned evolutions to test, manipulate, repair, perform maintenance or modifications to systems and equipment that result in an EAL value being met or exceeded are not subject to classification and activation requirements as long as the evolution proceeds as planned and is within the operational limitations imposed by the specific operating license. However, these conditions may be subject to the reporting requirements of 10 CFR 50.72.
- All classifications are to be based upon VALID indications, reports or conditions. Indications, reports or conditions are considered VALID when they are verified by (1) an instrument channel check, or (2) indications on related or redundant indications, or (3) by direct observation by plant personnel, such that doubt related to the indication's operability, the condition's existence, or the report's accuracy is removed. Implicit in this definition is the need for timely assessment.
- 3. Although the majority of the EALs provide very specific thresholds, the Emergency Coordinator must remain alert to events or conditions that lead to the conclusion that exceeding the EAL is IMMINENT. If, in the judgment of the Emergency Coordinator, an IMMINENT situation is at hand, the classification should be made as if the threshold has been exceeded. While this is particularly prudent at the higher emergency classification levels (as the early classification may provide for more effective implementation of protective measures), it is nonetheless applicable to all emergency classification levels.

Rev. 0 (draft E)



- 4. When multiple simultaneous events occur, the emergency classification level is based on the highest EAL reached. For example, two Alerts remain in the Alert category. Or, an Alert and a Site Area Emergency is a Site Area Emergency. Further guidance is provided in RIS 2007-02, Clarification of NRC Guidance for Emergency Notifications During Quickly Changing Events.
- 5. Another important aspect of usable EAL guidance is the consideration of what to do when the risk posed by an emergency is clearly decreasing. A combination approach involving recovery from General Emergencies and some Site Area Emergencies and termination from Unusual Events, Alerts, and certain Site Area Emergencies causing no long term plant damage appears to be the best choice. Downgrading to lower emergency classification levels adds notifications but may have merit under certain circumstances. Refer to procedure NC.EP-EP.ZZ-0405, Emergency Termination – Reduction – Recovery, for detailed directions.
- 6. The logic used for the Fission Product Barrier EALs reflects the following considerations:
  - The Fuel Clad Barrier and the RCS Barrier are weighted more heavily than the Primary Containment Barrier. Unusual Events associated with RCS and Fuel Clad Barriers are addressed under EALs in Category S, System Malfunctions.
  - The ability to escalate to higher emergency classification levels as an event deteriorates must be maintained. For example, RCS leakage steadily increasing would represent an increasing risk to public health and safety.
  - The Primary Containment Barrier should not be declared lost or potentially lost based on exceeding Technical Specification action statement criteria, unless there is an event in progress requiring mitigation by the Primary Containment barrier. When no event is in progress (Loss or Potential Loss of either Fuel Clad and/or RCS barrier) the Primary Containment Barrier status is addressed by Technical Specifications.
- 7. Since Salem is a multi-unit station with shared safety-related system and functions, emergency classification level upgrading must also consider the effects of a loss of a common system on more than one unit (e.g., potential for radioactive release from more than one core). For example, the control panels for both units in close proximity within the same room. Thus, Control Room evacuation most likely would affect both units. There are a number of other systems and functions which may be shared. This must be considered in the emergency classification level declaration.
- 8. SGS and HCGS share a common **ISFSI**. Classification of events related to spent fuel stored at the **ISFSI** appear only in the HCGS EAL scheme. Classification of events related to the transfer of spent fuel from SGS to the **ISFSI** are addressed in the SGS EAL scheme (EAL EU1.1).

Rev. 0 (draft E)

#### This page intentionally blank

. . .

> Rev. 0 (draft E) Usage

Salem

## EALs for:

## Abnormal Radiological Levels



•

ISFSI

EAL Category:	R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent					
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Offsite Rad Conditions					
Initiating Condition:	Any release of gaseous or liquid radioactivity to the environment greater than 2 times the ODCM for 60 minutes or longer					
Mode Applicability:	All					
EAL# & Classification Level:	RU1.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT					
EAL:						
VALID gaseous monitor reading > Table R-1 column "UE"						
AND	AND					
≥ 60 minutes have elapsed (Note 2)						
Note 2: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the release duration has exceeded, or will likely exceed, the applicable time. In the absence of data to the contrary, assume that the release duration has exceeded the applicable time if an oppoing						

release is detected and the release start time is unknown.

	Table R-1 Effluent Monitor Classification Thresholds*						
	Release Point	Monitor	GE	SAE	ALERT	UE	
Gaseous	Plant Vent Effluent Noble Gas Unit 1 + Unit 2	1R41D + 2R41D <u>OR</u> SPDS combined release rate	8.48E+09 µCi/sec	8.48E+08 µCi/sec	4.84E+07 µCi/sec	4.84E+05 µCi/sec	
Liquid	Containment Fan Coil Process	1(2)R13A/B			1.64E+05 cpm	1.64E+03 cpm	
	Liquid Radwaste	1R18	· ·		N/A See EAL RA1.3	U1= 5.50E+05 cpm	
	Disposal Process	2R18				U2= 9.90E+05 cpm	
	Steam Generator	1R19A-D				U1= 6.40E+05 cpm	U1= 6.40E+03 cpm
	Blowdown Process	Blowdown Process 2R19A-D		U2= 8.30E+05 cpm	U2= 8.30E+03 cpm		
	Non-Rad Liquid Waste	2R37			3.60E+05 cpm	3.60E+03 cpm	

\* For high radiation conditions on Letdown Line Monitor 1R31A (2R31), refer to EAL SU7.1

#### Basis:

-----[Refer to Appendix A for a detailed basis of the radiological effluent IC/EALs.]

------- The Emergency Director <u>Coordinator should not wait until the applicable time has</u> elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

This IC <u>EAL</u> addresses a potential decrease in the level of safety of the plant as indicated by a radiological release that exceeds regulatory commitments for an extended period of time.

Nuclear power plants incorporate features intended to control the release of radioactive effluents to the environment. Further, there are administrative controls established to prevent unintentional releases, or control and monitor intentional releases. [*These controls are located in the Off-site Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM), and for plants that have not implemented Generic Letter 89-01, in the Radiological Effluent Technical Specifications (RETS).]* The occurrence of extended, uncontrolled radioactive releases to the environment is indicative of a degradation in these features and/or controls.[*Some sites may find it advantageous to address gaseous and liquid releases with separate EALs.*]

The <u>threshold values that equates to a RETS</u>-multiple <u>of two times the ODCM limits</u> are is specified in AU1-EAL RU1.1 and AA1 RA1 only to distinguish between non-emergency conditions, and from each other. While these this multiples obviously corresponds to an off-site dose or dose rate, the emphasis in classifying these this events is the degradation in the level of safety of the plant, not the magnitude of the associated dose or dose rate.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RU1.1

------ This EAL includes any release for which a radioactivity discharge permit was not prepared, or a release that exceeds the conditions (e.g., minimum dilution flow, maximum discharge flow, alarm setpoints, etc.) on the applicable permit.

#### ----<u>EAL #1</u>

This EAL addresses radioactivity releases, that for whatever reason, cause effluent radiation monitor readings to exceed the threshold identified in the <u>ICEAL</u>.

This EAL is intended for sites that have established effluent monitoring on non-routine release pathways for which a discharge permit would not normally be prepared.

[The ODCM establishes a methodology for determining effluent radiation monitor setpoints. The ODCM specifies default source terms and, for gaseous releases, prescribes the use of pre-determined annual average meteorology in the most limiting downwind sector for showing compliance with the regulatory commitments. This EAL should be determined using this methodology.]

——<u>EAL #2</u>

——<u>EAL #3</u>

\_\_\_\_\_<u>EALs #4 and #5</u>

----- [As provided in the ODCM / RETS, prorated over 8766 hours, multiplied by two, and rounded. (500 : 8766 × 2 = 0.114).]

——— EAL #1 and #2 directly correlate with the IC since annual average meteorology is required to be used in showing compliance with the ODCM and is used in calculating the alarm setpoints. EALs #4 and #5 are a function of actual meteorology, which will likely be different from the limiting annual average value. Thus, there will likely be a numerical inconsistency.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The column "UE" gaseous release value in Table R-1 (Unit 1 + Unit 2) represents two times the associated effluent monitor alarm setpoint. This setpoint is set to preclude exceeding the ODCM release rate limits associated with the specified monitor.

Salem

The plant vent monitors (R41) sample and detect noble gases and collect samples of particulates and iodine discharge through the plant vent. Channel D (R41D) provides the gaseous effluent release rate ( $\mu$ Ci/sec) by combining (product of) the on-range R41A through R41C with plant vent flow (cc/sec).

Definitions:

**VALID**: An indication, report, or condition, is considered to be **VALID** when it is verified by (1) an instrument channel check, or (2) indications on related or redundant indicators, or (3) by direct observation by plant personnel, such that doubt related to the indicator's operability, the condition's existence, or the report's accuracy is removed. Implicit in this definition is the need for timely assessment.

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AG1 Example EAL #1
- 2. Salem Radiological EAL Setpoint Calculation Document NEI 99-01 Rev. 5 EALs (Attachment 6)
- 3. FSAR Section 11.4 Radiological Monitoring
- 4. PSBP 315733(4) Radiation Monitoring System Control Manual

This page intentionally blank

.

.

EAL Category:	R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent				
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Offsite Rad Conditions				
Initiating Condition:	Any release of gaseous or liquid radioactivity to the environment greater than 2 times the ODCM for 60 minute or longer				
EAL# & Classification Level:	RU1.2 – UNUSUAL EVENT				
Mode Applicability:	All				
EAL:					
ANY VALID liquid monitor readin	g > Table R-1 column "UE"				
AND					
≥ 60 minutes have elapsed (Note 2)					
Note 2: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the release duration has exceeded, or will likely exceed, the applicable time. In the absence of data to the contrary, assume that the release duration has exceeded the applicable time if an ongoing release is detected and the release start time is unknown.					

	Table R-1 Effluent Monitor Classification Thresholds*						
	Release Point	Monitor	GE	SAE	ALERT	UE	
Gaseous	Plant Vent Effluent Noble Gas Unit 1 + Unit 2	1R41D + 2R41D <u>OR</u> SPDS combined release rate	8.48E+09 µCi/sec	8.48E+08 µCi/sec	4.84E+07 µCi/sec	4.84E+05 µCi/sec	
Liquid	Containment Fan Coil Process	1(2)R13A/B			1.64E+05 <sub>.</sub> cpm	1.64E+03 cpm	
	Liquid Radwaste	1R18	8		N/A See EAL RA1.3	U1= 5.50E+05 cpm	
	Disposal Process	2R18				U2= 9.90E+05 cpm	
	Steam Generator	1R19A-D				U1= 6.40E+05 cpm	U1= 6.40E+03 cpm
	Blowdown Process 2R19A-D			U2= 8.30E+05 cpm	U2= 8.30E+03 cpm		
	Non-Rad Liquid Waste	2R37			3.60E+05 cpm	3.60E+03 cpm	

\* For high radiation conditions on Letdown Line Monitor 1R31A (2R31), refer to EAL SU7.1

exceed the applicable time.

This ICEAL addresses a potential decrease in the level of safety of the plant as indicated by a radiological release that exceeds regulatory commitments for an extended period of time.

Nuclear power plants incorporate features intended to control the release of radioactive effluents to the environment. Further, there are administrative controls established to prevent unintentional releases, or control and monitor intentional releases. [*These controls are located in the Off-site Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM), and for plants that have not implemented Generic Letter 89-01, in the Radiological Effluent Technical Specifications (RETS).*] The occurrence of extended, uncontrolled radioactive releases to the environment is indicative of a degradation in these features and/or controls.[*Some sites may find it advantageous to address gaseous and liquid releases with separate EALs.*]

The <u>threshold values that equate to a RETS</u>-multiple of two times the ODCM limits are isare specified in AU1 EAL RU1.2 and AA1 RA1 only to distinguish between non-emergency conditions, and from each other. While these this multiples obviously corresponds to an off-site dose or dose rate, the emphasis in classifying these this events is the degradation in the level of safety of the plant, not the magnitude of the associated dose or dose rate.

Salem

#### ----<u>EAL #1</u>

This EAL addresses radioactivity releases, that for whatever reason, cause effluent radiation monitor readings to exceed the threshold identified in the IGEAL.

This EAL is intended for sites that have established effluent monitoring on non-routine <u>liquid</u> release pathways for which a discharge permit would not normally be prepared <u>(Containment Fan Coil, SG Blowdown & Chemical Waste Basin) as well as planned batch releases for which a radioactivity discharge permit is prepared (Liquid Radwaste Disposal).</u>

[The ODCM establishes a methodology for determining effluent radiation monitor setpoints. The ODCM specifies default source terms and, for gaseous releases, prescribes the use of pre-determined annual average meteorology in the most limiting downwind sector for showing compliance with the regulatory commitments. This EAL should be determined using this methodology.]

#### EAL #2

This EAL addresses radioactivity releases, that for whatever reason, cause effluent radiation monitor readings to exceed the threshold identified in the IC established by the radioactivity discharge permit. This value may be associated with a planned batch release, or a continuous release path.

[In either case, the value is established by the ODCM to warn of a release that is not in compliance with the RETS. Indexing the EAL to the ODCM setpoints in this manner insures that the EAL will never be less than the setpoint established by a specific discharge permit.]

This EAL addresses uncontrolled releases that are detected by sample analyses, particularly on unmonitored pathways, e.g., spills of radioactive liquids into storm drains, heat exchanger leakage in river water systems, etc.

\_\_\_\_<u>EALs #4 and #5</u>

The 0.10 mR/hr value in EAL #4, and the site specific value for EAL #5, is based on a release rate not exceeding 500 mrem per year.

--------[As provided in the ODCM / RETS, prorated over 8766 hours, multiplied by two, and rounded. (500 -: 8766 -× 2 = 0.114).]

———— EAL #1 and #2 directly correlate with the IC since annual average meteorology is required to be used in showing compliance with the ODCM and is used in calculating the alarm setpoints. EALs #4 and #5 are a function of actual meteorology, which will likely be different from the limiting annual average value. Thus, there will likely be a numerical inconsistency.

The underlying basis of this EAL involves the degradation in the level of safety of the plant implied by the uncontrolled release. Exceeding EAL #4 or #5 is an indication of an uncontrolled release.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The column "UE" liquid release values in Table R-1 represent two (2) times the High Alarm setpoints (except 2R18 which is 1.5 times) associated with the specified monitors. The High Alarm setpoints are obtained from channel calibrations procedures as listed in the reference section of this bases.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RU1.2
Instrumentation that may be used to assess this EAL is listed below:

• Containment Fan Coil Process 1(2)R13A/B (Upper Range is 1.00E+06 cpm)

Service water is used as the cooling medium for the containment fan coil units (CFCUs) and could be contaminated if the cooling coil leaks with containment pressure above Service Water pressure. Since the Service Water System discharges into Circ Water and then back to the river, the fan cooler units will be indirectly monitored for radioactivity. This is done through the use of two monitors for the five fan coolers. The two monitors sample two of the three Circ Water headers that contain Service Water used to cool the CFCUs just before it discharges back to the river. Alarms on these monitors would be indicative of a CFCU leak but could also be associated with other systems including from the pathways monitored by the R18s, R19s and the R37, which also discharge into Circ Water and are monitor along with any R18s, R19s or R37 monitor, then the source of the Rad effluent may not be a CFCU leak and further investigation would be warranted. However, exceeding the EAL threshold value for  $\geq 60$  minutes should result in Unusual Event classification even if the exact source remains questionable.

• Liquid Radwaste Disposal Process 1(2)R18 (Upper Range is 1.00E+06 cpm)

This channel continuously monitors all Waste Disposal System liquid releases from the plant. Automatic valve closure action is initiated by this monitor when a high radiation level is indicated and alarmed in the Control Room. Liquid Radwaste discharges to Circ Water which then discharges to the Delaware River.

This Unit 1 EAL threshold is based on 2 times the High Alarm Set Point as defined in the Channel Calibration procedure.

This Unit 2 EAL threshold is based on a value that is approximately 1.5 times the High Alarm Set Point as defined in the Channel Calibration procedure which ensures that the threshold value is within the upper range of the monitor.

Since the ranges of the 1(2) R18s monitors do not support EAL threshold values of 200 times the high alarm value, no Alert threshold is provided on Table R-1. If the release pathway could not be isolated as expected, the EC should refer to EAL RA1.3 for Alert threshold values based on sample analysis.

• Steam Generator Blowdown Process 1(2)R19A-D (Upper Range is 1.00E+06 cpm)

Each of these channels (four channels per unit) monitors the liquid phase of the steam generators for radioactivity, which would indicate a primary-to-secondary system leak. The four steam generator blowdown sample lines each have a radiation monitor. A high radiation alarm signal will close the No. 12 (22) steam generator blowdown tank inlet

valves and the steam generator blowdown isolation valves on the affected steam generator.

• Non-Rad Liquid Waste 2R37 (Upper Range is 1.00E+06 cpm)

The non-radwaste basin provides a potential release path due to the fact that steam generator blowdown is directed to the basin during plant startup. This monitor provides for continuous monitoring of the discharge from the non-radwaste basin. Non-Rad Liquid Waste discharges to Circ Water which then discharges to the Delaware River.

#### Definitions:

**VALID**: An indication, report, or condition, is considered to be **VALID** when it is verified by (1) an instrument channel check, or (2) indications on related or redundant indicators, or (3) by direct observation by plant personnel, such that doubt related to the indicator's operability, the condition's existence, or the report's accuracy is removed. Implicit in this definition is the need for timely assessment.

## EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AU1 Example EAL #2
- 2. Salem ODCM Section 3.3.8 Radioactive Liquid Effluent Monitoring Instrumentation
- 3. Salem ODCM Figures 1-1 and 1-2, Liquid Release Flow paths for Unit 1 and Unit 2
- 4. UFSAR Section 11.4 Radiation Monitoring
- 5. PSBP 315733(4) Radiation Monitoring System Control Manual
- 6. S1(S2).IC-CC.RM-0097/98, Channel Cal for 1/2R13A/B
- 7. S1(S2).IC-CC.RM-0028, Channel Cal for 1/2R18
- 8. S1(S2).IC-CC.RM-0029/30/31/32, Channel Cal for 1/2R19A/B/C/D
- 9. S2.IC-CC.RM-0060, Channel Cal for 2R37

EAL Category:	R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Offsite Rad Conditions
Initiating Condition:	Any release of gaseous or liquid radioactivity to the environment greater than 2 times the ODCM for 60 minutes or longer
EAL# & Classification Level:	RU1.3 – UNUSUAL EVENT
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL .	

#### LAL:

Confirmed sample analyses for gaseous or liquid releases indicate concentrations or release rates > Table R-2 column "UE"

## AND

≥ 60 minutes have elapsed (Note 2)

Note 2: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the release duration has exceeded, or will likely exceed, the applicable time. In the absence of data to the contrary, assume that the release duration has exceeded the applicable time if an ongoing release is detected and the release start time is unknown.

	Table R-2 Effluent Sample Classification Thresholds					
	Release Point	Sample	ALERT	UE		
s		NG	6.40E-01 µCi/cc	6.40E-03 µCi/cc		
aseou	Plant Vent	I <b>-</b> 131	5.60E-05 μCi/cc	5.60E-07 μCi/cc		
Ö	Unmonitored	Isotopic	200 x ODCM 3/4.11.2	2 x ODCM 3/4.11.2		
	Containment Fan Coil	Isotopic	200 x ODCM 3/4.11.1	2 x ODCM 3/4.11.1		
id	Liquid Radwaste Disposal	Isotopic	200 x ODCM 3/4.11.1	2 x ODCM 3/4.11.1		
Liqu	Steam Generator Blowdown	lsotopic	200 x ODCM 3/4.11.1	2 x ODCM 3/4.11.1		
	Chemical Waste Basin	Isotopic	200 x ODCM 3/4.11.1	2 x ODCM 3/4.11.1		
	Unmonitored	Isotopic	200 x ODCM 3/4.11.1	2 x ODCM 3/4.11.1		

#### Basis:

[Refer to Appendix A for a detailed basis of the radiological effluent IC/EALs.]

The Emergency Coordinator should not wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

This ICEAL addresses a potential decrease in the level of safety of the plant as indicated by a radiological release that exceeds regulatory commitments for an extended period of time.

Nuclear power plants incorporate features intended to control the release of radioactive effluents to the environment. Further, there are administrative controls established to prevent unintentional releases, or control and monitor intentional releases. [*These controls are located in the Off-site Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM), and for plants that have not implemented Generic Letter 89-01, in the Radiological Effluent Technical Specifications (RETS).]* The occurrence of extended, uncontrolled radioactive releases to the environment is indicative of a degradation in these features and/or controls.[*Some sites may find it advantageous to address gaseous and liquid releases with separate EALs.*]

The <u>RETS</u>-multiple<u>of two times the ODCM limits</u> are is specified in <u>AU1 and AA1EAL RU1.3</u> only to distinguish between non-emergency conditions, and from each other. While these this multiples obviously corresponds to an off-site dose or dose rate, the emphasis in classifying these this events is the degradation in the level of safety of the plant, not the magnitude of the associated dose or dose rate.

[Releases should not be prorated or averaged. For example, a release exceeding 4x ODCM for 30 minutes does not meet the threshold.]

This EAL includes any release for which a radioactivity discharge permit was not prepared, or a release that exceeds the conditions (e.g., minimum dilution flow, maximum discharge flow, alarm setpoints, etc.) on the applicable permit for  $\geq 60$  minutes.

------ This EAL is intended for sites that have established effluent monitoring on non-routine release pathways for which a discharge permit would not normally be prepared.

[The ODCM establishes a methodology for determining effluent radiation monitor setpoints. The ODCM specifies default source terms and, for gaseous releases, prescribes the use of predetermined annual average meteorology in the most limiting downwind sector for showing compliance with the regulatory commitments. This EAL should be determined using this methodology.]

<u>EAL #2</u>

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RU1.3 -------This EAL addresses radioactivity releases, that for whatever reason, cause effluent radiation monitor readings to exceed the threshold identified in the IC established by the radioactivity discharge permit. This value may be associated with a planned batch release, or a continuous release path.

--------[In either case, the value is established by the ODCM to warn of a release that is not in compliance with the RETS. Indexing the EAL to the ODCM setpoints in this manner insures that the EAL will never be less than the setpoint established by a specific discharge permit.]

#### 

This EAL addresses uncontrolled releases that are detected by sample analyses, particularly on unmonitored pathways, e.g., spills of radioactive liquids into storm drains, heat exchanger leakage in river water systems, etc.

#### \_\_\_\_<u>EALs #4 and #5</u>

EAL #1 and #2 directly correlate with the IC since annual average meteorology is required to be used in showing compliance with the ODCM and is used in calculating the alarm setpoints. EALs #4 and #5 are a function of actual meteorology, which will likely be different from the limiting annual average value. Thus, there will likely be a numerical inconsistency.

The underlying basis of this EAL involves the degradation in the level of safety of the plant implied by the uncontrolled release. Exceeding EAL #4 or #5 is an indication of an uncontrolled release. Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Releases in excess of two times the site Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM) Section 3/4.11.1 or 3/4.11.2 limits that continue for 60 minutes or longer represent an uncontrolled situation and hence, a potential degradation in the level of safety. The final integrated dose (which is very low in the UNUSUAL EVENT emergency class) is not the primary concern here; it is the degradation in plant control implied by the fact that the release was not isolated within 60 minutes.

Table R-2 provides calculated radiological release noble gas and iodine sample concentrations that equate to a release that is 2 times the ODCM limit (Section 3/4.11.2) of 500 mRem/year as well as specifying liquid release effluent sample streams 2 times the ODCM limits (Section 3/4.11.1).

Each Salem unit has a single gaseous release point (Plant Vent) for which a sample concentration threshold has been calculated.

## EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AU1 Example EAL #3
- 2. Off-Site Dose Calculation Manual, Section 3/4.11.1 Liquid Effluents
- 3. Off-Site Dose Calculation Manual, Section 3/4.11.2 Gaseous Effluents
- 4. Salem Radiological EAL Setpoint Calculation Document NEI 99-01 Rev. 5 EALs (Attachment 6)

1

This page intentionally blank

.

,

EAL Category:	R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent	
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Offsite Rad Conditions	
Initiating Condition:	Any release of gaseous or liquid radioactivity to the environment greater than 200 times the ODCM for 15 minutes or longer	
Mode Applicability:	All	
EAL# & Classification Level:	RA1.1 – ALERT	
EAL:		
VALID gaseous monitor reading	> Table R-1 column "ALERT"	
AND		
≥ 15 minutes have elapsed (Note	e 2) ·	
Note 2: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the release duration has exceeded, or will likely exceed, the applicable time. I the absence of data to the contrary, assume that the release duration has exceeded the applicable time if an ongoing release is detected and the release start time is unknown.		

1

1

<u> </u>	Table R-1 Effluent Monitor Classification Thresholds*					
	Release Point	Monitor	GE	SAE	ALERT	UE
Gaseous	Plant Vent Effluent Noble Gas Unit 1 + Unit 2	1R41D + 2R41D <u>OR</u> SPDS combined release rate	8.48E+09 µCi/sec	8.48E+08 µCi/sec	4.84E+07 µCi/sec	4.84E+05 µCi/sec
Liquid	Containment Fan Coil Process	1(2)R13A/B			1.64E+05 cpm	1.64E+03 cpm
	Liquid Radwaste	1R18			N/A	U1= 5.50E+05 cpm
	Disposal Process	2R18			See EAL RA1.3	U2= 9.90E+05 cpm
	Steam Generator	1R19A-D			U1= 6.40E+05 cpm	U1= 6.40E+03 cpm
	Blowdown Process	2R19A-D			U2= 8.30E+05 cpm	U2= 8.30E+03 cpm
	Non-Rad Liquid Waste	2R37			3.60E+05 cpm	3.60E+03 cpm

\* For high radiation conditions on Letdown Line Monitor 1R31A (2R31), refer to EAL SU7.1

## Basis:

The Emergency Coordinator should not wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

This ICEAL addresses an actual or substantial potential decrease in the level of safety of the plant as indicated by a radiological release that exceeds regulatory commitments for an extended period of time.

Nuclear power plants incorporate features intended to control the release of radioactive effluents to the environment. Further, there are administrative controls established to prevent unintentional releases, or control and monitor intentional releases. [*These controls are located in the Off-site Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM), and for plants that have not implemented Generic Letter 89-01, in the Radiological Effluent Technical Specifications (RETS).*] The occurrence of extended, uncontrolled radioactive releases to the environment is indicative of a degradation in these features and/or controls.

The <u>threshold values that equates to a RETS</u>-multiple of two hundred times the ODCM limits are is specified in AU1 <u>RU1</u> and AA1 <u>EAL RA1.1</u> only to distinguish between non-emergency conditions, and from each other. While these this multiples obviously corresponds to an off-

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

EAL#: RA1.1

site dose or dose rate, the emphasis in classifying these this events is the degradation in the level of safety of the plant, not the magnitude of the associated dose or dose rate.

——— This EAL includes any release for which a radioactivity discharge permit was not prepared, or a release that exceeds the conditions (e.g., minimum dilution flow, maximum discharge flow, alarm setpoints, etc.) on the applicable permit.

\_\_\_\_\_ EAL #1

-This EAL is intended for sites that have established effluent monitoring on non-routine release pathways for which a discharge permit would not normally be prepared.

<u>EAL #2</u>

[In either case, the value is established by the ODCM to warn of a release that is not in compliance with the RETS. Indexing the EAL to the ODCM setpoints in this manner insures that the EAL will never be less than the setpoint established by a specific discharge permit.]

------<u>EAL #3</u>

\_\_\_\_<u>EALs #4 and #5</u>

------- The 10.0 mR/hr value in EAL #4 , and the site specific value for EAL #5, is based on a release rate not exceeding 500 mrem per year.

----------[As provided in the ODCM / RETS, prorated over 8766 hours, multiplied by 200, and rounded. (500 : 8766 × 200 = 11.4)].

EAL #1 and #2 directly correlate with the IC since annual average meteorology is required to be used in showing compliance with the ODCM and is used in calculating the alarm setpoints. EALs #4 and #5 are a function of actual meteorology, which will likely be different from the limiting annual average value. Thus, there will likely be a numerical inconsistency.

The underlying basis of this EAL involves the degradation in the level of safety of the plant implied by the uncontrolled release. Exceeding EAL #4 or #5 is an indication of an uncontrolled release. Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The column "ALERT" gaseous release value in Table R-1 (Unit 1 + Unit 2) represents two hundred times the associated effluent monitor alarm setpoint. This setpoint is set to preclude exceeding the ODCM release rate limits associated with the specified monitor.

The plant vent monitors (R41) sample and detect noble gases and collect samples of particulates and iodine discharge through the plant vent. Channel D (R41D) provides the gaseous effluent release rate ( $\mu$ Ci/sec) by combining (product of) the on-range R41A through R41C with plant vent flow (cc/sec).

## Definitions:

VALID: An indication, report, or condition, is considered to be VALID when it is verified by (1) an instrument channel check, or (2) indications on related or redundant indicators, or (3) by direct observation by plant personnel, such that doubt related to the indicator's operability, the condition's existence, or the report's accuracy is removed. Implicit in this definition is the need for timely assessment.

## EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AA1 Example EAL #1
- 2. Salem Radiological EAL Setpoint Calculation Document NEI 99-01 Rev. 5 EALs (Attachment 6)
- 3. UFSAR Section 11.4 Radiological Monitoring
- 4. PSBP 315733(4) Radiation Monitoring System Control Manual

·

--

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RA1.1

:

This page intentionally blank

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RA1.1

EAL Category:	R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent		
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Offsite Rad Conditions		
Initiating Condition:	Any release of gaseous or liquid radioactivity to the environment greater than 200 times the ODCM for 15 minutes or longer		
EAL# & Classification Level:	RA1.2 – ALERT		
Mode Applicability:	All		
EAL:			
ANY VALID liquid monitor readin	g > Table R-1 column "ALERT"		
AND			
≥ 15 minutes have elapsed (Note 2)			
Note 2: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the release duration has exceeded, or will likely exceed, the applicable time. In the absence of data to the contrary, assume that the			

applicable time. In the absence of data to the contrary, assume that the release duration has exceeded the applicable time if an ongoing release is detected and the release start time is unknown.

. . .

	Table R-1 Effluent Monitor Classification Thresholds*					
	Release Point	Monitor	GE	SAE	ALERT	UE
Gaseous	Plant Vent Effluent Noble Gas Unit 1 + Unit 2	1R41D + 2R41D <u>OR</u> SPDS combined release rate	8.48E+09 µCi/sec	8.48E+08 µCi/sec	4.84E+07 µCi/sec	4.84E+05 µCi/sec
Líquid	Containment Fan Coil Process	1(2)R13A/B			1.64E+05 cpm	1.64E+03 cpm
	Liquid Radwaste	1R18			N/A	U1= 5.50E+05 cpm
	Disposal Process	2R18			See EAL RA1.3	U2= 9.90E+05 cpm
	Steam Generator	1R19A-D			U1= 6.40E+05 cpm	U1= 6.40E+03 cpm
	Blowdown Process	2R19A-D			U2= 8.30E+05 cpm	U2= 8.30E+03 cpm
	Non-Rad Liquid Waste	2R37			3.60E+05 cpm	3.60E+03 cpm

\* For high radiation conditions on Letdown Line Monitor 1R31A (2R31), refer to EAL SU7.1

#### Basis:

[Refer to Appendix A for a detailed basis of the radiological effluent IC/EALs.]

The Emergency Coordinator should not wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

This ICEAL addresses an actual or substantial potential decrease in the level of safety of the plant as indicated by a radiological release that exceeds regulatory commitments for an extended period of time.

Nuclear power plants incorporate features intended to control the release of radioactive effluents to the environment. Further, there are administrative controls established to prevent unintentional releases, or control and monitor intentional releases. [*These controls are located in the Off-site Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM), and for plants that have not implemented Generic Letter* 89-01, in the Radiological Effluent Technical Specifications (RETS).] The occurrence of extended, uncontrolled radioactive releases to the environment is indicative of a degradation in these features and/or controls.

--------[Some sites may find it advantageous to address gaseous and liquid releases with separate EALs.]

The <u>threshold values that equate to a RETS</u>-multiple <u>of two hundred times the ODCM limits</u> are <u>isare</u> specified in AU1 <u>RU1</u> and AA1 <u>EAL RA1.2</u> only to distinguish between nonemergency conditions, and from each other. While <u>these this</u> multiples obviously corresponds

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

eal#: RA1.2

to an off-site dose or dose rate, the emphasis in classifying these this events is the degradation in the level of safety of the plant, not the magnitude of the associated dose or dose rate.

[To ensure a realistic near-linear escalation path, a value should be selected roughly half-way between the AU1 value and the value calculated for AS1 value. The value will be based on radiation monitor readings to exceed 200 times the Technical Specification limit and releases are not terminated within 15 minutes. The ODCM establishes a methodology for determining effluent radiation monitor setpoints. The ODCM specifies default source terms and, for gaseous releases, prescribes the use of pre-determined annual average meteorology in the most limiting downwind sector for showing compliance with the regulatory commitments. This EAL can be determined using this methodology if appropriate.]

[Releases should not be prorated or averaged. For example, a release exceeding 600x ODCM for 5 minutes does not meet the threshold.]

This EAL includes any release for which a radioactivity discharge permit was not prepared, or a release that exceeds the conditions (e.g., minimum dilution flow, maximum discharge flow, alarm setpoints, etc.) on the applicable permit.

## 

This EAL is intended for sites that have established effluent monitoring on non-routine release pathways for which a discharge permit would not normally be prepared <u>(Containment Fan Coil, SG Blowdown & Chemical Waste Basin) as well as planned batch releases for which a radioactivity discharge permit is prepared (Liquid Radwaste Disposal)</u>.

#### 

This EAL addresses radioactivity releases, that for whatever reason, cause effluent radiation monitor readings to exceed the threshold identified in the IC established by the radioactivity discharge permit. This value may be associated with a planned batch release, or a continuous release path.

[In either case, the value is established by the ODCM to warn of a release that is not in compliance with the RETS. Indexing the EAL to the ODCM setpoints in this manner insures that the EAL will never be less than the setpoint established by a specific discharge permit.]

## \_\_\_\_\_<u>EAL #3</u>

This EAL addresses uncontrolled releases that are detected by sample analyses, particularly on unmonitored pathways, e.g., spills of radioactive liquids into storm drains, heat exchanger leakage in river water systems, etc.

#### EALs #4 and #5

[As provided in the ODCM / RETS, prorated over 8766 hours, multiplied by 200, and rounded. (500 : 8766 × 200 = 11.4)].

EAL #1 and #2 directly correlate with the IC since annual average meteorology is required to be used in showing compliance with the ODCM and is used in calculating the alarm setpoints. EALs #4 and #5 are a function of actual meteorology, which will likely be different from the limiting annual average value. Thus, there will likely be a numerical inconsistency.

The underlying basis of this EAL involves the degradation in the level of safety of the plant implied by the uncontrolled release. Exceeding EAL #4 or #5 is an indication of an uncontrolled release.Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

With the exception of the R18 monitors, the "ALERT" column liquid release values in Table R-1 represent two hundred (200) times the High Alarm setpoints associated with the specified monitors. The High Alarm setpoints are obtained from channel calibrations procedures as listed in the reference section of this bases.

Instrumentation that may be used to assess this EAL is listed below:

• Containment Fan Coil Process 1(2)R13A/B (Upper Range is 1.00E+06 cpm)

Service water is used as the cooling medium for the containment fan coil units (CFCUs) and could be contaminated if the cooling coil leaks with containment pressure above Service Water pressure. Since the Service Water System discharges into Circ Water and then back to the river, the fan cooler units will be indirectly monitored for radioactivity. This is done through the use of two monitors for the five fan coolers. The two monitors sample two of the three Circ Water headers that contain Service Water used to cool the CFCUs just before it discharges back to the river. Alarms on these monitors would be indicative of a CFCU leak but could also be associated with other systems including from the pathways monitored by the R18s, R19s and the R37, which also discharge into Circ Water and are monitor along with any R18s, R19s or R37 monitor, then the source of the Rad effluent may not be a CFCU leak and further investigation would be warranted. However, exceeding the EAL threshold value for  $\geq 15$  minutes should result in an Alert classification even if the exact source remains questionable.

• Liquid Radwaste Disposal Process 1(2)R18 (Upper Range is 1.00E+06 cpm)

Since the ranges of the 1(2) R18s monitors do not support EAL threshold values of 200 times the high alarm value, no Alert threshold is provided on Table R-1. If the release

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)



pathway could not be isolated as expected, the EC should refer to EAL RA1.3 for Alert threshold values based on sample analysis.

This channel continuously monitors all Waste Disposal System liquid releases from the plant. Automatic valve closure action is initiated by this monitor when a high radiation level is indicated and alarmed in the Control Room. Liquid Radwaste discharges to Circ Water which then discharges to the Delaware River.

• Steam Generator Blowdown Process 1(2)R19A-D (Upper Range is 1.00E+06 cpm)

Each of these channels (four channels per unit) monitors the liquid phase of the steam generators for radioactivity, which would indicate a primary-to-secondary system leak. The four steam generator blowdown sample lines each have a radiation monitor. A high radiation alarm signal will close the No. 12 (22) steam generator blowdown tank inlet valves and the steam generator blowdown isolation valves on the affected steam generator.

• Non-Rad Liquid Waste 2R37 (Upper Range is 1.00E+06 cpm)

The non-radwaste basin provides a potential release path due to the fact that steam generator blowdown is directed to the basin during plant startup. This monitor provides for continuous monitoring of the discharge from the non-radwaste basin. Non-Rad Liquid Waste discharges to Circ Water which then discharges to the Delaware River.

Definitions:

**VALID**: An indication, report, or condition, is considered to be **VALID** when it is verified by (1) an instrument channel check, or (2) indications on related or redundant indicators, or (3) by direct observation by plant personnel, such that doubt related to the indicator's operability, the condition's existence, or the report's accuracy is removed. Implicit in this definition is the need for timely assessment.

## EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AA1 Example EAL #2
- 2. Salem ODCM Section 3.3.8 Radioactive Liquid Effluent Monitoring Instrumentation
- 3. Salem ODCM Figures 1-1 and 1-2, Liquid Release Flow paths for Unit 1 and Unit 2
- 4. UFSAR Section 11.4 Radiation Monitoring
- 5. PSBP 315733(4) Radiation Monitoring System Control Manual
- 6. S1(S2).IC-CC.RM-0097/98, Channel Cal for 1/2R13A/B
- 7. S1(S2).IC-CC.RM-0028, Channel Cal for 1/2R18
- 8. S1(S2).IC-CC.RM-0029/30/31/32, Channel Cal for 1/2R19A/B/C/D
- 9. S2.IC-CC.RM-0060, Channel Cal for 2R37

Salem

R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent
1 – Offsite Rad Conditions
Any release of gaseous or liquid radioactivity to the environment greater than 200 times the ODCM for 15 minutes or longer
RA1.3 – ALERT
All

## EAL:

Confirmed sample analyses for gaseous or liquid releases indicate concentrations or release rates > Table R-2 column "ALERT"

# <u>AND</u>

≥ 15 minutes have elapsed (Note 2)

Note 2: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the release duration has exceeded, or will likely exceed, the applicable time. In the absence of data to the contrary, assume that the release duration has exceeded the applicable time if an ongoing release is detected and the release start time is unknown.

···	Table R-2 Effluent Sample Classification Thresholds					
	Release Point	Sample	ALERT	UE		
5		NG	6.40E-01 µCi/cc	6.40E-03 µCi/cc		
aseou	Plant Vent	I-131	5.60E-05 µCi/cc	5.60E-07 μCi/cc		
Ö	Unmonitored	Isotopic	200 x ODCM 3/4.11.2	2 x ODCM 3/4.11.2		
	Containment Fan Coil	Isotopic	200 x ODCM 3/4.11.1	2 x ODCM 3/4.11.1		
id	Liquid Radwaste Disposal	Isotopic	200 x ODCM 3/4.11.1	2 x ODCM 3/4.11.1		
Liqu	Steam Generator Blowdown	Isotopic	200 x ODCM 3/4.11.1	2 x ODCM 3/4.11.1		
	Chemical Waste Basin	Isotopic	200 x ODCM 3/4.11.1	2 x ODCM 3/4.11.1		
	Unmonitored	Isotopic	200 x ODCM 3/4.11.1	2 x ODCM 3/4.11.1		

Salem

.



#### Basis:

[Refer to Appendix A for a detailed basis of the radiological effluent IC/EALs.]

------The Emergency Coordinator should not wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

This ICEAL addresses an actual or substantial potential decrease in the level of safety of the plant as indicated by a radiological release that exceeds regulatory commitments for an extended period of time.

Nuclear power plants incorporate features intended to control the release of radioactive effluents to the environment. Further, there are administrative controls established to prevent unintentional releases, or control and monitor intentional releases. [*These controls are located in the Off-site Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM), and for plants that have not implemented Generic Letter 89-01, in the Radiological Effluent Technical Specifications (RETS).]* The occurrence of extended, uncontrolled radioactive releases to the environment is indicative of a degradation in these features and/or controls.

--------[Some sites may find it advantageous to address gaseous and liquid releases with separate EALs.]

The RETS-multiple of two hundred times the ODCM limits are is specified in AU1 and AA1EAL RU1.3 only to distinguish between non-emergency conditions, and from each other. While these this multiples obviously corresponds to an off-site dose or dose rate, the emphasis in classifying these this events is the degradation in the level of safety of the plant, not the magnitude of the associated dose or dose rate.

[To ensure a realistic near-linear escalation path, a value should be selected roughly half-way between the AU1 value and the value calculated for AS1 value. The value will be based on radiation monitor readings to exceed 200 times the Technical Specification limit and releases are not terminated within 15 minutes. The ODCM establishes a methodology for determining effluent radiation monitor setpoints. The ODCM specifies default source terms and, for gaseous releases, prescribes the use of pre-determined annual average meteorology in the most limiting downwind sector for showing compliance with the regulatory commitments. This EAL can be determined using this methodology if appropriate.]

This EAL includes any release for which a radioactivity discharge permit was not prepared, or a release that exceeds the conditions (e.g., minimum dilution flow, maximum discharge flow, alarm setpoints, etc.) on the applicable permit.

-----EAL-#1

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RA1.3

[In either case, the value is established by the ODCM to warn of a release that is not in compliance with the RETS. Indexing the EAL to the ODCM setpoints in this manner insures that the EAL will never be less than the setpoint established by a specific discharge permit.]

—<u>EAL #3</u>

This EAL addresses uncontrolled releases that are detected by sample analyses, particularly on unmonitored pathways, e.g., spills of radioactive liquids into storm drains, heat exchanger leakage in river water systems, etc.

#### \_\_\_\_\_<u>EALs #4 and #5</u>

-------The 10.0 mR/hr value in EAL #4 , and the site specific value for EAL #5, is based on a release rate not exceeding 500 mrem per year.

— [As provided in the ODCM / RETS, prorated over 8766 hours, multiplied by 200, and rounded. (500 ÷ 8766 × 200 = 11.4)].

EAL #1 and #2 directly correlate with the IC since annual average meteorology is required to be used in showing compliance with the ODCM and is used in calculating the alarm setpoints. EALs #4 and #5 are a function of actual meteorology, which will likely be different from the limiting annual average value. Thus, there will likely be a numerical inconsistency.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Confirmed sample analyses in excess of two hundred times the site Offsite Dose Calculation Manual Section 3/4.11.1 or 3/4.11.2 limits that continue for 15 minutes or longer represent an uncontrolled situation and hence, a potential degradation in the level of safety. This event escalates from the UNUSUAL EVENT by raising the magnitude of the release by a factor of 100 over the UNUSUAL EVENT level (i.e., 200 times ODCM).

Table R-2 provides calculated radiological release noble gas and iodine sample concentrations that equate to a release that is 200 times the ODCM limit (Section 3/4.11.2) of 500 mRem/year as well as specifying liquid release effluent sample streams 200 times the ODCM limits (Section 3.11.1).

Each Salem unit has a single gaseous release point (Plant Vent) for which a sample concentration threshold has been calculated.

The required release duration was reduced to 15 minutes in recognition of the raised severity.

eal#: RA1.3

# EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AA1 Example EAL #3
- 2. Off-Site Dose Calculation Manual, Section 3/4.11.1 Liquid Effluents
- 3. Off-Site Dose Calculation Manual, Section 3/4.11.2 Gaseous Effluents
- 4. Salem Radiological EAL Setpoint Calculation Document NEI 99-01 Rev. 5 EALs (Attachment 6)

This page intentionally blank

.

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RA1.3

EAL Category: R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent	
--	--

- EAL Subcategory: 1 Offsite Rad Conditions
- Initiating Condition: Off-site dose resulting from an actual or IMMINENT release of gaseous radioactivity greater than 100 mRem TEDE or 500 mRem Thyroid CDE for the actual or projected duration of the release

Mode Applicability: All

## EAL# & Classification Level: RS1.1 – SITE AREA EMERGENCY

#### EAL:

VALID gaseous monitor reading > Table R-1 column "SAE"

# <u>AND</u>

Dose assessment results are NOT available

# <u>AND</u>

≥ 15 minutes have elapsed (Note 1)

Note 1: If dose assessment results are available, declaration should be based on dose assessment (EAL RS1.2) instead of gaseous monitor values. Do NOT delay declaration awaiting dose assessment results.

The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

		Table	R-1 Effluent Monito	r Classification Thre	sholds*	
	Release Point	Monitor	GE	SAE	ALERT	UE
Gaseous	Plant Vent Effluent Noble Gas Unit 1 + Unit 2	1R41D + 2R41D <u>OR</u> SPDS combined release rate	8.48E+09 µCi/sec	8.48E+08 µCi/sec	4.84E+07 µCi/sec	4.84E+05 μCi/sec
Liquid	Containment Fan Coil Process	1(2)R13A/B			1.64E+05 cpm	1.64E+03 cpm
	Liquid Radwaste	1R18			N/A	U1≈ 5.50E+05 cpm
	Disposal Process	2R18			See EAL RA1.3	U2= 9.90E+05 cpm
	Steam Generator	1R19A-D			U1= 6.40E+05 cpm	U1≈ 6.40E+03 cpm
	Blowdown Process	2R19A-D			U2= 8.30E+05 cpm	U2= 8.30E+03 cpm
	Non-Rad Liquid Waste	2R37			3.60E+05 cpm	3.60E+03 cpm

\* For high radiation conditions on Letdown Line Monitor 1R31A (2R31), refer to EAL SU7.1

#### Basis:

[Refer to Appendix A for a detailed basis of the radiological effluent IC/EALs.]

This IC <u>EAL</u> addresses radioactivity releases that result in doses at or beyond the site boundary that exceed 10% of the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public.

[While these failures are addressed by other ICsEALs, this IC-EAL provides appropriate diversity and addresses events which may not be able to be classified on the basis of plant status alone. It is important to note that for the more severe accidents the release may be unmonitored or there may be large uncertainties associated with the source term and/or meteorology.]

[The EPA PAGs are expressed in terms of the sum of the effective dose equivalent (EDE) and the committed effective dose equivalent (CEDE), or as the thyroid committed dose equivalent (CDE). For the purpose of these IC/EALs, the dose quantity total effective dose equivalent (TEDE), as defined in 10 CFR 20, is used in lieu of "...sum of EDE and CEDE....." The EPA PAG guidance provides for the use adult thyroid dose conversion factors. However, some states have decided to calculate child thyroid CDE. Utility IC/EALs need to be consistent with those of the states involved in the facility's emergency planning zone.]

-{The TEDE dose which forms the bases for the specified effluent monitor threshold is set at 10% of the EPA PAG, while the 500 mrem thyroid CDE was established in consideration of the 1:5 ratio of the EPA PAG for TEDE and thyroid CDE.}

Salem

#### 

The site specific Table R-1 monitor list in EAL #1 should includes effluent monitors on all potential release pathways.

[The monitor reading EALs should be determined using a dose assessment method that back calculates from the dose values specified in the IC. Since doses are generally not monitored in real-time, it is suggested that a release duration of one hour be assumed, and that the EALs be based on a site specific boundary (or beyond) dose of 100 mrem whole body or 500 mrem thyroid in one hour, whichever is more limiting (as was done for EALs #2 and #4). If individual site analyses indicate a longer or shorter duration for the period in which the substantial portion of the activity is released, the longer duration should be used.]

[The meteorology used should be the same as those used for determining AU1 and AA1 monitor reading EALs. The same source term (noble gases, particulates, and halogens) may also be used as long as it maintains a realistic and near linear escalation between the EALs for the four classifications. If proper escalations do not result from the use of the same source term, if the calculated values are unrealistically high, or if correlation between the values and dose assessment values does not exist, then consider using an accident source term for AS1 and AG1 calculations.]

Since dose assessment is based on actual meteorology, whereas the monitor reading EAL is not, the results from these assessments may indicate that the classification is not warranted, or may indicate that a higher classification is warranted. For this reason, emergency implementing procedures should-call for the timely performance of dose assessments using actual meteorology and release information. If the results of these dose assessments are available when the classification is made (e.g., initiated at a lower classification level), the dose assessment results override the monitor reading EAL.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This EAL address gaseous radioactivity releases, that for whatever reason, cause effluent radiation monitor readings corresponding to site boundary doses that exceed 100 mRem TEDE.

The column "SAE" gaseous effluent release values in Table R-1 (Unit 1 + Unit 2) correspond to calculated doses of 10% of the EPA Protective Action Guidelines (TEDE).

The plant vent monitors (R41) sample and detect noble gases and collect samples of particulates and iodine discharge through the plant vent. Channel D (R41D) provides the gaseous effluent release rate ( $\mu$ Ci/sec) by combining (product of) the on-range R41A through R41C with plant vent flow (cc/sec).

If dose assessment results are available, EAL RS1.2 would dictate the need for a Site Area Emergency classification due to abnormal radiation effluents.

Definitions:

**IMMINENT**: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

**VALID**: An indication, report, or condition, is considered to be **VALID** when it is verified by (1) an instrument channel check, or (2) indications on related or redundant indicators, or (3) by direct observation by plant personnel, such that doubt related to the indicator's operability, the condition's existence, or the report's accuracy is removed. Implicit in this definition is the need for timely assessment.

## EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AS1 Example EAL #1
- 2. Salem Radiological EAL Setpoint Calculation Document NEI 99-01 Rev. 5 EALs (Attachment 6)
- 3. UFSAR Section 11.4 Radiological Monitoring
- 4. PSBP 315733(4) Radiation Monitoring System Control Manual

. .

# This page intentionally blank

.

Salem

.

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: **RS1.1** 

EAL:	
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	RS1.2 – SITE AREA EMERGENCY
Initiating Condition:	Off-site dose resulting from an actual or IMMINENT release of gaseous radioactivity greater than 100 mRem TEDE or 500 mRem Thyroid CDE for the actual or projected duration of the release
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Offsite Rad Conditions
EAL Category:	R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent

Dose assessment using actual meteorology indicates TEDE 4-day dose > 4.0E+02 mRem or Thyroid CDE dose > 2.0E+03 mRem at or beyond the MINIMUM EXCLUSION AREA (MEA)

#### Basis:

[Refer to Appendix A for a detailed basis of the radiological effluent IC/EALs.]

This IC-EAL addresses radioactivity releases that result in doses at or beyond the <u>MINIMUM</u> <u>EXCLUSION AREA (MEA)</u>site boundary that exceed 10% of the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public.

[While these failures are addressed by other ICsEALs, this IC-EAL provides appropriate diversity and addresses events which may not be able to be classified on the basis of plant status alone. It is important to note that for the more severe accidents the release may be unmonitored or there may be large uncertainties associated with the source term and/or meteorology.]

[The EPA PAGs are expressed in terms of the sum of the effective dose equivalent (EDE) and the committed effective dose equivalent (CEDE), or as the thyroid committed dose equivalent (CDE). For the purpose of these IC/EALs, the dose quantity total effective dose equivalent (TEDE), as defined in 10 CFR 20, is used in lieu of "...sum of EDE and CEDE...." The EPA PAG guidance provides for the use adult thyroid dose conversion factors. However, some states have decided to calculate child thyroid CDE. Utility IC/EALs need to be consistent with those of the states involved in the facility's emergency planning zone.]

-[The TEDE dose is set at 10% of the EPA PAG, while the 500 mrem thyroid CDE was established in consideration of the 1:5 ratio of the EPA PAG for TEDE and thyroid CDE.]

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RS1.2

## SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

#### 

The site specific monitor list in EAL #1 should include effluent monitors on all potential release pathways.

[The monitor reading EALs should be determined using a dose assessment method that back calculates from the dose values specified in the IC. Since doses are generally not monitored in real-time, it is suggested that a release duration of one hour be assumed, and that the EALs be based on a site specific boundary (or beyond) dose of 100 mrem whole body or 500 mrem thyroid in one hour, whichever is more limiting (as was done for EALs #2 and #4). If individual site analyses indicate a longer or shorter duration for the period in which the substantial portion of the activity is released, the longer duration should be used.]

[The meteorology used should be the same as those used for determining AU1 and AA1 monitor reading EALs. The same source term (noble gases, particulates, and halogens) may also be used as long as it maintains a realistic and near linear escalation between the EALs for the four classifications. If proper escalations do not result from the use of the same source term, if the calculated values are unrealistically high, or if correlation between the values and dose assessment values does not exist, then consider using an accident source term for AS1 and AG1 calculations.]

Since dose assessment is based on actual meteorology, whereas the monitor reading EAL is not, the results from these assessments may indicate that the classification is not warranted, or may indicate that a higher classification is warranted. For this reason, emergency implementing procedures should-call for the timely performance of dose assessments using actual meteorology and release information. If the results of these dose assessments are available when the classification is made (e.g., initiated at a lower classification level), the dose assessment results override the monitor reading EAL.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The dose assessment output on the Station Status Checklist (SSCL) is reported at varying distances from the plant as a TEDE 4-Day dose. This TEDE 4-day dose assumes a 4 hr release duration. To obtain the approximate dose for a projected release condition of 1 hour, the TEDE 4-day dose value would need to be divided by 4.

A TEDE 4-Day Dose > 4.0E+02 mRem correspond directly to a TEDE dose rate value of 100 mRem/hr and exceeds 10% of the EPA Protective Actions Guides (PAGs). The Thyroid-CDE Dose > 2.0E+03 mRem correspond directly to an CDE dose rate value of 500 mRem/hr and exceeds 10% of the EPA Protective Actions Guides (PAGs) which was established in consideration of the 1:5 ratio of the EPA PAG for TEDE and thyroid CDE.

For the purposes of this EAL, the Site Boundary for SGS is the MINIMUM EXCLUSION AREA.

Definitions:

**IMMINENT:** Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

MINIMUM EXCLUSION AREA (MEA): The closest location just beyond the OWNER CONTROLLED AREA where a member of the general public could gain access. For Salem the MEA is 0.79 miles.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AS1 Example EAL #2
- 2. Salem Radiological EAL Setpoint Calculation Document NEI 99-01 Rev. 5 EALs (Attachment 6)
- 3. UFSAR 2.1.2.2, Boundaries for Establishing Effluent Release Limits

EAL:	
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	RS1.3 – SITE AREA EMERGENCY
Initiating Condition:	Off-site dose resulting from an actual or <b>IMMINENT</b> release of gaseous radioactivity greater than 100 mRem TEDE or 500 mRem Thyroid CDE for the actual or projected duration of the release
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Offsite Rad Conditions
EAL Category:	R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent

Field survey results indicate closed window dose rates > 100 mRem/hr expected to continue for  $\ge$  1 hr at or beyond the **PROTECTED AREA BOUNDARY** 

# 

Analyses of field survey samples indicate I-131 concentration > 3.85E-07 μCi/cc at or beyond the PROTECTED AREA BOUNDARY

# Basis:

[Refer to Appendix A for a detailed basis of the radiological effluent IC/EALs.]

This IC-EAL addresses radioactivity releases that result in doses at or beyond the **PROTECTED AREA BOUNDARY** site boundary that exceed 10% of the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public.

[While these failures are addressed by other ICsEALs, this IC-EAL provides appropriate diversity and addresses events which may not be able to be classified on the basis of plant status alone. It is important to note that for the more severe accidents the release may be unmonitored or there may be large uncertainties associated with the source term and/or meteorology.]

[The EPA PAGs are expressed in terms of the sum of the effective dose equivalent (EDE) and the committed effective dose equivalent (CEDE), or as the thyroid committed dose equivalent (CDE). For the purpose of these IC/EALs, the dose quantity total effective dose equivalent (TEDE), as defined in 10 CFR 20, is used in lieu of "...sum of EDE and CEDE...." The EPA PAG guidance provides for the use adult thyroid dose conversion factors. However, some states have decided to calculate child thyroid CDE. Utility IC/EALs need to be consistent with those of the states involved in the facility's emergency planning zone.]

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

eal#: RS1.3

-{The TEDE dose is set at 10% of the EPA PAG, while the 500 mrem thyroid CDE was established in consideration of the 1:5 ratio of the EPA PAG for TEDE and thyroid CDE.}

#### 

The site specific monitor list in EAL #1 should include effluent monitors on all potential release pathways.

[The monitor reading EALs should be determined using a dose assessment method that back calculates from the dose values specified in the IC. Since doses are generally not monitored in real-time, it is suggested that a release duration of one hour be assumed, and that the EALs be based on a site specific boundary (or beyond) dose of 100 mrem whole body or 500 mrem thyroid in one hour, whichever is more limiting (as was done for EALs #2 and #4). If individual site analyses indicate a longer or shorter duration for the period in which the substantial portion of the activity is released, the longer duration should be used.]

[The meteorology used should be the same as those used for determining AU1 and AA1 monitor reading EALs. The same source term (noble gases, particulates, and halogens) may also be used as long as it maintains a realistic and near linear escalation between the EALs for the four classifications. If proper escalations do not result from the use of the same source term, if the calculated values are unrealistically high, or if correlation between the values and dose assessment values does not exist, then consider using an accident source term for AS1 and AG1-calculations.]

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This EAL addresses a radioactivity release field survey I-131 sample concentration or count rate that would result in a Thyroid CDE dose of greater than 500 mRem for one hour of inhalation at or beyond the **PROTECTED AREA BOUNDARY**. This value exceeds 10% of the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public.

The lodine-131 field survey sample concentration and count rate threshold is based on I-131 dose conversion factors (DCFs) from EPA-400. The thresholds are based on a Thyroid-CDE Dose Rate of 500 mRem/hr for I-131.

For the purposes of this EAL, the **PROTECTED AREA BOUNDARY** is used as it is an easily determined location to obtain a field survey dose rate reading or to obtain a field sample.

## Definitions:

**IMMINENT**: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER**-**CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

## EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AS1 Example EAL #4
- 2. Off-Site Dose Calculation Manual, Figure 5.1-3, Area Plot Plan of Site
- 3. Salem Radiological EAL Setpoint Calculation Document NEI 99-01 Rev. 5 EALs (Attachment 6)

EAL Category:	R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Offsite Rad Conditions
Initiating Condition:	Off-site dose resulting from an actual or IMMINENT release of gaseous radioactivity greater than 1000 mRem TEDE or 5000 mRem Thyroid CDE for the actual or projected duration of the release
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	RG1.1 – GENERAL EMERGENCY
EAL:	
VALID gaseous monitor reading > Table R-1 column "GE"	
AND	
Dose assessment results are NOT available	
AND	
≥ 15 minutes have elapsed (Note 1)	
Note 1: If dose assessment results are available, declaration should be based on dose assessment (EAL RG1.2) instead of gaseous monitor values. Do NOT delay declaration awaiting dose assessment results.	
The Emergency elapsed, but sho condition will like	Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has uld declare the event as soon as it is determined that the ly exceed the applicable time.

į
	Table R-1 Effluent Monitor Classification Thresholds*						
	Release Point	Monitor	GE	SAE	ALERT	UE	
Gaseous	Plant Vent Effluent Noble Gas Unit 1 + Unit 2	1R41D + 2R41D <u>OR</u> SPDS combined release rate	8.48E+09 µCi/sec	8.48E+08 µCi/sec	4.84E+07 µCi/sec	4.84E+05 µCi/sec	
Liquid	Containment Fan Coil Process	1(2)R13A/B			1.64E+05 cpm	1.64E+03 cpm	
	Liquid Radwaste	1R18				N/A	U1= 5.50E+05 cpm
	Disposal Process	2R18			See EAL RA1.3	U2= 9.90E+05 cpm	
	Steam Generator	1R19A-D			U1= 6.40E+05 cpm	U1= 6.40E+03 cpm	
	Blowdown Process	2R19A-D			U2= 8.30E+05 cpm	U2= 8.30E+03 cpm	
	Non-Rad Liquid Waste	2R37			3.60E+05 cpm	3.60E+03 cpm	

\* For high radiation conditions on Letdown Line Monitor 1R31A (2R31), refer to EAL SU7.1

#### Basis:

#### [Refer to Appendix A for a detailed basis of the radiological effluent IC/EALs.].

This IC-<u>EAL</u> addresses radioactivity releases that result in doses at or beyond the site boundary that exceed the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Public protective actions will be necessary. Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public and likely involve fuel damage.

[While these failures are addressed by other ICsEALs, this IC-EAL provides appropriate diversity and addresses events which may not be able to be classified on the basis of plant status alone. It is important to note that for the more severe accidents the release may be unmonitored or there may be large uncertainties associated with the source term and/or meteorology.]

[The EPA PAGs are expressed in terms of the sum of the effective dose equivalent (EDE) and the committed effective dose equivalent (CEDE), or as the thyroid committed dose equivalent (CDE). For the purpose of these IC/EALs, the dose quantity total effective dose equivalent (TEDE), as defined in 10 CFR 20, is used in lieu of "...sum of EDE and CEDE....." The EPA PAG guidance provides for the use adult thyroid dose conversion factors. However, some states have decided to calculate child thyroid CDE. Utility IC/EALs need to be consistent with those of the states involved in the facilities emergency planning zone.]

[The TEDE dose <u>which forms the bases for the specified effluent monitor threshold is set at</u> the EPA PAG, while the 5000 mrem thyroid CDE was established in consideration of the 1:5 ratio of the EPA PAG for TEDE and thyroid CDE.]

Salem

#### 

The site specific Table R-1 monitor list in EAL #1 should includes effluent monitors on all potential release pathways.

[The monitor reading EALs should be determined using a dose assessment method that back calculates from the dose values specified in the IC. Since doses are generally not monitored in real-time, it is suggested that a release duration of one hour be assumed, and that the EALs be based on a site specific boundary (or beyond) dose of 1000 mrem whole body or 5000 mrem thyroid in one hour, whichever is more limiting (as was done for EALs #2 and #4). If individual site analyses indicate a longer or shorter duration for the period in which the substantial portion of the activity is released, the longer duration should be used.]

[The meteorology used should be the same as those used for determining AU1 and AA1 monitor reading EALs. The same source term (noble gases, particulates, and halogens) may also be used as long as it maintains a realistic and near linear escalation between the EALs for the four classifications. If proper escalations do not result from the use of the same source term, if the calculated values are unrealistically high, or if correlation between the values and dose assessment values does not exist, then consider using an accident source term for AS1 and AG1 calculations.]

Since dose assessment is based on actual meteorology, whereas the monitor reading EAL is not, the results from these assessments may indicate that the classification is not warranted, or may indicate that a higher classification is warranted. For this reason, emergency implementing procedures should call for the timely performance of dose assessments using actual meteorology and release information. If the results of these dose assessments are available when the classification is made (e.g., initiated at a lower classification level), the dose assessment results override the monitor reading EAL.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This EAL address gaseous radioactivity releases, that for whatever reason, cause effluent radiation monitor readings corresponding to site boundary doses that exceed 1000 mRem TEDE.

The column GE gaseous effluent release values in Table R-1 (Unit 1 + Unit 2) correspond to calculated doses of 100% of the EPA Protective Action Guidelines (TEDE).

The plant vent monitors (R41) sample and detect noble gases and collect samples of particulates and iodine discharge through the plant vent. Channel D (R41D) provides the gaseous effluent release rate ( $\mu$ Ci/sec) by combining (product of) the on-range R41A through R41C with plant vent flow (cc/sec).

If dose assessment results are available, EAL RG1.2 would dictate the need for a General Emergency classification due to abnormal radiation effluents.

Definitions:

**IMMINENT**: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

**VALID**: An indication, report, or condition, is considered to be **VALID** when it is verified by (1) an instrument channel check, or (2) indications on related or redundant indicators, or (3) by direct observation by plant personnel, such that doubt related to the indicator's operability, the condition's existence, or the report's accuracy is removed. Implicit in this definition is the need for timely assessment.

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AG1 Example EAL #1
- 2. Salem Radiological EAL Setpoint Calculation Document NEI 99-01 Rev. 5 EALs (Attachment 6)
- 3. UFSAR Section 11.4 Radiological Monitoring
- 4. PSBP 315733(4) Radiation Monitoring System Control Manual

ţ.

.

. .

.

This page intentionally blank

. .

.

> > Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RG1.1

EAL Category:	R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Offsite Rad Conditions
Initiating Condition:	Off-site dose resulting from an actual or <b>IMMINENT</b> release of gaseous radioactivity greater than 1000 mRem TEDE or 5000 mRem Thyroid CDE for the actual or projected duration of the release
EAL# & Classification Level:	RG1.2 – GENERAL EMERGENCY
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL:	
Dose assessment using actual m	eteorology indicates TEDE 4-day dose > 4 0E+03 mPom

Dose assessment using actual meteorology indicates TEDE 4-day dose > 4.0E+03 mRem or Thyroid CDE dose > 2.0E+04 mRem at or beyond the MINIMUM EXCLUSION AREA (MEA)

#### Basis:

------ [Refer to Appendix A for a detailed basis of the radiological effluent IC/EALs.].

This IC <u>EAL</u> addresses radioactivity releases that result in doses at or beyond the <u>MINIMUM</u> <u>EXCLUSION AREA (MEA)</u> site boundary that exceed the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Public protective actions will be necessary. Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public and likely involve fuel damage.

[While these failures are addressed by other ICsEALs, this IC-EAL provides appropriate diversity and addresses events which may not be able to be classified on the basis of plant status alone. It is important to note that for the more severe accidents the release may be unmonitored or there may be large uncertainties associated with the source term and/or meteorology.]

[The EPA PAGs are expressed in terms of the sum of the effective dose equivalent (EDE) and the committed effective dose equivalent (CEDE), or as the thyroid committed dose equivalent (CDE). For the purpose of these IC/EALs, the dose quantity total effective dose equivalent (TEDE), as defined in 10 CFR 20, is used in lieu of "...sum of EDE and CEDE...." The EPA PAG guidance provides for the use adult thyroid dose conversion factors. However, some states have decided to calculate child thyroid CDE. Utility IC/EALs need to be consistent with those of the states involved in the facilities emergency planning zone.]

[The TEDE dose is set at the EPA PAG, while the 5000 mrem thyroid CDE was established in consideration of the 1:5 ratio of the EPA PAG for TEDE and thyroid CDE.]

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

EAL#: RG1.2

#### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

#### 

[The monitor reading EALs should be determined using a dose assessment method that back calculates from the dose values specified in the IC. Since doses are generally not monitored in real-time, it is suggested that a release duration of one hour be assumed, and that the EALs be based on a site specific boundary (or beyond) dose of 1000 mrem whole body or 5000 mrem thyroid in one hour, whichever is more limiting (as was done for EALs #2 and #4). If individual site analyses indicate a longer or shorter duration for the period in which the substantial portion of the activity is released, the longer duration should be used.]

[The meteorology used should be the same as those used for determining AU1 and AA1 monitor reading EALs. The same source term (noble gases, particulates, and halogens) may also be used as long as it maintains a realistic and near linear escalation between the EALs for the four classifications. If proper escalations do not result from the use of the same source term, if the calculated values are unrealistically high, or if correlation between the values and dose assessment values does not exist, then consider using an accident source term for AS1 and AG1 calculations.]

Since dose assessment is based on actual meteorology, whereas the monitor reading EAL is not, the results from these assessments may indicate that the classification is not warranted, or may indicate that a higher classification is warranted. For this reason, emergency implementing procedures should call for the timely performance of dose assessments using actual meteorology and release information. If the results of these dose assessments are available when the classification is made (e.g., initiated at a lower classification level), the dose assessment results override the monitor reading EAL.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The dose assessment output on the Station Status Checklist (SSCL) is reported at varying distances from the plant as a TEDE 4-Day dose. This TEDE 4-day dose assumes a 4 hr release duration. To obtain the approximate dose for a projected release condition of 1 hour, the TEDE 4-day dose value would need to be divided by 4.

A TEDE 4-Day Dose > 4.0E+03 mRem correspond directly to a TEDE dose rate value of 1000 mRem/hr and exceeds the EPA Protective Actions Guides (PAGs). The Thyroid-CDE Dose > 2.0E+04 mRem correspond directly to an CDE dose rate value of 5000 mRem/hr and exceeds the EPA Protective Actions Guides (PAGs) which was established in consideration of the 1:5 ratio of the EPA PAG for TEDE and thyroid CDE.

For the purposes of this EAL, the Site Boundary for SGS is the **MINIMUM EXCLUSION AREA** (**MEA**) distance.

Definitions:

**IMMINENT**: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

MINIMUM EXCLUSION AREA (MEA): The closest location just beyond the OWNER CONTROLLED AREA where a member of the general public could gain access. For Salem the MEA is 0.79 miles.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AG1 Example EAL #2
- Salem Radiological EAL Setpoint Calculation Document NEI 99-01 Rev. 5 EALs (Attachment 6)
- 3. UFSAR 2.1.2.2, Boundaries for Establishing Effluent Release Limits

ł

ł

EAL Category:	R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Offsite Rad Conditions
Initiating Condition:	Off-site dose resulting from an actual or IMMINENT release of gaseous radioactivity greater than 1000 mRem TEDE or 5000 mRem Thyroid CDE for the actual or projected duration of the release
EAL# & Classification Level:	RG1.3 – GENERAL AREA EMERGENCY
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Field survey results indicate class	ad window dosp rates > 1000 mRom/br expected to

Field survey results indicate closed window dose rates > 1000 mRem/hr expected to continue for  $\ge$  1 hr at or beyond the PROTECTED AREA BOUNDARY

#### 

Analyses of field survey samples indicate I-131 concentration > 3.85E-06 μCi/cc at or beyond the PROTECTED AREA BOUNDARY

#### Basis:

[Refer to Appendix A for a detailed basis of the radiological effluent IC/EALs.].

This IC <u>EAL</u> addresses radioactivity releases that result in doses at or beyond the **PROTECTED AREA BOUNDARY** site boundary that exceed the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Public protective actions will be necessary. Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public and likely involve fuel damage.

[While these failures are addressed by other ICsEALs, this IC EAL provides appropriate diversity and addresses events which may not be able to be classified on the basis of plant status alone. It is important to note that for the more severe accidents the release may be unmonitored or there may be large uncertainties associated with the source term and/or meteorology.]

some states have decided to calculate child thyroid CDE. Utility IC/EALs need to be consistent with those of the states involved in the facilities emergency planning zone.]

[The TEDE dose is set at the EPA PAG, while the 5000 mrem thyroid CDE was established in consideration of the 1:5 ratio of the EPA PAG for TEDE and thyroid CDE.]

#### 

[The monitor reading EALs should be determined using a dose assessment method that back calculates from the dose values specified in the IC. Since doses are generally not monitored in real-time, it is suggested that a release duration of one hour be assumed, and that the EALs be based on a site specific boundary (or beyond) dose of 1000 mrem whole body or 5000 mrem thyroid in one hour, whichever is more limiting (as was done for EALs #2 and #4). If individual site analyses indicate a longer or shorter duration for the period in which the substantial portion of the activity is released, the longer duration should be used.]

[The meteorology used should be the same as those used for determining AU1 and AA1 monitor reading EALs. The same source term (noble gases, particulates, and halogens) may also be used as long as it maintains a realistic and near linear escalation between the EALs for the four classifications. If proper escalations do not result from the use of the same source term, if the calculated values are unrealistically high, or if correlation between the values and dose assessment values does not exist, then consider using an accident source term for AS1 and AG1 calculations.]

Since dose assessment is based on actual meteorology, whereas the monitor reading EAL is not, the results from these assessments may indicate that the classification is not warranted, or may indicate that a higher classification is warranted. For this reason, emergency implementing procedures should call for the timely performance of dose assessments using actual meteorology and release information. If the results of these dose assessments are available when the classification is made (e.g., initiated at a lower classification level), the dose assessment results override the monitor reading EAL.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This EAL addresses a radioactivity release field survey I-131 sample concentration or count rate that would result in a Thyroid CDE dose of greater than 5000 mRem for one hour of inhalation at or beyond the **PROTECTED AREA BOUNDARY**. This value exceeds the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public.

The lodine-131 field survey sample concentration and count rate threshold is based on I-131 dose conversion factors (DCFs) from EPA-400. The thresholds are based on a Thyroid-CDE Dose Rate of 5000 mRem/hr for I-131.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

EAL#: RG1.3

For the purposes of this EAL, the **PROTECTED AREA BOUNDARY** is used as it is an easily determined location to obtain a field survey dose rate reading or to obtain a field sample.

Definitions:

**IMMINENT**: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER**-**CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AG1 Example EAL #4

- 2. Off-Site Dose Calculation Manual, Figure 5.1-3, Area Plot Plan of Site
- 3. Salem Radiological EAL Setpoint Calculation Document NEI 99-01 Rev. 5 EALs (Attachment 6)

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RG1.3

. 1 12

EAL Subcategory: 2 – Onsite Rad Conditions/Fuel Pool Events

Initiating Condition: UNPLANNED rise in plant radiation levels

Mode Applicability: All

EAL# & Classification Level: RU2.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT

EAL:

**UNPLANNED** water level drop in the refueling cavity, refueling canal or spent fuel pool (SFP) as indicated by <u>ANY</u> of the following:

- Confirmed SFP low level alarm (OHA-C35 SFP LVL LO)
- RVLIS Refueling Mode
- Visual observation (local or remote)

#### <u>AND</u>

**VALID** area radiation monitor reading rise on <u>ANY</u> of the following:

- 1(2)R5 Fuel Handling Bldg
- 1(2)R9 Fuel Storage Area
- 1(2)R32A Fuel Handling Crane Fuel Handling Bldg (local monitor)
- 1(2)R2 Containment General Area 130ft elevation
- Temporary ARM

#### Basis:

This IC-EAL addresses increased radiation levels as a result of water level decreases above irradiated fuel or events that have resulted, or may result, in unplanned increases in radiation dose rates within plant buildings. These radiation increases represent a loss of control over radioactive material and represent a potential degradation in the level of safety of the plant.

#### 

SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

threshold may need to be based on indications of water makeup rate or decrease in water storage tank level.]

[In light of Reactor Cavity Seal failure incidents at two-different PWRs and loss of water in the Spent Fuel Pit/Fuel Transfer Canal at a BWR, explicit coverage of these types of events via threshold #1 is appropriate given their potential for increased doses to plant staff.]

The refueling pathway is <u>the a site specific</u> combination of cavities, <u>tubes</u>, <u>canals</u> and pools<u>in</u> <u>which spent fuel may be located</u>. While a radiation monitor could detect an increase in dose rate due to a drop in the water level, it might not be a reliable indication of whether or not the fuel is covered.

[For example, an refueling bridge ARM reading may increase due to planned evolutions such as head lift, or even a fuel assembly being raised in the manipulator mast. Also, a monitor could in fact be properly responding to a known event involving transfer or relocation of a source, stored in or near the fuel pool or responding to a planned evolution such as removal of the reactor head. Generally, increased radiation monitor indications will need to combined with another indicator (or personnel report) of water loss.]

[Application of this EAL requires understanding of the actual radiological conditions present in the vicinity of the monitor. Information Notice No. 90-08, "KR-85 Hazards from Decayed Fuel" should be considered in establishing radiation monitor EALs.]

For refueling events where the water level drops below the <u>RPV\_Reactor Vessel</u> flange, classification would be via <u>EAL\_CU2CU3.1</u>. This event escalates to an ALERT per <u>AA2\_EAL</u> <u>RA2.1</u> if irradiated fuel outside the reactor vessel is uncovered. For events involving irradiated fuel in the reactor vessel, escalation would be via the Fission Product Barrier Table for events in operating modes 1-4.

<u>EAL #2</u>

This EAL addresses increases in plant radiation levels that represent a loss of control of radioactive material resulting in a potential degradation in the level of safety of the plant.

This EAL excludes radiation level increases that result from planned activities such as use of radiographic sources and movement of radioactive waste materials. A specific list of ARMs is not required as it would restrict the applicability of the Threshold. The intent is to identify loss of control of radioactive material in any monitored area.

Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The Spent Fuel Pool (SFP) low level alarm actuates at 128' 2" from 1(2)LC650.

During refueling operations the reactor vessel and refueling cavity are flooded. During fuel handling operations, the fuel transfer tube (canal) will connect the refueling cavity and the Spent Fuel Pool (SFP). An unexplained lowering of refueling cavity level or SFP level can be

Salem

Page 2 of 4

Rev.0 (draft E)

eal#: RU2.1

an indication that these volumes are draining. A drop in refueling cavity and SFP level may result in a SFP low-level alarm. This alarm would be validated by visual observation of lowering level (local or remote) in the refueling cavity or SFP.

When the spent fuel pool and refueling cavity are connected, there could exist the possibility of uncovering irradiated fuel. Therefore, this EAL is applicable for conditions in which irradiated fuel is being transferred to and from the Reactor Vessel and SFP as well as for SFP drain down events.

For a loss of shielding, the source of the radiation is within the refueling cavity or SFP. Without the shielding provided by normal water inventory in the SFP, equipment pool, and/or refueling cavity, radiation levels from irradiated fuel and activation products will rise substantially.

Area radiation monitors that may respond to a loss of spent fuel shielding are those located on the 130' elevation (Containment or Fuel Handling Building):

- 1(2)R5 Fuel Handling Bldg
- 1(2)R9 Fuel Storage Area
- 1(2)R32A Fuel Handling Crane Fuel Handling Bldg (local monitor)
- 1(2)R2 Containment General Area 130ft elevation
- Temporary Area Radiation Monitors

#### Definitions:

**UNPLANNED**: A parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions.

**VALID**: An indication, report, or condition, is considered to be **VALID** when it is verified by (1) an instrument channel check, or (2) indications on related or redundant indicators, or (3) by direct observation by plant personnel, such that doubt related to the indicator's operability, the condition's existence, or the report's accuracy is removed. Implicit in this definition is the need for timely assessment.

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AU2 Example EAL #1
- 2. S1(S2).OP-AR.ZZ-0003(Q) OHA-C35 SFP LVL LO
- 3. S1(S2).OP-AB.FUEL-0002(Q) Loss of Refueling Cavity or Spent Fuel Pool Level
- 4. S1(S2).OP-AB.RAD-0001(Q) Abnormal Radiation
- 5. S1(S2).OP-AB.FUEL-0001(Q) Fuel Handling Incident

.

.

\_...

i nis pag

.

.

This page intentionally blank

.

ally blank

. . .

.

Rev.0 (draft E) EAL#: RU2.1

EAL Category:	R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent
EAL Subcategory:	2 – Onsite Rad Conditions/Fuel Pool Events
Initiating Condition:	UNPLANNED rise in plant radiation levels
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	RU2.2 – UNUSUAL EVENT

EAL:

**UNPLANNED VALID** area radiation monitor readings or survey results rise by a factor of **1,000** over normal levels (Note 7)

Note 7: Normal levels can be considered as the highest reading in the past 24 hours excluding the current peak value

#### Basis:

This IC-<u>EAL</u> addresses increased radiation levels as a result of water level decreases above irradiated fuel or events that have resulted, or may result, in-**UNPLANNED** increases in radiation dose rates within plant buildings. These radiation increases represent a loss of control over radioactive material and represent a potential degradation in the level of safety of the plant.

\_\_\_\_<u>EAL #1</u>

--------[Site specific indications may include instrumentation such as water level and local area radiation monitors, and personnel (e.g., refueling crew) reports. If available, video cameras may allow remote observation. Depending on available level instrumentation, the declaration threshold may need to be based on indications of water makeup rate or decrease in water storage tank level.]

--------[In light of Reactor Cavity Seal failure incidents at two different PWRs and loss of water in the Spent Fuel Pit/Fuel Transfer Canal at a BWR, explicit coverage of these types of events via threshold #1 is appropriate given their potential for increased doses to plant staff.]

\_\_\_\_\_\_The refueling pathway is a site specific combination of cavities, tubes, canals and pools. While a radiation monitor could detect an increase in dose rate due to a drop in the water level, it might not be a reliable indication of whether or not the fuel is covered.

\_\_\_\_\_\_[For example, a refueling bridge ARM reading may increase due to planned evolutions such as head lift, or even a fuel assembly being raised in the manipulator mast. Also, a monitor could in fact be properly responding to a known event involving transfer or relocation

Rev. 0 (draft E)

EAL#: RU2.2

of a source, stored in or near the fuel pool or responding to a planned evolution such as removal of the reactor head. Generally, increased radiation monitor indications will need to combined with another indicator (or personnel report) of water loss.]

-----------[Application of this EAL requires understanding of the actual radiological conditions present in the vicinity of the monitor. Information Notice No. 90-08, "KR-85 Hazards from Decayed Fuel" should be considered in establishing radiation monitor EALs.]

----- For refueling events where the water level drops below the RPV flange classification would be via CU2. This event escalates to an Alert per AA2 if irradiated fuel outside the reactor vessel is uncovered. For events involving irradiated fuel in the reactor vessel, escalation would be via the Fission Product Barrier Table for events in operating modes 1-4.

#### <u>— EAL #2</u>

This EAL excludes radiation level increases that result from planned activities such as use of radiographic sources and movement of radioactive waste materials. A specific list of ARMs is not required as it would restrict the applicability of the threshold. The intent is to identify loss of control of radioactive material in any monitored area.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Definitions:

**UNPLANNED** - A parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions.

VALID - An indication, report, or condition, is considered to be VALID when it is verified by (1) an instrument channel check, or (2) indications on related or redundant indicators, or (3) by direct observation by plant personnel, such that doubt related to the indicator's operability, the condition's existence, or the report's accuracy is removed. Implicit in this definition is the need for timely assessment.

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AU2 Example EAL #2

This page intentionally blank

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RU2.2

÷

EAL Category:	R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent
EAL Subcategory:	2 – Onsite Rad Conditions/Fuel Pool Events
Initiating Condition:	Damage to irradiated fuel or loss of water level that has resulted or will result in the uncovering of irradiated fuel outside the Reactor Vessel
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	RA2.1 – ALERT

#### EAL:

Damage to irradiated fuel or loss of water level (uncovering irradiated fuel outside the Reactor Vessel) that causes a **VALID** high alarm on <u>ANY</u> of the following radiation monitors:

#### Fuel Handling Bldg

- 1(2)R5 Fuel Handling Bldg
- 1(2)R9 Fuel Storage Area
- 1(2)R32A Fuel Handling Crane Fuel Handling Bldg (local monitor)
- 1(2)R41A Plant Vent

#### **Containment**

- 1(2)R2 Containment General Area 130ft elevation
- 1(2)R11A Containment Air Particulate
- 1(2)R12A Containment Vent Noble Gas
- 1(2)R12B Containment Vent Iodine

#### Basis:

This IC-<u>EAL</u> addresses increases in radiation dose rates within plant buildings, and may be a precursor to a radioactivity release to the environment. These events represent a loss of control over radioactive material and represent an actual or substantial potential degradation in the level of safety of the plant.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RA2.1 [These events escalate from AU2 EAL RU2.1 in that fuel activity has been released, or is anticipated due to fuel heatup. This IC EAL applies to spent fuel requiring water coverage and is not intended to address spent fuel which is licensed for dry storage.]

#### \_\_\_\_\_EAL #1

[Site specific indications may include instrumentation such as water level and local area radiation monitors, and personnel (e.g., refueling crew) reports. If available, video cameras may allow remote observation. Depending on available level instrumentation, the declaration threshold may need to be based on indications of water makeup rate or decrease in water storage tank-level.]

[In light of Reactor Cavity Seal failure incidents at two different PWRs and loss of water in the Spent Fuel Pit/Fuel Transfer Canal at a BWR, explicit coverage of these types of events via threshold #1 is appropriate given their potential for increased doses to plant staff.]

This EAL addresses radiation monitor indications of fuel uncovery and/or fuel damage.

Increased ventilation monitor readings may be indication of a radioactivity release from the fuel, confirming that damage has occurred. Increased background at the ventilation monitor due to water level decrease may mask increased ventilation exhaust airborne activity and needs to be considered.

While a radiation monitor could detect an increase in dose rate due to a drop in the water level, it might not be a reliable indication of whether or not the fuel is covered.

[For example, a refueling bridge ARM reading may increase due to planned evolutions such as head lift, or even a fuel assembly being raised in the manipulator mast. Also, a monitor could in fact be properly responding to a known event involving transfer or relocation of a source, stored in or near the fuel pool or responding to a planned evolution such as removal of the reactor head. Generally, increased radiation monitor indications will need to combined with another indicator (or personnel report) of water loss.]

[Application of this EAL requires understanding of the actual radiological conditions present in the vicinity of the monitor. Information Notice No. 90-08, "KR-85 Hazards from Decayed Fuel" should be considered in establishing radiation monitor EALs.]

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be based on AS1-EAL RS1.1 or AG1EAL RG1.1.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Indications of spent fuel damage may include:

• Actual visual observation of a fuel handling incident

Salem

Page 2 of 5

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RA2.1

- Gas bubbles in the vicinity of a fuel bundle
- Discoloration of the water in the vicinity of a fuel bundle
- Increasing radiation and alarm on area and ventilation radiation monitors

When the Spent Fuel Pool (SFP) and refueling cavity are connected, there could exist the possibility of uncovering irradiated fuel. Therefore, this EAL is applicable for conditions in which irradiated fuel is being transferred to and from the Reactor Vessel and SFP.

For a loss of shielding, the source of the radiation is within the refueling cavity, refueling canal or SFP. Without the shielding provided by normal water inventory in the SFP, refueling canal and/or refueling cavity, radiation levels from irradiated fuel and activation products will rise substantially in either the Containment or Fuel Handling Building. Radiation levels  $\geq$  2 R/hr in the Containment or FHB are indicative of imminent uncovering of spent fuel or reactor internals.

#### Fuel Handling Building (FHB)

Area Radiation Monitors (ARMs) 1(2)R5 Spent Fuel, 1(2)R9 New Fuel Storage and 1(2)R32A Fuel Handling Crane are located on the 130' elevation of the FHB.

- 1(2)R5 Fuel Handling Bldg This channel continuously monitors the fuel storage areas. A high radiation alarm will initiate charcoal filtration of the FHB atmosphere.
- 1(2)R9 Fuel Storage Area This channel also continuously monitors the fuel storage areas. A high radiation alarm will also initiate charcoal filtration of the FHB atmosphere.
- 1(2)R32A Fuel Handling Crane Fuel Handling Bldg (local monitor) This channel is not connected to the central Radiation Monitoring System and is provided with a flashing beacon and stops upward hoist movement.

1(2)R41A Plant Vent is the low range noble gas Plant Vent monitor. Releases of fission product gases to the FHB atmosphere would be transported via the FHB ventilation and detected in the plant vent radiation monitor.

#### <u>Containment</u>

Area Radiation Monitor (ARM) 1(2)R2 Containment is located on the 130' elevation of the Containment.

Releases of fission product particulates, iodines or gases to the Containment atmosphere would be transported via Containment ventilation and detected in the Containment Vent Radiation Monitors 1(2)R11A (part.), 1(2)R12A (gas) or 1(2)R12B (iodine).

Definitions:

Salem

**VALID** - An indication, report, or condition, is considered to be **VALID** when it is verified by (1) an instrument channel check, or (2) indications on related or redundant indicators, or (3) by direct observation by plant personnel, such that doubt related to the indicator's operability, the condition's existence, or the report's accuracy is removed. Implicit in this definition is the need for timely assessment.

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AA2 Example EAL #2
- 2. S1(S2).OP-AB.FUEL-0002(Q) Loss of Refueling Cavity or Spent Fuel Pool Level
- 3. S1(S2).OP-AB.RAD-0001(Q) Abnormal Radiation
- 4. S1(S2).OP-AB.FUEL-0001(Q) Fuel Handling Incident
- 5. UFSAR Section 11.4 Radiation Monitoring Systems

.

This page intentionally blank

.

EAL Category:	R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent
EAL Subcategory:	2 – Onsite Rad Conditions/Fuel Pool Events
Initiating Condition:	Damage to irradiated fuel or loss of water level that has resulted or will result in the uncovering of irradiated fuel outside the Reactor Vessel
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	RA2.2 – ALERT

#### EAL:

A water level drop in the refueling cavity, spent fuel pool or refueling canal that will result in irradiated fuel becoming uncovered

#### Basis:

This IC addresses increases in radiation dose rates within plant buildings, and may be a precursor to a radioactivity release to the environment. These This events represents a loss of control over radioactive material and represents an actual or substantial potential degradation in the level of safety of the plant.

[These-This events escalates from AU2 EAL RU2.1 in that fuel activity has been released, or is anticipated due to fuel heatup. This IC-EAL applies to spent fuel requiring water coverage and is not intended to address spent fuel which is licensed for dry storage.]

#### <u>— EAL #1</u>

[Site specific lindications may include instrumentation such as water level and local area radiation monitors, and personnel (e.g., refueling crew) reports. If available, video cameras may allow remote observation. Depending on available level instrumentation, the declaration threshold may need to be based on indications of water makeup rate or decrease in water storage tank level.]

#### 

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) FAI  $\# \mathbb{R} \mathbb{A} \mathbb{2}_2 \mathbb{2}$ 

a di ant

------- Increased ventilation monitor readings may be indication of a radioactivity release from the fuel, confirming that damage has occurred. Increased background at the ventilation monitor due to water level decrease may mask increased ventilation exhaust airborne activity and needs to be considered.

-------While a radiation monitor could detect an increase in dose rate due to a drop in the water level, it might not be a reliable indication of whether or not the fuel is covered.

[For example, a refueling bridge ARM reading may increase due to planned evolutions such as head lift, or even a fuel assembly being raised in the manipulator mast. Also, a monitor could in fact be properly responding to a known event involving transfer or relocation of a source, stored in or near the fuel pool or responding to a planned evolution such as removal of the reactor head. Generally, increased radiation monitor indications will need to combined with another indicator (or personnel report) of water loss.]

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be based on AS1 <u>EAL</u> <u>RS1.1</u> or AG1<u>EAL RG1.1</u>.

. .

Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

None

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AA2 Example EAL #1

This page intentionally blank

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RA2.2

4

EAL Category:	R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent	
EAL Subcategory:	3 – CR/CAS Rad	
Initiating Condition:	Rise in radiation levels within the facility that impedes operation of systems required to maintain plant safety functions	
Mode Applicability:	All	
EAL# & Classification Level:	RA3.1 – ALERT	
EAL:		
Dose rates > 15 mR/hr in EITHER of the following:		
<ul> <li>Control Room (1(2)R1A)</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Central Alarm Station</li> </ul>		

#### Basis:

This IC-EAL addresses increased radiation levels that: impact continued operation in areas requiring continuous occupancy to maintain safe operation or to perform a safe shutdown.

The cause and/or magnitude of the increase in radiation levels is not a concern of this IGEAL. The Emergency Coordinator must consider the source or cause of the increased radiation levels and determine if any other IC-EAL may be involved.

[This IC is not meant to apply to increases in the containment dome radiation monitors as these are events which are addressed in the fission product barrier table.]

[The value of 15mR/hr is derived from the GDC 19 value of 5 rem in 30 days with adjustment for expected occupancy times. Although Section III.D.3 of NUREG-0737, "Clarification of TMI Action Plan Requirements", provides that the 15 mR/hr value can be averaged over the 30 days, the value is used here without averaging, as a 30 day duration implies an event potentially more significant than an Alert.]

Areas requiring continuous occupancy include the Control Room and, as appropriate to the site, any other control stations that are staffed continuously, such as a radwaste control room, or a security alarm station. *[Typically these areas are the Control Room and* the Central Alarm Station (CAS).]

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Control Room ARM 1(2)R1A measures area radiation in a range of 0.1 - 10<sup>3</sup> mR/hr. Should increase Control Room radiation be detected, operators are directed to align Control Room Ventilation in the Accident Pressurized mode.

There is no permanently installed CAS area radiation monitor that may be used to assess this EAL threshold. Therefore, this threshold must be assessed via local radiation survey for the CAS.

, , ,

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AA3 Example EAL #1

- .

- 2. UFSAR Section 11.4 Radiation Monitoring Systems
- 3. S1(S2).OP-AB.RAD-0001(Q) Abnormal Radiation

Salem

.

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RA3.1

۰.

EAL Category:	E – ISFSI	
EAL Sub-category:	Spent Fuel Transit	
Initiating Condition:	Damage to a loaded cask CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY	
Mode Applicability:	Mode NOT applicable	
EAL# & Classification Level:	EU1.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT	
EAL:		

# Damage to a Multi Purpose Canister (MPC) **CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY** as indicated by on-contact radiation readings $\geq$ 600 mR/hr (gamma + neutron) on the surface of the spent fuel cask, excluding the air vents, OR $\geq$ 60 mR/hr\_(gamma + neutron) on the top of the spent fuel cask while in transit to the ISFSI.

#### Basis:

An NOUE-UNUSUAL EVENT in this IC-EAL is categorized on the basis of the occurrence of an event of sufficient magnitude that a loaded <u>in-transit cask MPC CONFINEMENT</u> **BOUNDARY** is damaged or violated. This includes classification based on a loaded fuel storage cask CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY loss leading to the degradation of the fuel during storage or posing an operational safety problem with respect to its removal from storage.

[The results of the ISFSI Safety Analysis Report (SAR) per NUREG 1536 or SAR referenced in the cask('s) Certificate of Compliance and the related NRC Safety Evaluation Report identify natural phenomena events and accident conditions that could potentially effect the CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY. This EAL addresses a dropped cask, a tipped over cask, EXPLOSION, PROJECTILE damage, FIRE damage or natural phenomena affecting a cask (e.g., seismic ovent, tornado, etc.).].

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This EAL applies to emergency conditions affecting a spent fuel cask caused by an accident or natural phenomena. This EAL would be applicable at all times in all modes for a loaded spent fuel storage cask from the time the lid is installed, as the cask leaves the Salem Fuel Handling Building and during transport to the INDEPENDENT SPENT FUEL STORAGE INSTALLATION (ISFSI). This EAL provides for an Unusual Event classification, which may be entered if conditions occur that have the potential for damaging or degrading the CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY of a spent fuel cask. Damage to the storage cask could result in an increase in direct radiation readings from the cask. This

Salem

Page 1 of 3

Rev. 0 (draft E)



#### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

Salem EAL is only applicable for a Salem spent fuel cask that is in transit to the **ISFSI**. After the spent fuel cask is in place at the **ISFSI**, any further conditions that could adversely impact the **ISFSI** or an individual cask from either Salem or Hope Creek would be assessed and classified as needed by the Hope Creek Shift Manager (SM) per Hope Creek EAL EU1.1.

As provided in the Holtec HI-STORM 100 System Certificate of Compliance (CoC), Appendix A (Technical Specifications), Section 5.7.4 contains radiation values for the cask that should not be exceeded. Under Amendment #5, the highest allowable radiation level on contact with the HI-STORM 100 cask body is 300 mR/hr on the side of the cask and 30 mR/hr on the top of the cask. Keeping in line with NEI guidance that a UE is warranted for radiation conditions at a level of twice the Technical Specification value, **600 mR/hr** and **60 mR/hr** are being used as the EAL threshold radiation levels.

Continued use of this lower value is conservative for casks loaded under later CoC amendments where the radiation limit values may increase. The threshold values are sufficiently above nominal radiation levels of the **CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY** that radiation levels above this EAL threshold would indicate significant damage to the **CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY**.

No releases of radioactive material requiring offsite response or monitoring are expected because the seal-welded spent fuel canister (part of the **CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY**) is designed to remain intact under all normal, off-normal, and credible accident conditions of onsite transport and storage at the **ISFSI**, according to Holtec licensing documents. Prior to the installation of the spent fuel cask lid on the HI-STORM 100 cask, emergency classifications would be based on other Category R EALs.

Definitions:

**INDEPENDENT SPENT FUEL STORAGE INSTALLATION (ISFSI)**: A complex that is designed and constructed for the interim storage of spent nuclear fuel and other radioactive materials associated with spent fuel storage.

**CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY**: Is the barrier(s) between areas containing radioactive substances and the environment and includes the multi-purpose canister (MPC) and, for the purposes of this EAL, the associated cask shielding.

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, E-HU1 Example EAL #1
- 2. HOLTEC HI-STORM 100 UFSAR, Chapter 5 and Chapter 11
- 3. Certificate of Compliance, Docket # 72-1014
- 4. Holtec International Final Safety Analysis Report for the HI-STORM 100 Cask System Holtec Report No.: HI-2002444
- 5. Certificate of Compliance No. 72-1014 Appendix A Technical Specifications for the HI-STORM 100 Cask System Section 1.1 Definitions

Salem

Page 2 of 3

Rev. 0 (draft E)

eal#: EU1.1

#### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

.

.

Salem

•

Rev. 0 (draft E)



## EALs for:

### HAZARDS

.

EAL:	
EAL# & Classification Level:	HU1.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT (Common Site)
Mode Applicability:	All
Initiating Condition:	Natural or destructive phenomena affecting the <b>PROTECTED AREA</b>
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Natural & Destructive Phenomena
EAL Category:	C-H – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety

Seismic event identified by <u>ANY</u> two of the following:

- Earthquake felt in plant by Control Room Operators
- SMA-3 Event Indicator (flag) white
- National Earthquake Information Center (NEIC) (Note 4)
  - Note 4: The NEIC can be contacted by calling (303) 273-8500. Select option #1 and inform the analyst you wish to confirm recent seismic activity in the vicinity of Salem/Hope Creek Generating Station. Provide the analyst with the following coordinates: 39° 27' 46" (39.465°) north latitude, 75° 32' 08" (75.537°) west longitude.

#### Basis:

These This EALs are is categorized on the basis of the occurrence of an event of sufficient magnitude to be of concern to plant operators.

#### <u>EAL #1</u>

Damage may be caused to some portions of the site, but should not affect ability of safety functions to operate.

As defined in the EPRI-sponsored Guidelines for Nuclear Plant Response to an Earthquake, dated October 1989, a "felt earthquake" is: An earthquake of sufficient intensity such that: (a) the vibratory ground motion is felt at the nuclear plant site and recognized as an earthquake based on a consensus of control room operators on duty at the time, and (b) for plants with operable seismic instrumentation, the seismic switches of the plant are activated.

[For most plants with seismic instrumentation, the seismic switches are set at an acceleration of about 0.01g. This EAL should be developed on site specific basis. The method of detection can be based on instrumentation, validated by a reliable source, or operator assessment.]

The National Earthquake <u>Information</u> Center can confirm if an earthquake has occurred in the area of the plant.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

SGS seismic instrumentation consists of a Kinemetrics SMA-3 Strong Motion Accelerograph and associated sensors that are equipped with seismic triggers set to alarm (Unit 1 OHA A-37 SEIS RCDR SYS ACT) and initiate recording at an acceleration equal to or exceeding 0.01 g. When the seismic trigger activates the SMA-3 Event Indicator (flag) will change from black to white and the amber event alarm will illuminate. The amber event alarm will extinguish when ground acceleration reduces below the 0.01 g setpoint but the Event Indicator (flag) will remain white until manually reset. Three time-history triaxial acceleration sensors are provided (2 in Reactor Building, 1 in Auxiliary Building). These sensors transmit electrical signals to be recorded on magnetic tape.

The NEIC can confirm seismic activity in the vicinity of the SGS/HCGS site. Refer to Note 4 to contact the NEIC.

Alternatively go to the USGS NEIC website:

http://earthquake.usgs.gov/eqcenter/

On the US map, click on 'New Jersey' and then click on earthquake indicator for information. The maps are updated within 5 min. of a measured earthquake.

Additional Earthquake information can be found on the internet at:

- http://www.earthquake.usgs.gov
- http://www.mgs.md.gov (click on "Live Earthquake Data online")
- http://earthquake.usgs.gov/regional/neic

This event escalates to an ALERT under EAL HA1.1 if the earthquake exceeds Operating Basis Earthquake (OBE) levels (0.1g).

An approximate relationship between acceleration units in gravity and magnitude reported per the Richter scale is as follows:

An Acceleration of:	is approx. equal to a Richter Scale Magnitude of:
0.01g	4.0
0.02g	4.5

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HU1.1

0.1g	5.5
0.2g	6.5

Salem

.

Definitions:

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HU1 Example EAL #1
- 2. UFSAR Table 7.7-3 Seismic Monitoring Instrumentation
- 3. SC.OP-AB.ZZ-0004(Q) Earthquake
- 4. S1.OP-AR.ZZ-0001(Q) OHA A-37 SEIS RCDR SYS ACT
- 5. UFSAR 2.1.1 Site Location
- 6. UFSAR 1.2.4 Seismology

This page intentionally blank

Salem

!

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HU1.1
EAL Category:	C-H-Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Natural & Destructive Phenomena
Initiating Condition:	Natural or destructive phenomena affecting the <b>PROTECTED AREA</b>
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HU1.2 – UNUSUAL EVENT (Common Site)
EAL:	

Tornado TOUCHING DOWN within the **PROTECTED AREA** 

Average Wind Speeds > 95 MPH from <u>ANY</u> elevation of the Met Tower

## Basis:

These This EALs are is categorized on the basis of the occurrence of an event of sufficient magnitude to be of concern to plant operators.

## <u>EAL #2</u>

This EAL is based on a tornado striking (touching down) within the **PROTECTED AREA** or high winds onsite within the Protected Area.

[The high wind value should be based on site specific FSAR design basis as long as it is within the range of the instrumentation available for wind speed.]

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be based on **VISIBLE DAMAGEDEGRADED PERFORMANCE**, or by other in <u>in-</u>plant conditions, via <u>EAL</u> HA1.2.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Average, as used in the EAL threshold, is intended to be the 15 minute rolling average as provided by SPDS and not the instantaneous wind speed.

The design wind velocities are 108 mph (including a gust factor of approximately 1.3) at 30 feet above ground. However, the Control Room wind speed only provides indication up to 100 mph so the classification threshold has been capped at 95 mph to allow for onscale indication of wind speed. The manner in which the HCGS SPDS processes data from the meteorological

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HU1.2 instrumentation differs from the SGS SPDS; consequently, minor differences between HCGS and SGS readings may occur.

A tornado touching down within the **PROTECTED AREA** warrants declaration of an UNUSUAL EVENT regardless of the measured wind speed at the meteorological tower. A tornado is defined as a violently rotating column of air in contact with the ground and extending from the base of a thunderstorm.

The National Weather Service can be contacted for further information about existing or projected Adverse Weather Conditions:

- Phila/Mount Holly (609) 261-6600
- NWS Web site <u>http://www.erh.noaa.gov/er/phi</u>
- Phila/Mount Holly (609) 261-6604
- Phila/Mount Holly (609) 261-6602

## Definitions:

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

**VISIBLE DAMAGE**: Damage to equipment or structure that is readily observable without measurements, testing, or analysis. Damage is sufficient to cause concern regarding the continued operability or reliability of the affected structure, system, or component. Example damage includes: deformation due to heat or impact, denting, penetration, rupture, cracking, and paint blistering. Surface blemishes (e.g., paint chipping, scratches) should not be included.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HU1 Example EAL #2
- 2. UFSAR 3.3.1.1 Design wind Velocity and Loading
- 3. OP-AA-108-111-1001 Severe Weather and Natural Disaster Guidelines
- 4. NC.CH-SC.MET-1206(Q) Meteorological Monitoring System Calibration and Maintenance
- 5. SC.OP-AB.ZZ-0001(Q) Adverse Environmental Conditions

and the second	
EAL Category:	G-H – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Natural & Destructive Phenomena
Initiating Condition:	Natural or destructive phenomena affecting the <b>PROTECTED AREA</b>
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HU1.3 – UNUSUAL EVENT
FAL	

Main Turbine rotating component failures resulting in **<u>EITHER</u>** of the following:

• Main Turbine casing penetration

• Main Turbine or Generator Seal Damage

#### Basis:

These <u>This</u> EALs are is categorized on the basis of the occurrence of an event of sufficient magnitude to be of concern to plant operators.

and the second second second second second

<u>EAL #4</u> Construction of the construction of the second second solution of the construction of the factors of the factors of the construction of t

This EAL addresses main turbine rotating component failures of sufficient magnitude to cause observable damage to the turbine casing or to the seals of the turbine generator. Generator seal damage observed after generator purge does not meet the intent of this EAL because it did not impact normal operation of the plant.

Of major concern is the potential for leakage of combustible fluids (lubricating oils) and gases (hydrogen cooling) to the plant environs. Actual fires and flammable gas build up are appropriately classified via <u>EAL HU2.1</u> and <u>EAL HU3.1</u>.

This EAL is consistent with the definition of an <u>UNUSUAL EVENT NOUE</u> while maintaining the anticipatory nature desired and recognizing the risk to non-safety related equipment.

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be to <u>EAL</u> HA1.3 based on damage done by projectiles generated by the failure or by <u>anythe</u> radiological releases in <u>Category R.</u> for a BWR, or in conjunction with a steam generator tube rupture, for a PWR. These latter events would be classified by the radiological ICs or Fission Product Barrier ICs.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Main Turbine rotating component failures of sufficient magnitude to cause damage to the turbine casing or turbine/generator seals increases the potential for leakage of combustible/explosive gases and of combustible liquids to the Turbine Building or damage to plant systems due to **PROJECTILES**. The presence of H<sub>2</sub> gas in sufficient quantities may present a combustion hazard. Actual fires and flammable gas build up is classified under fire and flammable gas EALs.

Generator seal damage observed after generator purge does not meet the intent of this EAL since it did not impact normal plant operations.

Turbine rotating component failures may also result in other direct damage to plant systems and components. Damage may rupture the turbine lubricating oil system, which would release flammable liquids to the Turbine Building. Potential rupture of the condenser and condenser tubes may cause flooding in the lower levels of the Turbine Building. This damage should be readily observable.

Escape of  $H_2$  gas from the generator due to a loss of seal oil pumps or turbine lube oil without a turbine rotating component failure should not be classified under this event but should be reviewed IAW EALs in Subcategory H.3, Hazardous Gas.

Definitions:

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

**PROJECTILE**: An object that impacts Salem and/or Hope Creek that could cause concern for continued operability, reliability or personnel safety.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HU1 Example EAL #4
- 2. UFSAR 3.5.4 Turbine Missile

EAL Category:	C – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Natural & Destructive Phenomena
Initiating Condition:	Natural or destructive phenomena affecting the <b>PROTECTED AREA</b>
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HU1.4 – UNUSUAL EVENT
EAL:	

Internal **Flooding** that has the potential to affect safe shutdown systems or components required by Technical Specifications for the current operating mode in <u>ANY</u> **Table H-1** plant structure

Table H-1	Plant Structures Containing Safe Shutdown Systems or Components
. 0	Auxiliary Building
0	Service Water Intake Structure
o	Control Point Area
• • • • • •	Inner/Outer Penetration Areas
 . o	Containment
· · · O	Fuel Handling Building
; <b>o</b>	Service Building
o	RWST, PWST, and AFWST Area

#### Basis:

These <u>This</u> EALs are is categorized on the basis of the occurrence of an event of sufficient magnitude to be of concern to plant operators.

#### EAL #3

This EAL addresses the effect of internal flooding caused by events such as component failures, equipment misalignment, or outage activity mishaps.

[The site specific areas include those areas that contain systems required for safe shutdown of the plant, which are not designed to be partially or fully submerged. The plant's IPEEE may provide insight into areas to be considered when developing this EAL.]

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be based VISIBLE DAMAGE on DEGRADED PERFORMANCE via EAL\_HA1.4, or by other plant conditions.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

**Flooding** as used in this EAL describes a condition where water is entering the room faster than installed equipment is capable of removal, resulting in a rise of water level within the room. Classification of this EAL should not be delayed while corrective actions are being taken to isolate the water source.

The Table H-1 Plant Structures Containing Safe Shutdown Systems or Components include those plant structures identified as Seismic Category I.

**Flooding** can occur from several sources including the Circulating Water System, Service Water System, Demineralized Water, Component Cooling Water, Fire Protection and Refueling Water Storage Tank.

**Flooding** is determined in these areas by visual report from staff or by confirmation of sump alarms. S1(S2).OP-AB.ZZ-0002 (Q) directs the operators to determine the exact location and severity of **flooding**. Attachments in this procedure delineate the affected plant areas, potential source(s) of water, affected vital equipment, flood rate and time to submerge vital equipment.

If mitigating actions to control flooding have been unsuccessful and the flooding level has reached 50% of the equipment disabled level(s) as specified in <u>S1(S2)</u>.OP-AB.ZZ-0002, **FLOODING**, then the **flooding** is severe, is in excess of sump handling capability and has the potential to affect safety equipment and therefore, classification under this EAL is warranted. The source of the **flooding** and the status of the sump pumps are not factors in evaluating this EAL. For areas that do not have a **flooding** level specified in <u>S1(S2)</u>.OP-AB.ZZ-0002, SM judgment should be used.

Definitions:

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HU1.4 **DEGRADED PERFORMANCE:** Assessment of degraded safe shutdown system performance includes examination of systems in standby status as well as those in operation. When a safe shutdown system is in operation, its performance can be directly observed and compared to its design capability (e.g., rated flow is required but cannot be achieved). When an operating safe shutdown system cannot fulfill its design function, its performance is degraded. When a safe shutdown system is in standby, its performance capability may not be readily determined. One or more of the following can provide indirect indication of its performance capability:

- Electrical faults on power supplies о
- Normally closed breakers in tripped position о
- System annunciators activated 0
- System warning lights lit о
- Insufficient system pressure from keep-fill pumps
- Elevated area temperatures or radiation levels 0
- Increased sump pump operation in areas in which the system is located

## and the second EAL Bases Reference(s):

<u>.</u> -

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HU1 Example EAL #3
- 2. UFSAR 3.2 Classification of Structures, Components and Systems
- 3. S1(S2).OP-AB.ZZ-0002 (Q) Flooding

This page intentionally blank

EAL Category:	C – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Natural & Destructive Phenomena
Initiating Condition:	Natural or destructive phenomena affecting the <b>PROTECTED AREA</b>
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HU1.5 – UNUSUAL EVENT (Common Site)
EAL:	
River level > 99.5'	
OR	
River level < 80.0'	

## Basis:

This EAL addresses other site specific phenomena (such as hurricane, flood, or seiche) that can also be precursors of more serious events. See Explanation Section below:

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The first condition of this EAL indicates river level conditions that can threaten the level of safety of the plant due to flooding. River level greater than **99.5'** (+10.5' Mean Sea Level, MSL) is indication of impending site flood conditions. Flood protection measures are required by Salem Technical Specifications and procedure at 99.5'(+10.5'MSL). At this river level precautionary actions are taken, including filling outside tanks and ensuring that perimeter flood doors are closed. These actions ensure that the facility flood protection features are in place prior to a river level that would necessitate their use. Hope Creek performs these actions at 95.0' (+6.0'MSL).

The High river level threshold is below the river level that would require a plant shutdown. Technical Specification actions required by a River Level of >100.5' includes placing the plant in at least Hot Standby within the next 6 hours and in Cold Shutdown within the next 30 hours. This is based on the river level at which facility flood protection features provide protection to safety related equipment. Hope Creek performs similar actions are at 99.5' (+10.5'MSL).

The grade level at the Salem station is lower than that for Hope Creek (Salem = **99.5**', Hope Creek = 101.5').

## SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

The second condition of this EAL indicates river level conditions, River level < **80.0'** (-9.0'MSL), approaching the loss of the Service Water Intake (Ultimate Heat Sink). The low level threshold indicates a river level condition that is one foot lower than the historical low water level of 81.0' (-8.0'MSL) (December 31, 1962) and is higher than the Service Water pumps design level.

These events will be escalated based on damage to plant safety systems, loss of fission product barriers or abnormal radiological releases as discussed in other EAL categories.

River level indication is displayed in Unit 1 on LA-8639 which has a range of 70' to 110'.

The National Weather Service can be contacted for further information about existing or projected Adverse Weather Conditions:

٥	Phila/Mount Holly	(609) 261-6600
---	-------------------	----------------

- NWS Web site <u>http://www.erh.noaa.gov/er/phi</u>
- Phila/Mount Holly (609) 261-6604
- Phila/Mount Holly (609) 261-6602

Definitions:

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HU1 Example EAL #5
- 2. Technical Specifications 3/4.7.5 Flood Protection
- 3. UFSAR 2.4 Hydraulic Engineering
- 4. UFSAR Figure 2.4-3 Service Water Intake
- 5. UFSAR Figure 3.4-1 Datum and Water Level Relationships
- 6. S1(S2).OP-AB.CW-0001(Q) Circulating Water System Malfunction
- 7. S1(S2).OP-AB.ZZ-0002(Q) Flooding
- 8. OP-AA-108-111-1001 Severe Weather and National Disaster Guidelines

EAL Category:	C – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety	
EAL Subcategory:	1 - Natural & Destructive Phenomena	
Initiating Condition:	Natural or destructive phenomena affecting VITAL AREAS	
Mode Applicability:	All	
EAL# & Classification Level:	HA1.1 – ALERT	
EAL:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Actuation of the Hope Creek OB Hope Creek Shift Manager	E Seismic Switch (> 0.1g) has occurred as verified by the	
AND		
Earthquake confirmed by <u>ANY</u> o	f the following:	
<ul> <li>Earthquake felt in plant by Control Room Operators</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>National Earthquake Information Center (NEIC) (Note 4)</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Control Room indication systems</li> </ul>	of DEGRADED PERFORMANCE of safe shutdown	
Note 4: The NEIC can be and inform the an vicinity of Salem/I the following coor (75.537°) west lo	contacted by calling (303) 273-8500. Select option #1 halyst you wish to confirm recent seismic activity in the Hope Creek Generating Station. Provide the analyst with rdinates: 39° 27' 46" (39.465°) north latitude, 75° 32' 08" ngitude.	

## Basis:

These <u>This</u> EALs escalates from HU1.1 in that the occurrence of the event <u>has may have</u> resulted in damage to plant structures or areas containing equipment necessary for a safe shutdown, or <u>has may have</u> caused damage to the safety systems in those structures evidenced by <u>Ceontrol R</u>=com indications of degraded system response or performance. The occurrence of <u>visible</u> damage and/or degraded system response is intended to discriminate against lesser events. The initial report should not be interpreted as mandating a lengthy damage assessment prior to classification. No attempt is made in this EAL to assess the actual magnitude of the damage. The significance here is not that a particular system or structure was damaged, but rather, that the event was of sufficient magnitude to cause this degradation.

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be based on System Malfunction ICsEALs.

## EALs #2 - #5

[These EALs should specify site specific structures or areas that contain safety system, or component and functions required for safe shutdown of the plant. Site specific Safe Shutdown Analysis should be consulted for equipment and plant areas required to establish or maintain safe shutdown.]

## <u>EAL #1</u>

Seismic events of this magnitude can result in a **VITAL AREA** being subjected to forces beyond design limits, and thus damage may be assumed to have occurred to plant safety systems.

[This threshold should be based on site specific FSAR design basis. See EPRI-sponsored "Guidelines for Nuclear Plant Response to an Earthquake", dated October 1989, for information on seismic event categories.]

The National Earthquake Information Center can confirm if an earthquake has occurred in the area of the plant.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Ground motion acceleration of 0.1g is the Operating Basis Earthquake (OBE) for SGS.

As defined in the EPRI-sponsored Guidelines for Nuclear Plant Response to an Earthquake, dated October 1989, a "felt earthquake" is: An earthquake of sufficient intensity such that: (a) the vibratory ground motion is felt at the nuclear plant site and recognized as an earthquake based on a consensus of Control Room operators on duty at the time, and (b) for plants with operable seismic instrumentation, the seismic switches of the plant are activated.

The NEIC can confirm seismic activity in the vicinity of the SGS/HCGS site. Refer to Note 4 to contact the NEIC.

Alternatively go to the USGS NEIC website:

http://earthquake.usgs.gov/eqcenter/

On the US map, click on 'New Jersey' and then click on earthquake indicator for information. The maps are updated within 5 min. of a measured earthquake.

Additional Earthquake information can be found on the internet at:

• http://www.earthquake.usgs.gov

- http://www.mgs.md.gov (click on "Live Earthquake Data online")
- http://earthquake.usgs.gov/regional/neic

An approximate relationship between acceleration units in gravity and magnitude reported per the Richter scale is as follows:

An Acceleration of:	is approx. equal to a Richter Scale Magnitude of:
0.01g	4.0
0.02g	4.5
0.1g	5.5
0.2g	6.5

Definitions:

VITAL AREA: Typically any site specific areas, normally within the **PROTECTED AREA**, that contains equipment, systems, components, or material, the failure, destruction, or release of which could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety by exposure to radiation

**DEGRADED PERFORMANCE**: Assessment of degraded safe shutdown system performance includes examination of systems in standby status as well as those in operation. When a safe shutdown system is in operation, its performance can be directly observed and compared to its design capability (e.g., rated flow is required but cannot be achieved). When an operating safe shutdown system cannot fulfill its design function, its performance is degraded. When a safe shutdown system is in standby, its performance capability may not be readily determined. One or more of the following can provide indirect indication of its performance capability:

- Electrical faults on power supplies
- Normally closed breakers in tripped position
- System annunciators activated
- System warning lights lit
- Insufficient system pressure from keep-fill pumps
- Elevated area temperatures or radiation levels
- Increased sump pump operation in areas in which the system is located

**PROTECTED AREA (PA):** A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center. **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HA1 Example EAL #1
- 2. UFSAR Table 7.7-3 Seismic Monitoring Instrumentation
- 3. SC.OP-AB.ZZ-0004(Q) Earthquake
- 4. S1.OP-AR.ZZ-0001(Q) OHA A-37 SEIS RCDR SYS ACT
- 5. UFSAR 2.1.1 Site Location
- 6. UFSAR 1.2.4 Seismology

## EAL Category: C – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety

**EAL Subcategory:** 1 – Natural & Destructive Phenomena

Initiating Condition: Natural or destructive phenomena affecting VITAL AREAS

Mode Applicability: All

EAL# & Classification Level: HA1.2 – ALERT

EAL:

Tornado TOUCHING DOWN within the **PROTECTED AREA** 

Average Wind Speeds > 95 MPH from <u>ANY</u> elevation of the Met Tower

<u>AND</u>

Resulting in **EITHER** of the following:

- Control Room indication of **DEGRADED PERFORMANCE** of a Safety System
- VISIBLE DAMAGE to <u>ANY</u> of the plant structures in Table H-1

Table H-1	Plant Structures Containing Safe
	Shutdown Systems or Components

- Auxiliary Building
- Service Water Intake Structure
- Control Point Area
- Inner/Outer Penetration Areas
- Containment
- Fuel Handling Building
- Service Building
- RWST, PWST, and AFWST Area

## Basis:

This EAL escalates from <u>EAL\_HU1.2</u> in that the occurrence of the event has resulted in **VISIBLE DAMAGE** to plant structures or areas containing equipment necessary for a safe shutdown, or has caused damage to the safety systems in those structures evidenced by <u>Ceontrol R</u>=room indications of degraded system response or performance. The occurrence of **VISIBLE DAMAGE** and/or degraded system response is intended to discriminate against lesser events. The initial report should not be interpreted as mandating a lengthy damage assessment prior to classification. No attempt is made in this EAL to assess the actual magnitude of the damage. The significance here is not that a particular system or structure was damaged, but rather, that the event was of sufficient magnitude to cause this degradation.

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be based on System Malfunction ICsEALs.

## EALs #2 - #5

[These EALs should specify site specific structures or areas that contain safety system, or component and functions required for safe shutdown of the plant. Site specific Safe Shutdown Analysis should be consulted for equipment and plant areas required to establish or maintain safe shutdown.]

## EAL #2

This EAL is based on a tornado striking (touching down) or high winds that have caused **VISIBLE DAMAGE** to structures containing functions or systems required for safe shutdown of the plant.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Average, as used in the EAL threshold, is intended to be the 15 minute rolling average as provided by SPDS and not the instantaneous wind speed.

The design wind velocities are 108 mph (including a gust factor of approximately 1.3) at 30 feet above ground. However, the Control Room wind speed only provides a display up to 100 mph so the classification threshold has been capped at 95 mph to allow for onscale indication of wind speed. The manner in which the HCGS SPDS processes data from the meteorological instrumentation differs from the SGS SPDS; consequently, minor differences between HCGS and SGS readings may occur.

The ALERT classification is appropriate if relevant plant parameters indicate that the performance of safety systems has been degraded. No attempt should be made to fully inventory the actual magnitude of the damage or quantify the degradation of safety system performance prior to declaration of an ALERT under this threshold. The declaration of an ALERT and the activation of the TSC provide the Emergency Coordinator with the resources needed to perform detailed damage assessments.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HA1,2 The National Weather Service can be contacted for further information about existing or projected Adverse Weather Conditions:

- Phila/Mount Holly (609) 261-6600
- NWS Web site <u>http://www.erh.noaa.gov/er/phi</u>
- Phila/Mount Holly (609) 261-6604
- Phila/Mount Holly (609) 261-6602

## Definitions:

VITAL AREA: Typically any site specific areas, normally within the **PROTECTED AREA**, that contains equipment, systems, components, or material, the failure, destruction, or release of which could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety by exposure to radiation.

**DEGRADED PERFORMANCE**: Assessment of degraded safe shutdown system performance includes examination of systems in standby status as well as those in operation. When a safe shutdown system is in operation, its performance can be directly observed and compared to its design capability (e.g., rated flow is required but cannot be achieved). When an operating safe shutdown system cannot fulfill its design function, its performance is degraded. When a safe shutdown system is in standby, its performance capability may not be readily determined. One or more of the following can provide indirect indication of its performance capability:

- Electrical faults on power supplies
- Normally closed breakers in tripped position
- System annunciators activated
- System warning lights lit
- Insufficient system pressure from keep-fill pumps
- Elevated area temperatures or radiation levels
- Increased sump pump operation in areas in which the system is located

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

Salem

Page 3 of 5

Rev. 0 (draft E)

EAL#: HA1.2

**VISIBLE DAMAGE**: Damage to equipment or structure that is readily observable without measurements, testing, or analysis. Damage is sufficient to cause concern regarding the continued operability or reliability of the affected structure, system, or component. Example damage includes: deformation due to heat or impact, denting, penetration, rupture, cracking, and paint blistering. Surface blemishes (e.g., paint chipping, scratches) should not be included.

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HA1.2

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HA1 Example EAL #2
- 2. UFSAR 3.3.1.1 Design wind Velocity and Loading
- 3. UFSAR 3.2 Severe Weather and Natural Disaster Guidelines
- 4. OP-AA-108-111-1001 Severe Weather and Natural Disaster Guidelines
- 5. NC.CH-SC.MET-1206(Q) Meteorological Monitoring System Calibration and Maintenance
- 6. SC.OP-AB.ZZ-0001(Q) Adverse Environmental Conditions

EAL# & Classification Level:	HA1.3 – ALERT
Mode Applicability:	All
Initiating Condition:	Natural or destructive phenomena affecting VITAL AREAS
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Natural & Destructive Phenomena
EAL Category:	C – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety

EAL:

Turbine failure-generated **PROJECTILES** resulting in **<u>EITHER</u>** of the following:

- VISIBLE DAMAGE to <u>ANY</u> Table H-1 plant structures
- Control Room indication of **DEGRADED PERFORMANCE** of safe shutdown systems

Table H-1	Plant Structures Containing Safe Shutdown Systems or Components
0	Auxiliary Building
0	Service Water Intake Structure
0	Control Point Area
0	Inner/Outer Penetration Areas
0	Containment
0	Fuel Handling Building
0	Service Building
0	RWST, PWST, and AFWST Area

## Basis:

These This EALs escalates from EAL HU1.3 in that the occurrence of the event has resulted in **VISIBLE DAMAGE** to plant structures or areas containing equipment necessary for a safe shutdown, or has caused damage to the safety systems in those structures evidenced by Ceontrol Rroom indications of degraded system response or performance. The occurrence of **VISIBLE DAMAGE** and/or degraded system response is intended to discriminate against lesser events. The initial report should not be interpreted as mandating a lengthy damage assessment prior to classification. No attempt is made in this EAL to assess the actual

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HA1.3 magnitude of the damage. The significance here is not that a particular system or structure was damaged, but rather, that the event was of sufficient magnitude to cause this degradation.

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be based on System Malfunction ICsEALs.

## EALs #2 - #5

[These EALs should specify site specific structures or areas that contain safety system, or component and functions required for safe shutdown of the plant. Site specific Safe Shutdown Analysis should be consulted for equipment and plant areas required to establish or maintain safe shutdown.]

## <u>EAL #4</u>

This EAL addresses the threat to safety related equipment imposed by **PROJECTILES** generated by main turbine rotating component failures. Therefore, this EAL is consistent with the definition of an ALERT in that the potential exists for actual or substantial potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

## Definitions:

**VITAL AREA**: Typically any site specific areas, normally within the **PROTECTED AREA**, that contains equipment, systems, components, or material, the failure, destruction, or release of which could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety by exposure to radiation.

**DEGRADED PERFORMANCE**: Assessment of degraded safe shutdown system performance includes examination of systems in standby status as well as those in operation. When a safe shutdown system is in operation, its performance can be directly observed and compared to its design capability (e.g., rated flow is required but cannot be achieved). When an operating safe shutdown system cannot fulfill its design function, its performance is degraded. When a safe shutdown system is in standby, its performance capability may not be readily determined. One or more of the following can provide indirect indication of its performance capability:

- Electrical faults on power supplies
- Normally closed breakers in tripped position
- System annunciators activated
- System warning lights lit
- Insufficient system pressure from keep-fill pumps

#### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

- Elevated area temperatures or radiation levels
- Increased sump pump operation in areas in which the system is located

Salem

Page 3 of 5

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HA1.3 **PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

**VISIBLE DAMAGE**: Damage to equipment or structure that is readily observable without measurements, testing, or analysis. Damage is sufficient to cause concern regarding the continued operability or reliability of the affected structure, system, or component. Example damage includes: deformation due to heat or impact, denting, penetration, rupture, cracking, and paint blistering. Surface blemishes (e.g., paint chipping, scratches) should not be included.

**PROJECTILE**: An object that impacts Salem and/or Hope Creek that could cause concern for continued operability, reliability or personnel safety.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HA1 Example EAL #4
- 2. UFSAR 3.5.4 Turbine Missiles

# This page intentionally blank

. --

ļ.

į

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HA1.3

EAL Category:	C – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
---------------	---

EAL Subcategory: 1 – Natural & Destructive Phenomena

Initiating Condition: Natural or destructive phenomena affecting VITAL AREAS

Mode Applicability: All

EAL# & Classification Level: HA1.4 – ALERT

## EAL:

Internal Flooding in ANY Table H-1 plant structure

AND

The **Flooding** is of a magnitude that results in **<u>EITHER</u>** of the following:

- Indication of **DEGRADED PERFORMANCE** of a **Safety System** within a **Table H-1** Structure.
- An Industrial Safety Hazard (Electrical Shock, High Temp, etc.) resulting in access restrictions to operate or monitor **Safety System** equipment.

Table H-1	Plant Structures Containing Safe Shutdown Systems or Components
0	Auxiliary Building
0	Service Water Intake Structure
0	Control Point Area
0	Inner/Outer Penetration Areas
9	Containment
0	Fuel Handling Building
0	Service Building
0	RWST, PWST, and AFWST Area

## Basis:

These This EALs escalates from EAL HU1.4 in that the occurrence of the event has resulted in VISIBLE DAMAGE to plant structures or areas containing equipment necessary for a safe

Salem

Page 1 of 5

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HA1.4 shutdown, or has caused an electrical shock hazard precluding access to plant structures containing safe shutdown systems or components or damage to the safety systems or components in those structures as evidenced by Ceontrol <u>R</u>room indications of degraded system response or performance. The <u>lack of access or occurrence of VISIBLE DAMAGE</u> and/or degraded system response is intended to discriminate against lesser events. The initial report should not be interpreted as mandating a lengthy damage assessment prior to classification. No attempt is made in this EAL to assess the actual magnitude of the <u>any</u> damage. The significance here is not that a particular system or structure was damaged, but rather, that the event was of sufficient magnitude to cause this <u>lack of access or performance</u> degradation.

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be based on System Malfunction ICsEALs.

EALs #2 - #5

[These EALs should specify site specific structures or areas that contain safety system, or component and functions required for safe shutdown of the plant. Site specific Safe Shutdown Analysis should be consulted for equipment and plant areas required to establish or maintain safe shutdown.]

## $\underline{\mathsf{EAL}}_{\mathsf{B}} = \underbrace{\mathsf{AL}}_{\mathsf{B}} \underbrace{\mathsf{B}}_{\mathsf{B}} \underbrace{\mathsf{A}}_{\mathsf{B}} \underbrace{\mathsf{A}} \underbrace{\mathsf{A}}_{\mathsf{B}} \underbrace{\mathsf{A}} \underbrace{\mathsf{A}} \underbrace{\mathsf{A}} \underbrace{\mathsf{A}} \underbrace{\mathsf{$

This EAL addresses the effect of internal flooding caused by events such as component failures, equipment misalignment, or outage activity mishaps. It is based on the **DEGRADED PERFORMANCE** of systems, or has created industrial safety hazards (e.g., electrical shock) that preclude necessary access to operate or monitor safety equipment. The inability to access, operate or monitor safety equipment represents an actual or substantial potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant.

**Flooding** as used in this EAL describes a condition where water is entering the room faster than installed equipment is capable of removal, resulting in a rise of water level within the room. Classification of this EAL should not be delayed while corrective actions are being taken to isolate the water source.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

**Flooding** is an event or condition in excess of the available sump pump handling capability (installed or temporary) that results in a condition where water is entering a room faster than it is being removed resulting in a rise in water level within the room. Classification should not be delayed while taking corrective actions to isolate the source of the **flooding**. This EAL addresses the effects of **flooding** caused by events such as component failures, equipment misalignment, or outage activity mishaps where flooding is occurring in areas that affect safety related equipment. This EAL is based on the degraded performance of systems, or has created industrial safety hazards (electrical shock) that preclude necessary access to operate or monitor safety equipment. The inability to access, operate or monitor safety equipment

Salem

Page 2 of 5

Rev. 0 (draft E)

EAL#: HA1.4

## SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

represents an actual or substantial potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant meeting the definition of an ALERT.

In those cases where it is believed that **DEGRADED PERFORMANCE** due to **flooding** may have caused damage to a Safety System, an ALERT declaration is warranted since the full extent of the damage need not be known. A Safety System is defined as any system required to maintain safe operation or to establish or maintain Cold Shutdown.

If the **flooding** has reached the level(s) specified in OP-AB.ZZ-0002, FLOODING, then the flooding is severe, is in excess of sump handling capability and has degraded the performance of safety related equipment. Consequently, if the flooding has reached the levels specified in OP-AB.ZZ-0002, **Flooding**, then classification under this EAL is warranted. The source of the flooding and the status of the sump pumps are not factors in evaluating this EAL. For areas that do not have a **Flooding** level specified in OP-AB.ZZ-0002, SM judgment should be used.

**Flooding** is determined in these areas by visual report from staff or by confirmation of sump alarms. S1(S2).OP-AB.ZZ-0002 (Q) directs the operators to determine the exact location and severity of **Flooding**. Attachments in this procedure delineate the affected plant areas, potential source(s) of water, affected vital equipment, flood rate and time to submerge vital equipment.

## Definitions:

**VITAL AREA**: Typically any site specific areas, normally within the **PROTECTED AREA**, that contains equipment, systems, components, or material, the failure, destruction, or release of which could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety by exposure to radiation.

**DEGRADED PERFORMANCE**: Assessment of degraded safe shutdown system performance includes examination of systems in standby status as well as those in operation. When a safe shutdown system is in operation, its performance can be directly observed and compared to its design capability (e.g., rated flow is required but cannot be achieved). When an operating safe shutdown system cannot fulfill its design function, its performance is degraded. When a safe shutdown system is in standby, its performance capability may not be readily determined. One or more of the following can provide indirect indication of its performance capability:

- Electrical faults on power supplies
- Normally closed breakers in tripped position
- System annunciators activated
- System warning lights lit
- Insufficient system pressure from keep-fill pumps

## SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

- Elevated area temperatures or radiation levels
- Increased sump pump operation in areas in which the system is located

Rev. 0 (draft E) . EAL#: 円▲1.4

· .

 **PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HA1 Example EAL #3
- 2. UFSAR 3.2 Classification of Structures, Components and Systems
- 3. S1(S2).OP-AB.ZZ-0002 (Q) Flooding

ŀ

EAL Category:	C – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Natural & Destructive Phenomena
Initiating Condition:	Natural or destructive phenomena affecting VITAL AREAS
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HA1.6 – ALERT

EAL:

Vehicle Crash or **PROJECTILE** Impact with or within <u>ANY</u> Table H-1 Structure

<u>AND</u>

The Vehicle Crash or **PROJECTILE** Impact results in **<u>EITHER</u>** of the following:

- Control Room indication of **DEGRADED PERFORMANCE** of a **Safety System** within **Table H-1** Structure
- VISIBLE DAMAGE to ANY of the plant structures in Table H-1

Table H-1	Plant Structures Containing Safe Shutdown Systems or Components
0	Auxiliary Building
0	Service Water Intake Structure
0	Control Point Area
0	Inner/Outer Penetration Areas
0	Containment
0	Fuel Handling Building
0	Service Building
0	RWST, PWST, and AFWST Area

## Basis:

These EALs escalate from HU1 in that the occurrence of the event has resulted in VISIBLE DAMAGE to plant structures or areas containing equipment necessary for a safe shutdown, or has caused damage to the safety systems in those structures evidenced by control room

indications of degraded system response or performance. The occurrence of VISIBLE DAMAGE and/or degraded system response is intended to discriminate against lesser events. The initial report should not be interpreted as mandating a lengthy damage assessment prior to classification. No attempt is made in this EAL to assess the actual magnitude of the damage. The significance here is not that a particular system or structure incurred damage, but rather that the event was of sufficient magnitude to cause either VISIBLE DAMAGE to the safety systems in Table H-1 structures or Control Room indications of degraded system performance. The month significance here is not that a particular system or structure was damaged, but rather, that the event was of sufficient magnitude to cause this degradation.

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be based on <u>EALs in</u> <u>Category S.</u> System Malfunctions!Cs.

## <u>EALs #2 - #5</u>

[These EALs should specify site specific structures or areas that contain safety system, or component and functions required for safe shutdown of the plant. Site specific Safe Shutdown Analysis should be consulted for equipment and plant areas required to establish or maintain safe shutdown.]

## <u>EAL-#5</u>

This EAL addresses vehicle crashes <u>or **PROJECTILE** impacts</u> within the **PROTECTED AREA** that results in **VISIBLE DAMAGE** to **VITAL AREAS** or indication of damage to safety structures, systems, or components containing functions and systems required for safe shutdown of the plant.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The primary concern in this EAL is the magnitude of the vehicle crashes/ **PROJECTILE** impacts. A detailed assessment of system damage is not required prior to classification. Vehicle Crash includes **AIRCRAFT**, Helicopters, Ships, Barges, Trucks, Autos, or any other vehicle types of sufficient momentum to potentially damage the structure. Minor contacts (not crashes) by onsite vehicles such as trucks, autos, forklifts, etc., are excluded from classification under this EAL. **PROJECTILE** impact includes flying objects from either offsite or onsite, rotating equipment or turbine failure causing turbine-casing penetration.

A Safety System is any system required to maintain safe operation or to establish or maintain cold shutdown. In those cases where it is believed that the vehicle crash/ **PROJECTILE** impact may have caused **VISIBLE DAMAGE** to a Safety System, an ALERT declaration is warranted since the full extent of the damage may not be known. The turbine building is not a safety structure and would not be considered for this EAL.

No lengthy or time-consuming assessment of damage is required prior to classification. In this EAL, no attempt is made to quantify the magnitude of the damage to any safety system but

#### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

instead an attempt is made to identify any damage in order to quantify the magnitude and extent of the vehicle crashes/**PROJECTILE** impact.

In short, if the vehicle crash/ **PROJECTILE** impact is big enough that it has damaged a Safety System/Safety Structure or cause Safety System DEGRADED PERFORMANCE, then the vehicle crash/ **PROJECTILE** impact is big enough to justify an ALERT declaration.

Any security aspects or suspected **HOSTILE ACTIONS** that involve vehicles or **PROJECTILE** impact should be considered under EALs in Subcategory H.4.

This event will be escalated based on further damage to plant safety systems, fission product barriers, or abnormal radiation releases. The Emergency Coordinator may use discretion and escalate the classification to a SITE AREA EMERGENCY based on the nature of the damage.

: :

Salem

Page 3 of 5

. 4

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HA1.6 Definitions:

VITAL AREA: Typically any site specific areas, normally within the **PROTECTED AREA**, that contains equipment, systems, components, or material, the failure, destruction, or release of which could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety by exposure to radiation.

**PROJECTILE**: An object that impacts Salem and/or Hope Creek that could cause concern for continued operability, reliability, or personnel safety.

**DEGRADED PERFORMANCE**: Assessment of degraded safe shutdown system performance includes examination of systems in standby status as well as those in operation. When a safe shutdown system is in operation, its performance can be directly observed and compared to its design capability (e.g., rated flow is required but cannot be achieved). When an operating safe shutdown system cannot fulfill its design function, its performance is degraded. When a safe shutdown system is in standby, its performance capability may not be readily determined. One or more of the following can provide indirect indication of its performance capability:

- Electrical faults on power supplies
- Normally closed breakers in tripped position
- System annunciators activated
- System warning lights lit

Ť.

- Insufficient system pressure from keep-fill pumps
- Elevated area temperatures or radiation levels
- Increased sump pump operation in areas in which the system is located

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HA1.6 **VISIBLE DAMAGE**: Damage to equipment or structure that is readily observable without measurements, testing, or analysis. Damage is sufficient to cause concern regarding the continued operability or reliability of the affected structure, system, or component. Example damage includes: deformation due to heat or impact, denting, penetration, rupture, cracking, and paint blistering. Surface blemishes (e.g., paint chipping, scratches) should not be included.

**AIRCRAFT**: Includes both small and large **AIRCRAFT**. Examples of **AIRCRAFT** include general aviation Cessna, Piper and Lear type private planes, large passenger or freight planes as well as police, medical and media helicopters.

**HOSTILE ACTION**: An act toward Salem or Hope Creek or its personnel that includes the use of violent force to destroy equipment, take **HOSTAGES**, and/or intimidate PSEG to achieve an end. This includes attack by air, land, or water using guns, explosives, **PROJECTILEs**, vehicles, or other devices used to deliver destructive force. Other acts that satisfy the overall intent may be included. **HOSTILE ACTION** should not be construed to include acts of civil disobedience or felonious acts that are not part of a concerted attack on Salem or Hope Creek. Non-terrorism-based EALs should be used to address such activities (i.e., this may include violent acts between individuals in the **OCA**).

**HOSTAGE**: A person(s) held as leverage against the station to ensure that demands will be met by the station.

· · · ·

. ....

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HA1 Example EAL #5
- 2. UFSAR 3.2 Classification of Structures, Components and Systems

EAL Category:  $\bigcirc \underline{H}$  – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety

EAL Subcategory: 2 – Fire or Explosion

Initiating Condition: FIRE within the PROTECTED AREA not extinguished within 15 minutes of detection or EXPLOSION within the PROTECTED AREA

Mode Applicability: All

EAL# & Classification Level: HU2.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT

EAL:

FIRE NOT extinguished within **15 minutes** of **<u>EITHER</u>** of the following:

- Control Room notification/report of a FIRE
- Verified **FIRE** detection system alarm/actuation

## <u>AND</u>

FIRE is located in the Turbine Building or <u>ANY</u> Table H-1 plant structure (Note 3)

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

Table H-1	Plant Structures Containing Safe
	Shutdown Systems or Components

- Auxiliary Building
  - Service Water Intake Structure
  - Control Point Area
  - Inner/Outer Penetration Areas
  - Containment
  - Fuel Handling Building
  - Service Building
- RWST, PWST, and AFWST Area
#### Basis:

This EAL addresses the magnitude and extent of **FIRES** or **EXPLOSIONS** that may be potentially significant precursors of damage to safety systems. It addresses the **FIRE** */* **EXPLOSION**, and not the degradation in performance of affected systems that may result.

As used here, detection is visual observation and report by plant personnel or sensor alarm indication.

#### <u>EAL #1</u>

The 15 minute time period begins with a credible notification that a FIRE is occurring, or indication of a FIRE detection system alarm/actuation. Verification of a FIRE detection system alarm/actuation includes actions that can be taken within the control room or other nearby site specific location to ensure that it is not spurious. An alarm is assumed to be an indication of a FIRE unless it is disproved within the 15 minute period by personnel dispatched to the scene. In other words, a personnel report from the scene may be used to disprove a sensor alarm if received within 15 minutes of the alarm, but shall not be required to verify the alarm.

The 15 minute time period begins with a credible notification/report that a **FIRE** is occurring, or upon verification that a **FIRE** detection system alarm/actuation is due to a **FIRE**.

a. A credible notification/report to the Control room would be a communications from a member of the plant staff (in-house or contractor) that identifies the observation of a **FIRE** in a specific location.

NOTE: In this case, the **15 minute** clock to assess the EAL and to extinguish the **FIRE** runs concurrently and starts upon Control Room receipt of the **FIRE** notification/report.

- b. Verification that a FIRE detection system alarm/actuation is due to a FIRE (not a spurious/false alarm) includes either one of the following:
  - 1. Control Room (or other nearby site-specific location) receipt of related independent alarm(s) (FIRE, temperature, deluge, FIRE pump start, etc.)

NOTE: In this case, the **15 minute** clock to assess the EAL and to extinguish the **FIRE** runs concurrently and starts upon receipt of the independent alarm(s) related to the **FIRE**.

2. On/Near-scene visual confirmation if only a single **FIRE**/smoke detector has <u>alarmed.</u>

NOTE: In this case, the **15 minute** clock to assess the EAL and to extinguish the **FIRE** runs concurrently and starts upon an on/near-scene confirmation of a **FIRE** related to the single **FIRE**/smoke detector that had alarmed.

The intent of this **15 minute** duration is to size the **FIRE** and to discriminate against small **FIRES** that are readily extinguished (e.g., smoldering waste paper basket).

[The site specific<u>Turbine Building and Table H-1</u> list should beis limited and applies to buildings and areas in actual contact with or immediately adjacent to VITAL AREAS or other significant buildings or areas. The intent of this IC-EAL is not to include buildings (i.e., warehouses) or areas that are not in actual contact with or immediately adjacent to VITAL AREAS. AREAS. This excludes FIRES within administration buildings, waste-basket FIRES, and other small FIRES of no safety consequence. Immediately adjacent implies that the area immediately adjacent contains or may contain equipment or cabling that could impact equipment located in VITAL AREAS or the FIRE could damage equipment inside VITAL AREAS or that precludes access to VITAL AREAS.]

#### <u>EAL #2</u>

This EAL addresses only those EXPLOSIONS of sufficient force to damage permanent structures or equipment within the PROTECTED AREA.

No attempt is made to assess the actual magnitude of the damage. The occurrence of the EXPLOSION is sufficient for declaration.

The Emergency director also needs to consider any security aspects of the EXPLOSION, if applicable.

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be based on <u>EAL</u> HA2<u>.1</u>.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The Table H-1 Plant Structures Containing Safe Shutdown Systems or Components include those plant structures identified as Seismic Category I.

#### Definitions:

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

VITAL AREA: Typically any site specific areas, normally within the **PROTECTED AREA**, that contains equipment, systems, components, or material, the failure, destruction, or release of which could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety by exposure to radiation.

**FIRE**: Combustion characterized by heat and light. Sources of smoke such as slipping drive belts or overheated electrical equipment do not constitute **FIRES**. Observation of flame is preferred but is NOT required if large quantities of smoke and heat are observed.

**EXPLOSION**: A rapid, violent, unconfined combustion, or catastrophic failure of pressurized/energized equipment that imparts energy of sufficient force to potentially damage permanent structures, systems, or components.

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HU2 Example EAL #1
- 2. UFSAR 3.2 Classification of Structures, Components and Systems

•

This page intentionally blank

EAL Category:	G-H-Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	2 – Fire or Explosion
Initiating Condition:	FIRE within the PROTECTED AREA not extinguished within 15 minutes of detection or EXPLOSION within the PROTECTED AREA
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HU2.2 – UNUSUAL EVENT
EAL:	
EXPLOSION within the PROTEC	TED AREA

#### Basis:

This EAL addresses the magnitude and extent of FIRES or EXPLOSIONS that may be potentially significant precursors of damage to safety systems. It addresses the FIRE / EXPLOSION, and not the degradation in performance of affected systems that may result.

As used here, detection is visual observation and report by plant personnel or sensor alarm indication.

#### <u>EAL #1</u>

The 15 minute time period begins with a credible notification that a FIRE is occurring, or indication of a fire detection system alarm/actuation. Verification of a fire detection system alarm/actuation includes actions that can be taken within the control room or other nearby site specific location to ensure that it is not spurious. An alarm is assumed to be an indication of a FIRE unless it is disproved within the 15 minute period by personnel dispatched to the scene. In other words, a personnel report from the scene may be used to disprove a sensor alarm if received within 15 minutes of the alarm, but shall not be required to verify the alarm.

The intent of this 15 minute duration is to size the FIRE and to discriminate against small FIRES that are readily extinguished (e.g., smoldering waste paper basket).

[The site specific list should be limited and applies to buildings and areas in actual contact with or immediately adjacent to VITAL AREAS or other significant buildings or areas. The intent of this IC is not to include buildings (i.e., warehouses) or areas that are not in actual contact with or immediately adjacent to VITAL AREAS. This excludes FIRES within administration buildings, waste-basket FIRES, and other small FIRES of no safety consequence. Immediately adjacent implies that the area immediately adjacent contains or may contain

Salem

equipment or cabling that could impact equipment located in VITAL AREAS or the fire could damage equipment inside VITAL AREAS or that precludes access to VITAL AREAS.]

### <u>EAL #2</u>

This EAL addresses only those **EXPLOSIONS** of sufficient force to damage permanent structures or equipment within the **PROTECTED AREA**.

No attempt is made to assess the actual magnitude of the damage. The occurrence of the **EXPLOSION** is sufficient for declaration.

The Emergency <u>director</u> <u>Coordinator</u> also needs to consider any security aspects of the **EXPLOSION**, if applicable.

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be based on <u>EAL</u> HA2.2.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

If the **EXPLOSION** is determined to be hostile in nature, the event is classified under EAL HS4.1.

Definitions:

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

**FIRE**: Combustion characterized by heat and light. Sources of smoke such as slipping drive belts or overheated electrical equipment do not constitute **FIRES**. Observation of flame is preferred but is NOT required if large quantities of smoke and heat are observed.

**EXPLOSION**: A rapid, violent, unconfined combustion, or catastrophic failure of pressurized/energized equipment that imparts energy of sufficient force to potentially damage permanent structures, systems, or components.

### EAL Bases Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HU2 Example EAL #2

Salem

EAL Category:C-H- Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant SafetyEAL Subcategory:2 - Fire or ExplosionInitiating Condition:FIRE or EXPLOSION in a VITAL AREA affecting the<br/>energy bility of plant opfaty overlaps required to establish or

operability of plant safety systems required to establish or maintain safe shutdown

Mode Applicability: All

EAL# & Classification Level: HA2.1 – ALERT

EAL:

**FIRE** in <u>ANY</u> **Table H-1** plant structure affecting the operability of plant safety systems required to establish or maintain safe shutdown

AND

≥ 15 minutes have elapsed (Note 3)

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

Table H-1Plant Structures Containing Safe<br/>Shutdown Systems or Components•Auxiliary Building•Service Water Intake Structure•Control Point Area•Inner/Outer Penetration Areas•Containment•Fuel Handling Building•Service Building•RWST, PWST, and AFWST Area

### Basis:

VISIBLE DAMAGE is used to identify the magnitude of the fire or explosion and to discriminate against minor fires and explosions.

The reference to structures containing safety systems or components is included to discriminate against **FIRES or explosions** in areas having a low probability of affecting safe operation. The significance here is not that a safety system was degraded but the fact that the **FIRE** or **EXPLOSION** was large enough to cause damage to these systems.

The use of **VISIBLE DAMAGE** should not be interpreted as mandating a lengthy damage assessment prior to classification. The declaration of an ALERT and the activation of the Technical Support Center will provide the Emergency <u>Director Coordinator</u> with the resources needed to perform detailed damage assessments.

\_The Emergency Director also needs to consider any security aspects of the EXPLOSION.

[This EAL should specify site specific structures or areas that contain safety system, or component and functions required for safe shutdown of the plant. Site specific Safe Shutdown Analysis should be consulted for equipment and plant areas required to establish or maintain safe shutdown.]

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, will be based on <u>EALs in</u> <u>Category S</u>, System Malfunctions, <u>Category F</u>, Fission Product Barrier Degradation, or <u>Category R</u>, Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad iological-Effluent-ICs.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The Table H-1 Plant Structures Containing Safe Shutdown Systems or Components include those plant structures identified as Seismic Category I.

### Definitions:

**FIRE**: Combustion characterized by heat and light. Sources of smoke such as slipping drive belts or overheated electrical equipment do not constitute **FIRES**. Observation of flame is preferred but is NOT required if large quantities of smoke and heat are observed.

**EXPLOSION**: A rapid, violent, unconfined combustion, or catastrophic failure of pressurized/energized equipment that imparts energy of sufficient force to potentially damage permanent structures, systems, or components.

**VITAL AREAS**: Typically any site specific areas, normally within the **PROTECTED AREA**, that contains equipment, systems, components, or material, the failure,

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) FAL #  $\mathbb{H} \mathbb{A} \mathbb{2}_{-1}$ 

÷ .

destruction, or release of which could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety by exposure to radiation

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

Salem

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HA2 Example EAL #1
- 2. UFSAR 3.2 Classification of Structures, Components and Systems

This page intentionally blank

EAL Category:	C-H-Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	2 – Fire or Explosion
Initiating Condition:	FIRE or EXPLOSION in a VITAL AREA affecting the operability of plant safety systems required to establish or maintain safe shutdown
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HA2.2 – ALERT
EAL:	

**EXPLOSION** in <u>ANY</u> **Table H-1** plant structure affecting the operability of plant safety systems required to establish or maintain safe shutdown

Table H-1	Plant Structures Containing Safe Shutdown Systems or Components
0	Auxiliary Building
0	Service Water Intake Structure
0	Control Point Area
0	Inner/Outer Penetration Areas
0	Containment
0	Fuel Handling Building
0	Service Building
6	RWST, PWST, and AFWST Area

#### Basis:

**VISIBLE DAMAGE** is used to identify the magnitude of the fire or explosion and to discriminate against minor fires and explosions.

The reference to structures containing safety systems or components is included to discriminate against **FIRES or explosions** in areas having a low probability of affecting safe operation. The significance here is not that a safety system was degraded but the fact that the **FIRE or EXPLOSION** was large enough to cause damage to these systems.

The use of **VISIBLE DAMAGE** should not be interpreted as mandating a lengthy damage assessment prior to classification. The declaration of an ALERT and the activation of the Technical Support Center will provide the Emergency <u>Director Coordinator</u> with the resources needed to perform detailed damage assessments.

The Emergency <u>Coordinator Director</u> also needs to consider any security aspects of the **EXPLOSION**.

[This EAL should specify site specific structures or areas that contain safety system, or component and functions required for safe shutdown of the plant. Site specific Safe Shutdown Analysis should be consulted for equipment and plant areas required to establish or maintain safe shutdown.]

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, will be based on <u>EALs in</u> <u>Category S</u>, System Malfunctions, <u>Category F</u>, Fission Product Barrier Degradation, or <u>Category R</u>, Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad iological Effluent-ICs.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

If the **EXPLOSION** is determined to be hostile in nature, the event is classified under EAL HS4.1.

The Table H-1 Plant Structures Containing Safe Shutdown Systems or Components include those plant structures identified as Seismic Category I.

Definitions:

**FIRE**: Combustion characterized by heat and light. Sources of smoke such as slipping drive belts or overheated electrical equipment do not constitute **FIRES**. Observation of flame is preferred but is NOT required if large quantities of smoke and heat are observed.

**EXPLOSION**: A rapid, violent, unconfined combustion, or catastrophic failure of pressurized/energized equipment that imparts energy of sufficient force to potentially damage permanent structures, systems, or components.

VITAL AREAS: Typically any site specific areas, normally within the **PROTECTED AREA**, that contains equipment, systems, components, or material, the failure, destruction, or release of which could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety by exposure to radiation.

PROTECTED AREA (PA): A security controlled area within the OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA) that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the PA requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center. **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HA2 Example EAL #1
- 2. UFSAR 3.2 Classification of Structures, Components and Systems

EAL Category:	C- <u>H</u> – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	3 – Hazardous Gas
Initiating Condition:	Release of toxic, corrosive, asphyxiant or flammable gases deemed detrimental to NORMAL PLANT OPERATIONS
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HU3.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT
EAL:	

Release of toxic, corrosive, asphyxiant or flammable gas in amounts (excluding small or incidental releases) that have or could adversely affect **NORMAL PLANT OPERATIONS** 

#### Basis:

This EAL is based on the release of toxic, corrosive, asphyxiant or flammable gases of sufficient quantity to affect normal plant operations.

The fact that SCBA or other respiratory protection may be worn does not eliminate the need to declare the event.

This IC-EAL is not intended to require significant assessment or quantification. It assumes an uncontrolled process that has the potential to affect <u>NORMAL PLANT OPERATIONS</u>. This would preclude small or incidental releases, or releases that do not impact structures needed for plant operation.

An asphyxiant is a gas capable of reducing the level of oxygen in the body to dangerous levels. Most commonly, asphyxiants work by merely displacing air in an enclosed environment. This reduces the concentration of oxygen below the normal level of around 19%, which can lead to breathing difficulties, unconsciousness or even death.

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be based on <u>EAL</u> HA3<u>.1</u>.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The release may have originated within the Site Boundary, or it may have originated offsite and subsequently drifted onto the Site Boundary. Offsite events (e.g., tanker truck accident releasing toxic gases, etc.) resulting in the plant being within the evacuation area should also be considered in this EAL because of the adverse affect on **NORMAL PLANT OPERATIONS**. Should the release affect plant **VITAL AREAS**, escalation to an ALERT would be based on EAL HA3.1. Should an **EXPLOSION** or **FIRE** occur due to flammable gas within an affected plant area, an ALERT may be appropriate based on EAL HA2.1 or EAL HA2.2.

A Toxic Gas is considered to be any substance that is dangerous to life or limb by reason of inhalation or skin contact.

A Flammable Gas is considered to be any substance that can result in an ignition, sustained burn or detonation.

Carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ) is an asphyxiant gas. A 20 lb  $CO_2$  extinguisher discharge will not create a hazardous atmosphere unless the room volume is less than 2500 cubic feet.

A Corrosive Gas is a highly reactive substance that causes obvious damage to living tissue. Corrosives act either directly, by chemically destroying the part or indirectly by causing inflammation. Acids and bases are common corrosive materials. Corrosives such as these are also sometimes referred to as caustics.

This EAL should not be construed to include confined spaces that must be ventilated prior to entry or situations involving the fire department personnel who are using respiratory equipment during the performance of their duties unless it also affects personnel not involved with the fire department activates. In addition, those situations that require personnel to wear respiratory protection equipment as the result of airborne contamination as required by Radiation Protection personnel do not meet the intent of this EAL.

#### Definitions:

NORMAL PLANT OPERATIONS: Activities at the plant site associated with routine testing, maintenance, or equipment operations, in accordance with normal operating or administrative procedures. Entry into abnormal or emergency operating procedures, or deviation from normal security or radiological controls posture, is a departure from NORMAL PLANT OPERATIONS.

VITAL AREA: Typically any site specific areas, normally within the **PROTECTED AREA**, that contains equipment, systems, components, or material, the failure, destruction, or release of which could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety by exposure to radiation.

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

**FIRE**: Combustion characterized by heat and light. Sources of smoke such as slipping drive belts or overheated electrical equipment do not constitute **FIRES**. Observation of flame is preferred but is NOT required if large quantities of smoke and heat are observed.

**EXPLOSION**: A rapid, violent, unconfined combustion, or catastrophic failure of pressurized/energized equipment that imparts energy of sufficient force to potentially damage permanent structures, systems, or components.

### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HU3 Example EAL #1
- 2. OE25324 Alert Declared Due to CO2 Fire Extinguisher Discharge

.

This page intentionally blank

.

.•

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HU3.1

EAL Category:	C-H-Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	3 – Hazardous Gas
Initiating Condition:	Release of toxic, corrosive, asphyxiant or flammable gases deemed detrimental to NORMAL PLANT OPERATIONS
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HU3.2 – UNUSUAL EVENT (Common Site)
EAL:	

Notification by Local, County, or State Officials for evacuation or sheltering of site personnel based on an **off-site gas release event** that includes toxic, corrosive, asphyxiant, or flammable gas

#### Basis:

This EAL is based on the release of toxic, corrosive, asphyxiant or flammable gases of sufficient quantity to affect normal plant operations.

The fact that SCBA or other respiratory protection may be worn does not eliminate the need to declare the event.

This IC is not intended to require significant assessment or quantification. It assumes an uncontrolled process that has the potential to affect plant operations. This would preclude small or incidental releases, or releases that do not impact structures needed for plant operation.

An asphyxiant is a gas capable of reducing the level of oxygen in the body to dangerous levels. Most commonly, asphyxiants work by merely displacing air in an enclosed environment. This reduces the concentration of oxygen below the normal level of around 19%, which can lead to breathing difficulties, unconsciousness or even death.

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be based on <u>EAL</u> HA3<u>.1</u>.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This EAL is based on the existence of an uncontrolled release originating offsite and local, county or state officials have reported the need for evacuation or sheltering of site personnel.

State and local officials may determine the evacuation area for an offsite spill or release by using "The Emergency Response Guidebook (ERG2008)" developed by the US Department of Transportation.

Should the release affect plant **VITAL AREAS**, escalation to an ALERT would be based on EAL HA3.1. Should an **EXPLOSION** or **FIRE** occur due to flammable gas within an affected plant area, an ALERT may be appropriate based on EAL HA2.1.

A Toxic Gas is considered to be any substance that is dangerous to life or limb by reason of inhalation or skin contact.

A Flammable Gas is considered to be any substance that can result in an ignition, sustained burn or detonation.

Carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ) is an asphyxiant gas. A 20 lb.  $CO_2$  fire extinguisher discharge will not create a hazardous atmosphere unless the room volume is less than 2500 cu.ft.

A Corrosive Gas is a highly reactive substance that causes obvious damage to living tissue. Corrosives act either directly, by chemically destroying the part or indirectly by causing inflammation. Acids and bases are common corrosive materials. Corrosives such as these are also sometimes referred to as caustics.

Definitions:

NORMAL PLANT OPERATIONS: Activities at the plant site associated with routine testing, maintenance, or equipment operations, in accordance with normal operating or administrative procedures. Entry into abnormal or emergency operating procedures, or deviation from normal security or radiological controls posture, is a departure from NORMAL PLANT OPERATIONS.

: :

VITAL AREA: Typically any site specific areas, normally within the **PROTECTED AREA**, that contains equipment, systems, components, or material, the failure, destruction, or release of which could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety by exposure to radiation.

**PROTECTED AREA (PA):** A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

**FIRE**: Combustion characterized by heat and light. Sources of smoke such as slipping drive belts or overheated electrical equipment do not constitute **FIRES**. Observation of

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

EAL#: HU3.2

flame is preferred but is NOT required if large quantities of smoke and heat are observed.

**EXPLOSION**: A rapid, violent, unconfined combustion, or catastrophic failure of pressurized/energized equipment that imparts energy of sufficient force to potentially damage permanent structures, systems, or components.

### EAL Bases Reference(s):

-

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HU3 Example EAL #2
- 2. The Emergency Response Guide (ERG2008)
- 3. OE25354 Alert Due to CO<sub>2</sub> Fire Extinguisher Discharge

..

This page intentionally blank

EAL Category:	G- <u>H</u> – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	3 – Hazardous Gas
Initiating Condition:	Access to a <b>VITAL AREA</b> is prohibited due to toxic, corrosive, asphyxiant or flammable gases which jeopardize operation of operable equipment required to maintain safe operations or safely shut down the reactor
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HA3.1 – ALERT

#### EAL:

Access to <u>ANY</u> Table H-1 plant structure is prohibited due to toxic, corrosive, asphyxiant, or flammable gases which jeopardize operation of systems required to maintain safe operations or safely shut down the reactor (Note 5)

Note 5: If the equipment in the stated area was already inoperable, or out of service, before the event occurred, then this EAL should NOT be declared as it will have NO adverse impact on the ability of the plant to safely operate or safely shut down beyond that already allowed by Technical Specifications at the time of the event.

Table H-1	Plant Structures Containing Safe Shutdown Systems or Components
0	Auxiliary Building
0	Service Water Intake Structure
0	Control Point Area
0	Inner/Outer Penetration Areas
0	Containment
0	Fuel Handling Building
0	Service Building
0	RWST, PWST, and AFWST Area

#### Basis:

Gases in a **VITAL AREA** can affect the ability to safely operate or safely shut down the reactor.

Salem

The fact that SCBA or other respiratory protection may be worn does not eliminate the need to declare the event.

Declaration should not be delayed for confirmation from atmospheric testing if the atmosphere poses an immediate threat to life and health or an immediate threat of severe exposure to gases. This could be based upon documented analysis, indication of personal ill effects from exposure, or operating experience with the hazards.

If the equipment in the stated area was already inoperable, or out of service, before the event occurred, then this EAL should not be declared as it will have no adverse impact on the ability of the plant to safely operate or safely shutdown beyond that already allowed by Technical Specifications at the time of the event.

An asphyxiant is a gas capable of reducing the level of oxygen in the body to dangerous levels. Most commonly, asphyxiants work by merely displacing air in an enclosed environment. This reduces the concentration of oxygen below the normal level of around 19%, which can lead to breathing difficulties, unconsciousness or even death.

An uncontrolled release of flammable gasses within a facility structure has the potential to affect safe operation of the plant by limiting either operator or equipment operations due to the potential for ignition and resulting equipment damage/personnel injury. Flammable gasses, such as hydrogen and acetylene, are routinely used to maintain plant systems (hydrogen) or to repair equipment/components (acetylene - used in welding). This EAL assumes concentrations of flammable gasses which can ignite/support combustion.

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, will be based on <u>EALs in</u> <u>Category S</u>, System Malfunctions, <u>Category F</u>, Fission Product Barrier Degradation, or <u>Category R</u>, Abnormal Rad Levels / Radioactive Effluent ICs.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This EAL is based on gases that have entered a plant structure in concentrations that could be unsafe for plant personnel and, therefore, preclude access to equipment necessary for the safe operation or safe shutdown of the plant. The Table H-1 Plant Structures Containing Safe Shutdown Systems or Components include those plant structures identified as Seismic Category I.

A Toxic Gas is considered to be any substance that is dangerous to life or limb by reason of inhalation or skin contact.

A Flammable Gas is considered to be any substance that can result in an ignition, sustained burn or detonation.

Carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  is an asphyxiant gas. A 20 lb  $CO_2$  extinguisher discharge will not create a hazardous atmosphere unless the room volume is less than 2500 cubic feet.

Salem

A Corrosive Gas is a highly reactive substance that causes obvious damage to living tissue. Corrosives act either directly, by chemically destroying the part or indirectly by causing inflammation. Acids and bases are common corrosive materials. Corrosives such as these are also sometimes referred to as caustics.

This EAL should not be construed to include confined spaces that must be ventilated prior to entry or situations involving the fire department personnel who are using respiratory equipment during the performance of their duties unless it also affects personnel not involved with the fire department activates. In addition, those situations that require personnel to wear respiratory protection equipment as the result of airborne contamination as required by Radiation Protection personnel do not meet the intent of this EAL.

Definitions:

**VITAL AREA**: Typically any site specific areas, normally within the **PROTECTED AREA**, that contains equipment, systems, components, or material, the failure, destruction, or release of which could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety by exposure to radiation.

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HA3 Example EAL #1
- 2. UFSAR 3.2 Classification of Structures, Components and Systems

e de la conserva de l

3. OE25324 Alert Declared Due to CO2 Fire Extinguisher Discharge

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HA3.1 .

## This page intentionally blank

Salem

-

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: **HA3.1** 

Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
Security
nfirmed <b>SECURITY CONDITION</b> or threat which cates a potential degradation in the level of safety of the nt
4.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT (Common Site)
i

#### EAL:

A **SECURITY CONDITION** that does NOT involve a **HOSTILE ACTION** as reported by the Security Operations Supervisor or designee (Note 8)

### 

Receipt of a **CREDIBLE/ACTUAL THREAT** to Salem or Hope Creek station – (determined by security in accordance with SY-AA-101-132, "Threat Assessment") (Note 8)

## 

A VALIDATED notification from NRC providing information of a Salem/Hope Creek AIRCRAFT threat (Note 8)

NOTE 8: Shift Manager (SM) should implement the Prompt Actions of NC.EP-EP.ZZ-0102, EC Response, Attachment 10, prior to classification of a security emergency.

Key Information to obtain from Security Supervision upon SM notification of a security event:

- Determination if the security event is a **HOSTILE ACTION** or **SECURITY CONDITION**
- If a **HOSTILE ACTION**, is location the **OCA** or **PA**?

#### Basis:

Security events which do not represent a potential degradation in the level of safety of the plant are reported under 10 CFR 73.71 or in some cases under 10 CFR 50.72. Security events assessed as **HOSTILE ACTIONS** are classifiable under <u>EAL HA4.1</u>, <u>EAL HS4.1</u> and <u>EAL HG4.1</u>.

A higher initial classification could be made based upon the nature and timing of the security threat and potential consequences. The <u>licensee</u>-Emergency Coordinator\_shall consider upgrading the emergency response status and emergency classification level in accordance with the <u>Salem – Hope Creek Security Contingency Plansite's Safeguards Contingency Plan</u> and Emergency Plan.

## EAL #11<sup>st</sup> Condition (SECURITY CONDITION)

Reference is made to <u>site-the</u> specific security shift supervision <u>(Security Operations</u> <u>Supervisor or designee)</u> because these individuals are the designated personnel on-site qualified and trained to confirm that a security event is occurring or has occurred. Training on security event classification confirmation is closely controlled due to the strict secrecy controls placed on the <u>Salem – Hope Creek Security Contingency Planplant Safeguards Contingency</u> <u>Plan</u>.

This threshold is based on site specific security plansthe Salem – Hope Creek Security Contingency Plan. Site specific Safeguards Contingency Plans are The Salem – Hope Creek Security Contingency Plan is based on guidance provided by NEI 03-12, Template for the Security Plan, Training and Qualification Plan, Security Contingency Plan and ISFSI Program.

## EAL #22<sup>nd</sup> Condition (CREDIBLE / ACTUAL THREAT)

This threshold is included to ensure that appropriate notifications for the security threat are made in a timely manner. This includes information of a credible threat. Only the site to which the specific threat is made needs declare the Notification of an UNUSUAL EVENT.

The determination of **CREDIBLE** is made through use of information found in <u>Threat</u> <u>Assessment, SY-AA-101-132</u>the site specific Safeguards Contingency Plan.

## EAL #33<sup>rd</sup> Condition (AIRCRAFT Threat)

The intent of this <u>part of the EAL</u> is to ensure that notifications for the **AIRCRAFT** threat are made in a timely manner and that offsite response organization (OROs) and plant personnel are at a state of heightened awareness regarding the credible threat. It is not the intent of this EAL to replace existing non-hostile related EALs involving **AIRCRAFT**.

This EAL is met when a plant <u>(site)</u> receives information regarding an **AIRCRAFT** threat from NRC. Validation is performed by calling the NRC or by other approved methods of authentication. Only the site to which the specific threat is made need declare the UNUSUAL EVENT.

The NRC Headquarters Operations Officer (HOO) will communicate to the licensee if the threat involves an **AIRLINER** (**AIRLINER** is meant to be a large **AIRCRAFT** with the potential for causing significant damage to the plant). The status and size of the plane may be provided by NORAD through the NRC.

Escalation to ALERT emergency classification level would be via <u>EAL</u> HA4.1 and would be appropriate if the threat involves an **AIRLINER** within 30 minutes of the plant or a **HOSTILE ACTION** in the **OCA** or **PA**.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

If the security events do not meet the threshold for an UNUSUAL EVENT classification, they may result in the need to make a non-emergency report per RAL Section 11.7.1.a, One Hour Non-Emergency Safeguards Event (10 CFR 73.71) as determined by Security per SY-AA-1002, "Safeguards Event Report."

Security will be focused on actions to mitigate the security event and will provide the SM with key information as the event progresses. Communications between the SMs and the Security Team Leader should be accurate, concise, and focused on EAL criteria and protection of key target sets. As Security and Operations terminology sometimes differ, clarifying questions should be asked to ensure accurate information exchange.

### 1<sup>st</sup> Condition (SECURITY CONDITION)

Page 6 of this EAL Basis is a "Security Contingency Event Summary Table" that indicates which Security Contingency Events could result in Security Supervision determining that a **SECURITY CONDITION** exists and therefore an UNUSUAL EVENT classification should be made <u>OR</u>, could result in Security Supervision determining that a **HOSTILE ACTION** is or has occurred and therefore classification at the ALERT or higher level should be made based on the location (OCA or PA) of the **HOSTILE ACTION**.

### 2<sup>nd</sup> Condition (CREDIBLE / ACTUAL THREAT)

This threshold is included to ensure that threat information from any source which is assessed by security supervision as being a "**CREDIBLE/ACTUAL THREAT**" is classified as an UNUSUAL EVENT. Only the site to which the specific threat is made needs to declare the UNUSUAL EVENT. For Security Events, Salem and Hope Creek is considered a single site, therefore a "**CREDIBLE/ACTUAL THREAT**" to either Salem or Hope Creek would affect the entire site and a "Common Site" UE declaration would be made.

Timely classification will ensure that Offsite Response Organizations and plant personnel are notified in a timely manner resulting in a state of heightened awareness. Threats are evaluated by security per Threat Assessment, SY-AA-101-132. Security threats that do not meet the definition of a "CREDIBLE/ACTUAL THREAT" should be dispositioned IAW Threat Assessment, SY-AA-101-132.

#### 3<sup>rd</sup> Condition (AIRCRAFT Threat)

**AIRCRAFT** threat calls from the NRC should be **VALIDATED** by use of NRC authentication code or a return call to the NRC Headquarter Operations Center.

For security events, Salem and Hope Creek is considered a single site, therefore, a **\*VALIDATED AIRCRAFT THREAT**" to either Salem or Hope Creek would affect the entire site and a "Common Site" UE declaration would be made.

#### Definitions:

**SECURITY CONDITION**: Any security event as listed in the approved security contingency plan that constitutes a threat/compromise to site security, threat/risk to site personnel, or a potential degradation to the level of safety of the plant. A **SECURITY CONDITION** does not involve a **HOSTILE ACTION**.

VALIDATED: AIRCRAFT threat call from the NRC that is confirmed to be authentic. Calls from the NRC are VALIDATED by use of the NRC provided authentication code or by making a return call to the NRC Headquarter Operations Center and confirming threat information with the NRC Operation Officer. AIRCRAFT threat calls from other agencies, NORAD, FAA, or FBI should be VALIDATED by calling the NRC Operations Officer.

AIRCRAFT: Includes both small and large AIRCRAFT. Examples of AIRCRAFT include general aviation Cessna, Piper and Lear type private planes, large passenger or freight planes as well as police, medical and media helicopters. A large AIRCRAFT is referred to as an AIRLINER.

**AIRLINER/LARGE AIRCRAFT**: Any size or type of **AIRCRAFT** with the potential for causing significant damage to the plant (refer to the Security Contingency Plan for a more detailed definition).

**CREDIBLE / ACTUAL THREAT:** Is a threat which poses a likely and serious danger to the safe operation of the facility or to site personnel and public safety.

**HOSTILE ACTION**: An act toward Salem or Hope Creek or its personnel that includes the use of violent force to destroy equipment, take **HOSTAGES**, and/or intimidate the licensee to achieve an end. This includes attack by air, land, or water using guns, explosives, **PROJECTILE**s, vehicles, or other devices used to deliver destructive force. Other acts that satisfy the overall intent may be included. **HOSTILE ACTION** should not be construed to include acts of civil disobedience or felonious acts that are not part of a concerted attack on Salem or Hope Creek. Non-terrorism-based EALs should be used to address such activities (i.e., this may include violent acts between individuals in the **OCA**). **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, the area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER**-**CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security center.

**PROJECTILE**: An object that impacts Salem/Hope Creek that could cause concern for continued operability, reliability, or personnel safety.

**HOSTAGE**: A person(s) held as leverage against the station to ensure that demands will be met by the station.

### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HU4 Example EAL #1, #2, #3
- 2. Salem Hope Creek Security Contingency Plan
- 3. SY-AA-101-132 Threat Assessment
- 4. SC.OP-AB.CR-0004(Q) Security Event
- 5. SC.OP-AB.CR-0005(Q) Airborne Threat

Security	Contingency	Event	Summary	Table
----------	-------------	-------	---------	-------

Contingency Event Number	Event Could Result in Determination of a SECURITY CONDITION (UE ONLY) Yes / No	Event Could Result in Determination of a <b>HOSTILE</b> <b>ACTION</b> (ALERT or Higher) Yes / No
# 1	Yes	Yes
# 2	Yes	Yes
#3	Yes	No
# 4	No	Yes
# 5	Yes	Yes
#6	No	No
# 7	No	No
# 8	Yes	Yes
#9	Yes	Yes
# 10	Yes	Yes
# 11	Yes	No
# 12	Yes	No
# 13	Yes	No
# 14	Yes	No
# 15	Yes	No
# 16	Yes	Yes
# 17	Yes	Yes
# 18	No	Yes
# 19	Yes	Yes
# 20	Yes	No

EAL Category:	H – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	4 – Security
Initiating Condition:	HOSTILE ACTION within the OWNER CONTROLLED AREA or airborne attack threat
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HA4.1 – ALERT

EAL:

A **HOSTILE ACTION** is occurring or has occurred within the **OCA** as reported by the Security Operations Supervisor or designee (Note 8)

## 

A VALIDATED notification from NRC of a AIRLINER attack threat < 30 minutes away from Salem/Hope Creek (Note 8)

NOTE 8: Shift Manager (SM) should implement the Prompt Actions of NC.EP-EP.ZZ-0102, EC Response, Attachment 10, prior to classification of a security emergency.

Key Information to obtain from Security Supervision upon SM notification of a security event:

- Determination if the security event is a HOSTILE ACTION or SECURITY CONDITION
- If a HOSTILE ACTION, is location the OCA or PA?

#### Basis:

<u>These This EALs addresses</u> the contingency for a very rapid progression of events, such as that experienced on September 11, 2001. They are not premised solely on the potential for a radiological release. Rather the issue includes the need for rapid assistance due to the possibility for significant and indeterminate damage from additional air, land or water attack elements.

The fact that the site is under serious attack or is an identified attack target with minimal time available for further preparation or additional assistance to arrive requires a heightened state of readiness and implementation of protective measures that can be effective (such as on-site evacuation, dispersal or sheltering).

Salem

### EAL #11<sup>st</sup> Condition (OCA HOSTILE ACTION)

This EAL addresses the potential for a very rapid progression of events due to a **HOSTILE ACTION** within or directed towards the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**. It is not intended to address incidents that are accidental events or acts of civil disobedience, such as small **AIRCRAFT** impact, hunters, or physical disputes between employees within the <u>OWNER CONTROLLED AREAOCAOCA</u>. Those events are adequately addressed by other EALs or RALs

Note that this EAL is applicable for any **HOSTILE ACTION** occurring, or that has occurred, in the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA**. This includes the ISFSI, located within Salem/Hope Creek PROTECTED AREA.

If not previously notified by the NRC that the airborne **HOSTILE ACTION** was intentional, then it would be expected, although not certain, that notification by an appropriate Federal agency would follow. In this case, appropriate federal agency is intended to be NORAD, FBI, FAA or NRC. However, the declaration should not be unduly delayed awaiting Federal notification.

### EAL #22<sup>nd</sup> Condition (AIRLINER Threat)

This EAL addresses the immediacy of an expected threat (**AIRLINER**) arrival or impact on the site within a relatively short time (< 30 minutes).

The intent of this EAL is to ensure that notifications for the **AIRLINER** attack threat are made in a timely manner and that OROs and plant personnel are at a state of heightened awareness regarding the credible threat. **AIRLINER** is meant to be a large **AIRCRAFT** with the potential for causing significant damage to the <u>plantsite</u>.

This EAL is met when a plant receives information regarding an **AIRLINER** attack threat from NRC and the **AIRLINER** is within 30 minutes of the plant. Only the site to which the specific threat is made need declare the ALERT.

The NRC Headquarters Operations Officer (HOO) will communicate to the licensee if the threat involves an **AIRLINER** (**AIRLINER** is meant to be a large **AIRCRAFT** with the potential for causing significant damage to the plant). The status and size of the plane may be provided by NORAD through the NRC.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This event will be escalated to a SITE AREA EMERGENCY based upon **HOSTILE ACTION** affecting the **PROTECTED AREA (PA)**. Also, if Hope Creek declares an SAE due to their **PA** being affected by the security event, Salem will escalate to SAE to match them.

#### 1<sup>st</sup> Condition (OCA HOSTILE ACTION)

Reference is made to the specific security shift supervision (Security Operations Supervisor or designee) because these individuals are the designated personnel on-site qualified and trained to confirm that a **HOSTILE ACTION** is occurring or has occurred.

This EAL condition is not premised solely on adverse health effects caused by a radiological release. Rather the issue is the immediate need for assistance due to the nature of the event and the potential for significant and indeterminate damage. Although nuclear plant security officers are well trained and prepared to protect against **HOSTILE ACTION**, it is appropriate for Offsite Response Organizations (OROs) to be notified and encouraged to begin activation to be better prepared should it be necessary to consider further actions.

Page 6 of this EAL Basis is a "Security Contingency Event Summary Table" that indicates which Security Contingency Events could result in Security Supervision determining that a **HOSTILE ACTION** is or has occurred and therefore classification at the ALERT or higher level should be made based on the location (OCA or PA) of the **HOSTILE ACTION**. Security events that do not involve a **HOSTILE ACTION** may result in Security Supervision determining that a **SECURITY CONDITION** exists and therefore an UNUSUAL EVENT classification should be made per EAL HU4.1.

# 2<sup>nd</sup> Condition (AIRLINER Threat)

The fact that the site is an identified attack candidate with minimal time available for further preparation requires a heightened state of readiness and implementation of protective measures that can be effective (onsite evacuation, dispersal, or sheltering) before arrival or impact.

This EAL is met when a plant receives **VALIDATED** information regarding an **AIRLINER** attack threat from NRC and the **AIRLINER** is less than 30 minutes away from the site. Only the site (Salem and Hope Creek is considered a single site for Security event classifications) to which the specific threat is made needs declare the ALERT.

**AIRLINER** threat calls from the NRC should be **VALIDATED** by use of NRC authentication code or a return call to the NRC Headquarter Operations Center.
Definitions:

**HOSTILE ACTION**: An act toward Salem or Hope Creek or its personnel that includes the use of violent force to destroy equipment, take **HOSTAGES**, and/or intimidate the licensee to achieve an end. This includes attack by air, land, or water using guns, explosives, **PROJECTILES**, vehicles, or other devices used to deliver destructive force. Other acts that satisfy the overall intent may be included. **HOSTILE ACTION** should not be construed to include acts of civil disobedience or felonious acts that are not part of a concerted attack on Salem or Hope Creek. Non-terrorism-based EALs should be used to address such activities (i.e., this may include violent acts between individuals in the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA**).

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

**HOSTAGE**: A person(s) held as leverage against the station to ensure that demands will be met by the station.

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER**-**CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

AIRCRAFT: Includes both small and large AIRCRAFT. Examples of AIRCRAFT include general aviation Cessna, Piper and Lear type private planes, large passenger or freight planes as well as police, medical and media helicopters. A large AIRCRAFT is referred to as an AIRLINER.

AIRLINER/LARGE AIRCRAFT: Any size or type of AIRCRAFT with the potential for causing significant damage to the plant (refer to the Security Contingency Plan for a more detailed definition).

**SECURITY CONDITION**: Any security event as listed in the approved security contingency plan that constitutes a threat/compromise to site security, threat/risk to site personnel, or a potential degradation to the level of safety of the plant. A **SECURITY CONDITION** does not involve a **HOSTILE ACTION**.

**PROJECTILE**: An object directed toward Salem/Hope Creek that could cause concern for its continued operability, reliability, or personnel safety.

VALIDATED: AIRCRAFT threat call from the NRC that is confirmed to be authentic. Calls from the NRC are VALIDATED by use of the NRC provided authentication code or by making a return call to the NRC Headquarter Operations Center and confirming threat information with the NRC Operation Officer. AIRCRAFT threat calls from other agencies, NORAD, FAA, or FBI should be VALIDATED by calling the NRC Operations Officer.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HA4 Example EAL #1, #2
- 2. Salem Hope Creek Security Contingency Plan
- 3. SC.OP-AB.CR-0004(Q) Security Event
- 4. SC.OP-AB.CR-0005(Q) Airborne Threat

Security	Contingency	Event	Summary	Table
COCOMILLy	o o mango noy		• • • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Contingency Event Number	Event Could Result in Determination of a SECURITY CONDITION (UE ONLY) Yes / No	Event Could Result in Determination of a <b>HOSTILE</b> <b>ACTION</b> (ALERT or Higher) Yes / No
# 1	Yes	Yes
# 2	Yes	Yes
# 3	Yes	No
# 4	No	Yes
# 5	Yes	Yes
#6	No	No
# 7	No	No
#8	Yes	Yes
#9	Yes	Yes
# 10	Yes	Yes
# 11	Yes	No
# 12	Yes	No
# 13	Yes	No
#14	Yes	No
# 15	Yes	No
# 16	Yes	Yes
# 17	Yes	Yes
# 18	No	Yes
# 19	Yes	Yes
# 20	Yes	No

- ----

EAL Categor	ry:		H – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcate	egor	у:	4 – Security
Initiating Co	nditi	ion:	HOSTILE ACTION within the PROTECTED AREA
Mode Applic	abili	ity:	All .
EAL# & Classification Level:		ation Level:	HS4.1 – SITE AREA EMERGENCY
EAL:			
A <b>HOSTILE</b> A reported by the	ACTI he S	ION is occurring ecurity Operatio	g or has occurred within the <b>PROTECTED AREA</b> as ons Supervisor or designee (Note 8)
NOTE 8: Shift Manager (SM) should implement the Prompt Actions of NC.EP-EP.ZZ- 0102, EC Response, Attachment 10, prior to classification of a security emergency.			
Key Information to obtain from Security Supervision upon SM notification of security event:			
	o	Determination CONDITION	if the security event is a <b>HOSTILE ACTION</b> or <b>SECURITY</b>
	0	If a HOSTILE A	ACTION, is location the OCA or PA?

Basis:

This condition represents an escalated threat to plant safety above that contained in the ALERT in that a **HOSTILE FORCE** has progressed from the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA** to the **PROTECTED AREA**.

This EAL addresses the contingency for a very rapid progression of events <u>due to a HOSTILE</u> <u>ACTION within or directed towards the PROTECTED AREA (PA).</u> Plant VITAL AREAS are within the PROTECTED AREA and are generally controlled by card key readers. A HOSTILE <u>ACTION in the PROTECTED AREA (which includes VITAL AREAS) could represent a</u> situation that threatens the safety of plant personnel and the general public. These EALs address the contingency for a very rapid progression of events, -such as that experienced on September 11, 2001. It is not premised solely on the potential for a radiological release. Rather the issue includes the need for rapid assistance due to the possibility for significant and indeterminate damage from additional air, land or water attack elements.

The fact that the site is under serious attack with minimal time available for further preparation or additional assistance to arrive requires <u>Offsite Response Organization (ORO)</u> readiness and preparation for the implementation of protective measures.

This EAL addresses the potential for a very rapid progression of events due to a **HOSTILE ACTION**. It is not intended to address incidents that are accidental events or acts of civil disobedience, such as small **AIRCRAFT** impact, hunters, or physical disputes between employees within the **PROTECTED AREA**. Those events are adequately addressed by other EALs or RALs.

[Although nuclear plant security officers are well trained and prepared to protect against **HOSTILE ACTION**, it is appropriate for OROs to be notified and encouraged to begin preparations for public protective actions (if they do not normally) to be better prepared should it be necessary to consider further actions.]

[If not previously notified by NRC that the airborne **HOSTILE ACTION** was intentional, then it would be expected, although not certain, that notification by an appropriate Federal agency would follow. In this case, appropriate federal agency is intended to be NORAD, FBI, FAA or NRC. However, the declaration should not be unduly delayed awaiting Federal notification.]

Escalation of this emergency classification level to a GENERAL EMERGENCY, if appropriate, would be based upon the actual loss of physical control of the facility. If necessary, Salem will declare this event on actual plant status after impact or progression of attack.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The Security Shift Supervision is defined as the Security Operations Supervisor or designee.

These individuals are the designated on-site personnel qualified and trained to confirm that a security event is occurring or has occurred. Training on security event classification confirmation is closely controlled due to the strict secrecy controls placed on the Salem – Hope Creek Security Contingency Plan (Safeguards) information.

**PROJECTILES** that are directed into or that have impacted the **PA** from the **OCA** or beyond are considered under this EAL as **HOSTILE ACTIONS** within the **PA**.

Page 5 of this EAL Basis is a "Security Contingency Event Summary Table" that indicates which Security Contingency Events could result in Security Supervision determining that a **HOSTILE ACTION** is or has occurred and therefore classification at the ALERT or higher level should be made based on the location (OCA or PA) of the **HOSTILE ACTION**. Security events that do not involve a **HOSTILE ACTION** may result in Security Supervision determining that a **SECURITY CONDITION** exists and therefore an UNUSUAL EVENT classification should be made per EAL HU4.1.

#### Definitions:

**HOSTILE ACTION**: An act toward Salem or Hope Creek or its personnel that includes the use of violent force to destroy equipment, take **HOSTAGES**, and/or intimidate the licensee to achieve an end. This includes attack by air, land, or water using guns, explosives, **PROJECTILES**, vehicles, or other devices used to deliver destructive force. Other acts that satisfy the overall intent may be included. **HOSTILE ACTION** should not be construed to include acts of civil disobedience or felonious acts that are not part of a concerted attack on Salem or Hope Creek. Non-terrorism-based EALs should be used to address such activities (i.e., this may include violent acts between individuals in the **OCA**).

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the OCA.

**HOSTILE FORCE**: One or more individuals who are engaged in a determined assault, overtly or by stealth and deception, equipped with suitable weapons capable of killing, maiming, or causing destruction.

**HOSTAGE**: A person(s) held as leverage against the station to ensure that demands will be met by the station.

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER**-**CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**SECURITY CONDITION**: Any security event as listed in the approved security contingency plan that constitutes a threat/compromise to site security, threat/risk to site personnel, or a potential degradation to the level of safety of the plant. A **SECURITY CONDITION** does not involve a **HOSTILE ACTION**.

**AIRCRAFT**: Includes both small and large **AIRCRAFT**. Examples of **AIRCRAFT** include general aviation Cessna, Piper and Lear type private planes, large passenger or freight planes as well as police, medical and media helicopters. A large **AIRCRAFT** is referred to as an **AIRLINER**.

Rev. 0 (draft E)

FAL# HS4.1

Salem

AIRLINER/LARGE AIRCRAFT: Any size or type of AIRCRAFT with the potential for causing significant damage to the plant (refer to the Security Contingency Plan for a more detailed definition).

**PROJECTILE**: An object that impacts Salem and/or Hope Creek that could cause concern for continued operability, reliability, or personnel safety.

VITAL AREAS: Typically any site specific areas, normally within the **PROTECTED** AREA, that contains equipment, systems, components, or material, the failure, destruction, or release of which could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety by exposure to radiation.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HA4 Example EAL #1, #2
- 2. Salem Hope Creek Security Contingency Plan
- 3. SC.OP-AB.CR-0004(Q) Security Event
- 4. SC.OP-AB.CR-0005(Q) Airborne Threat

<b>Security Contingency</b>	Event	Summary	Table
-----------------------------	-------	---------	-------

Contingency Event Number	Event Could Result in Determination of a SECURITY CONDITION (UE ONLY) Yes / No	Event Could Result in Determination of a <b>HOSTILE</b> <b>ACTION</b> (ALERT or Higher) Yes / No
# 1	Yes	Yes
# 2	Yes	Yes
# 3	Yes	No
# 4	No	Yes
# 5	Yes	Yes
# 6	No	No
# 7	No	No
# 8	Yes	Yes
# 9	Yes	Yes
# 10	Yes	Yes
# 11	Yes	No
# 12	Yes	No
# 13	Yes	No
# 14	Yes	No
# 15	Yes	No
# 16	Yes	Yes
# 17	Yes	Yes
# 18	No	Yes
# 19	Yes	Yes
# 20	Yes	No

.

This page intentionally blank

EAL Category:	H – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	4 – Security
Initiating Condition:	<b>HOSTILE ACTION</b> resulting in loss of physical control of the facility
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HG4.1 – GENERAL EMERGENCY

A **HOSTILE ACTION** has occurred such that plant personnel are unable to operate equipment required to maintain safety functions (i.e., reactivity control, RCS inventory, or secondary heat removal) at Salem or Hope Creek (Note 8)

# 

A **HOSTILE ACTION** has caused failure of Spent Fuel Cooling Systems and **IMMINENT** fuel damage is likely at Salem or Hope Creek (Note 8)

NOTE 8: Shift Manager (SM) should implement the Prompt Actions of NC.EP-EP.ZZ-0102, EC Response, Attachment 10, prior to classification of a security emergency.

Key Information to obtain from Security Supervision upon SM notification of a security event:

- Determination if the security event is a HOSTILE ACTION or SECURITY CONDITION
- If a **HOSTILE ACTION**, is location the **OCA** or **PA**?

# Basis:

EAL #11st Condition

This EAL encompasses conditions under which a **HOSTILE ACTION** has resulted in a loss of physical control of **VITAL AREAS** (containing vital equipment or controls of vital equipment) required to maintain safety functions and control of that equipment cannot be transferred to and operated from another location.

[Typically, these safety functions are reactivity control (ability to shut down the reactor and keep it shutdown), reactor water leveRCS inventory! (ability to cool the core), and decay heatsecondary heat removal (ability to maintain a heat sinkremove decay heat). for a BWR. The equivalent functions for a PWR are reactivity control, RCS inventory, and secondary heat removal.]

[Loss of physical control of the control room or remote shutdown capability alone may not prevent the ability to maintain safety functions per se. Design of the remote shutdown capability and the location of the transfer switches should be taken into account. Primary emphasis should be placed on those components and instruments that supply protection for and information about safety functions.]

If control of the plant equipment necessary to maintain safety functions can be transferred to another location, then the threshold is not met.

#### EAL #22nd Condition

This EAL addresses failure of spent fuel cooling systems as a result of **HOSTILE ACTION** if **IMMINENT** fuel damage is likely, such as when a freshly off-loaded reactor core is in the spent fuel pool.

site specific criteria.]

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Definitions:

**HOSTILE ACTION**: An act toward Salem or Hope Creek or its personnel that includes the use of violent force to destroy equipment, take **HOSTAGES**, and/or intimidate the licensee to achieve an end. This includes attack by air, land, or water using guns, explosives, **PROJECTILES**, vehicles, or other devices used to deliver destructive force. Other acts that satisfy the overall intent may be included. **HOSTILE ACTION** should not be construed to include acts of civil disobedience or felonious acts that are not part of a concerted attack on Salem or Hope Creek. Non-terrorism-based EALs should be used to address such activities (i.e., this may include violent acts between individuals in the **OCA**).

**PROJECTILE**: An object that impacts Salem and/or Hope Creek that could cause concern for continued operability, reliability, or personnel safety.

VITAL AREAS: Typically any site specific areas, normally within the **PROTECTED** AREA, that contains equipment, systems, components, or material, the failure,

destruction, or release of which could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety by exposure to radiation.

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER**-**CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**HOSTAGE**: A person(s) held as leverage against the station to ensure that demands will be met by the station.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

**IMMINENT**: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HG1 Example EAL #1, #2
- 2. SC.OP-AB.CR-0004(Q) Security Event
- 3. SC.OP-AB.CR-0005(Q) Airborne Threat

.

This page intentionally blank

•

EAL Category:	C-H-Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	5 – Control Room Evacuation
Initiating Condition:	Control Room evacuation has been initiated
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HA5.1 – ALERT

Control Room evacuation has been initiated

#### Basis:

With the <u>Ceontrol R</u>foom evacuated, additional support, monitoring and direction through the Technical Support Center and/or other emergency response facilities may be necessary.

Inability to establish plant control from outside the <u>Ceontrol R</u>ecom will escalate this event to a SITE AREA EMERGENCY per EAL HS5.1.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Control Room evacuation represents a serious plant situation since the degree of plant control at the Remote Shutdown Panel (RSP) is not as complete as from the Control Room. The intent of this EAL is to declare an ALERT when the determination to evacuate the Control Room has been made based on environmental/personnel safety concerns, and the physical process of evacuating the Control Room per S1(S2).OP-AB.CR-0001(Q), Control Room Evacuation, or S1(S2).OP-AB.CR-0002(Q) Control Room Evacuation Due to Fire in the Control Room, Relay Room, 460/230V Switchgear Room or 4kV Switchgear Room, has commenced.

The Shift Manager (SM) determines if the Control Room requires evacuation. Control Room inhabitability may be caused by fire, dense smoke, noxious fumes, bomb threat in or adjacent to the Control Room, or other life threatening conditions.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HA5 Example EAL #1
- 2. S1(S2).OP-AB.CR-0001(Q) Control Room Evacuation
- 3. S1(S2).OP-AB.CR-0002(Q) Control Room Evacuation Due to Fire in the Control Room, Relay Room, 460/230V Switchgear Room or 4kV Switchgear Room
- 4. SC.OP-AB.CR-0003(Q) Control Room Habitability

Salem

# This page intentionally blank

#### and the second second

•

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HA5.1

Page 2 of 2

Salem

· · · · ·

EAL Category:	<u>C-H</u> – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	5 – Control Room Evacuation
Initiating Condition:	Control Room evacuation has been initiated and plant control CANNOT be established
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HS5.1 – SITE AREA EMERGENCY
EAL:	
Control Room evacuation has be	en initiated
AND	

Control of the plant CANNOT be established within **15 minutes** (Note 3)

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

# Basis:

The intent of this IC EAL is to capture those events where control of the plant cannot be reestablished in a timely manner. In this case, expeditious transfer of control of safety systems has not occurred (although fission product barrier damage may not yet be indicated).

The intent of the EAL is to establish control of important plant equipment and knowledge of important plant parameters in a timely manner. Primary emphasis should be placed on those components and instruments that supply protection for and information about safety functions. Typically, tThese safety functions are reactivity control (ability to shutdown the reactor and maintain it shutdown), reactor water levelRCS inventory control (ability to cool the core), and decay secondary heat removal (ability to maintain a heat sink). for a BWR. The equivalent functions for a PWR are reactivity control, RCS inventory, and secondary heat removal.

The determination of whether or not control is established at the remote shutdown panel is based on Emergency <u>Director Coordinator (ED)</u> judgment. The Emergency <u>Directorr</u> <u>Coordinator is expected to make a reasonable, informed judgment within the site specific time for transferallocated **15 minutes** that the licensee has control of the plant from the remote shutdown panel.</u>

[The site specific time for transfer is based on analysis or assessments as to how quickly control must be reestablished without core uncovering and/or core damage. This time should not exceed 15 minutes without additional justification.]

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

EAL#: HS5.1

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be by <u>EALs in Category</u> <u>F</u>, Fission Product Barrier Degradation, or <u>Category R</u>, Abnormal Rad Levels/Rad<del>iological</del> Effluent-EALs.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

. .

a ka se a service de la se La service de la service de

المراجعة المراجع المراج المراجع المراجع

Rev. 0 (draft E)

EAL#: HS5.1

Salem

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The Shift Manager determines if the Control Room is inoperable and requires evacuation. Control Room inhabitability may be caused by fire, dense smoke, noxious fumes, bomb threat in or adjacent to the Control Room, or other life threatening conditions.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HS5 Example EAL #1
- 2. S1(S2).OP-AB.CR-0001(Q) Control Room Evacuation
- 3. S1(S2).OP-AB.CR-0002(Q) Control Room Evacuation Due to Fire in the Control Room, Relay Room, 460/230V Switchgear Room or 4kV Switchgear Room
- 4. SC.OP-AB.CR-0003(Q) Control Room Habitability

# This page intentionally blank

,

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HS5.1

EAL Category:	C-H-Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	6 – EC Judgment
Initiating Condition:	Other conditions exist which in the judgment of the Emergency Coordinator warrant declaration of an UNUSUAL EVENT
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HU6.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT
PT A 1	

Other conditions exist which in the judgment of the Emergency Coordinator indicate that events are in progress or have occurred which indicate a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant or indicate a security threat to facility protection has been initiated. NO releases of radioactive material requiring off-site response or monitoring are expected unless further degradation of safety systems occurs

#### Basis:

This EAL addresses unanticipated conditions not addressed explicitly elsewhere but that warrant declaration of an emergency because conditions exist which are believed by the Emergency <u>Director Coordinator</u> to fall under the <u>UNUSUAL EVENT NOUE</u>-emergency classification level.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

None

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HU5 Example EAL #1

# This page intentionally blank

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HU6.1

EAL Category:	C-H-Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	6 – <u>EC J</u> udgment
Initiating Condition:	Other conditions exist which in the judgment of the Emergency Coordinator warrant declaration of an ALERT
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HA6.1 – ALERT
EAL:	

Other conditions exist which in the judgment of the Emergency Coordinator indicate that events are in progress or have occurred which involve an actual or potential substantial degradation of the level of safety of the plant or a security event that involves probable life threatening risk to site personnel or damage to site equipment because of **HOSTILE ACTION**. <u>ANY</u> releases are expected to be limited to small fractions of the EPA Protective Action Guideline exposure levels

#### Basis:

This EAL addresses unanticipated conditions not addressed explicitly elsewhere but that warrant declaration of an emergency because conditions exist which are believed by the Emergency <u>Director Coordinator</u> to fall under the ALERT emergency classification level.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Definitions:

**HOSTILE ACTION**: An act toward Salem or Hope Creek or its personnel that includes the use of violent force to destroy equipment, take **HOSTAGES**, and/or intimidate the licensee to achieve an end. This includes attack by air, land, or water using guns, explosives, **PROJECTILES**, vehicles, or other devices used to deliver destructive force. Other acts that satisfy the overall intent may be included. **HOSTILE ACTION** should not be construed to include acts of civil disobedience or felonious acts that are not part of a concerted attack on Salem or Hope Creek plants.

**HOSTAGE**: A person(s) held as leverage against the station to ensure that demands will be met by the station.

**PROJECTILE**: An object that impacts Salem and/or Hope Creek that could cause concern for its continued operability, reliability, or personnel safety.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HA6 Example EAL #1

· · ·

• :

an an an ann an tha ann an tha ann an tha ann an tha a Bhaile an tha Tha an tha an

 $(-1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-$ 

.

> Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HA6.1

Page 2 of 2

Salem

e de la seconda de

EAL Category:	C- <u>H</u> – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	6 – <u>EC J</u> udgment
Initiating Condition:	Other conditions exist which in the judgment of the Emergency Coordinator warrant declaration of a SITE AREA EMERGENCY
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HS6.1 – SITE AREA EMERGENCY

Other conditions exist which in the judgment of the Emergency Coordinator indicate that events are in progress or have occurred which involve actual or likely major failures of plant functions needed for protection of the public or **HOSTILE ACTION** that results in intentional damage or malicious acts; (1) toward site personnel or equipment that could lead to the likely failure of or; (2) that prevent effective access to equipment needed for the protection of the public. <u>ANY</u> releases are NOT expected to result in exposure levels which exceed EPA Protective Action Guideline exposure levels beyond the site boundary

#### Basis:

This EAL addresses unanticipated conditions not addressed explicitly elsewhere but that warrant declaration of an emergency because conditions exist which are believed by the Emergency <u>Director Coordinator</u> to fall under the emergency classification level description for SITE AREA EMERGENCY.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

#### Definitions:

**HOSTILE ACTION**: An act toward Salem or Hope Creek or its personnel that includes the use of violent force to destroy equipment, take **HOSTAGES**, and/or intimidate the licensee to achieve an end. This includes attack by air, land, or water using guns, explosives, **PROJECTILES**, vehicles, or other devices used to deliver destructive force. Other acts that satisfy the overall intent may be included. **HOSTILE ACTION** should not be construed to include acts of civil disobedience or felonious acts that are not part of a concerted attack on Salem or Hope Creek plants.

**HOSTAGE**: A person(s) held as leverage against the station to ensure that demands will be met by the station.

**PROJECTILE**: An object that impacts Salem and/or Hope Creek that could cause concern for its continued operability, reliability, or personnel safety.

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HS3 Example EAL #1

> Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HS6.1

EAL Category:	C- <u>H</u> – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	6 – <u>EC J</u> udgment
Initiating Condition:	Other conditions exist which in the judgment of the Emergency Coordinator warrant declaration of a GENERAL EMERGENCY
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HG6.1 – GENERAL EMERGENCY

Other conditions exist which in the judgment of the Emergency Coordinator indicate that events are in progress or have occurred which involve actual or **IMMINENT** substantial core degradation or melting with potential for loss of containment integrity or **HOSTILE ACTION** that results in an actual loss of physical control of the facility. Releases can be reasonably expected to exceed EPA Protective Action Guideline exposure levels off-site for more than the immediate site area

#### Basis:

This EAL addresses unanticipated conditions not addressed explicitly elsewhere but that warrant declaration of an emergency because conditions exist which are believed by the Emergency Director Coordinator to fall under the emergency classification level description for GENERAL EMERGENCY.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Definitions:

**HOSTILE ACTION**: An act toward Salem or Hope Creek or its personnel that includes the use of violent force to destroy equipment, take **HOSTAGES**, and/or intimidate the licensee to achieve an end. This includes attack by air, land, or water using guns, explosives, **PROJECTILES**, vehicles, or other devices used to deliver destructive force. Other acts that satisfy the overall intent may be included. **HOSTILE ACTION** should not be construed to include acts of civil disobedience or felonious acts that are not part of a concerted attack on Salem or Hope Creek plants.

**HOSTAGE**: A person(s) held as leverage against the station to ensure that demands will be met by the station.

**PROJECTILE**: An object that impacts Salem and/or Hope Creek that could cause concern for its continued operability, reliability, or personnel safety.

Salem

Page 1 of 2

Rev. 0 (draft E)

EAL#: HG6.1

**IMMINENT**: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HG2 Example EAL #1

. . .

(a) A set of the s

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HG6.1

Salem

Page 2 of 2

# EALs for:

# Systems Malfunctions

EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Loss of AC Power
Initiating Condition:	Loss of all offsite AC power to vital buses for 15 minutes or longer
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Classification Level:	SU1.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT

Loss of all Offsite AC power to all 4KV Vital Buses

AND

≥ **15 minutes** have elapsed (Note 3)

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

# Basis:

Prolonged loss of off-site AC power reduces required redundancy and potentially degrades the level of safety of the plant by rendering the plant more vulnerable to a complete loss of AC power to <u>emergency vital</u> busses.

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary losses of offsite power.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The AC power distribution is summarized in Attachment 2, page 2.

Emergency Classification escalates to an ALERT under EAL SA1.1 based on AC power to 4KV vital buses being reduced to a single source.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05 SU1 Example EAL #1
- 2. UFSAR Figure 8.2-2 500 kV Switchyard Diagram
- 3. UFSAR Figure 8.3-1 Auxiliary Power System Diagram

Salem

#### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

EP-SC-111-213

- 4. UFSAR 8.1.1 Utility Grid System and Interconnections
- 5. UFSAR 8.3.1 Power

- 6. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.1 A.C. Sources
- 7. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.2 Onsite Power Distribution Systems

and the second sec

.

· · · · ·

and the second second

8. 1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection

and a second second

- 9. 1(2)-EOP-LOPA-1 Loss of All AC Power
- 10.S1(S2).OP-AB.LOOP-0001(Q) Loss of Off-Site Power
- 11.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0001(Q) Loss of 1A(2A) 4KV Vital Bus
- 12.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0002(Q) Loss of 1B(2B) 4KV Vital Bus
- 13.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0003(Q) Loss of 1C(2C) 4KV Vital Bus

\_

. . •

. .

EAL# & Classification Level:	SA1.1 – ALERT
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
Initiating Condition:	AC power capability to vital buses reduced to a single power source for 15 minutes or longer such that any additional single failure would result in complete loss of AC power to vital buses
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Loss of AC Power
EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction

Loss of 4KV Vital Bus Power Sources (Offsite and Onsite) which results in the availability of only **one** 4KV Vital Bus Power Source (Offsite or Onsite)

# <u>AND</u>

≥ 15 minutes have elapsed (Note 3)

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

# Basis:

[This-IC and the associated EALs are intended to provide an escalation from IC-SU1, "Loss of All Off-site AC Power To Emergency Busses for Greater Than 15 Minutes."]

The condition indicated by this IC-<u>EAL</u> is the degradation of the off-site and on-site AC power systems such that any additional single failure would result in a <u>complete loss of AC power to</u> <u>vital busesstation blackout</u>. This condition could occur due to a loss of off-site power with a concurrent failure of all but one emergency <u>diesel</u> generator to supply power to its emergency <u>vital</u> busses. Another related condition could be the loss of all off-site power and loss of on-site emergency diesel generators with only one train of emergency busses being fed from offsite power. backfed from the unit main generator, or the loss of on-site emergency diesel generators with only one train of busses being fed backfed from off-site power. The subsequent loss of this single power source would escalate the event to a SITE AREA EMERGENCY in accordance with <u>EAL</u> SS1.1.

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary losses of power.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

eal#: SA1.1

[At multi-unit stations, the EALs should allow credit for operation of installed design features, such as cross-ties or swing diesels, provided that abnormal or emergency operating procedures address their use. However, these stations must also consider the impact of this condition on other shared safety functions in developing the site specific EAL.]

[Plants that have a proceduralized capability to cross-tie AC power from an off-site power supply of a companion unit may take credit for the redundant power source in the associated EAL for this IC.]Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

"Availability" means the power source can be aligned to provide power to a vital bus within 15 minutes or is currently supplying power to at least one vital bus.

The availability of EDGs that have not been challenged to start during degradation of AC power sources to the 4KV vital buses should be based on meeting Technical Specification action requirements for loss of offsite AC power sources.

The AC power distribution is summarized in Attachment 2, page 2.

This hot condition Alert EAL is equivalent to the cold condition Unusual Event EAL CU1.1.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05 SA5 Example EAL #1
- 2. UFSAR Figure 8.2-2 500 kV Switchyard Diagram
- 3. UFSAR Figure 8.3-1 Auxiliary Power System Diagram
- 4. UFSAR 8.1.1 Utility Grid System and Interconnections
- 5. UFSAR 8.3.1 Power
- 6. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.1 A.C. Sources
- 7. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.2 Onsite Power Distribution Systems
- 8. 1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection
- 9. 1(2)-EOP-LOPA-1 Loss of All AC Power

- 10.S1(S2).OP-AB.LOOP-0001(Q) Loss of Off-Site Power
- 11.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0001(Q) Loss of 1A(2A) 4KV Vital Bus
- 12.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0002(Q) Loss of 1B(2B) 4KV Vital Bus
- 13.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0003(Q) Loss of 1C(2C) 4KV Vital Bus

EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Loss of AC Power
Initiating Condition:	Loss of all offsite power and all onsite AC power to vital buses for 15 minutes or longer
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Classification Level:	SS1.1 – SITE AREA EMERGENCY

Loss of all Power (Onsite and Offsite) to all 4KV Vital Buses

AND

≥ 15 minutes have elapsed (Note 3)

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

#### Basis:

Loss of all AC power to <u>emergency vital</u> busses compromises all plant safety systems requiring electric power including RHR, ECCS, Containment Heat Removal and the Ultimate Heat Sink <u>(Service Water)</u>. Prolonged loss of all AC power to <u>emergency vital</u> busses will lead to loss of Fuel Clad, RCS, and Containment, thus this event can escalate to a GENERAL EMERGENCY.

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary losses of offsite power.

[At multi-unit stations, the EALs should allow credit for operation of installed design features, such as cross-ties or swing diesels, provided that abnormal or emergency operating procedures address their use. However, these stations must also consider the impact of this condition on other shared safety functions in developing the site specific EAL.]

[*Plants that have a proceduralized capability to cross-tie AC power from an eff-site power supply of a companion unit may take credit for the redundant power source in the associated EAL for this IC.*]

Escalation to GENERAL EMERGENCY is via <u>EALs in Category F</u>, Fission Product Barrier Degradation, or <del>IC</del>-<u>EAL</u>SG1.1, "Prolonged Loss of All Off-site Power and Prolonged Loss of All On-site AC Power."

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The intent of this EAL is to classify degraded AC power events that result in a loss of all offsite 13.8 KV power sources to the 4KV vital buses along with a loss of all onsite power sources (EDGs).

The AC power distribution is summarized in Attachment 2, page 2.

This hot condition Site Area Emergency EAL is equivalent to the cold condition Alert EAL CA1.1.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05 SS1 Example EAL #1
- 2. UFSAR Figure 8.2-2 500 kV Switchyard Diagram
- 3. UFSAR Figure 8.3-1 Auxiliary Power System Diagram
- 4. UFSAR 8.1.1 Utility Grid System and Interconnections
- 5. UFSAR 8.3.1 Power
- 6. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.1 A.C. Sources
- 7. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.2 Onsite Power Distribution Systems
- 8. 1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection
- 9. 1(2)-EOP-LOPA-1 Loss of All AC Power

10.S1(S2).OP-AB.LOOP-0001(Q) Loss of Off-Site Power

- 11.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0001(Q) Loss of 1A(2A) 4KV Vital Bus
- 12.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0002(Q) Loss of 1B(2B) 4KV Vital Bus

13.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0003(Q) Loss of 1C(2C) 4KV Vital Bus

# SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

# EP-SC-111-213
EAL# & Classification Level:	SG1.1 – GENERAL EMERGENCY
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
Initiating Condition:	Prolonged loss of all offsite and all onsite AC power to vital buses
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Loss of AC Power
EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction

#### EAL:

Loss of **all** Power (Onsite and Offsite) to **all** 4KV Vital Buses

<u>AND</u>

EITHER of the following:

• Restoration of at least **one** Vital Bus in **< 4 hrs** is NOT likely

• CFST Core Cooling RED or PURPLE path exists

#### Basis:

Loss of all AC power to emergency <u>vital</u> busses compromises all plant safety systems requiring electric power including RHR, ECCS, Containment Heat Removal and the Ultimate Heat Sink <u>(Service Water)</u>. Prolonged loss of all AC power to <u>emergency vital</u> busses will lead to loss of Fuel Clad, RCS, and Containment, thus this event can escalate to a GENERAL EMERGENCY.

[The (site-specific hours) to restore AC power can be based on a site blackout coping analysis performed in conformance with 10 CER 50.63 and Regulatory Guide 1.155, "Station Blackout," as available. Appropriate allowance for off-site emergency response including evacuation of surrounding areas should be considered. Although this IC may be viewed as redundant to the Fission Product Barrier Degradation IC, its inclusion is necessary to better assure timely recognition and emergency response.]

This IC-<u>EAL</u> is specified to assure that in the unlikely event of a prolonged station blackout, timely recognition of the seriousness of the event occurs and that declaration of a GENERAL EMERGENCY occurs as early as is appropriate, based on a reasonable assessment of the event trajectory.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)



The likelihood of restoring at least one emergency <u>vital</u> bus should be based on a realistic appraisal of the situation since a delay in an upgrade decision based on only a chance of mitigating the event could result in a loss of valuable time in preparing and implementing public protective actions.

In addition, under these conditions, fission product barrier monitoring capability may be degraded.

[Although it may be difficult to predict when power can be restored, it is necessary to give the Emergency Director a reasonable idea of how quickly (s)he may need to declare a General Emergency based on two major considerations:

- 1.—Are there any present indications that core cooling is already degraded to the point that loss or potential loss of Fission Product Barriers is IMMINENT?
- 2. If there are no present indications of such core cooling degradation, how likely is it that power can be restored in time to assure that a loss of two barriers with a potential loss of the third barrier can be prevented?

Thus, indication of continuing core cooling degradation must be based on Fission Product Barrier monitoring with particular emphasis on Emergency Director judgment as it relates to IMMINENT loss or potential loss of fission product barriers and degraded ability to monitor fission product barriers.]

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The AC power distribution is summarized in Attachment 2, page 2.

Four hours is the station blackout coping time.

The status and availability of DC power may limit or prevent restoration activities. When prolonged powering of inverters and DC loads has occurred without AC power available for the battery chargers, DC voltage will degrade. This degradation of DC power may limit monitoring and assessment capabilities as instrumentation and control power may not be available. Since monitoring of overall plant conditions will be difficult with no AC power, CFST indications for determining barrier loss are used.

The likelihood of restoring at least one Vital Bus should be based on a realistic appraisal of the situation since a delay in an upgrade decision based on only a chance of mitigating the event could result in a loss of valuable time in preparing and implementing public protective actions. In addition, under these conditions, fission product barrier monitoring capability may be degraded. Although it may be difficult to predict when power can be restored, it is necessary to give the Emergency Coordinator reasonable idea of how quickly he may need to declare a General Emergency based on two major considerations:

- 1. Are there any present indications that core cooling is already degraded to the point that loss or potential loss of fission product barriers is imminent?
- 2. If there are no present indications of such core cooling degradation, how likely is it that power can be restored in time to assure that a loss of two barriers with a potential loss of the third barrier can be prevented?

It is estimated that several hours are required to fully evacuate the 10-mile EPZ. Taking into consideration the above factors, declaring a General Emergency leaves sufficient time for the offsite authorities to implement Protective Actions well before a radioactive release would

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)



occur while providing sufficient time for on-site and off-site mitigation activities to restore AC power.

CFST status will not be used for event classification until the Control Room Staff has implemented the CFSTs. The Core Cooling CFST is illustrated in Attachment 2, page 4.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05 SG1 Example EAL #1
- 2. UFSAR Figure 8.2-2 500 kV Switchyard Diagram
- 3. UFSAR Figure 8.3-1 Auxiliary Power System Diagram
- 4. UFSAR 8.1.1 Utility Grid System and Interconnections
- 5. UFSAR 8.3.1 Power
- 6. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.1 A.C. Sources
- 7. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.2 Onsite Power Distribution Systems
- 8. 1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection
- 9. 1(2)-EOP-LOPA-1 Loss of All AC Power
- 10.S1(S2).OP-AB.LOOP-0001(Q) Loss of Off-Site Power
- 11.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0001(Q) Loss of 1A(2A) 4KV Vital Bus
- 12.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0002(Q) Loss of 1B(2B) 4KV Vital Bus
- 13.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0003(Q) Loss of 1C(2C) 4KV Vital Bus
- 4214.UFSAR 3.12.1.1 Conformance to NRC Rule on Station Blackout
- 1315.ES-45.003(Q) Station Blackout Duration Calculation
- 14<u>16</u>.1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees Figure 2 Core Cooling Status Tree
- 15<u>17</u>.PSE&G Emergency Operating Procedure Setpoint Document Salem Units 1 & 2

## This page intentionally blank

81 . E . . . . • • • · · · *....* · . . 1.1 ·. and the provide ÷ • • 

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: SG1.1

EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	2 – Loss of DC Power
Initiating Condition:	Loss of all vital DC power for 15 minutes or longer
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown

## EAL# & Classification Level: SS2.1 – SITE AREA EMERGENCY

## EAL:

< 114 VDC bus voltage indications on All 125 VDC vital buses for ≥ 15 minutes (Note 3) OR

< 25 VDC bus voltage indications on **both** 28 VDC vital buses for ≥ 15 minutes (Note 3) <u>AND</u> loss of control of Safety Related Equipment from the Control Room has been confirmed

## Basis:

Loss of all DC power compromises ability to monitor and control plant safety functions. Prolonged loss of all DC power will cause core uncovering and loss of containment integrity when there is significant decay heat and sensible heat in the reactor system.

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary power losses.

Escalation to a General Emergency would occur by <u>EALs in Category R</u>, Abnormal Rad Levels/Radiological Effluent, <u>or Category F</u>, Fission Product Barrier Degradation.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Salem

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

The specified bus voltage indications (rounded for readability on Control Room instrumentation) are the minimum voltage requirements for operability of the 125 VDC buses and 28 VDC buses following battery discharge tests. Although continued operation may occur with degraded voltage, these values signify the minimum operable voltages allowed.

This Site Area Emergency EAL is the hot condition equivalent of the cold condition loss of DC power Unusual Event EAL CU2.1.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, SS3 Example EAL #1
- 2. SC.MD-ST.125-0004 (Q) 125 Volt Station Batteries 18 Month Service Test and Associated Surveillance Testing Using BCT-2000
- 3. SC.MD-ST.28D-0004 (Q) 28 Volt Station Batteries 18 Month Service Test and Associated Surveillance Using BCT-2000
- 4. UFSAR 8.3.2 DC Power
- 5. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.2.3 125 Volt DC Distribution Shutdown
- 6. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.2.5 28 Volt DC Distribution Shutdown
- 7. S1(S2).OP-SO.125-0005 1(2)A 125VDC Bus Operation
- 8. S1(S2).OP-SO.125-0006 1(2)B 125VDC Bus Operation
- 9. S1(S2).OP-SO.125-0007 1(2)C 125VDC Bus Operation



EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	3 – ATWT / Criticality
Initiating Condition:	Inadvertent Criticality
Mode Applicability:	3 - Hot Standby, 4 – Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Classification Level:	SU3.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT
EAL:	

**UNPLANNED** sustained positive startup rate observed on nuclear instrumentation

## Basis:

This IC-EAL addresses inadvertent criticality events. This IC-EAL indicates a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant, warranting a NOUE classification. This IC-EAL excludes inadvertent criticalities that occur during planned reactivity changes associated with reactor startups (e.g., criticality earlier than estimated).

[This condition can be identified using period monitors/startup rate monitor. The term "sustained" is used in order to allow exclusion of expected short term positive periods/startup rates from planned control rod movements for PWRs and BWRs (such as shutdown bank withdrawal for PWRs). These short term positive periods/startup rates are the result of the increase in neutron population due to subcritical multiplication.]

Escalation would be by the Fission Product Barrier Table, as appropriate to the operating mode at the time of the event.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The term "sustained" is used in order to allow exclusion of expected short term positive startup rates from planned fuel bundle or control rod movements during core alteration. These short term positive startup rates are the result of the increase in neutron population due to subcritical multiplication.

Positive reactor startup rate may be identified by:

- Source range startup rate indicators NI31D and NI32D
- NIS Recorder NR45
- Audio count rate
- SPDS
- Process Computer

Salem

#### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

.

. ..

This EAL is the hot condition equivalent of the cold condition EAL CU6.1.

Definitions:

**UNPLANNED**: A parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions.

.

· · ·

> Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: SU3.1

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

.

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, SU8 Example EAL #1
- 2. Technical Specifications 3.3.1.1 Reactor Trip System Instrumentation
- 3. UFSAR Table 7.5-2 Main Control Room Indicators and/or Recorders Available to Plant Operators to Monitor Significant Plant Parameters During Normal Operations
- 4. SC.IC-CC.NIS-0011(Q) N31 Source Range
- 5. SC.IC-CC.NIS-0012(Q) N32 Source Range

EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	3 – ATWT / Criticality
Initiating Condition:	Automatic trip fails to shut down the reactor and the manual actions taken from the reactor control console are successful in shutting down the reactor
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup
EAL# & Classification Level:	SA3.1 – ALERT
EAL:	

An automatic trip failed to shut down the reactor

#### <u>AND</u>

Manual trip actions taken at the reactor control console (reactor trip switches, trip bkr bezels, supply breakers 1/2E6D and 1/2G6D) successfully shut down the reactor as indicated by reactor power < 5%

## Basis:

[The reactor should be considered shutdown when it producing less heat than the maximum decay heat load for which the safety systems are designed (typically 3 to 5% power). For plants using CSFSTs, tThis EAL equates to the criteria used to determine a valid CFST Subcriticality Shutdown Margin Red Path. For BWRs this EAL should be the APRM downscale trip setpoint.]

Manual scram (trip) actions taken at the reactor control console are any set of actions by the reactor operator(s) which causes or should cause control rods to be rapidly inserted into the core and shuts down the reactor.

[If tThe manual scram (reactor trip) switches/pushbuttons on the Ccontrol Rroom console panels are considered an automatic input into the Reactor Protection System, a. A failure to scram (trip) after actuating **both** reactor trip switches without any other automatic input would make this threshold applicable.[mcpi]

This condition indicates failure of the automatic protection system to scram (trip) the reactor. This condition is more than a potential degradation of a safety system in that a front line automatic protection system did not function in response to a plant transient. Thus the plant safety has been compromised because design limits of the fuel may have been exceeded. An ALERT is indicated because conditions may exist that lead to potential loss of fuel clad or RCS and because of the failure of the Reactor Protection System to automatically shutdown the plant.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E3)

eal#: \$A3.1

If manual actions taken at the reactor control console fail to shut\_down the reactor, the event would escalate to a SITE AREA EMERGENCY.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This EAL identifies the need to cease critical reactor operations by actuation of the automatic Reactor Protection System (RPS) trip function. A reactor trip is automatically initiated by the Reactor Protection System (RPS) when certain continuously monitored parameters exceed predetermined setpoints.

Following a successful reactor trip, rapid insertion of the control rods occurs. Nuclear power promptly drops to a fraction of the original power level and then decays to a level several decades less with a negative period. The reactor power drop continues until reactor power reaches the point at which the influence of source neutrons on reactor power starts to be observable. A predictable post-trip response from an automatic reactor trip signal should therefore consist of a prompt drop in reactor power as sensed by the nuclear instrumentation and a lowering of power into the source range. A successful trip has therefore occurred when there is sufficient rod insertion from the trip of RPS to bring reactor power to below 5%, the value used to determine a valid Shutdown Margin Status Tree Red Path. CSFST Shutdown Margin red path is illustrated in Attachment 2, page 5.

For the purposes of emergency classification at the Alert level, successful manual trip actions are those which can be quickly performed from the reactor control console (i.e., reactor trip switches, trip bkr bezels, supply breakers 1/2E6D and 1/2G6D). EOP-FRSM-1 requires an Equipment Operator to locally open the Reactor Trip Breakers and trip the Rod Drive MG Sets. These actions are performed outside the Main Control Room and are NOT to be credited as a successful manual trip.

Following any automatic RPS trip signal EOPs prescribe insertion of redundant manual trip signals to back up the automatic RPS trip function and ensure reactor shutdown is achieved. Even if the first subsequent manual trip signal fully inserts all control rods immediately after the initial failure of the automatic trip, the lowest level of classification that must be declared is an Alert.

In the event that the operator identifies a reactor trip is imminent and initiates a successful manual reactor trip before the automatic trip setpoint is reached, no declaration is required. The successful manual trip of the reactor before it reaches its automatic trip setpoint or reactor trip signals caused by instrumentation channel failures do not lead to a potential fission product barrier loss.

If manual reactor trip actions fail to reduce reactor power below 5%, the event escalates to the Site Area Emergency under EAL SS3.1.

If by procedure, operator actions include the initiation of an immediate manual trip following receipt of an automatic trip signal and there are no clear indications that the automatic trip failed (such as a time delay following indications that a trip setpoint was exceeded), it may be

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E3)

eal#: SA3.1

difficult to determine if the reactor was shut down because of automatic trip or manual actions. If a subsequent review of the trip actuation indications reveals that the automatic trip did not cause the reactor to be shut down, consideration should be given to evaluating the fuel for potential damage, and the reporting requirements of 10CFR50.72 should be considered for the transient event.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, SA2 Example EAL #1
- 2. UFSAR 7.1.1.1 Reactor Trip Systems
- 3. UFSAR Table 7.2-1 List of Reactor Trips, Engineered Safety Features, Containment and Steam Line Isolation and Auxiliary Feedwater
- 4. Technical Specifications Table 3.3-1 Reactor Trip System Instrumentation
- 5. 1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection
- 6. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees Figure 1 Shutdown Margin Status Tree
- 7. 1(2)-EOP-FRSM-1 Response to Nuclear Power Generation

(1) A set of the se

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E3) EAL#: SA3.1

This page intentionally blank

Rev. 0 (draft E3) EAL#: **SA3.1** 

-+

EAL# & Classification Level:	SS3.1 – SITE AREA EMERGENCY
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup
Initiating Condition:	Automatic trip fails to shut down the reactor and manual actions taken from the reactor control console are not successful in shutting down the reactor.
EAL Subcategory:	3 – ATWT / Criticality
EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction

#### EAL:

An automatic trip failed to shut down the reactor

## <u>AND</u>

Manual trip actions taken at the reactor control console (reactor trip switches, trip bkr bezels, supply breakers 1/2E6D and 1/2G6D) do NOT shut down the reactor as indicated by reactor power  $\geq$  5%

## Basis:

[The reactor should be considered shutdown when it-producing less heat than the maximum decay heat load for which the safety systems are designed (typically 3 to 5% power). For plants using CSFSTs, tThis EAL equates to the criteria used to determine a valid CFST Subcriticality Shutdown Margin Red Path. For BWRs this EAL should be the APRM downscale trip setpoint.]

Under these conditions, the reactor is producing more heat than the maximum decay heat load for which the safety systems are designed and efforts to bring the reactor subcritical are unsuccessful. A SITE AREA EMERGENCY is warranted because conditions exist that lead to IMMINENT loss or potential loss of both fuel clad and RCS.

[The reactor should be considered shutdown when it producing less heat than the maximum decay heat load for which the safety systems are designed (typically 3 to 5% power). For plants using CSFSTs, this EAL equates to the criteria used to determine a valid Subcriticality Red Path. For BWRs this EAL should be the APRM downscale trip setpoint.]

Manual scram (trip) actions taken at the reactor control console are any set of actions by the reactor operator(s) at which causes or should cause control rods to be rapidly inserted into the core and shuts down the reactor.

Manual scram (trip) actions are not considered successful if action away from the reactor control console is required to scram (trip) the reactor. This EAL is still applicable even if

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)



actions taken away from the reactor control console are successful in shutting the reactor down because the design limits of the fuel may have been exceeded or because of the gross failure of the Reactor Protection System to shut\_down the plant.

[Although this IC may be viewed as redundant to the Fission Product Barrier Degradation IC, its inclusion is necessary to better assure timely recognition and emergency response.]

Escalation of this event to a GENERAL EMERGENCY would be due to a prolonged condition leading to an extreme challenge to either core\_cooling or heat removal.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This EAL addresses any automatic reactor trip signal followed by a manual trip that fails to shut down the reactor to an extent the reactor is producing energy in excess of the heat load for which the safety systems were designed.

For the purposes of emergency classification at the Site Area Emergency level, successful manual trip actions are those which can be quickly performed from the reactor control console (i.e., reactor trip switches, trip bkr bezels, supply breakers 1(2)E6D and 1(2)G6D). EOP-FRSM-1 requires an Equipment Operator to locally open the Reactor Trip Breakers and trip the Rod Drive MG Sets. These actions are performed outside the Main Control Room and are not to be credited as a successful manual trip.

For emergency classification purposes, the reactor should be considered shutdown when it is producing less heat than the maximum decay heat load for which the safety systems are designed. This equates to < 5% power, the value used to determine a valid Shutdown Margin Status Tree Red Path. CSFST Shutdown Margin red path is illustrated in Attachment 2, page 5.

Entry into EOP-FRSM-1 will be required if the manual trip from the console "trip handles" or Turbine Trip and P-9 ( $\geq$  49% Power) is not successful. EOP-FRSM-1 requires an Equipment Operator to locally open the Reactor Trip Breakers and trip the Rod Drive MG Sets. Since this action is outside the Control Room, a successful remote Reactor Trip will still require classification under this EAL because the design limits of the fuel may have been exceeded or because of the gross failure of the RPS to shut down the plant. The threshold value of < 5% reactor power was selected to be consistent with CFST EOP-FRSM-1 entry criteria. Mode 2 is included in this EAL to include events which result in a return to > 5% reactor power from some lower value.

Escalation of this event to a GENERAL EMERGENCY would be under EAL SG3.1 or Emergency Coordinator judgment.

Definitions:

**IMMINENT:** Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, SS2 Example EAL #1
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection
- 3. 1(2)-EOP-FRSM-1 Response to Nuclear Power Generation
- 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees Figure 1 Shutdown Margin Status Tree

> > Rev. 0 (draft E)

Salem

EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	3 – ATWT / Criticality
Initiating Condition:	Automatic trip and all manual actions fail to shut down the reactor and indication of an extreme challenge to the ability to cool the core exists
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup
EAL# & Classification Level:	SG3.1 – GENERAL EMERGENCY

## EAL:

An automatic trip failed to shut down the reactor

## <u>AND</u>

All manual actions do <u>NOT</u> shut down the reactor as indicated by reactor power  $\geq$  5%

## <u>AND</u>

**EITHER** of the following:

- CFST Core Cooling RED path exists
- CFST Heat Sink RED path exists due to actual loss of secondary heat sink and heat sink is required

## Basis:

[The reactor should be considered shutdown when it producing less heat than the maximum decay heat load for which the safety systems are designed (typically 3 to 5% power). For plants using CSFSTs, tThis EAL equates to the criteria used to determine a valid CFST Subcriticality Shutdown Margin Red Path. For BWRs this EAL should be the APRM downscale trip setpoint.]

Under these conditions, the reactor is producing more heat than the maximum decay heat load for which the safety systems are designed and efforts to bring the reactor subcritical are unsuccessful.

[The reactor should be considered shutdown when it producing less heat than the maximum decay heat load for which the safety systems are designed (typically 3 to 5% power). For plants using CSFSTs, this EAL equates to the criteria used to determine a valid Subcriticality Red Path. For BWRs this EAL should be the APRM downscale trip setpoint.]

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

eal#: \$G3.1

[For PWRs, the extreme challenge to the ability to cool the core is intended to mean that the core exit temperatures are at or approaching 1200 degrees F or that the reactor vessel water level is below the top of active fuel. For plants using CSFSTs, this EAL equates to a Core Cooling RED condition combined with a Subcriticality RED condition.]

[For BWRs, the extreme challenge to the ability to cool the core is intended to mean that the reactor vessel water level cannot be restored and maintained above Minimum Steam Cooling RPV Water Level as described in the EOP bases.]

[Another consideration is the inability to initially remove heat during the early stages of this sequence. For PWRs, if emergency feedwater flow is insufficient to remove the amount of heat required by design from at least one steam generator, an extreme challenge should be considered to exist. For plants using CSFSTs, this EAL equates to a Heat Sink RED condition combined with a Subcriticality RED condition.]

## [For BWRs, considerations include inability to remove heat via the main condenser, or via the suppression pool or torus (e.g., due to high pool water temperature).]

In the event either of these challenges exists at a time that the reactor has not been brought below the power associated with the safety system design, a core melt sequence exists. In this situation, core degradation can occur rapidly. For this reason, the GENERAL EMERGENCY declaration is intended to be anticipatory of the fission product barrier table declaration to permit maximum off-site intervention time.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

For emergency classification purposes, the reactor should be considered shutdown when it is producing less heat than the maximum decay heat load for which the safety systems are designed. This equates to < 5% power, the value used to determine a valid Shutdown Margin Status Tree Red Path. CSFST Shutdown Margin red path is illustrated in Attachment 2, page 5.

Entry into EOP-FRSM-1 will be required if the manual trip from the console "trip handle" or Turbine Trip and P-9 ( $\geq$  49% Power) is not successful. EOP-FRSM-1 requires an Equipment Operator to locally open the Reactor Trip Breakers and trip the Rod Drive MG Sets. Since this action is outside the control room, a successful remote Reactor Trip will require classification under this EAL. The threshold value of 5% reactor power was selected to be consistent with CFST EOP-FRSM-1 entry criteria. For events, which result in a return to > 5% reactor power from some lower value, classification under this EAL would be required.

Further degradation is indicated by the occurrence of valid CFST Core Cooling red path or Heat Sink red path. The Core Cooling red path is indicative of a loss of core cooling and the Heat Sink red path of a potential loss of core cooling. CFST status will not be used for event classification until the Control Room Staff has implemented the CFSTs. CFST Core Cooling and CFST Heat Sink are illustrated in Attachment 2 page 4 and 6, respectively.

If the Heat Sink red path is due to a procedurally directed action, classification under this EAL is not required. EOP-FRSM-1 directs the operators to minimize feedwater flow to the steam generators in order to minimize cooldown and control reactivity. A heat sink red path is generated as a result of this operator action. However, actual loss of control of the heat sink does not occur due to these actions. In addition, the heat sink red path is precursor to a loss of core cooling and is backed up by the core cooling red path. Declaration of a General Emergency is not justified if the heat sink red path is a result of procedurally directed actions.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, SG2 Example EAL #1
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-FRSM-1 Response to Nuclear Power Generation
- 3. 1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection
- 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees Figure 1 Shutdown Margin Status Tree
- 5. PSE&G Emergency Operating Procedure Setpoint Document Salem Units 1 & 2
- 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees Figure 2 Core Cooling Status Tree
- 7. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees Figure 3 Heat Sink Status Tree

EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	4 – Inability to Reach or Maintain Shutdown Conditions
Initiating Condition:	Inability to reach required shutdown within Technical Specification limits
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Shutdown
EAL# & Classification Level:	SU4.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT

EAL:

Plant is NOT brought to required operating mode within Technical Specifications LCO action statement time

## Basis:

Limiting Conditions of Operation (LCOs) require the plant to be brought to a required operating mode when the Technical Specification required configuration cannot be restored. Depending on the circumstances, this may or may not be an emergency or precursor to a more severe condition. In any case, the initiation of plant shutdown required by the site Technical Specifications requires a four hour report under 10 CFR 50.72 (b) Non-emergency events. The plant is within its safety envelope when being shut down within the allowable action statement time in the Technical Specifications. An immediate NOUE is required when the plant is not brought to the required operating mode within the allowable action statement time in the Technical Specifications. Declaration of a NOUE is based on the time at which the LCO-specified action statement time period elapses under the site Technical Specifications and is not related to how long a condition may have existed.

[Other required Technical Specification shutdowns that involve precursors to more serious events are addressed by other System Malfunction, Hazards, or Fission Product Barrier Degradation ICs.]

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Depending on the circumstances, this may or may not be a precursor to a more severe condition. A shutdown required by the Technical Specifications requires a report under 10 CFR 50.72 (b) non-emergency events. The plant is within its safety envelope when actions are completed within the allowable Action Statement time in the T/S. If the times specified within the Action Statements are not met, the plant may be in an unsafe condition.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: SU4.1

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, SU2 Example EAL #1
- 2. SGS Technical Specifications

and the second secon

· · · · ·

· .. r. . . · · · · · ante e tratte . : <u>.</u> . . . . . · · . 2010 . -

This page intentionally blank.

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: SU4.1

-

Salem

۰.

EAL# & Classification Level:	SU5.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
Initiating Condition:	<b>UNPLANNED</b> loss of safety system annunciation or indication in the Control Room for 15 minutes or longer
EAL Subcategory:	5 – Instrumentation
EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction

#### EAL:

**UNPLANNED** loss of > approximately 75% of Control Room Overhead Annunciators for ≥ 15 minutes (Note 3)

## <u>0R</u>

**UNPLANNED** loss of > approximately 75% of Control Room Indications associated with the following safety functions for ≥ 15 minutes (Note 3):

- Reactivity Control
- RCS Inventory
- Decay Heat Removal
- Fission Product Barriers

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

#### Basis:

This IC and its associated EAL are is intended to recognize the difficulty associated with monitoring changing plant conditions without the use of a major portion of the annunciation or indication equipment.

Recognition of the availability of computer based indication equipment is considered [*e.g.*, *SPDS, plant computer, etc.*].

"Planned" loss of annunciators or indicators includes scheduled maintenance and testing activities.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

eal#: SU5.1

#### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

Quantification is arbitrary, however, it is estimated that if approximately 75% of the safety system annunciators or indicators are lost, there is an increased risk that a degraded plant condition could go undetected. It is not intended that plant personnel perform a detailed count of the instrumentation lost but use the value as a judgment threshold for determining the severity of the plant conditions.

It is further recognized that most plant designs provide redundant safety system indication powered from separate uninterruptible power supplies. While failure of a large portion of annunciators is more likely than a failure of a large portion of indications, the concern is included in this EAL due to difficulty associated with assessment of plant conditions. The loss of specific, or several, safety system indicators should remain a function of that specific system or component operability status. This will be addressed by the specific Technical Specification. The initiation of a Technical Specification imposed plant shutdown related to the instrument loss will be reported via 10 CFR 50.72. If the shutdown is not in compliance with the Technical Specification action, the NOUE is based on SU<u>4.1</u>2 "Inability to Reach Required Shutdown Within Technical Specification Limits."

[Site specific annunciators or indicators for this EAL must include those identified in the Abnormal Operating Procedures, in the Emergency Operating Procedures, and in other EALs (e.g., area, process, and/or effluent rad monitors, etc.).]

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary power losses.

[Due to the limited number of safety systems in operation during cold shutdown, refueling, and defueled modes, no IC is indicated during these modes of operation.]

This NOUE will be escalated to an ALERT based on a concurrent loss of compensatory indications or a **SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENT** is occurring during a loss of annunciators/indications.

[Other required Technical Specification shutdowns that involve precursors to more serious events are addressed by other System Malfunction, Hazards, or Fission Product Barrier Degradation ICs.]

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This EAL recognizes the difficulty associated with monitoring changing plant conditions without the use of a major portion of the annunciation or indication equipment. A **UNPLANNED** loss of most or all Control Room Overhead Annunciators or other key control room safety function indicators without a plant transient in MODES 1, 2, 3, or 4 for  $\geq$  15 **minutes** warrants a heightened awareness by Control Room Operators. Quantification of > 75% is left to the discretion of the Shift Manager (SM), and is considered approximately 75%. It is not intended that a detailed count be performed, but that a rough approximation be used to determine the severity of the loss.

OP-AB.ANN-0001 (Q) details increased monitoring and surveillance requirements as well as alternate indicators. **15 minutes** is used as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)



power losses. The **15 minutes clock** starts when the annunciators or other key Control Room safety function indications have been lost, or are determined to have been lost. If upon time of discovery it is determined that the annunciators or key safety function indications have been lost for at least **15 minutes** prior to discovery, classification should be made under this EAL regardless of time required for restoration. If it is determined that the annunciators were lost for at least **15 minutes** with the annunciators now available at the time of discovery, classification is not required under this EAL, but a review of the "After The Fact" RAL (11.6) should be completed.

Definitions:

**UNPLANNED**: a parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions. An **UNPLANNED** loss of annunciators and loss other key control room indication systems excludes scheduled maintenance and testing activities.

**SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENT:** An **UNPLANNED** event based on EC judgment, but includes as a minimum any one of the following: (1) automatic turbine runback greater than 25% thermal reactor power, (2) electrical load rejection greater than 25% full electrical load, (3) Reactor Trip, or (4) Safety Injection Activation.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, SU3 Example EAL #1
- 2. S1(S2).OP-AB.ANN-0001(Q) Loss of Overhead Annunciator System
- 3. UFSAR 7.7.2.10 Plant Alarm and Annunciator Systems
- 4. UFSAR Table 7.7-2 Overhead Annunciator Groupings

This page intentionally blank

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: SU5.1

EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	5 – Instrumentation
Initiating Condition:	<b>UNPLANNED</b> loss of safety system annunciation or indication in the Control Room with either (1) a <b>SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENT</b> in progress, or (2) compensatory indicators unavailable
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Classification Level:	SA5.1 – ALERT

#### EAL:

**UNPLANNED** loss of > approximately 75% of Control Room Overhead Annunciators for ≥ 15 minutes (Note 3)

## 

**UNPLANNED** loss of > approximately 75% of Control Room Indications associated with the following safety functions for  $\geq$  15 minutes (Note 3):

- Reactivity Control
- RCS Inventory
- Decay Heat Removal
- Fission Product Barriers

<u>AND</u>

**EITHER** of the following:

- A SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENT is in progress, Table S-1
- Compensatory indications are NOT available per OP-AB.ANN-0001(Q)

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

Rev. 0 (draft E)



## Table S-1 SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENTS

- Automatic turbine runback > 25% thermal reactor power
- Electrical load rejection > 25% full electrical load
- Reactor Trip
- Safety Injection Activation

## Basis:

This IC EAL is intended to recognize the difficulty associated with monitoring changing plant conditions without the use of a major portion of the annunciation or indication equipment during a SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENT.

## [Recognition of the availability of computer based indication equipment is considered (e.g., SPDS, plant computer, etc.).]

"Planned" loss of annunciators or indicators includes scheduled maintenance and testing activities.

Quantification is arbitrary, however, it is estimated that if **approximately 75%** of the safety system annunciators or indicators are lost, there is an increased risk that a degraded plant condition could go undetected. It is not intended that plant personnel perform a detailed count of the instrumentation lost but use the value as a judgment threshold for determining the severity of the plant conditions. It is also not intended that the Shift <u>Supervisor-Manager</u> be tasked with making a judgment decision as to whether additional personnel are required to provide increased monitoring of system operation.

It is further recognized that most plant designs provide redundant safety system indication powered from separate uninterruptible power supplies. While failure of a large portion of annunciators is more likely than a failure of a large portion of indications, the concern is included in this EAL due to difficulty associated with assessment of plant conditions. The loss of specific, or several, safety system indicators should remain a function of that specific system or component operability status. This will be addressed by the specific Technical Specification. The initiation of a Technical Specification imposed plant shutdown related to the instrument loss will be reported via 10 CFR 50.72. If the shutdown is not in compliance with the Technical Specification action, the NOUE is based on SU2\_SU4.1 "Inability to Reach Required Shutdown Within Technical Specification Limits."

[Site-specific annunciators or indicators for this EAL must include those identified in the Abnormal Operating Procedures, in the Emergency Operating Procedures, and in other EALs (e.g., area, process, and/or effluent rad monitors, etc.).]

Rev. 0 (draft E)

eal#: SA5.1

"Compensatory indications" in this context includes computer based information such as the process Plant Ceomputer System and SPDS (see OP-AB.ANN-0001(Q)). [*This should include all computer systems available for this use depending on specific plant design and subsequent retrofits.*] If both a major portion of the annunciation system and all computer monitoring are unavailable, the ALERT is required.

[Due to the limited number of safety systems in operation during cold shutdown, refueling and defueled modes, no IC is indicated during these modes of operation.]

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary power losses.

This ALERT will be escalated to a SITE AREA EMERGENCY if the operating crew cannot monitor the transient in progress due to a concurrent loss of compensatory indications with a **SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENT** in progress during the loss of annunciation or indication.

[Other required Technical Specification shutdowns that involve precursors to more serious events are addressed by other System Malfunction, Hazards, or Fission Product Barrier Degradation ICs.]

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

OP-AB.ANN-0001(Q) details increased monitoring and surveillance requirements as well as alternate indicators during a loss of Control Room Overhead Annunciators.

The **15 minute clock** starts when the annunciators or other key control room safety function indications have been lost, or are determined to have been lost. If upon time of discovery it is determined that the annunciators or key safety function indications have been lost for at least **15 minutes** prior to discovery, classification should be made under this EAL regardless of time required for restoration.

**SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENTS** are listed in Table S-1 and include response to automatic or manually initiated functions such as reactor trips, automatic turbine runback greater than 25% thermal reactor power, electrical load rejection greater than 25% full electrical load, or Safety Injection activation.

The Plant Computer System and Safety Parameter Display System (SPDS) serve as redundant indicators which may be utilized as compensatory measures in lieu of the Control Room Overhead Annunciators and Control Room indicators associated with safety functions.

The judgment of the Shift Manager should be used as the threshold for determining the severity of the plant conditions.

If the operating crew cannot monitor the transient in progress, the ALERT escalates to a SITE AREA EMERGENCY under EAL SS5.1.

Definitions:

Salem



**UNPLANNED:** a parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions. An **UNPLANNED** loss of annunciators and loss other key control room indication systems excludes scheduled maintenance and testing activities.

**SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENT**: An **UNPLANNED** event based on EC judgment, but includes as a minimum any one of the following: (1) automatic turbine runback greater than 25% thermal reactor power, (2) electrical load rejection greater than 25% full electrical load, (3) Reactor Trip, or (4) Safety Injection Activation.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, SA5 Example EAL #1
- 2. S1(S2).OP-AB.ANN-0001(Q) Loss of Overhead Annunciator System
- 3. UFSAR 7.7.2.10 Plant Alarm and Annunciator Systems
- 4. UFSAR Table 7.7-2 Overhead Annunciator Grouping

.

EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	5 – Instrumentation
Initiating Condition:	Inability to monitor a <b>SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENT</b> in progress
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Classification Level:	SS5.1 – SITE AREA EMERGENCY

#### EAL:

Loss of > approximately 75% of Control Room Overhead Annunciators for ≥ 15 minutes (Note 3)

## <u>0R</u>

Loss of > approximately 75% of Control Room Indications associated with the following safety functions for ≥ 15 minutes (Note 3):

- Reactivity Control
- RCS Inventory
- Decay Heat Removal
- Fission Product Barriers

## <u>AND</u>

A SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENT is in progress, Table S-1

## <u>AND</u>

Compensatory indications are NOT available per OP-AB.ANN-0001(Q)

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

## Table S-1 SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENTS

- Automatic turbine runback > 25% thermal reactor power
- Electrical load rejection > 25% full electrical load
- Reactor Trip
- Safety Injection Activation

#### Basis:

This IC <u>EAL</u> is intended to recognize the threat to plant safety associated with the complete loss of capability of the control room staff to monitor plant response to a **SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENT**.

"Planned" and "**UNPLANNED**" actions are not differentiated since the loss of instrumentation of this magnitude is of such significance during a transient that the cause of the loss is not <del>an</del> <del>ameliorating <u>a</u> factor.</del>

Quantification is arbitrary, however, it is estimated that if **approximately 75%** of the safety system annunciators or indicators are lost, there is an increased risk that a degraded plant condition could go undetected. It is not intended that plant personnel perform a detailed count of the instrumentation lost but use the value as a judgment threshold for determining the severity of the plant conditions. It is also not intended that the Shift <u>Supervisor Manager</u> be tasked with making a judgment decision as to whether additional personnel are required to provide increased monitoring of system operation.

It is further recognized that most plant designs provide redundant safety system indication powered from separate uninterruptible power supplies. While failure of a large portion of annunciators is more likely than a failure of a large portion of indications, the concern is included in this EAL due to difficulty associated with assessment of plant conditions. The loss of specific, or several, safety system indicators should remain a function of that specific system or component operability status. This will be addressed by the specific Technical Specification. The initiation of a Technical Specification imposed plant shutdown related to the instrument loss will be reported via 10 CFR 50.72. If the shutdown is not in compliance with the Technical Specification action, the NOUE is based on SU2\_SU4.1 "Inability to Reach Required Shutdown Within Technical Specification Limits."

A SITE AREA EMERGENCY is considered to exist if the <u>C</u>eontrol <u>R</u>room staff cannot monitor safety functions needed for protection of the public while a significant transient is in progress.

[Site specific annunciators for this EAL should be limited to include those identified in the Abnormal Operating Procedures, in the Emergency Operating Procedures, and in other EALs (.g., area, process, and/or effluent rad monitors, etc.)]

Rev. 0 (draft E)



#### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

Site specific indications needed to monitor safety functions necessary for protection of the public must include <u>Ceontrol R</u>room indications, computer generated indications and dedicated annunciation capability.

[The specific indications should be those used to determine such functions as the ability to shut down the reactor, maintain the core cooled, to maintain the reactor coolant system intact, maintain the spent fuel cooled, and to maintain containment intact.]

"Compensatory indications" in this context includes computer based information such as the process-Plant Ceomputer System and SPDS (see OP-AB.ANN-0001(Q)). This should include all computer systems available for this use depending on specific plant design and subsequent retrofits.

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary power losses.

[Due to the limited number of safety systems in operation during cold shutdown, refueling and defueled modes, no IC is indicated during these modes of operation.]

[Due to the limited number of safety systems in operation during cold shutdown, refueling and defueled modes, no IC is indicated during these modes of operation.][Other required Technical Specification shutdowns that involve precursors to more serious events are addressed by other System Malfunction, Hazards, or Fission Product Barrier Degradation ICs.]

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

OP-AB.ANN-0001(Q) details increased monitoring and surveillance requirements as well as alternate indicators during a loss of Control Room Overhead Annunciators.

The **15 minute clock** starts when the annunciators or other key control room safety function indications have been lost, or are determined to have been lost. If upon time of discovery it is determined that the annunciators or key safety function indications have been lost for at least **15 minutes** prior to discovery, classification should be made under this EAL regardless of time required for restoration.

SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENTS are listed in Table S-1.

The Plant Process Computer System (PPC) and Safety Parameter Display System (SPDS) serve as redundant indicators which may be utilized as compensatory measures in lieu of the Control Room Overhead Annunciators and Control Room indicators associated with safety functions.

The judgment of the Shift Manager should be used as the threshold for determining the severity of the plant conditions.

Definitions:

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)


· .

**UNPLANNED:** a parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions. An **UNPLANNED** loss of annunciators and loss other key control room indication systems excludes scheduled maintenance and testing activities.

**SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENT:** An **UNPLANNED** event based on EC judgment, but includes as a minimum any one of the following: (1) automatic turbine runback greater than 25% thermal reactor power, (2) electrical load rejection greater than 25% full electrical load, (3) Reactor Trip, or (4) Safety Injection Activation.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, SS6 Example EAL #1
- 2. S1(S2).OP-AB.ANN-0001(Q) Loss of Overhead Annunciator System
- 3. UFSAR 7.7.2.10 Plant Alarm and Annunciator Systems

the second second

4. UFSAR Table 7.7-2 Overhead Annunciator Grouping

an a strand a strand a strange strange to see a strange a state of a strange and strange and strange. A strange a strange a strange and strange a strange a

. ...

(a) A for a first set of the set of the first set of the set o





.

i

Salem

- --

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: \$\$5.1

EAL# & Classification Level:	SU6.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
Initiating Condition:	Loss of all onsite or offsite communications capabilities
EAL Subcategory:	6 – Communications
EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction

#### EAL:

Loss of **all Table S-2** Onsite communication methods affecting the ability to perform routine operations

# 

Loss of **all Table S-2** Offsite communication methods affecting the ability to perform offsite notifications

Table S-2 Communications Systems		
System	Onsite	Offsite
Direct Inward Dial System (DID)	X	X
Station Page System (Gaitronics)	X	
Station Radio System	X	
Nuclear Emergency Telephone System (NETS)		X
Centrex Phone System (ESSX)		X
NRC (ENS)		×

Salem

#### Basis:

The purpose of this IC and its associated EALs is to recognize a loss of communications capability that either defeats the plant operations staff ability to perform routine tasks necessary for plant operations or the ability to communicate issues with off-site authorities.

[The loss of off-site communications ability is expected to be significantly-more comprehensive than the condition addressed by 10 CFR 50.72.1

The availability of one method of ordinary off-site communications is sufficient to inform federal, state, and local authorities of plant problems. This EAL is intended to be used only when extraordinary means (e.g., relaying of information from non-routine radio transmissions, individuals being sent to off-site locations, etc.) are being used to make communications possible. . . .

Site specific list for on-site communications loss must encompass the loss of all means of communications (e.g., commercial telephones, sound powered phone systems, page party system (Gaitronics) and radios / walkie talkies) routinely used for operations.]

الم الروى المحمد والأخري أحمد بعنها المالية المراجع المراجع أمراحه المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع Site specific list for off-site communications loss must encompase the loss of all means of communications with off-site authorities. This should include the ENS, commercial telephone lines, telecopy transmissions, and dedicated phone systems that are routinely used for offsite emergency notifications.]

#### والموهد والمراجع والمراجع والمتعود المواجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Onsite and offsite communications include one or more of the systems listed in Table S-2.

Direct Inward Dial System (DID)

Direct Inward Dial (DID) system is named for the dominant feature of the commercial telephone service provided by the local telephone company for the site. DID allows station telephones to be extensions or tied lines of the same systems. These exchanges can take advantage of backup power supplies provided to the stations, and may use either PSEG microwave, commercial telephone system microwave, or buried cable transmission systems to maintain external communications. This commercial telephone service is available as an additional backup for the NETS and Centrex/ESSX 1 system.

#### Station Page System (Gaitronics)

Gaitronics is a completely transistorized voice communication system with five voice channels: one page and five party. The system is designed for use in extreme environmental conditions such as dust, moisture, heat and noise. The system consists of handsets, speakers and their associated amplifiers. The power for this system is 120 volts AC from an inverted DC source to provide reliable communications during an emergency.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) FAL # SUG.1

# Station Radio System

The Operations and Fire Protection Department UHF radio system is a multi-frequency system used routinely by both station Operations Departments and the Fire Protection Department. When an emergency event is declared, these radio frequencies serve both station Operations Support Centers (OSC).

#### Nuclear Emergency Telephone System (NETS)

The Nuclear Emergency Telecommunications System (NETS) is a privately controlled, selfcontained telephone exchange that operates as a closed system, not accessible from other phone exchanges. This feature allows the system to be dedicated to emergency response use. The system may use PSEG microwave, commercial telephone system microwave, fiber optics, or buried cable transmission as needed. The exchange switching equipment is maintained at the Environmental & Energy Resource Center (EERC). As an independent system with an uninterruptible power supply, it may operate with or without local phone service or external power.

#### Centrex Phone System (ESSX)

The Centrex/Electronic Switch System Exchange 1 (Centrex/ESSX 1) is also a privately controlled exchange, which PSEG operates with its own microwave signal system. This system is also independent of local phone service, since each circuit is independently wired. The microwave signal is generated from corporate facilities in Newark, NJ, separated from any local effects of weather or telephone use. The exchange is accessible from other exchanges, but circuits are located only in PSEG facilities. It is considered the primary backup for the NETS system.

# NRC (ENS)

The Emergency Notification System (ENS) is a dedicated communications system with the NRC, which is part of the Federal Telecommunications System (FTS) and consists of direct lines to the NRC. FTS lines are used to provide general accident information. These telephones are installed in the Control Room, TSC, and the EOF.

This EAL is the hot condition equivalent of the cold condition EAL CU5.1.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, SU6 Example EAL #1, #2
- 2. PSEG Nuclear Emergency Plan, Section 7
- 3. UFSAR 9.5.2 Communications System

EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	7 – Fuel Clad Degradation
Initiating Condition:	Fuel clad degradation
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Classification Level:	SU7.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT

EAL:

**VALID** Letdown Line Monitor readings indicating fuel clad degradation greater than **<u>EITHER</u>** of the following Technical Specification allowable limits:

- 1R31A in warning
- 2R31 in alarm

#### Basis:

This EAL is included because it is a precursor of more serious conditions and, as result, is considered to be a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant.

Escalation of this EAL to the ALERT level is via the Fission Product Barriers.

#### <u>EAL-#1</u>

This threshold addresses <u>letdownsite-specific</u> radiation monitor readings that provide indication of a degradation of fuel clad integrity.

[Such as BWR air ejector monitors, PWR failed fuel monitors, etc.]

[Site specific list for on-site communications loss must encompass the loss of all means of communications (e.g., commercial telephones, sound powered phone systems, page party system (Gaitronics) and radios / walkie talkies) routinely used for operations.]

[Site specific list for off-site communications loss must encompass the loss of all means of communications with off-site authorities. This should include the ENS, commercial telephone lines, telecopy transmissions, and dedicated phone systems that are routinely used for offsite emergency notifications.]

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Salem

#### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

·· · · ··

. .. . . . . .

Letdown Line Monitors serve as a failed fuel detector by monitoring gamma levels in the reactor coolant letdown line. Unit 1 Letdown Line Monitor (1R31A) is a gross iodine monitor. The Unit 2 Letdown Line Monitor (2R31) is an ion chamber which measures letdown line activity. The Letdown Line Monitor "warning" setpoints are administratively set at 50% of the "alarm" setpoints.

- 1R31A "alarm" setpoint is based on 1% failed fuel. The "warning" setpoint represents 0 about 0.5% failed fuel and has been selected because the setpoint would be readily identifiable on Control Room instrumentation.
- 2R31 "alarm" setpoint is based on 0.1% failed fuel. This setpoint is readily identifiable 0 and also representative of typical values of coolant activity at Technical Specification limits. - ----.. . ..

Read-outs for these monitors can be obtained in the Control Room.

Other radiation monitors that may be used to confirm a valid Letdown Line Monitor alarm include:

- 1(2)R4 Charging Pump Room ·····
- 1(2)R26 Reactor Coolant Filter
- Containment Area Rad Monitors (1(2)R2, 1(2)7, 1(2)10A, 1(2)10B)

Definitions:

VALID: An indication, report, or condition, is considered to be VALID when it is verified by (1) an instrument channel check, (2) indications on related or redundant indicators, or (3) by direct observation by plant personnel, such that doubt related to the indicator's operability, the condition's existence, or the report's accuracy is removed. Implicit in this definition is the need for timely assessment.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, SU4 Example EAL #1
- 2. PSBP 315733 Radiation Monitoring System Manual, Unit 1
- 3. PSBP 315734 Radiation Monitoring System Control Manual, Unit 2
- 4. UFSAR 9.3.5.3 Safety Evaluation (Failed fuel Detection System)
- 5. UFSAR 11.4 Radiological Monitoring
- 6. S1(S2).OP-AB.RC-0002 (Q) High Activity in the Reactor Coolant System

EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	7 – Fuel Clad Degradation
Initiating Condition:	Fuel clad degradation
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Classification Level:	SU7.2 – UNUSUAL EVENT

#### EAL:

Reactor coolant activity (Dose Equivalent lodine) exceeds limits of Technical Specification Figure 3.4-1

#### Basis:

This EAL is included because it is a precursor of more serious conditions and, as result, is considered to be a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant.

Escalation of this EAL to the ALERT level is via the Fission Product Barriers.

#### <u>EAL #2</u>

This threshold addresses coolant samples exceeding coolant technical specifications for transient iodine spiking limits (Technical Specification Figure 3.4-1).

[Such as BWR air ejector monitors, PWR failed fuel monitors, etc.]

[Site specific list for on-site communications loss must encompass the loss of all means of communications (e.g., commercial telephones, sound powered phone systems, page party system (Gaitronics) and radios / walkie talkies) routinely used for operations.]

[Site specific list for off-site communications loss must encompass the loss of all means of communications with off-site authorities. This should include the ENS, commercial telephone lines, telecopy transmissions, and dedicated phone systems that are routinely used for offsite emergency notifications.]

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

An Unusual Event is only warranted when actual fuel clad damage is the cause of the elevated coolant sample (as determined by RCS sample analysis confirmation).

Escalation to an ALERT or higher emergency classification occurs if a sample analysis of reactor coolant activity exceeds 300  $\mu$ Ci/gm DEI-131 via EAL FB4-L.

Salem

Page 1 of 3

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: SU7<sub>-</sub>2

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, SU4Example EAL #2
- 2. SGS Technical Specification Section 3.4.8 Unit 1 Specific Activity
- 3. SGS Technical Specification Section 3.4.9 Unit 2 Specific Activity
- 4. S1(S2).OP-AB.RC-0002(Q) High Activity in Reactor Coolant System

n an the second sec In the second second

energy and the second second

. . .

and a second second

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: SU7.2

i

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: SU7.2

EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	8 – RCS Leakage
Initiating Condition:	RCS Leakage
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Classification Level:	SU8.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT

#### EAL:

UNIDENTIFIED LEAKAGE or PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE > 10 gpm (Note 6)

<u> 0R</u>

#### IDENTIFIED LEAKAGE > 25 gpm (Note 6)

Note 6: See the Fission Product Barrier Table for possible escalation above the UNUSUAL EVENT due to RCS Leakage

#### Basis:

This IC-EAL is included as a NOUE because it may be a precursor of more serious conditions and, as result, is considered to be a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant. The **10 gpm** value for the **UNIDENTIFIED** or **PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE** was selected as it is observable with normal <u>C</u>eontrol <u>R</u>-coom indications. Lesser values must generally be determined through time-consuming surveillance tests (e.g., mass balances).

Relief valve normal operation should be excluded from this ICEAL. However, a relief valve that operates and fails to close per design should be considered applicable to this ICEAL if the relief valve cannot be isolated.

The EAL for identified leakage is set at a higher value due to the lesser significance of **IDENTIFIED LEAKAGE** in comparison to **UNIDENTIFIED** or **PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE**. In either case, escalation of this IC-<u>EAL</u> to the ALERT level is via Fission Product Barrier Degradation ICsEALs.

[Such as BWR air ejector monitors, PWR failed fuel monitors, etc.]

[Site specific list for on-site communications loss must encompass the loss of all means of communications (e.g., commercial telephones, sound powered phone systems, page party system (Gaitronics) and radios / walkie talkies) routinely used for operations.]

eal#: SU8.1

Site specific list for off-site communications loss must encompass the loss of all means of communications with off-site authorities. This should include the ENS, commercial telephone lines, telecopy transmissions, and dedicated phone systems that are routinely used for offsite emergency notifications.]

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

RCS Leakage is defined as any leakage of Reactor Coolant this is unisolable or affects Pressurizer level. RCS Leakage of the magnitude described in this EAL is consistent with an Unusual Event classification and should be declared immediately.

The Technical Specification definitions for UNIDENTIFIED LEAKAGE, IDENTIFIED LEAKAGE and PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE are provided below.

And the state of t Relief valve normal operation (e.g., PZR PORV or safety valves) should be excluded from emergency classification under this EAL. A relief valve that fails to close per design and cannot be isolated from the Control Room, however, should be considered applicable to this EAL. 

Utilizing the leak before break concept, it is anticipated that there will be indications of minor RCS boundary leakage prior to a fault escalating to a major leak or rupture. Detection of low levels of leakage while pressurized permits monitoring for catastrophic failure or rupture precursors.

The Control Room staff is equipped with the Plant Computer (PRIM SYS LEAK RATE program) and manual methods of determining the extent of RCS leakage. 

Examples of RCS leakage and applicability include:

Example #1: A rapidly lowering Volume Control Tank (VCT) level is identified during a Radwaste evolution involving the draining of the #12 Mixed Bed Demineralizer (MBD). The Control Room staff identifies the condition and the drain valve on the MBD is closed. Charging flow has not changed and VCT level is stabilized within 3 minutes. The calculated leak rate is 73 gpm for 3 minutes.

This is not reportable because the leakage did not affect pressurizer level and the leak was isolable from the RCS. Note that Tech Spec limits still apply.

Example #2: A Unit 2 RCS leakrate calculation identified a 30-gpm leak. VCT level has started to drop unexpectedly and enough time has passed that there are minor variations in pressurizer level. The source of the leak is unknown. After about 20 minutes, it was determined that the source of the leak was the stem leakoff line from the 2CV55.

This event should be classified within the 15 minute clock and declared an Unusual Event even though the leak could have been isolated. Any reduction in pressurizer level, which can be attributed to a Reactor Coolant System leak should be quantified and the Technical Specification entered and the applicable EAL entered as appropriate.

Salem

Page 2 of 4<sup>±</sup>

Rev. 0 (draft E)



The use of increasing charging flow to quantify Reactor Coolant System leakage is acceptable provided there is an actual Reactor Coolant System leak. This could result in a stable pressurizer level and should be reviewed against Technical Specifications and the ECG as applicable. If at any time the source of the leakage is unknown and it meets the ECG criteria, the Emergency Coordinator should classify the event.

Escalation to the ALERT emergency classification level is via EALs in Category F. Note 6 has been added to remind the EAL-user to review the Fission Product Barrier EALs for possible escalation to higher emergency classifications.

Definitions:

**UNIDENTIFIED LEAKAGE**: As defined in T/S, shall be all leakage which is not **IDENTIFIED LEAKAGE**.

**PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE**: As defined in T/S, shall be leakage (except steam generator tube leakage) through a non-isolable fault in a Reactor Coolant System component body, pipe wall or vessel wall.

**IDENTIFIED LEAKAGE**: As defined in T/S, shall be leakage (except Reactor Coolant Pump Seal Water Injection) into closed systems, such as pump seal or valve packing leaks that are captured and conducted to a sump or collecting tank, or, shall be leakage into the containment atmosphere from sources that are both specifically located and known either not to interfere with the operation of the leakage detection systems or not to be **PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE**, or, shall be Reactor coolant system leakage through a steam generator to the secondary system (primary-to-secondary leakage).

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, SU4 Example EAL #2
- 2. Technical Specifications, Definitions
- 3. Technical Specifications 3.4.6.2 Unit 1 Operational Leakage
- 4. Technical Specifications 3.4.7.2 Unit 2 Operational Leakage
- 5. UFSAR 5.2.7.2 Indication in Control Room
- 6. S1(S2).OP-AB.RC-0001(Q) Reactor Coolant System Leak
- 7. S1(S2).OP-SO.RC-0004(Q) Identifying and Measuring Leakage
- 8. SC.RA-AP.ZZ-0051 Leakage Monitoring and Reduction Program
- 9. S1(S2).OP-ST.RC-0008(Q) Reactor Coolant System Water Inventory Balance

This page intentionally blank

. !

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: SU8.1

Salem

Page 4 of 4

# EALs for:

# Fission Product Barriers

EAL:	
EAL# & Point Value:	FB1-P (4 points)
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of Fuel Clad
Subcategory:	CFSTs
EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation

CFST Core Cooling PURPLE path exists

#### Basis:

[These thresholds are for PWRs using Critical Safety Function Status Tree (CSFST) monitoring and functional restoration procedures. For more information, please refer to Section 3.9 of this document.]

Potential Loss Threshold A

**Core Cooling** - ORANGE <u>PURPLE</u> indicates subcooling has been lost and that some clad damage may occur.

-------Heat Sink - RED when heat sink is required indicates the ultimate heat sink function is under extreme challenge.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

CFST status will not be used for event classification until the Control Room staff has implemented the CFSTs. The Core Cooling CFST is illustrated in Attachment 2, page 4. Adverse Containment setpoints appear in the Heat Sink CFST. Adverse Containment conditions exist if Containment pressure exceeds 4 psig or R44 Containment radiation dose rates exceed 1E05 R/hr or R44 dose exceeds 1E06 R.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Fuel Clad Potential Loss 1.A
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees F.02 Core Cooling Status Tree
- 3. NC.EP-EP.ZZ-0201 (Q) TSC Integrated Engineering Response

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: FB1-P 

# This page intentionally blank

a service of the serv Service of the service

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: FB1-P

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	CFSTs
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of Fuel Clad
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	FB2-P (4 points)
EAL:	

CFST Heat Sink RED path exists due to actual loss of secondary heat sink and heat sink is required

#### Basis:

**Heat Sink** RED when heat sink is required indicates the ultimate heat sink function is under extreme challenge.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

CFST **Heat Sink** RED Path entry conditions affects both the Fuel Clad and RCS Barriers. Therefore, minimum classification would be SAE.

A barrier loss classification should not be made if the **Heat Sink RED** Path is the result of procedurally required Auxiliary Feedwater Flow control.

CFST status will not be used for event classification until the Control Room staff has implemented the CFSTs. The Heat Sink CFST is illustrated in Attachment 2, page 6. Adverse Containment setpoints appear in the Heat Sink CFST. Adverse Containment conditions exist if Containment pressure exceeds 4 psig or R44 Containment radiation dose rates exceed 1E05 R/hr or R44 dose exceeds 1E06 R.

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Fuel Clad Potential Loss 1.B
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees F.03 Heat Sink Status Tree
- 3. 1(2)-EOP-FRHS-1 Response to Loss of Secondary Heat Sink
- 4. NC.EP-EP.ZZ-0201 (Q) TSC Integrated Engineering Response

# This page intentionally blank

and the second secon Second second

An and the second s

A set of the set o

reported a second se Second second

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: F82-P

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Core Exit TCs
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of Fuel Clad
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	FB3-P (4 points)
EAL:	······································
5 or more <b>CETs &gt; 700°F</b>	

#### Basis:

<u> Loss Threshold A</u>

------ The site specific reading should correspond to significant superheating of the coolant.

------ Potential Loss Threshold A

The site specific reading should correspond to five core exit thermocouple (CET) temperatures > 700°F indicates a loss of subcooling.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Core exit thermocouple (CET) readings greater than **700°F** signal a CFST Core Cooling PURPLE path condition. CET readings are used as a fission product barrier threshold in addition to the CFST thresholds to address events in which the CFSTs may not yet be in use.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Fuel Clad Potential Loss 3.A

Salem

Page 1 of 3

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL #: FB3-P

# 2. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees – F.02 Core Cooling Status Tree

المركز المركز

المحمد المحم المحمد المحمد

Rev. 0 (draft E)

EAL#: FB3-P

Page 2 of 3



# SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

EAL:	
EAL# & Point Value:	FB4-P (4 points)
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of Fuel Clad
Subcategory:	Inventory
EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation

RVLIS < Table F-1 thresholds

Table F-1 RVLIS Thresholds		
RVLIS		RCPs
Full Range	39%	None
Dynamic Range	44%	4
	30%	3
	20%	2
	13%	1

#### Basis:

There is no Loss threshold associated with this item.

The site specific values for the Potential Loss thresholds corresponds to approximately the top of the active fuel.

[For sites using CSFSTs, the Potential Loss threshold is defined by the Core Cooling -ORANGE path. The site specific value in this threshold should be consistent with the CSFST value.]

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The specified RVLIS readings (Table F-1) and the associated number of running RCP pumps are used in the CFSTs to signal core uncovery and are, therefore, indication of inadequate

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)



coolant inventory. If the RVLIS thresholds are exceeded, a core covered condition cannot be confirmed. According to the CFST Core Cooling PURPLE path, this water level indicates subcooling has been lost and that some fuel clad damage may occur. RVLIS readings are used as a fission product barrier threshold in addition to the CFST thresholds to address events in which the CFSTs may not yet be in use.

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Fuel Clad Potential Loss 4.A
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees F.02 Core Cooling Status Tree

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	EC Judgment
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of Fuel Clad
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	FB5-P (4 points)
EAL:	

<u>ANY</u> condition in the opinion of the Emergency Coordinator that indicates potential loss of the Fuel Clad barrier

#### Basis:

Th<u>is</u>ese thresholds address<u>es</u> any other factors that are to be used by the <u>Emergency</u> <u>DirectorEmergency Coordinator</u> in determining whether the Fuel Clad barrier is <del>lost or</del> potentially lost. In addition, the inability to monitor the barrier should also be incorporated in this threshold as a factor in <u>Emergency DirectorEmergency Coordinator</u> judgment that the barrier may be considered <del>lost or</del> potentially lost.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The Emergency Coordinator judgment threshold addresses any other factors relevant to determining if the Fuel Clad barrier is potentially lost. Such a determination should include IMMINENT barrier degradation, barrier monitoring capability and dominant accident sequences.

- <u>Barrier degradation</u> exists if the degradation will likely occur within two hours based on a projection of current safety system performance.
- <u>Barrier monitoring</u> capability is decreased if there is a loss or lack of reliable indicators. This assessment should include instrumentation operability concerns, readings from portable instrumentation and consideration of offsite monitoring results.
- <u>Dominant accident sequences</u> lead to degradation of all fission product barriers and likely entry to the EOPs. The Emergency Coordinator should be mindful of the Loss of AC power (Station Blackout) and ATWT EALs to assure timely emergency classification declarations.

Rev. 3(draft E)



Definitions:

**IMMINENT:** Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Fuel Clad Potential Loss 8.A



#### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	CFSTs
Initiating Condition:	Loss of Fuel Clad
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	FB1-L (5 points)
EAL:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
CFST Core Cooling RED path exists	

#### Basis:

———— [These thresholds are for PWRs using Critical Safety Function Status Tree (CSFST) monitoring and functional restoration procedures. For more information, please refer to Section 3.9 of this document.]

<u>Loss Threshold A</u>

**Core Cooling** – RED indicates significant superheating and core uncovery and is considered to indicate loss of the Fuel Clad Barrier.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

CFST status will not be used for event classification until the Control Room Staff has implemented the CFSTs. The Core Cooling CFST is illustrated in Attachment 2, page 4.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

.

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Fuel Clad Loss 1.A
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees F.02 Core Cooling Status Tree

Salem

:

# SGS ECG - EAL Technical Bases

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Core Exit TCs
Initiating Condition:	Loss of Fuel Clad
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	FB2-L (5 points)
EAL:	
5 or more CETs > <b>1200°F</b>	

#### Basis:

[These thresholds are for PWRs using Critical Safety Function Status Tree (CSFST) monitoring and functional restoration procedures. For more information, please refer to Section 3.9 of this document.]

Potential Loss Threshold A

[Core Exit Thermocouple Readings are included in addition to the Critical Safety Functions to include conditions when the CSFs may not be in use (initiation after SI is blocked) or plants which do not have a CSF scheme.]

Loss Threshold A

The five core exit thermocouple (CET) temperatures > 1200°F indicates site specific reading should correspond to significant superheating of the coolant.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Core exit thermocouple (CET) readings greater than **1,200°F** signal a CFST Core Cooling RED path condition. CET readings are used as a fission product barrier threshold in addition to the CFST thresholds to address events in which the CFSTs may not yet be in use.

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Fuel Clad Loss 3.A
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees F.02 Core Cooling Status Tree

Salem

.

Rev. 0 (draft E)





Salem

ı.



EAL:	
EAL# & Point Value:	FB3-L (5 points)
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
Initiating Condition:	Loss of Fuel Clad
Subcategory:	Radiation
EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation

Containment radiation monitor R44A or R44B reading > 300 R/hr

#### Basis:

The site specific reading is a value which indicates the release of reactor coolant, with elevated activity indicative of fuel damage, into the containment.

Reactor coolant concentrations of this magnitude are several times larger than the maximum concentrations (including iodine spiking) allowed within technical specifications and are therefore indicative of fuel damage.

This value is higher than that specified for RCS barrier Loss threshold #6<u>RB1-L</u>. Thus, this threshold indicates a loss of both the Fuel Clad barrier and RCS barrier that appropriately escalates the emergency classification level to a Site Area Emergency.

--------[*Caution:* it is important to recognize that in the event the radiation monitor is sensitive to shine from the reactor vessel or piping, spurious readings will be present and another indicator of fuel clad damage is necessary or compensated for in the threshold value.]

There is no Potential Loss threshold associated with this item.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

1(2)R44A and 1(2)R44B are the Containment High Range area radiation monitors. The threshold value of **300** R/hr has been calculated assuming the instantaneous release and dispersal of the reactor coolant noble gas and iodine inventory associated with a concentration of 300  $\mu$ Ci/gm Dose Equivalent I-131 into the Containment atmosphere. 300  $\mu$ Ci/gm Dose Equivalent I-131 into the containment atmosphere. 300  $\mu$ Ci/gm Dose Equivalent I-131 into the Containment atmosphere. 300  $\mu$ Ci/gm Dose Equivalent I-131 into the Containment atmosphere. 300  $\mu$ Ci/gm Dose Equivalent I-131 into the Containment atmosphere. 300  $\mu$ Ci/gm Dose Equivalent I-131 into the Containment atmosphere. 300  $\mu$ Ci/gm Dose Equivalent I-131 into the Containment atmosphere. 300  $\mu$ Ci/gm Dose Equivalent I-131 into the Containment atmosphere. 300  $\mu$ Ci/gm Dose Equivalent I-131 into the Containment atmosphere. 300  $\mu$ Ci/gm Dose Equivalent I-131 into the Containment atmosphere. 300  $\mu$ Ci/gm Dose Equivalent I-131 into the Containment atmosphere. 300  $\mu$ Ci/gm Dose Equivalent I-131 into the Containment atmosphere. 300  $\mu$ Ci/gm Dose Equivalent I-131 into the Containment atmosphere.

Salem

Page 1 of 3

Rev. 0 (draft E)

EAL#: FB3-L

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Fuel Clad Loss 6.A
- 2. Calculation by Nuclear Fuels Group file title DS1.6-0098 "Verification of Emergency Action Levels for Event Classification" date 02/10/95

: t

ا 1177 – مادیوان از این به این این به دیگر با این این این به میوان در در معید این به معار این در این به این ای این به این به می این این این این این این آن این این این این میدود 1177 میلاد در این می ترکیب این به ملاح

A second state of the second stat

Salem

Page 2 of 3

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: FB3-L

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Other
Initiating Condition:	Loss of Fuel Clad
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	FB4-L (5 points)
EAL:	
Coolant activity > 300 μCi/gm dose equivalent I-131	

#### Basis:

The site specific value corresponds is to 300  $\mu$ Ci/gm dose equivalent I-131 equivalent. Assessment by the <u>NEI</u> EAL Task Force indicates that this amount of coolant activity is well above that expected for iodine spikes and corresponds to less than 5% fuel clad damage. This amount of radioactivity indicates significant clad damage and thus the Fuel Clad Barrier is considered lost.

*——— [The value can be expressed either in mR/hr observed on the sample or as \muCi/gm results from analysis.]* 

There is no Potential Loss threshold associated with this item.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The threshold value of **300 µCi/gm Dose Equivalent lodine-131** (DEI-131) is based upon an engineering calculation and corresponds to approximately 2.8% fuel clad damage.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Fuel Clad Loss 2.A
- 2. Calculation by Nuclear Fuels Group file title DS1.6-0098 "Verification of Emergency Action Levels for Event Classification" date 2/10/95
- 3. S1(S2).OP-AB.RC-0002 (Q) High Activity in Reactor Coolant System




,

This page intentionally blank

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	EC Judgment
Initiating Condition:	Loss of Fuel Clad
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	FB5-L (5 points)
EAL:	

<u>ANY</u> condition in the opinion of the Emergency Coordinator that indicates loss of the Fuel Clad barrier

#### Basis:

These This thresholds addresses any other factors that are to be used by the Emergency DirectorEmergency Coordinator in determining whether the Fuel Clad barrier is lost or potentially lost. In addition, the inability to monitor the barrier should also be incorporated in this threshold as a factor in Emergency DirectorEmergency Coordinator judgment that the barrier may be considered lost or potentially lost.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The Emergency Coordinator judgment threshold addresses any other factors relevant to determining if the Fuel Clad barrier is lost. Such a determination should include IMMINENT barrier degradation, barrier monitoring capability and dominant accident sequences.

- <u>Barrier degradation</u> exists if the degradation will likely occur within two hours based on a projection of current safety system performance.
- <u>Barrier monitoring</u> capability is decreased if there is a loss or lack of reliable indicators. This assessment should include instrumentation operability concerns, readings from portable instrumentation and consideration of offsite monitoring results.
- <u>Dominant accident sequences</u> lead to degradation of all fission product barriers and likely entry to the EOPs. The Emergency Coordinator should be mindful of the Loss of AC power (Station Blackout) and ATWT EALs to assure timely emergency classification declarations.

Rev. 0 (draft E)

Definitions:

**IMMINENT:** Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Fuel Clad Loss 8.A



.

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Isolation
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of RCS
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	RB1-P (4 points)
EAL:	

CFST Thermal Shock RED path exists

#### Basis:

[These thresholds are for PWRs using Critical Safety Function Status Tree (CSFST) monitoring and functional restoration procedures. For more information, refer to Section 3.9 of this report.]

Potential Loss Threshold A

RCS Integrity <u>Thermal Shock</u> – RED indicates an extreme challenge to the safety function derived from appropriate instrument readings.

Potential Loss Threshold B

——— Heat Sink - RED when heat sink is required indicates the ultimate heat sink function is under extreme challenge.

There is no Loss threshold associated with this item.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

CFST status will not be used for event classification until the Control Room staff has implemented the CFSTs. The Thermal Shock CFST is illustrated in Attachment 2, pages 7 and 8. The Heat Sink CFST is illustrated in Attachment 2, page 6. Adverse Containment setpoints appear in the Heat Sink CFST. Adverse Containment conditions exist if Containment pressure exceeds 4 psig or R44 Containment radiation dose rates exceed 1E05 R/hr or R44 dose exceeds 1E06 R.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 RCS Barrier Potential Loss 1.A

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RB1=P

- 2. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees F.04 Thermal Shock Status Tree
- 3. NC.EP-EP.ZZ-0201 (Q) TSC Integrated Engineering Response

<u>-</u> بر المحمد الم محمد المحمد ا

a a setto de la La setto de la s La setto de la s

an an an an the California

and a start of the second s A start second A start second second

 $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{$ 

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RB1-P This page intentionally blank

1

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RB1=P

<b>F</b> A I .	
EAL# & Point Value:	RB2-P (4 points)
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of RCS
Subcategory:	Isolation
EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation

EAL:

CFST Heat Sink RED path exists due to actual loss of secondary heat sink and heat sink is required

## Basis:

**Heat Sink RED** when heat sink is required indicates the ultimate heat sink function is under extreme challenge.

There is no Loss threshold associated with this item.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

CFST **Heat Sink** RED Path entry conditions affects both the Fuel Clad and RCS Barriers. Therefore, minimum classification would be SAE.

A barrier loss classification should not be made if the **Heat Sink** RED Path is the result of procedurally required Auxiliary Feedwater Flow control.

CFST status will not be used for event classification until the Control Room staff has implemented the CFSTs. The Thermal Shock CFST is illustrated in Attachment 2, pages 7 and 8. The Heat Sink CFST is illustrated in Attachment 2, page 6. Adverse Containment setpoints appear in the Heat Sink CFST. Adverse Containment conditions exist if Containment pressure exceeds 4 psig or R44 Containment radiation dose rates exceed 1E05 R/hr or R44 dose exceeds 1E06 R.



# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 RCS Barrier Potential Loss 1.B
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees F.03 Heat Sink Status Tree
- 3. 1(2)-EOP-FRHS-1 Response to Loss of Secondary Heat Sink
- 4. NC.EP-EP.ZZ-0201 (Q) TSC Integrated Engineering Response

•

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Inventory
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of RCS
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	RB3-P (4 points)
EAL:	

One Centrifugal Charging Pump CANNOT maintain PZR level > 17% as a result of RCS leakage

## Basis:

## Potential Loss Threshold A

This threshold is based on the apparent inability to maintain normal liquid inventory within the Reactor Coolant System (RCS) by normal operation of the Chemical and Volume Control System which is considered to be the flow rate equivalent to one charging pump discharging to the charging header. <u>Minimizing Isolating</u> letdown is a standard abnormal operating procedure action and may prevent unnecessary classifications when a non-RCS leakage path such as a CVCS leak exists. The intent of this condition is met if <u>the leak is in Letdown and</u> attempts to isolate Letdown are NOT successful. Additional charging pumps being required is indicative of a substantial RCS leak.

---------[For plants with low capacity charging pumps, a 50 gpm indicated leak rate value may be used to indicate the Potential Loss.]

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Significant leakage from the RCS requires implementation of S1 (S2).OP-AB.RC-0001(Q). Actions required by this procedure specify the use of one Centrifugal Charging Pump, discharging to the charging header, and Letdown reduced to a minimum. If RCS leakage results in an inability to maintain the specified Pressurizer (PZR) level with a normal charging lineup and minimum Letdown flow using one Centrifugal Charging Pump, an RCS inventory loss is occurring that would require initiation of Reactor Trip and Safety Injection (SI) and entry into EOP-TRIP-1. This RCS Potential Loss assumes that any event that would result in significant RCS mass loss will require at least an Alert emergency classification.

Page 1 of 2

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RB3-P Non-RCS leakage events (such as steam or feedwater system breaks) in which no mass is lost from the RCS should not be classified under this RCS barrier Loss. SGTRs that result in entry into 1(2)-EOP-SGTR-1 shall be classified under RCS Barrier Loss RB3-L. If a SGTR does not result in 1(2)-EOP-SGTR-1 entry, it should be classified as a minimum under this RCS barrier Potential Loss if PZR level cannot be maintained above **17%**.

When PZR level drops to **17%**, Letdown isolates and pressurizer heaters deenergize. This condition is signaled by overhead annunciator E-36, PZR HTR OFF LVL LO. Pressurizer level is indicated on LI-459A, LI-460A, LI-461, associated computer points and SPDS.

The design flowrate of one centrifugal charging pump is 150 gpm.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 RCS Barrier Potential Loss 2.A
- 2. S1(S2).OP-AB.RC-0001(Q) Reactor Coolant System Leak
- 3. 1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection
- 4. 1(2)-EOP-SGTR-1 Steam Generator Tube Rupture
- 5. S1(S2).OP-AR.ZZ-0005(Q) OHA E-36, PZR HTR OFF LVL LO
- 6. S1(S2).OP-SO.CVC-0002(Q) Charging Pump Operation

. . .

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	EC Judgment
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of RCS
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	RB4-P (4 points)
EAL:	

<u>ANY</u> condition in the opinion of the Emergency Coordinator that indicates potential loss of the RCS barrier

# Basis:

Th<u>is</u>ese thresholds address<u>es</u> any other factors that are to be used by the Emergency Coordinator in determining whether the RCS barrier is lost or potentially lost. In addition, the inability to monitor the barrier should also be incorporated in this threshold as a factor in <u>Emergency DirectorEmergency Coordinator</u> judgment that the barrier may be considered lost or potentially lost.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The Emergency Coordinator judgment threshold addresses any other factors relevant to determining if the RCS barrier is potentially lost. Such a determination should include IMMINENT barrier degradation, barrier monitoring capability and dominant accident sequences.

- <u>Barrier degradation</u> exists if the degradation will likely occur within two hours based on a projection of current safety system performance.
- <u>Barrier monitoring</u> capability is decreased if there is a loss or lack of reliable indicators. This assessment should include instrumentation operability concerns, readings from portable instrumentation and consideration of offsite monitoring results.
- <u>Dominant accident sequences</u> lead to degradation of all fission product barriers and likely entry to the EOPs. The Emergency Coordinator should be mindful of the Loss of AC power (Station Blackout) and ATWT EALs to assure timely emergency classification declarations.

Definitions:

**IMMINENT**: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 RCS Potential Loss 8.A

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Radiation
Initiating Condition:	Loss of RCS
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	RB1-L (5 points)
EAL:	
ANY of the following Containment radiation monitor readings:	
○ 1(2)R2 > 1000 mR/hr	

∘ 1(2)R44A > 10 R/hr

• 1(2)R44B > 10 R/hr

## Basis:

The site specific reading is are a values which indicates the release of reactor coolant to the containment.

[The reading should be calculated assuming the instantaneous release and dispersal of the reactor coolant noble gas and iodine inventory associated with normal operating concentrations (i.e., within T/S) into the containment atmosphere.]

Theis readings will be less than that specified for Fuel Clad barrier threshold 6<u>FB3-L</u>. Thus, this threshold would be indicative of a RCS leak only. If the radiation monitor reading increased to that specified by Fuel Clad barrier threshold, fuel damage would also be indicated.

[However, if the site specific physical location of the containment radiation monitor is such that radiation from a cloud of released RCS gases could not be distinguished from radiation from adjacent piping and components containing elevated reactor coolant activity, this threshold should be omitted and other site specific indications of RCS leakage substituted.]

There is no Potential Loss threshold associated with this item.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RB1-L 130' Containment Area Rad Monitor 1(2)R2 has an instrument scale range of 0.1 mR/hr to 10 R/hr and, therefore, offers the preferred method of assessing this RCS Barrier Loss. 1 R/hr on this monitor is indicative of the instantaneous release and dispersal of the reactor coolant noble gas and iodine inventory associated with Technical Specification concentrations into the Containment atmosphere.

These readings are less than that specified for Fuel Clad Barrier Loss FB3-L since this EAL attempts to identify RCS leakage assuming RCS activity is at the Technical Specification limit.

Classification under this EAL should not be made based upon crud burst evolutions or other non-RCS leakage events.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 RCS Barrier Loss 6.A
- 2. Calculation by Nuclear Fuels Group file title DS1.6-0098 "Verification of Emergency Action Levels for Event Classification" date 2/10/95

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Inventory
Initiating Condition:	Loss of RCS
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	RB2-L (5 points)
EAL:	·
Subcooling ≤ 0°F (as a result of RCS leakage)	

## Basis:

## Loss Threshold A

This threshold addresses conditions where leakage from the RCS is greater than available inventory control capacity such that a loss of subcooling has occurred. The loss of subcooling is the fundamental indication that the inventory control systems are inadequate in maintaining RCS pressure and inventory against the mass loss through the leak.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

RCS subcooling of **0°F** or less is a criterion in the CFST Core Cooling YELLOW path (or higher) and is monitored in the Continuous Action Summary (CAS). Subcooling is indicated in the Control Room on Subcooling Margin Monitor Channel A and Channel B. This threshold focuses on RCS inventory loss due to LOCA conditions. Non-RCS leakage events (such as steam or feedwater system breaks) in which no mass is lost from the RCS should not be classified under this RCS barrier Loss. Subcooling equal to or less than **0°F** is indication that leakage from the RCS barrier is greater than the available inventory control capacity.

This threshold does not apply to primary-to-secondary leakage events since adequate injection capability should be available for the spectrum of such events including Steam Generator Tube Rupture (SGTR). Refer to RCS barrier Loss RB3-L for SGTR.

EOP directed actions resulting in deliberate subcooling reduction (e.g. during SGTR saturated recovery), steam/feedwater line breaks, or momentary reductions below **0°F** that are recoverable (e.g. SI flow reduction sequence) should not be classified under this EAL.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 RCS Barrier Loss 2.A

Salem

. ?

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RB2~L 2. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees - F.02 Core Cooling Status Tree

1

.....



This page intentionally blank

•





!

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Inventory
Initiating Condition:	Loss of RCS
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	RB3-L (5 points)
EAL:	
SGTR requiring ECCS (SI) Actuation	

## Basis:

This threshold addresses the full spectrum of Steam Generator (SG) tube rupture events in conjunction with Containment barrier Loss thresholds. It addresses RUPTURED SG(s) for which the leakage is large enough to cause actuation of ECCS (SI). This is consistent to the RCS leak rate barrier Potential Loss threshold.

*— [For plants that have implemented Westinghouse Owners Group emergency response guides, this condition is described by "entry into E-3 required by EOPs".]* 

By itself, this threshold will result in the declaration of an Alert. However, if the SG is also FAULTED (i.e., two barriers failed), the declaration escalates to a Site Area Emergency per Containment barrier Loss thresholds.

There is no Potential Loss threshold associated with this item.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This EAL is indicative of a loss of RCS inventory due to a Steam Generator Tube Rupture (SGTR) in which the leakage is large enough to cause actuation of Safety Injection (SI).

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 RCS Barrier Loss 4.A
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-SGTR-1 Steam Generator Tube Rupture
- 3. 1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RB3-L

# This page intentionally blank

and a second I have been a second second

en en la seguina de la construcción En la construcción de la construcció

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RB3-L

Salem

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	EC Judgment
Initiating Condition:	Loss of RCS
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	RB4-L (5 points)

## EAL:

<u>ANY</u> condition in the opinion of the Emergency Coordinator that indicates loss of the RCS barrier

## Basis:

Th<u>is</u>ese thresholds address<u>es</u> any other factors that are to be used by the <u>Emergency</u> <u>DirectorEmergency Coordinator</u> in determining whether the RCS barrier is lost-or potentially lost. In addition, the inability to monitor the barrier should also be incorporated in this threshold as a factor in <u>Emergency DirectorEmergency Coordinator</u> judgment that the barrier may be considered lost-or potentially lost.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The Emergency Coordinator judgment threshold addresses any other factors relevant to determining if the RCS barrier is lost. Such a determination should include **IMMINENT** barrier degradation, barrier monitoring capability and dominant accident sequences.

- <u>Barrier degradation</u> exists if the degradation will likely occur within two hours based on a projection of current safety system performance.
- <u>Barrier monitoring</u> capability is decreased if there is a loss or lack of reliable indicators. This assessment should include instrumentation operability concerns, readings from portable instrumentation and consideration of offsite monitoring results.
- <u>Dominant accident sequences</u> lead to degradation of all fission product barriers and likely entry to the EOPs. The Emergency Coordinator should be mindful of the Loss of AC power (Station Blackout) and ATWT EALs to assure timely emergency classification declarations.



Definitions:

**IMMINENT**: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 RCS Loss 8.A

· · · · ·

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RB4-L

Salem

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	CFSTs
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of Containment
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	CB1-P (2 points)
EAL:	
CFST <b>Containment</b> RED path exists	

## Basis:

--------[These thresholds are for PWRs using Critical Safety Function Status Tree (CSFST) monitoring and functional restoration procedures. For more information, refer to Section 3.9 of this report.]

RED path indicates an extreme challenge to the safety function derived from appropriate instrument readings and/or sampling results, and thus represents a potential loss of containment.

Conditions leading to a <u>Ceontainment</u> RED path result from RCS barrier and/or Fuel Clad Barrier Loss. Thus, this threshold is primarily a discriminator between Site Area Emergency and General Emergency representing a potential loss of the third barrier.

There is no Loss threshold associated with this item.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Critical Safety Function Status Tree (CFST) **Containment** RED path exists if Containment pressure is greater than or equal to 47 psig. The **Containment** RED path is in the Containment Environment CFST illustrated in Attachment 2, page 9.

Containment pressure of this magnitude results from RCS barrier loss or a faulted S/G inside Containment and signifies an extreme challenge to the Containment. For this condition, all Containment isolations, as well as automatic Containment Spray and CFCU "low speed" operation should be initiated before this threshold is reached.

The Containment barrier is considered potentially lost at 47 psig even though the Containment yield strength is much higher that 47 psig. Thus, this threshold is primarily a discriminator

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) FAL  $\# \mathbb{CB1}=\mathbb{P}$  between a Site Area Emergency and a General Emergency (i.e., a potential loss of the third barrier).

CFST status will not be used for event classification until the Control Room staff has implemented the CFSTs.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Potential Loss 1.A
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees F.05 Containment Environment Status Tree
- 3. UFSAR 6.2 Containment Systems

· · · ·

4. 1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection

no de la seconda de la seco A travelar de la <del>de</del>recta tendra de la seconda de la sec A travelar de

· · · ·

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CB1-P

٢.

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	CFSTs
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of Containment
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	CB2-P (2 points)
EAL:	
CFST Core Cooling RED path exists	

<u>AND</u>

Restoration procedure 1(2)EOP-FRCC-1 NOT effective within **15 minutes** 

## Basis:

<u>See discussion below.</u>[*This EAL should cover other (site-specific) indications that may* unambiguously indicate loss or potential loss of the containment barrier, including indications from area or ventilation monitors in containment annulus or other contiguous buildings. If site emergency operating procedures provide for venting of the containment during an emergency as a means of preventing catastrophic failure, a Loss EAL should be included for the containment barrier. This EAL should be declared as soon as such venting is IMMINENT. Containment venting as part of recovery actions is classified in accordance with the radiological effluent ICs.]

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

CFST status will not be used for event classification until the Control Room Staff has implemented the CFSTs. The Core Cooling CFST is illustrated in Attachment 2, page 4.

This threshold is redundant to Containment Barrier Potential Losses CB3-P and CB-4P.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Potential Loss 1.A
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Tree F.02 Core Cooling Status Tree
- 3. UFSAR 6.2 Containment Systems
- 4. 1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) FAL  $\# \mathbb{CB2}=\mathbb{P}$ 

. ····

> Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CB2-P

Page 2 of 3

Salem

This page intentionally blank



EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Core Exit TCs
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of Containment
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	CB3-P (2 points)

EAL:

5 or more CETs > 1200°F

<u>AND</u>

Restoration procedure 1(2)EOP-FRCC-1 NOT effective within 15 minutes

#### Basis:

There is no Loss threshold associated with this item.

The conditions in these thresholds represent an **IMMINENT** core melt sequence which, if not corrected, could lead to vessel failure and an increased potential for containment failure. In conjunction with the Core Cooling and RCS Leakage criteria in the Fuel and RCS barrier columns, this threshold would result in the declaration of a General Emergency -- loss of two barriers and the potential loss of a third. If the function restoration procedures are ineffective, there is no "success" path.

The function restoration procedures are those emergency operating procedures that address the recovery of the core cooling critical safety functions. The procedure is considered effective if the temperature is decreasing or if the vessel water level is increasing.

--------[For units using the CSF status trees, a direct correlation to those status trees can be made if the effectiveness of the restoration procedures is also evaluated as stated below.]

[Severe accident analyses (e.g., NUREG-1150) have concluded that function restoration procedures can arrest core degradation within the reactor vessel in a significant fraction of the core damage scenarios, and that the likelihood of containment failure is very small in these events. Given this, it is appropriate to provide a reasonable period to allow function restoration procedures to arrest the core melt sequence.]

Salem

Page 1 of 2

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CB3=P Whether or not the procedures will be effective should be apparent within **15 minutes**. The Emergency <u>Director Coordinator</u> should make the declaration as soon as it is determined that the procedures have been, or will be ineffective.

## 

---------[The reactor vessel level chosen should be consistent with the emergency response guides applicable to the facility.]

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

If core exit thermocouple (CET) readings are greater than **1,200°F**, Fuel Clad barrier is lost. CETs provide an indirect indication of fuel clad temperature by measuring the temperature of the reactor coolant that leaves the core region. Although clad rupture due to high temperature is not expected for CET readings less than the threshold, temperatures of this magnitude signal significant superheating of the reactor coolant and core uncovery. Events that result in CET readings above the loss threshold are severe accidents and are a severe accident management "Badly Damaged (BD)" condition. The BD descriptor signifies possible core overheating to the point that clad ballooning/collapse may occur and portions of the core may have melted.

Severe accident analysis has concluded that functional restoration procedures can arrest core degradation within the Reactor Vessel in a significant fraction of the scenarios, and that the likelihood of Containment failure in these scenarios is small. It is appropriate; therefore, to allow a reasonable period of time for the functional restoration procedures to arrest the core melt sequence. The functional restoration procedure, 1(2)-EOP-FRCC-1, is the emergency operating procedures that address the recovery an inadequate core cooling condition.

# Definitions:

**IMMINENT**: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Potential Loss 3.A
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees F.02 Core Cooling Status Tree
- 3. 1(2)-EOP-FRCC-1 Response to Inadequate Core Cooling

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CB3-P

EAL:	
EAL# & Point Value:	CB4-P (2 points)
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of Containment
Subcategory:	Core Exit TCs
EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation

0	5 or more CETs > 700°F		·	
o	RVLIS < Table F-1 thresholds	e Angeler en en en		· · .

на стана 1910 — 1914 — 1914 1917 — 1914 — 1914 — 1914 1914 — 1914 — 1914 — 1914 — 1914	Table F-	1 RVLIS Thresh	olds	
	RVL	IS	RCPs	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Full Range	39%	None	
		44%	4	
		30%	3	
	Dynamic Range	20%	2	
		13%	1	

Basis:

There is no Loss threshold associated with this item.

The conditions in these thresholds represent an **IMMINENT** core melt sequence which, if not corrected, could lead to vessel failure and an increased potential for containment failure. In conjunction with the Core Cooling and RCS Leakage criteria in the Fuel and RCS barrier Barrier columns, this threshold would result in the declaration of a General Emergency -- loss

Salem

:

Rev. 0 (draft E)



of two barriers and the potential loss of a third. If the function restoration procedures are ineffective, there is no "success" path.

The function restoration procedures are those emergency operating procedures that address the recovery of the core cooling critical safety functions. The procedure is considered effective if the temperature is decreasing or if the vessel water level is increasing.

[Severe accident analyses (e.g., NUREG-1150) have concluded that function restoration procedures can arrest core degradation within the reactor vessel in a significant fraction of the core damage scenarios, and that the likelihood of containment failure is very small in these events. Given this, it is appropriate to provide a reasonable period to allow function restoration procedures to arrest the core melt sequence.]

Whether or not the procedures will be effective should be apparent within **15 minutes**. The Emergency <u>Director Coordinator</u> should make the declaration as soon as it is determined that the procedures have been, or will be ineffective.

Potential Loss Threshold B

*——\_\_\_\_[The reactor vessel level chosen should be consistent with the emergency response guides applicable to the facility.]* 

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This threshold indicates subcooling has been lost (CET readings > 700°F), the core is uncovered and some fuel clad damage may be occurring.

The **Table F-1** RVLIS thresholds are used in the CFSTs to signal core uncovery and are, therefore, indication of loss of coolant inventory. If the RVLIS thresholds are exceeded, a core covered condition cannot be confirmed.

Severe accident analysis has concluded that functional restoration procedures can arrest core degradation within the Reactor Vessel in a significant fraction of the scenarios, and that the likelihood of Containment failure in these scenarios is small. It is appropriate; therefore, to allow a reasonable period of time for the functional restoration procedures to arrest the core melt sequence. The functional restoration procedure, 1(2)-EOP-FRCC-1, is the emergency operating procedures that address the recovery an inadequate core cooling condition

Definitions:

Rev. 0 (draft E)

Salem

**IMMINENT:** Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Potential Loss 3.B
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees F.02 Core Cooling Status Tree
- 3. 1(2)-EOP-FRCC-1 Response to Inadequate Core Cooling

•

(a) A set of a se

and and a second se I a second sec I a second se

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CB4-P

Salem

## SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Radiation
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of Containment
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	CB5-P (2 points)
<b>FAL</b> .	

EAL:

Containment radiation monitor 1(2)R44A or 1(2)R44B reading > 2000 R/hr

#### Basis:

There is no Loss threshold associated with this item.

The site specific reading is a value which indicates significant fuel damage well in excess of the thresholds associated with both loss of Fuel Clad and loss of RCS <u>barriersBarriers</u>. As stated in <u>NEI 99-01</u> Section 3.8, a major release of radioactivity requiring off-site protective actions from core damage is not possible unless a major failure of fuel cladding allows radioactive material to be released from the core into the reactor coolant.

Regardless of whether <u>C</u>eontainment is challenged, this amount of activity in <u>C</u>eontainment, if released, could have such severe consequences that it is prudent to treat this as a <u>P</u>potential <u>L</u>loss of <u>C</u>eontainment, such that a General Emergency declaration is warranted.

[NUREG-1228, "Source Estimations During Incident Response to Severe Nuclear Power Plant Accidents," indicates that such conditions do not exist when the amount of clad damage is less than 20%. Unless there is a (site specific) analysis justifying a higher value, it is recommended that a radiation monitor reading corresponding to 20% fuel clad damage be specified here.]

The Heat Capacity Temperature Limit (HCTL) is the highest suppression pool temperature from which Emergency RPV Depressurization will not raise:

Suppression chamber temperature above the maximum temperature capability of the suppression chamber and equipment within the suppression chamber which may be required to operate when the RPV is pressurized,

OR

Suppression chamber pressure above Primary Containment Pressure Limit A, while the rate of energy transfer from the RPV to the containment is greater than the capacity of the containment vent.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CB5-P The HCTL is a function of RPV pressure and suppression pool water level. It is utilized to preclude failure of the containment and equipment in the containment necessary for the safe shutdown of the plant and therefore, the inability to maintain plant parameters below the limit constitutes a potential loss of containment.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

1(2)R44A and 1(2)R44B are the Containment High Range area radiation monitors. The threshold value of **2000 R/hr** has been calculated assuming the instantaneous release and dispersal of the reactor coolant noble gas and iodine inventory associated with 20% fuel clad damage into the Containment atmosphere.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Potential Loss 6.A
- 2. Calculation by Nuclear Fuels Group file title DS1.6-0098 "Verification of Emergency Action Levels for Event Classification" date 2/10/95

가 가 있는 것을 가 있는 것을 가 있는 것을 가 있는 것을 수 있는 것을 가 있는 것을 가 있는 것을 가 있었다. 이렇게 가 있었다. 이렇게 가 있는 것을 가 있는 것을 가 있다. 이렇게 가 있는 또 가 가 가 가 있는 것을 가 있다. 이렇게 가 있는 것을 가 있는 것을 하는 것을 수 있는 것을 하는 것을 가 가 있는 것을 가 있다. 것을 가 있는 것을 가 있는 것을 가 있는 것을 하는 것을 것을 수 있다. 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것 다. 또 않는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 수 있는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 수 있다. 것을 하는 것을 것 같은 것을 수 있다. 같은 것을 수 있다. 것을 하는 것을 것

· · · · · · · · ·

A difference of a second structure of the second structure of the second secon second se



Salem

This page intentionally blank

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CB5-P

1

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation	
Subcategory:	Inventory	
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of Containment	
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown	
EAL# & Point Value:	CB6-P (2 points)	
EAL:		
Containment pressure > 47 psig and rising		

## Basis:

Potential Loss Threshold A

The site-specific pressure is based on the containment design pressure.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The specified Containment pressure (**47 psig**) is the Containment design pressure. Proper actuation and operation of the Containment heat removal system when required should avoid Containment pressures in excess of this threshold. The threshold is therefore indicative of a loss of both RCS and Fuel Clad barriers in that it should not be exceeded without severe core degradation (metal-water reaction) or failure to trip in combination with RCS breach. This condition would be expected to require the declaration of a General Emergency.

Containment Pressure is used as a Containment Barrier threshold in addition to the CFST thresholds to address events in which the CFSTs may not yet be in use.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Potential Loss 2.A
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees F.05 Containment Environment Status Tree
- 3. Salem EOP Setpoint Basis Document Vendor Doc. #320832

Salem

This page intentionally blank

•


EAL:	
EAL# & Point Value:	CB7-P (2 points)
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of Containment
Subcategory:	Inventory
EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation

Indications of > 4%  $H_2$  inside Containment

#### Basis:

#### Potential Loss Threshold A

Existence of an explosive mixture means a hydrogen and oxygen concentration of at least the lower deflagration limit curve exists. The indications of potential loss under this EAL corresponds to some of those leading to the RED path in <del>potential loss threshold</del> 1.A<u>Containment barrier Potential Loss A-1CB1-Pabove and may be declared by those sites</u> using CSFSTs.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

When hydrogen concentration in the Containment atmosphere exceeds 4%, the possibility of an explosive mixture exists. Elevated hydrogen concentrations are likely to be present in the Containment only as a result of an inadequate core cooling, substantial metal-water reaction and a breach of the RCS barrier.

A 4% mixture of  $H_2$  with normal Containment atmosphere represents the deflagration lower limit. Any subsequent ignition and burn of this level mixture releases a substantial amount of energy that must be absorbed by the Containment structure, which is already under stress due to the Loss of the RCS Barrier.

Elevated Containment atmosphere hydrogen concentration is alarmed at  $\ge 2\%$  by overhead annunciator C-23, CNTMT H<sub>2</sub> LVL HI.

Salem

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Potential Loss 2.B
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-FRCC-1 Response to Inadequate Core Cooling Basis Document (pg 16)
- 3. Salem EOP Setpoint Basis Document Vendor Doc. #320832
- 4. S1(S2).OP-AR.ZZ-0003(Q) OHA C-23, CNTMT H<sub>2</sub> LVL HI

Ţ

EAL# & Point Value:	CB8-P (2 points)
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of Containment
Subcategory:	Inventory
EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation

EAL:

Containment pressure > 15 psig

# <u>And</u>

EITHER of the following:

- NO Containment Spray Train in service <u>AND</u>
  - < 5 CFCUs running in low speed
- One Containment Spray Train in service <u>AND</u>
  - < 3 CFCUs running in low speed

# Basis:

Potential Loss Threshold C

This threshold represents a <u>P</u>potential <u>L</u>loss of <u>C</u>eontainment in that the <u>C</u>eontainment heat removal/depressurization system is (e.g., containment sprays, ice condenser fans, etc., but not including containment venting strategies) are either lost or performing in a degraded manner, as indicated by <u>C</u>eontainment pressure greater than the setpoint at which the equipment was supposed to have actuated.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

A Containment pressure rise above **15 psig** (the Containment Spray initiation setpoint) indicates a major release of energy to the Containment. No Containment Spray with fewer than five Containment Fan Coil Units (CFCUs) running in low speed or only one train of Containment Spray in service with fewer than 3 CFCUs running in low speed indicates a condition in which systems designed for Containment heat removal and depressurization do not have the capacity to maintain Containment pressure below the structural design limit.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

A.

EAL#: CB8-P

The Containment Fan Cooling System is designed to circulate and cool the Containment atmosphere in the event of a LOCA and thereby ensures that Containment pressure will not exceed its design value. Five fan-cooler units are capable of transferring heat from the Containment atmosphere at the post-accident design conditions. The UFSAR accident analyses determined a minimum of three fan-cooler units with at least one Containment Spray train is needed to maintain Containment integrity. Either of two Containment Spray trains containing a pump, associated valving and spray headers are independently capable of delivering 2,600 gpm.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Potential Loss 2.C
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection
- 3. SGS Technical Specifications 3.6.2.1 Spray Additive System
- 4. SGS Technical Specifications 3.6.2.3 Containment Cooling System
- 5. UFSAR 6.2.2.1 Containment Spray System
- 6. UFSAR 6.2.2.2 Containment Fan Cooling System

EAL:	
EAL# & Point Value:	CB9-P (2 points)
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of Containment
Subcategory:	Judgment
EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation

<u>ANY</u> condition in the opinion of the Emergency Coordinator that indicates potential loss of the Containment barrier

#### Basis:

Th<u>is</u>ese thresholds address<u>es</u> any other factors that are to be used by the <u>Emergency</u> <u>DirectorEmergency Coordinator</u> in determining whether the Containment barrier is <u>lost or</u> potentially lost. In addition, the inability to monitor the barrier should also be incorporated in this threshold as a factor in <u>Emergency DirectorEmergency Coordinator</u> judgment that the barrier may be considered <u>lost or</u> potentially lost.

The Containment barrier should not be declared lost or potentially lost based on exceeding Technical Specification action statement criteria, unless there is an event in progress requiring mitigation by the Containment barrier. When no event is in progress (Loss or Potential Loss of either Fuel Clad and/or RCS) the Containment barrier status is addressed by Technical Specifications.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The Emergency Coordinator judgment threshold addresses any other factors relevant to determining if the Containment barrier is potentially lost. Such a determination should include **IMMINENT** barrier degradation, barrier monitoring capability and dominant accident sequences.

- <u>Barrier degradation</u> exists if the degradation will likely occur within two hours based on a projection of current safety system performance.
- <u>Barrier monitoring</u> capability is decreased if there is a loss or lack of reliable indicators. This assessment should include instrumentation operability concerns, readings from portable instrumentation and consideration of offsite monitoring results.

Salem

Page 1 of 2

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CB9-P  <u>Dominant accident sequences</u> lead to degradation of all fission product barriers and likely entry to the EOPs. The Emergency Coordinator should be mindful of the Loss of AC power (Station Blackout) and ATWT EALs to assure timely emergency classification declarations.

Definitions:

**IMMINENT**: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Potential Loss 8.A

1

	н
EAL:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
EAL# & Point Value:	CB1-L (3 points)
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
Initiating Condition:	Loss of Containment
Subcategory:	Inventory
EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation

A Containment pressure rise followed by a rapid unexplained drop in Containment pressure

#### Basis:

#### Loss Thresholds A and B

Rapid unexplained loss of pressure (i.e., not attributable to containment spray or condensation effects) following an initial pressure increase from a primary or secondary high energy line break indicates a Lloss of Ceontainment integrity. Containment pressure and sump levels should increase as a result of mass and energy release into containment from a LOCA. Thus, sump level or pressure not increasing indicates containment bypass and a loss of containment integrity.

This indicator relies on operator recognition of an unexpected response for the condition and therefore does not have a specific value associated with it. The unexpected response is important because it is the indicator for a <u>C</u>eontainment bypass condition.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The term "Unexplained" signifies the pressure drop is not a result of operator actions taken to reduce Containment pressure. The term "rapid" indicates the Containment breach is relatively large.

For cases in which secondary coolant provides the source of energy that raised Containment pressure, a faulted Steam Generator is possible. This event would require actions in 1(2)-EOP-LOSC-1 to isolate the Main Steam lines, maintain intact Steam Generators for an RCS Heat Sink, minimize Containment pressure, and minimize RCS cooldown.

Salem

Page 1 of 2

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CB1-L

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Barrier Loss 2.A
- 2. UFSAR Table 15.4-22 LOCA Containment Response Results (Loss of Offsite Power Assumed)
- 3. UFSAR Figures 15.4-43a, b and c Containment Pressure (varying initial conditions)
- 4. UFSAR Figure 15.4-44 Containment Pressure
- 5. 1(2)-EOP-LOSC-1 Loss of Secondary Coolant

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Inventory
Initiating Condition:	Loss of Containment
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	CB2-L (3 points)
EAL:	

Containment pressure or sump level response NOT consistent with LOCA conditions

### Basis:

#### Loss Thresholds A and B

Rapid unexplained loss of pressure (i.e., not attributable to containment spray or condensation effects) following an initial pressure increase from a primary or secondary high energy line break indicates a loss of containment integrity. Containment pressure and sump levels should increase as a result of mass and energy release into <u>C</u>eontainment from a LOCA. Thus, sump level or pressure not increasing indicates <u>C</u>eontainment bypass and a <u>L</u>loss of <u>C</u>eontainment integrity.

This indicator relies on operator recognition of an unexpected response for the condition and therefore does not have a specific value associated with it. The unexpected response is important because it is the indicator for a <u>C</u>eontainment bypass condition.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

A LOCA is expected to result in a significant Containment pressure rise. This leak rate should result in the accumulation of RCS inventory in the Containment sump as the level rises. A lack of expected Containment sump level response or Containment pressure not rising indicates that the Containment barrier has been bypassed.

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Barrier Loss 2.B
- 2. UFSAR Table 15.4-22 LOCA Containment Response Results (Loss of Offsite Power Assumed)
- 3. UFSAR Figures 15.4-43a, b and c Containment Pressure (varying initial conditions)
- 4. UFSAR Figure 15.4-44 Containment Pressure

Salem:

Page 1 of 2

Rev. 0 (draft E)

This page intentionally blank

.

:

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CB2-L

#### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Inventory
Initiating Condition:	Loss of Containment
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	CB3-L (3 points)
EAL:	
RUPTURED SG that is also FAULTED outside of Containment	

#### **Basis**:

#### Potential Loss Threshold A

The <u>L</u>loss threshold recognizes that SG tube leakage can represent a bypass of the Containment barrier as well as a <u>L</u>loss of the RCS barrier.

<u>Users should realize that the two loss thresholds This Loss threshold and Containment Loss</u> <u>CB4-L</u> could be considered redundant. This was recognized during the development process. The inclusion of an threshold that uses <u>terms that are commonly used in</u> Emergency <u>Operating</u> Procedure commonly used termss like "**RUPTURED** and **FAULTED**" adds to the ease of the classification process and has been included based on this human factor concern.

This threshold results in a NOUE for smaller breaks that; (1) do not exceed the normal charging capacity threshold in RCS leak rate barrier Potential Loss threshold, or (2) do not result in ECCS actuation in RCS SG tube rupture barrier Loss threshold. For larger breaks, RCS barrier threshold criteria would result in an Alert. For SG tube ruptures which may involve multiple steam generators or **UNISOLABLE** secondary line breaks, this threshold would exist in conjunction with RCS barrier thresholds and would result in a Site Area Emergency. Escalation to General Emergency would be based on "Potential Loss" of the Fuel Clad Barrier.

#### - Loss Threshold A

This threshold addresses the condition in which a **RUPTURED** steam generator is also **FAULTED**. This condition represents a bypass of the RCS and containment barriers and is a subset of the second threshold <u>CB4-L</u>. In conjunction with RCS leak rate barrier loss threshold, this would always result in the declaration of a Site Area Emergency.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Page 1 of 3

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CB3-L

Salem

This threshold is intended to include all flow paths of Contaminated secondary coolant to the environment directly or through systems which exhaust to the Plant Vent (e.g.; leakage to the Auxiliary Building ventilation system). An exception would be if the EOPs require steaming the ruptured Steam Generator to the main condenser. The main condenser off-gas (R15) pathway is excluded from this EAL provided the release is both controlled and monitored.

## SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

Definitions:

**RUPTURED**: (PWRs) In a steam generator, existence of primary-to-secondary leakage of a magnitude sufficient to require or cause a reactor trip and safety injection.

**FAULTED**: (PWRs) In a steam generator, the existence of secondary side leakage that results in an uncontrolled drop in steam generator pressure or the steam generator being completely depressurized.

**UNISOLABLE**: A breach or leak that cannot be promptly isolated from the Control Room.

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Barrier Loss 4.A
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-SGTR-1 Steam Generator Tube Rupture
- 3. 1(2)-EOP-SGTR-3 SGTR with LOCA Subcooled Recovery



EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Inventory
Initiating Condition:	Loss of Containment
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	CB4-L (3 points)
EAL:	

Primary-to-secondary leakrate > 25 gpm

<u>AND</u>

UNISOLABLE steam release from affected SG to the environment

#### Basis:

Potential Loss Threshold A

The <u>L</u>loss threshold recognizes that SG tube leakage can represent a bypass of the Containment barrier as well as a <u>L</u>loss of the RCS barrier.

Users should realize that the two loss thresholds This Loss threshold and Containment Loss <u>CB3-L</u> could be considered redundant. This was recognized during the development process. The inclusion of an threshold that uses Emergency Procedure commonly used terms like "RUPTURED and FAULTED" adds to the ease of the classification process and has been included based on this human factor concern.

<u>This</u> threshold results in a NOUE for smaller breaks that; (1) do not exceed the normal charging capacity threshold in RCS leak rate barrier Potential Loss threshold <u>RB3-P</u>, or (2) do not result in ECCS (<u>SI</u>) actuation in RCS SG tube rupture barrier Loss threshold <u>RB3-L</u>. For larger breaks, RCS barrier threshold criteria would result in an Alert. For SG tube ruptures which may involve multiple steam generators or **UNISOLABLE** secondary line breaks, this threshold would exist in conjunction with RCS barrier thresholds and would result in a Site Area Emergency. Escalation to General Emergency would be based on "Potential Loss" of the Fuel Clad Barrier.

<u>Loss Threshold B</u>

This threshold addresses SG tube leaks that exceed <u>25</u> <del>10</del>-gpm in conjunction with an **UNISOLABLE** release path to the environment from the affected steam generator. The

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)



threshold for establishing the UNISOLABLE secondary side release is intended to be a prolonged release of radioactivity from the RUPTURED steam generator directly to the environment. This could be expected to occur when the main condenser is unavailable to accept the contaminated steam (i.e., SG tube rupture with concurrent loss of off-site power and the RUPTURED steam generator is required for plant cooldown or a stuck open relief valve). If the main condenser is available, there may be releases via air ejectors, gland seal exhausters, and other similar controlled, and often monitored, pathways. These pathways do not meet the intent of an UNISOLABLE release path to the environment. These minor releases are assessed using EALs in Category R, Abnormal Rad Levels / Radiological Effluent ICs.

[The leakage threshold for this threshold has been increased with Revision 3. In the earlier revision, the threshold was leakage greater than T/S allowable. Since the prior revision, many plants have implemented reduced steam generator T/S limits (e.g., 150 gpd) as a defense in depth associated with alternate steam generator plugging criteria. The 150 gpd threshold is deemed too low for use as an emergency threshold. A pressure boundary leakage of 10 gpm was used as the threshold in IC SU5, RCS Leakage, and is deemed appropriate for this threshold.]

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Definitions:

**RUPTURED**: (PWRs) In a steam generator, existence of primary-to-secondary leakage of a magnitude sufficient to require or cause a reactor trip and safety injection.

**UNISOLABLE**: A breach or leak that cannot be promptly isolated from the Control Room.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Barrier Loss 4.B

. . . .

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CB4-L

÷\*+

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Other
Initiating Condition:	Loss of Containment
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	CB5-L (3 points)

### EAL:

Failure of <u>ALL</u> valves in <u>ANY</u> one line to close

# <u>AND</u>

Direct downstream pathway to the environment exists after Containment isolation signal (Note 8)

Note 8: A direct downstream release is a pathway from the Containment to any environment outside the Containment when Containment or system isolation is required due to: a safety injection signal, Containment pressure greater than 4 psig, or a VALID containment ventilation isolation signal and the pathway cannot be isolated from the Control Room.

# Basis:

This threshold addresses incomplete containment isolation that allows direct release to the environment.

The use of the modifier "direct" in defining the release path discriminates against release paths through interfacing liquid systems. The existence of an in-line charcoal filter does not make a release path indirect since the filter is not effective at removing fission product noble gases. Typical filters have an efficiency of 95-99% removal of iodine. Given the magnitude of the core inventory of iodine, significant releases could still occur. In addition, since the fission product release would be driven by boiling in the reactor vessel, the high humidity in the release stream can be expected to render the filters ineffective in a short period.

There is no Potential Loss threshold associated with this item.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Indications of Containment failure may be evident without the exact pathway being understood at the time of the failure. If the Containment or part of the RCS is required to be isolated and

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)



#### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

there are **VALID** indications that the Containment is not isolated, the Containment barrier should be considered lost.

Area Radiation monitor alarms that exceed normal monitor indications without a reason to expect another source such as a gas decay tank, spill, piping shine or fuel handling problem, indicate a loss of the Containment. Area temperature alarms, rising sump level indications or unexpected system flow indications outside Containment may also indicate a loss of the Containment. If the Containment Barrier is lost without a loss of the Fuel Clad Barrier, effluent radiation readings may not increase significantly. Unexpected area temperature alarms, unexpected flow rates or sump level increases outside of Containment, however, may provide the indications that the Containment atmosphere is no longer isolated. In addition, the term "to the environment" is intended to include any leakage that cannot be isolated either directly or through systems that exhaust to the Plant Vent (e.g., leakage to the Auxiliary Building Ventilation System) or directly to any other area outside the Containment.

A safety injection, high Containment pressure or a Containment vent isolation signal represents a situation that requires the Containment to be isolated from the outside environment.

As indicated in Note 8, this EAL allows for valve closure from the Control Room, prior to event classification, to isolate any system not completely isolated. Leakage cannot be isolated from the Control Room refers to valve(s) that did not completely close when demanded (either automatically or manually). This includes Motor Operated Valves not controlled by isolation logic but are manually controlled from the Control Room. For example, if the isolation logic fails to cause valve closure but operator actions implemented in the Control Room successfully isolates the Containment breach path, classification under this EAL is NOT WARRANTED.

Although this EAL ALLOWS for valve closure from the Control Room, the time to attempt closure and make a decision if containment leak isolation was successful RUNS CONCURRENTLY with the EAL 15-minute assessment clock.

- If, during the EAL 15-minute assessment period attempts from the Control Room to isolate the containment ARE SUCCESSFUL then, this EAL is NOT exceeded and classification per this EAL should NOT be made.
- If, during the EAL 15-minute assessment period attempts from the Control Room to isolate the containment ARE NOT SUCCESSFUL then, this EAL is exceeded and classification should be made at that time. There is no need to wait the full 15 minutes.
- If near the end of the 15 minute assessment period and the control room staff has not been able to attempt containment isolation or the EC is not convinced that an isolation attempt has been successful, then this EAL is exceeded and classification should be made at or before the 15-minute assessment time expires.

Salem

ļ

# Definitions:

**VALID**: An indication, report, or condition, is considered to be **VALID** when it is verified by (1) an instrument channel check, (2) indications on related or redundant indicators, or (3) by direct observation by plant personnel, such that doubt related to the indicator's operability, the condition's existence, or the report's accuracy is removed. Implicit in this definition is the need for timely assessment.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Barrier Loss 5.A
- 2. SGS Technical Specifications 3.6.3 Containment Isolation Valves
- 3. 1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection
- 4. 1(2)-EOP-LOCA-6 LOCA Outside Containment

1

• .

. . .

This page intentionally blank

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Judgment
Initiating Condition:	Loss of Containment
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	CB6-L (3 points)

EAL:

<u>ANY</u> condition in the opinion of the Emergency Coordinator that indicates loss of the Containment barrier

#### Basis:

Th<u>is</u>ese thresholds address<u>es</u> any other factors that are to be used by the <u>Emergency</u> <u>DirectorEmergency Coordinator</u> in determining whether the Containment barrier is lost-or potentially lost. In addition, the inability to monitor the barrier should also be incorporated in this threshold as a factor in <u>Emergency DirectorEmergency Coordinator</u> judgment that the barrier may be considered lost-or potentially lost.

The Containment barrier should not be declared lost or potentially lost based on exceeding Technical Specification action statement criteria, unless there is an event in progress requiring mitigation by the Containment barrier. When no event is in progress (Loss or Potential Loss of either Fuel Clad and/or RCS) the Containment barrier status is addressed by Technical Specifications.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The Emergency Coordinator judgment threshold addresses any other factors relevant to determining if the Containment barrier is lost. Such a determination should include IMMINENT barrier degradation, barrier monitoring capability and dominant accident sequences.

- <u>Barrier degradation</u> exists if the degradation will likely occur within two hours based on a projection of current safety system performance.
- <u>Barrier monitoring</u> capability is decreased if there is a loss or lack of reliable indicators. This assessment should include instrumentation operability concerns, readings from portable instrumentation and consideration of offsite monitoring results.
- <u>Dominant accident sequences</u> lead to degradation of all fission product barriers and likely entry to the EOPs. The Emergency Coordinator should be mindful of the Loss of

Salem

Page 1 of 2

Rev. 0 (draft E)



AC power (Station Blackout) and ATWT EALs to assure timely emergency classification declarations.

Definitions:

**IMMINENT**: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

### EAL Bases Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Loss 8.A

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CB6-L

Salem

Page 2 of 2

EALS for:

# Cold Shutdown Conditions

EAL# & Classification Level:	CU1.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT
Mode Applicability:	5 - Cold Shutdown, 6 - Refueling
Initiating Condition:	AC power capability to vital buses reduced to a single power source for 15 minutes or longer such that any additional single failure would result in complete loss of AC power to vital buses
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Loss of AC Power
EAL Category:	C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction

### EAL:

Loss of 4.16 KV Vital Bus Power Sources (Offsite and Onsite) which results in the availability of only **one** 4.16 KV Vital Bus Power Source (Offsite or Onsite)

<u>AND</u>

≥ 15 minutes have elapsed (Note 3)

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

# Basis:

The condition indicated by this IC-<u>EAL</u> is the degradation of the off-site and on-site AC power systems such that any additional single failure would result in a station blackout. This condition could occur due to a loss of off-site power with a concurrent failure of all but one emergency <u>diesel</u> generator to supply power to its <u>emergency-vital</u> busses. The subsequent loss of this single power source would escalate the event to an ALERT in accordance with CA3EAL CA1.1.

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary losses of power.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

"Availability" means the power source can be aligned to provide power to a vital bus within 15 minutes or is currently supplying power to at least one vital bus.

. . . . . .

The availability of EDGs that have not been challenged to start during degradation of AC power sources to the 4KV vital buses should be based on meeting Technical Specification action requirements for loss of offsite AC power sources.

The AC power distribution is summarized in Attachment 2, page 2.

Emergency Classification escalates to an ALERT under EAL CA1.1 based on a loss of all offsite and all onsite AC power to all 4KV vital buses.

This cold condition UNUSUAL EVENT EAL is equivalent to the hot condition ALERT EAL SA1.1.

 $e_{\rm e} = E_{\rm e} = 1$ 

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05 CU3 Example EAL #1
- 2. UFSAR Figure 8.2-2 500 kV Switchyard Diagram
- 3. UFSAR Figure 8.3-1 Auxiliary Power System Diagram
- 4. UFSAR 8.1.1 Utility Grid System and Interconnections
- 5. UFSAR 8.3.1 Power
- 6. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.1.2 Electrical Power Systems Shutdown
- 7. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.2.2 AC Distribution Shutdown
- 8. 1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection
- 9. 1(2)-EOP-LOPA-1 Loss of All AC Power
- 10.S1(S2).OP-AB.LOOP-0001(Q) Loss of Off-Site Power
- 11.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0001(Q) Loss of 1A 4KV Vital Bus
- 12.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0002(Q) Loss of 1B 4KV Vital Bus
- 13.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0003(Q) Loss of 1C 4KV Vital Bus

EAL# & Classification Level:	CA1.1 – ALERT
Mode Applicability:	5 - Cold Shutdown, 6 - Refueling, D - Defueled
Initiating Condition:	Loss of all offsite and all onsite AC power to vital buses for 15 minutes or longer
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Loss of AC Power
EAL Category:	C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction

EAL:

Loss of all Power (Onsite and Offsite) to all 4KV Vital Buses

<u>AND</u>

≥ 15 minutes have elapsed (Note 3)

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

#### Basis:

Loss of all AC power compromises all plant safety systems requiring electric power including RHR, ECCS, Containment Heat Removal, Spent Fuel Heat Removal and the Ultimate Heat Sink (Service Water).

The event can be classified as an ALERT when in cold shutdown, refueling, or defueled mode because of the significantly reduced decay heat and lower temperature and pressure, increasing the time to restore one of the <u>emergency vital</u> busses, relative to that specified for the SITE AREA EMERGENCY EAL.

Escalating to SITE AREA EMERGENCY, if appropriate, is by <u>EALs in Category R</u>, Abnormal Rad Levels / Radiological Effluent-ICs.

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary power losses.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The intent of this EAL is to classify degraded AC power events that result in a loss of all offsite power sources (13.8 KV) to the 4KV vital buses along with a loss of all onsite power sources (EDGs).

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

eal#: CA1.1

The AC power distribution is summarized in Attachment 2, page 2.

This cold condition ALERT EAL is equivalent to the hot condition SITE AREA EMERGENCY EAL SS1.1.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05 CA3 Example EAL #1
- 2. UFSAR Figure 8.2-2 500 kV Switchyard Diagram
- 3. UFSAR Figure 8.3-1 Auxiliary Power System Diagram
- 4. UFSAR 8.1.1 Utility Grid System and Interconnections
- 5. UFSAR 8.3.1 Power
- 6. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.1.2 Electrical Power Systems Shutdown
- 7. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.2.2 AC Distribution Shutdown
- 8. 1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection
- 9. 1(2)-EOP-LOPA-1 Loss of All AC Power
- 10.S1(S2).OP-AB.LOOP-0001(Q) Loss of Off-Site Power
- 11.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0001(Q) Loss of 1A 4KV Vital Bus
- 12.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0002(Q) Loss of 1B 4KV Vital Bus
- 13.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0003(Q) Loss of 1C 4KV Vital Bus

. .

a estado de la constante de la

n an an an an an an Arthur an A Arthur an A

Salem

Page 2 of 2

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CA1.1

• •

EAL Category:	C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction
---------------	---

EAL Subcategory: 2 – Loss of DC Power

Initiating Condition: Loss of required DC power for 15 minutes or longer

Mode Applicability: 5 - Cold Shutdown, 6 - Refueling

EAL# & Classification Level: CU2.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT

# EAL:

< 114 VDC bus voltage indications on All 125 VDC vital buses for ≥ 15 minutes (Note 3)

<u>0R</u>

< 25 VDC bus voltage indications on **both** 28 VDC vital buses for ≥ 15 minutes (Note 3) <u>AND</u> loss of control of Safety Related Equipment from the Control Room has been confirmed

# Basis:

The purpose of this IC-EAL and its associated EALs is to recognize a loss of DC power compromising the ability to monitor and control the removal of decay heat during Cold Shutdown or Refueling operations.

[This EAL is intended to be anticipatory in as much as the operating crew may not have necessary indication and control of equipment needed to respond to the loss.]

[*Plants will routinely perform maintenance on a Train related basis during shutdown periods The required busses are the minimum allowed by Technical Specifications for the mode of operation.]* It is intended that the loss of the operating (operable) train is to be considered. If this loss results in the inability to maintain cold shutdown, the escalation to an ALERT will be per GA4<u>EAL CA4.1</u>.

[(Site specific) bus voltage should be based on the minimum bus voltage necessary for the operation of safety related equipment. This voltage value should incorporate a margin of at least 15 minutes of operation before the onset of inability to operate those loads. This voltage is usually near the minimum voltage selected when battery sizing is performed. Typically the value for the entire battery set is approximately 105 VDC. For a 60 cell string of batteries the cell voltage is typically 1.75 Volts per cell. For a 58 string battery set the minimum voltage is typically 1.81 Volts per cell.]

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) FAL # CU2.1

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary power losses.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The specified bus voltage indications (rounded for readability on Control Room instrumentation) are the minimum voltage requirements for operability of the 125 VDC buses and 28 VDC buses following battery discharge tests. Although continued operation may occur with degraded voltage, these values signify the minimum operable voltages allowed.

This UNUSUAL EVENT EAL is the cold condition equivalent of the hot condition loss of DC power SITE AREA EMERGENCY EAL SS2.1.

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, SS3 Example EAL #1
- 2. SC.MD-ST.125-0004 (Q) 125 Volt Station Batteries 18 Month Service Test and Associated Surveillance Testing Using BCT-2000
- 3. SC.MD-ST.28D-0004 (Q) 28 Volt Station Batteries 18 Month Service Test and Associated Surveillance Using BCT-2000
- 4. UFSAR 8.3.2 DC Power
- 5. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.2.3 125 Volt DC Distribution Shutdown
- 6. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.2.5 28 Volt DC Distribution Shutdown
- 7. S1(S2).OP-SO.125-0005 1(2)A 125VDC Bus Operation

the second second second for a second second

- 8. S1(S2).OP-SO.125-0006 1(2)B 125VDC Bus Operation
- 9. S1(S2).OP-SO.125-0007 1(2)C 125VDC Bus Operation

and a star of the second s Second s

#### Salem

Page 2 of 2

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CU2.1

• •

EAL Category:	C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	3 – RCS Level
Initiating Condition:	UNPLANNED loss of RCS inventory
Mode Applicability:	5 - Cold Shutdown
EAL# & Classification Level:	CU3.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT

#### EAL:

RCS leakage results in the inability to maintain or restore RCS level to **EITHER**:

- Pressurizer Level > 17% (cold calibration value)
- Within the target band established by procedure (when the level band is established below the pressurizer)

# <u>AND</u>

≥ 15 minutes have elapsed (Note 3)

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

# Basis:

This <u>EAL</u> is considered to be a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant. The inability to maintain or restore level is indicative of loss of RCS inventory.

Relief valve normal operation should be excluded from this ICEAL. However, a relief valve that operates and fails to close per design should be considered applicable to this ICEAL if the relief valve cannot be isolated.

Prolonged loss of RCS Inventory may result in escalation to the ALERT emergency classification level via either <u>EAL</u>CA3.1 or <u>EAL</u>CA4.1.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

When Pressurizer (PZR) level drops to 17%, letdown isolates and pressurizer heaters are deenergized. The Pressurizer cold calibration level is monitored on LI-462. This condition is signaled by overhead annunciator E-36, PZR HTR OFF LVL LO. Cold calibrated Pressurizer level is indicated on computer points and SPDS.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

eal#: CU3.1

In Cold Shutdown mode, PZR level may be intentionally lowered below the letdown isolation setpoint (e.g., in preparation to detension the reactor vessel head, etc.). For such evolutions, this EAL is applicable if RCS level cannot be restored and maintained within the prescribed target band specified in operating procedures.

Definitions:

**UNPLANNED**: A parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions.

r

# EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, CU1 Example EAL #1
- 2. S1(S2).OP-AR.ZZ-0005(Q) OHA- E-36, PZR HTR OFF LVL LO
- 3. S1(S2).OP-IO.ZZ-0006(Q) Hot Standby to Cold Shutdown
- 4. S1(S2).OP-IO.ZZ-0007(Q) Cold Shutdown to Refueling
- 5. S1(S2).OP-SO.RC-0005 (Q) Draining the Reactor Coolant System To >101 Foot Elevation
- S1(S2).OP-SO.RC-0006 (Q) Draining the Reactor Coolant System < 101ft Elevation with Fuel in the Vessel

and the second second

(1) The second structure is a second structure of the second structure is a second s

Salem

EAL Category:	C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction

EAL Subcategory: 3 – RCS Level

Initiating Condition: UNPLANNED loss of RCS inventory

Mode Applicability: 6 - Refueling

EAL# & Classification Level: CU3.2 – UNUSUAL EVENT

# EAL:

RCS level CANNOT be monitored with a loss of RCS inventory as indicated by <u>ANY</u> unexplained RCS leakage indication, **Table C-1** 

# Table C-1 RCS Leakage Indications

- Rise in Containment sump pump run frequency
- Aux Building sump level rise
- PRT level rise
- RWST level rise
- RCDT level rise
- Rise in RCS make-up rate
- Observation of RCS leakage that is UNISOLABLE

#### SGS ECG - EAL Technical Bases

#### Basis:

-------This IC-<u>EAL</u> is a precursor of more serious conditions and considered to be a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant.

Refueling evolutions that decrease RCS water level below the RPV flange are carefully planned and procedurally controlled. An UNPLANNED event that results in water level decreasing below the RPV flange, or below the planned RCS water level for the given evolution (if the planned RCS water level is already below the RPV flange), warrants declaration of a NOUE due to the reduced RCS inventory that is available to keep the core covered.

The allowance of 15 minutes was chosen because it is reasonable to assume that level can be restored within this time frame using one or more of the redundant means of refill that should be available. If level cannot be restored in this time frame then it may indicate a more serious condition exists.

------ Continued loss of RCS Inventory will result in escalation to the ALERT emergency classification level via either CA1 or CA4.

-------[The\_difference\_between\_CU1\_and\_CU2\_deals\_with\_the\_RCS\_conditions\_that\_exist between cold shutdown and refueling modes. In cold shutdown the RCS will normally be intact and standard RCS inventory and level monitoring means are available. In the refueling mode the RCS is not intact and RPV level and inventory are monitored by different means].

This EAL addresses conditions in the <u>R</u>refueling mode-\_when normal means of core temperature indication and RCS level indication may not be available. Redundant means of <u>RPV-RCS</u> level indication will normally be installed (including the ability to monitor level visually) to assure that the ability to monitor level will not be interrupted. However, if all level indication were to be lost during a loss of RCS inventory event, the operators would need to determine that <u>RPV-RCS</u> inventory loss was occurring by observing <u>sump and tank level changesTable C-1 indications</u>. <u>Sump and tank levelTable C-1 -increasesRCS leakage indications</u> must be evaluated against other potential sources of leakage such as cooling water sources inside the containment to ensure they are indicative of RCS leakage.

Escalation to the ALERT emergency classification level would be via either EAL CA1-CA3.2 or EAL CA4.1.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

During refueling, the reactor head and associated RVLIS piping are removed. Visual observation by personnel on the refuel floor in communication with the Control Room may also provide indication of refueling cavity water level and RCS water level.

Salem

Page 2 of 5

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CU3.2

#### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

In this EAL, all level indication is unavailable and the RCS inventory loss must be detected by the leakage indications listed in Table C-1. Level increases must be evaluated against other potential sources of leakage such as cooling water sources inside the Containment to ensure they are indicative of RCS leakage. Visual observation of leakage from systems connected to the RCS in areas inside or outside the Containment that cannot be isolated could be indicative of a loss of RCS inventory.

Definitions:

**UNPLANNED**: A parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions.

**UNISOLABLE**: A breach or leak that cannot be promptly isolated from the Control Room.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, CU2 Example EAL #2
- 2. S1(S2).OP-SO.RVL-0001 Reactor Vessel Level Instrumentation System
- 3. UFSAR 5.6.5 Reactor Vessel Water Level
- 4. UFSAR 7.3.1.1.9 Instrumentation Used During a Loss of Coolant Accident
- 5. S1(S2).OP-AB.RHR-0002(Q) Loss of RHR at Reduced Inventory
- 6. S1(S2).OP-SO.RC-0005(Q) Draining the Reactor Coolant System To ≥101 Foot Elevation
- 7. UFSAR Figure 6.3-3 Containment Sump Pit
- 8. UFSAR 6.3.2.2 Equipment and Component Description (ECCS)
- 9. UFSAR Figure 6.2-17 Containment Isolation Pressurizer Relief Tank Connections
- 10.S1(S2).OP-AB.RC-0001(Q) Reactor Coolant System Leak

This page intentionally blank

.

	EAL Subcategory: 3 – RCS Level	tiating Condition:	UNPLANNED loss of RCS inventory
Mode Applicability: 6 - Refueling	EAL Subcategory:3 – RCS LevelInitiating Condition:UNPLANNED loss of RCS inventory	>de Applicability: \L# & Classification Level:	6 - Refueling CU3.3 – UNUSUAL EVENT
	EAL Subcategory: 3 – RCS Level	tiating Condition:	UNPLANNED loss of RCS inventory
Initiating Condition: UNPLANNED loss of RCS Inventory		L Subcategory:	3 – RCS Level
EAL Subcategory: 3 – RCS Level   Initiating Condition: UNPLANNED loss of RCS inventory	<b>EAL Category:</b> C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction		

## EAL:

**UNPLANNED** RCS level drop below **<u>EITHER</u>** of the following:

- **104 ft** (Reactor Vessel flange)
- RCS level band (when the RCS level band is established below the Reactor Vessel flange)

### <u>AND</u>

≥ 15 minutes have elapsed (Note 3)

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

# Basis:

This <u>EAL</u> is a precursor of more serious conditions and considered to be a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant.

Refueling evolutions that decrease RCS water level below the <u>RPV-Reactor Vessel</u> flange are carefully planned and procedurally controlled. An <u>UNPLANNED</u> event that results in water level decreasing below the <u>RPV-Reactor Vessel</u> flange, or below the planned RCS water level for the given evolution (if the planned RCS water level is already below the <u>RPV-Reactor</u> <u>Vessel</u> flange), warrants declaration of a <del>NO</del>UE due to the reduced RCS inventory that is available to keep the core covered.

The allowance of **15 minutes** was chosen because it is reasonable to assume that level can be restored within this time frame using one or more of the redundant means of refill that should be available. If level cannot be restored in this time frame then it may indicate a more serious condition exists.

Salem
Continued loss of RCS Inventory will result in escalation to the ALERT emergency classification level via either <u>EAL\_CA1CA3.1</u> or <u>EAL\_CA4.1</u>.

[The difference between CU1 and CU2 deals with the RCS conditions that exist between cold shutdown and refueling modes. In cold shutdown the RCS will normally be intact and standard RCS inventory and level monitoring means are available. In the refueling mode the RCS is not intact and RPV level and inventory are monitored by different means].

#### 

This EAL involves a decrease in RCS level below the top of the <u>RPV Reactor Vessel</u> flange that continues for **15 minutes** due to an **UNPLANNED** event. This EAL is not applicable to decreases in flooded <u>reactor refueling</u> cavity level, which is addressed by <u>EAL AU2</u> <u>EAL1RU2.1</u>, until such time as the level decreases to the level of the vessel flange.

-------[For BWRs] if RPV level continues to decrease and reaches the Low-Low ECCS Actuation Setpoint then escalation to CA1 would be appropriate.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

104 ft is the Reactor Vessel flange elevation.

RCS water level is normally monitored using the instrument ranges illustrated in Attachment 2, page 10.

During refueling, the reactor head and associated RVLIS piping are removed. Visual observation by personnel on the refuel floor in communication with the Control Room may also provide indication of refueling cavity water level and RCS water level.

In cold conditions, RCS level may be intentionally lowered below the Reactor Vessel flange (e.g., detensioning the Reactor Vessel head, reduced inventory conditions, etc.). For such evolutions, this EAL is applicable if RCS level cannot be restored and maintained within the prescribed target band.

This Cold Shutdown EAL represents the hot condition EAL SU7.1, in which RCS leakage is associated with Technical Specification limits. In cold conditions, these limits are not applicable; hence, the use of RCS level as the parameter of concern in this EAL.

Definitions:

**UNPLANNED**: A parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions.

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, CU2 Example EAL #1
- 2. S1(S2).OP-SO.RC-0005(Q) Draining the Reactor Coolant System to ≥101 Foot Elevation

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E3)

EAL#: CU3.3

#### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

- 3. S1(S2).OP-SO.RVL-0001 Reactor Vessel Level Instrumentation System
- 4. UFSAR 5.6.5 Reactor Vessel Water Level
- 5. UFSAR 7.3.1.1.9 Instrumentation Used During a Loss of Coolant Accident

A State of March 1997 States

n for bestand a second sec Second

a da ja da basa da aka kata da baban da baran da aka da Basar da aka da basar da aka da baran da aka da baran da baran da baran da aka da aka da aka da aka da aka da a Baran da aka da baran da aka da baban da baran da aka d

Rev. 0 (draft E3) EAL#: CU3.3

Page 3 of 3

EAL Category: C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction

EAL Subcategory: 3 – RCS Level

Initiating Condition: Loss of RCS inventory

Mode Applicability: 5 - Cold Shutdown, 6 - Refueling

EAL# & Classification Level: CA3.1 – ALERT

#### EAL:

RCS level < 97.5 ft

#### Basis:

Thisese EALs serves as a precursors to a loss of ability to adequately cool the fuel. The magnitude of this loss of water indicates that makeup systems have not been effective and may not be capable of preventing further <u>RPV\_RCS</u> level decrease and potential core uncovery. This condition will result in a minimum emergency classification level of an ALERT.

#### <u>———EAL #1</u>

[The BWR Low-Low ECCS Actuation Setpoint/Level 2 was chosen because it is a standard setpoint at which some available injection systems automatically start. The PWR Bottom ID of the RCS Loop SLevel setpoint was chosen because at this level remote RCS level indication may be lost and loss of suction to decay heat removal systems has occurred may occur. The Bottom ID of the RCS Loop Setpoint should be the level equal to the bottom of the RPV loop penetration (not the low point of the loop).]

The inability to restore and maintain level after reaching this setpoint would be indicative of a failure of the RCS barrier.

#### 

[In the cold shutdown mode, normal RCS level and RPV level instrumentation systems will usually be available. In the refueling mode, normal means of RPV level indication may not be available. Redundant means of RPV level indication will usually be installed (including the ability to monitor level visually) to assure that the ability to monitor level will not be interrupted. However, if all level indication were to be lost during a less of RCS inventory event, the operators would need to determine that RPV inventory loss was occurring by observing sump and tank level changes. Sump and tank level increases must be evaluated against other potential sources of leakage such as cooling water sources inside the containment to ensure they are indicative of RCS leakage.]

The 15-minute duration for the loss of level indication was chosen because it is half of the CS1 Site Area Emergency EAL duration. Significant fuel damage is not expected to occur until the core has been uncovered for greater than 1 hour per the analysis referenced in the CG1 basis. Therefore this EAL meets the definition for an ALERT.]

If RPV-RCS level continues to lower then escalation to SITE AREA EMERGENCY will be via EAL CS3.42.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

RCS water level is normally monitored using the instrument ranges illustrated in Attachment 2, page 10.

The centerline level of the RCS loop hot leg is at approximately 97 ft and the inside diameter of the hot leg penetration is 29 in. The bottom ID would be 97 ft – 29/2 in. or 95 ft 9.5 in. Although NEI desires a level for this threshold that is equal to the bottom of the RCS loop hot leg penetration, the RCS level indication capability does not support detection of a threshold level that low. Mid Loop Level indication is capable of monitoring level to 97.3 ft; so, a setpoint of 97.5 ft has been selected. Local indication is also available to monitor this level. Continued inventory loss could result in a loss of suction to the RHR System. The inability to restore and maintain level after reaching loop centerline (approximately) would therefore be indicative of a failure of the RCS barrier.

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

- and the second second second 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, CA1 Example EAL #1
- 2. S1(S2).OP-SO.RC-0005(Q) Draining the Reactor Coolant System to >101 Foot Elevation

- 3. UFSAR Figure 5.1-1 Reactor Vessel Schematic
- 4. S1(S2).OP-AB.RHR-0002(Q) Loss of RHR at Reduced Inventory
- 5. UFSAR Figure 6.3-2 & 6.3-3 Containment Sump and Drain Trench & Containment Sump Pit
- 6. UFSAR 6.3.2.2 Equipment and Component Description (ECCS)
- 7. UFSAR Figure 6.2-17 Containment Isolation Pressurizer Relief Tank Connections
- 8. S1(S2).OP-AB.RC-0001(Q) Reactor Coolant System Leak



· · · · · · · · ·

EAL Category: C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction

EAL Subcategory: 3 – RCS Level

Initiating Condition: Loss of RCS inventory

Mode Applicability: 5 - Cold Shutdown, 6 - Refueling

EAL# & Classification Level: CA3.2 – ALERT

#### EAL:

RCS level CANNOT be monitored for  $\geq$  15 minutes with a loss of RCS inventory as indicated by <u>ANY</u> unexplained RCS leakage indication, **Table C-1** (Note 3)

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

#### Table C-1 RCS Leakage Indications

- Rise in Containment sump pump run frequency
- Aux Building sump level rise
- PRT level rise
- RWST level rise
- RCDT level rise
- Rise in RCS make-up rate
- Observation of RCS leakage that is UNISOLABLE

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL #: CA3.2

#### Basis:

Thisese EALs serves as a precursors to a loss of ability to adequately cool the fuel. The magnitude of this loss of water indicates that makeup systems have not been effective and may not be capable of preventing further RPV-RCS level decrease and potential core uncovery. This condition will result in a minimum emergency classification level of an ALERT.

#### EAL #1

setpoint at which some available injection systems automatically start. The PWR-Bottom ID of the RCS Loop Setpoint was chosen because at this level remote RCS level indication may be lost and loss of suction to decay heat removal systems has occurred. The Bottom ID of the RCS Loop Setpoint should be the level equal to the bottom of the RPV loop penetration (not the low point of the loop).] e e en se di

The inability to restore and maintain level after reaching this setpoint would be indicative of a failure of the RCS barrier.

#### EAL #2

-[In the Coold Sshutdown mode, normal RCS level and RPV level-instrumentation systems will usually be available. In the Rrefueling mode, normal means of RPV RCS level indication may not be available. Redundant means of RPV-RCS level indication will usually be installed (including the ability to monitor level visually) to assure that the ability to monitor level will not be interrupted. However, if all level indication were to be lost during a loss of RCS inventory event, the operators would need to determine that RPV-RCS inventory loss was occurring by observing Table C-1 indicationssump and tank level changes. Sump and tank level increasesTable C-1 RCS leakage indications must be evaluated against other potential sources of leakage such as cooling water sources inside the Containment to ensure they are indicative of RCS leakage. Visual observation of leakage from systems connected to the RCS in areas inside or outside the Containment that cannot be isolated could be indicative of a loss of RPV RCS inventory.]

and the second second The 15-minute duration for the loss of level indication was chosen because it is half of the EAL CS1-CS3.2 SITE AREA EMERGENCY EAL-duration. Significant fuel damage is not expected to occur until the core has been uncovered for greater than 1 hour per the analysis referenced in the EAL GG1-CG3.2 basis. Therefore this EAL meets the definition for an ALERT.

. .

If RPV-RCS level leakage indications continues and RCS level cannot be monitored for 30 minutes or more, EAL CS3.2 will require to lower then escalation to SITE AREA EMERGENCY will be via CS1.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Salem

In this EAL, all RCS level indication is unavailable and the RCS inventory loss must be detected by the leakage indications listed in Table C-1. Level increases must be evaluated against other potential sources of leakage (SWS, Component Cooling Water, etc.) to ensure they are indicative of RCS leakage.

In cold shutdown, the decay heat available to raise RCS temperature during a loss of inventory or heat removal event may be significantly greater than in the Refueling Mode. Entry into cold shutdown conditions may be attained within hours of operating at power or hours after refueling is completed. Entry into the Refueling Mode procedurally may not occur for typically a few days or longer after the reactor has been shutdown. Thus the heatup threat and therefore the threat to damaging the fuel clad may be lower for events that occur in the Refueling Mode with irradiated fuel in the Reactor Vessel (note that the heatup threat could be lower for cold shutdown conditions if the entry into cold shutdown was following a refueling).

Definitions:

**UNISOLABLE**: A breach or leak that cannot be promptly isolated from the Control Room.

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, CA1 Example EAL #2
- 2. S1(S2).OP-SO.RVL-0001 Reactor Vessel Level Instrumentation System
- 3. UFSAR 5.6.5 Reactor Vessel Water Level
- 4. UFSAR 7.3.1.1.9 Instrumentation Used During a Loss of Coolant Accident
- 5. S1(S2).OP-AB.RHR-0002(Q) Loss of RHR at Reduced Inventory
- 6. S1(S2).OP-SO.RC-0005(Q) Draining the Reactor Coolant System To ≥101 Foot Elevation
- 7. Reference drawing 208915-A-8823 Sh 1
- 8. UFSAR Figure 6.3-3 Containment Sump Pit
- 9. UFSAR 6.3.2.2 Equipment and Component Description (ECCS)
- 10. UFSAR Figure 6.2-17 Containment Isolation Pressurizer Relief Tank Connections
- 11.S1(S2).OP-AB.RC-0001(Q) Reactor Coolant System Leak

This page intentionally blank



.

:

EAL Category:	C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	3 – RCS Level
Initiating Condition:	Loss of RCS inventory affecting core decay heat removal capability
Mode Applicability:	5 - Cold Shutdown, 6 - Refueling
EAL# & Classification Level:	CS3.2 – SITE AREA EMERGENCY

#### EAL:

RCS level CANNOT be monitored for  $\geq$  30 minutes with a loss of RCS inventory as indicated by <u>ANY</u> of the following (Note 3):

- R44A > 5 R/hr
- R10B > 3500 mR/hr
- R2 > 104 mR/hr
- Erratic Source Range Monitor indication
- ANY unexplained RCS leakage indication, Table C-1

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

#### Table C-1 RCS Leakage Indications

- Rise in Containment sump pump run frequency
- Aux Building sump level rise
- PRT level rise
- RWST level rise
- RCDT level rise
- Rise in RCS make-up rate
- Observation of RCS leakage that is UNISOLABLE

#### Basis:

Under the conditions specified by this ICEAL, continued decrease in RCS/RPV level is indicative of a loss of inventory control. Inventory loss may be due to an RCS breach, pressure boundary leakage, or continued boiling in the RPVReactor Vessel. Thus, declaration of a SITE AREA EMERGENCY is warranted.

Escalation to a GENERAL EMERGENCY is via EAL CG1CG3.2 or EAL RAG1.1.

#### 

[In the cold shutdown mode, normal RCS level and RPV level-instrumentation systems will usually be available. In the refueling mode, normal means of RPV-RCS level indication may not be available. Redundant means of RPV-RCS level indication will usually be installed (including the ability to monitor level visually) to assure that the ability to monitor level will not be interrupted. However, if all level indication were to be lost during a loss of RCS inventory event, the operators would need to determine that RPV-RCS inventory loss was occurring by observing Table C-1 indications. Table C-1 RCS leakage indicationssump and tank level changes. Sump and tank level increases must be evaluated against other potential sources of leakage such as cooling water sources inside the containment to ensure they are indicative of RCS leakage.]

The **30-minute** duration allows sufficient time for actions to be performed to recover inventory control equipment.

As water level in the RPV lowers, the dose rate above the core will increase. The dose rate due to this core shine should result in site specific monitor indication and possible alarm.

- [This EAL should conservatively estimate a site specific dose rate setpoint indicative of core uncovery (i.e., level at TOAF). For BWRs that do not have installed radiation monitors capable of indicating core uncovery, alternate site specific level indications of core uncovery should be used.]

[Post-TMI studies indicated that the installed nuclear instrumentation will operate erratically when the core is uncovered and that this should be used as a tool for making such determinations.]

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This EAL applies to conditions in which the loss of decay heat removal capability has caused a significant drop in RCS water level below the bottom of the RCS hot leg penetration and core uncovery may be challenged. RCS level indication capability does not support detection of RCS levels that low and, consequently, alternate means of assessing RCS inventory loss must be used:

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

eal#: CS3.2

#### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

 As water level in the Reactor Vessel lowers, the dose rate above the core will increase. The dose rate due to this core shine should result in up-scaled general area low range containment monitor (1/2R10B & 1/2R2) and high range containment monitor (1/2R44A) indication and possible alarm. A dose rate setpoint indicative of core uncovery (i.e., level at top of active fuel) is a means to detect the onset of IMMINENT core damage. S-C-ZZ-MDC-2280 documents the basis for the threshold values for the R2, R10B and R44A values that indicate RCS level at or approaching the top of active fuel. Data from both Unit 1 and Unit 2 was reviewed and values rounded off to provide conservative threshold values that cover both Salem units based on how many fuel assemblies are in the Reactor Vessel and includes an appropriate reduction for radioactive decay of short lived radionuclides. As Reactor Vessel level decreases life threatening levels could exist directly above the core; with water level at top of active fuel dose rates 20 feet above the core will be in excess of 38,000 R/hr. Additionally, post-TMI studies indicated that the installed nuclear instrumentation will operate erratically when the core is uncovered and that this should be used as a tool for making such determinations. For EAL simplification, the thresholds are representative of a partially defueled core (80 fuel assemblies in the Reactor Vessel).

• Erratic source range monitor indication may be identified by:

Source range count rate indicators NI31B and NI32B

NIS Recorder NR45

- - Audio count rate

- Process Computer
  Include indications listed in Table RCS inventory loss may be detected by the leakage indications listed in Table C-1. 0 Level increases must be evaluated against other potential sources of leakage such as cooling water sources inside the Containment to ensure they are indicative of RCS leakage. Visual observation of leakage from systems connected to the RCS in areas inside or outside the Containment that cannot be isolated could be indicative of a loss of REACTOR VESSEL inventory.

Definitions:

**UNISOLABLE:** A breach or leak that cannot be promptly isolated from the Control Room. . . . **.** 

IMMINENT: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

FAI #: CS3.2

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, CS1 Example EAL #3
- 2. S-C-ZZ-MDC-2280, EAL Dose Rates to Radiation Detectors Following Loss of RPV Level during Refueling Operation
- 3. Technical Specifications 3.3.1.1 Reactor Trip System Instrumentation
- 4. UFSAR Table 7.5-2 Main Control Room Indicators and/or Recorders Available to the Operator to Monitor Significant Plant Parameters During Normal Operations
- 5. SC.IC-CC.NIS-0011(Q) N31 Source Range
- 6. SC.IC-CC.NIS-0012(Q) N32 Source Range
- 7. S1(S2).OP-SO.RC-0005(Q) Draining the Reactor Coolant System to ≥101 Foot Elevation
- UFSAR Figure 6.3-2 & 6.3-3 Containment Sump and Drain Trench & Containment Sump Pit
- 9. UFSAR 6.3.2.2 Equipment and Component Description (ECCS)
- 10. UFSAR Figure 6.2-17 Containment Isolation Pressurizer Relief Tank Connections
- 11.S1(S2).OP-AB.RC-0001(Q) Reactor Coolant System Leak

EAL:	
EAL# & Classification Level:	CG3.2 – GENERAL EMERGENCY
Mode Applicability:	5 - Cold Shutdown, 6 - Refueling
Initiating Condition:	Loss of RCS inventory affecting fuel clad integrity with Containment challenged
EAL Subcategory:	3 – RCS Level
EAL Category:	C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction

RCS level CANNOT be monitored for  $\geq$  30 minutes with core uncovery indicated by <u>ANY</u> of the following (Note 3):

- R44A > 5 R/hr
- R10B > 3500 mR/hr
- R2 > 104 mR/hr
- Erratic Source Range Monitor indication
- ANY unexplained RCS leakage indication, Table C-1
- <u>And</u>

<u>ANY</u> Containment Challenge indication, **Table C-2** 

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

2.1

#### Table C-1 RCS Leakage Indications

- Rise in Containment sump pump run frequency
- Aux Building sump level rise
- PRT level rise
- RWST level rise
- RCDT level rise
- Rise in RCS make-up rate
- Observation of RCS leakage that is UNISOLABLE

#### Table C-2 Containment Challenge Indications

- CONTAINMENT CLOSURE NOT established
- Indications of > 4% H<sub>2</sub> inside Containment
- **UNPLANNED** rise in Containment pressure

#### Basis:

This IC-EAL represents the inability to restore and maintain RPV-RCS level to above the top of active fuel with containment challenged. Fuel damage is probable if RPV-RCS level cannot be restored, as available decay heat will cause boiling, further reducing the RPV-RCS level. With the Ceontainment breached or challenged then the potential for unmonitored fission product release to the environment is high. This represents a direct path for radioactive inventory to be released to the environment. This is consistent with the definition of a GENERAL EMERGENCY. The GENERAL EMERGENCY is declared on the occurrence of the loss or IMMINENT loss of function of all three barriers.

[These EALs are based on concerns raised by Generic Letter 88-17, Loss of Decay Heat Removal, SECY 91-283, Evaluation of Shutdown and Low Power Risk Issues, NUREG-1449, Shutdown and Low-Power Operation at Commercial Nuclear Power Plants in the United States, and, NUMARC 91-06, Guidelines for Industry Actions to Assess Shutdown Management.]

A number of variables can have a significant impact on heat removal capability challenging the fuel clad barrier. Examples include:

Salem

[BWRs] initial vessel level, shutdown heat removal system design

[*PWRs*] mid-loop, reduced level/flange level, head in place, cavity flooded, RCS venting strategy, decay heat removal system design, vortexing pre-disposition, steam generator U-tube draining.

Analysis indicates that core damage may occur within an<u>as soon as one</u> hour following continued core uncovery, therefore, **30 minutes** was conservatively chosen.

If **CONTAINMENT CLOSURE** is re-established prior to exceeding the **30 minute** core uncovery time limit then escalation to GE would not occur.

[Site shutdown contingency plans typically provide for re-establishing CONTAINMENT CLOSURE following a loss of heat removal or RCS inventory functions.]

[In the early stages of a core uncovery event, it is unlikely that hydrogen buildup due to a core uncovery could result in an explosive mixture of dissolved gasses in Containment. However, Containment monitoring and/or sampling should be performed to verify this assumption and a General Emergency declared if it is determined that an explosive mixture exists.]

-[For BWRs, the use of secondary containment radiation monitors should provide indication of increased release that may be indicative of a challenge to secondary containment. The site specific radiation monitor values should be based on the EOP "maximum safe values" because these values are easily recognizable and have an emergency basis.]

#### <u>EAL #2</u>

<u>Table C-1 RCS leakage indications</u>Sump and tank level increases must be evaluated against other potential sources of leakage such as cooling water sources inside the <u>C</u>eontainment to ensure they are indicative of RCS leakage.

[In the <u>C</u>eold <u>S</u>shutdown mode, normal RCS level and <u>RPV level</u> instrumentation systems will usually be available. In the <u>R</u>refueling mode, normal means of <u>RPV RCS</u> level indication may not be available. Redundant means of <u>RPV RCS</u> level indication will usually be installed (including the ability to monitor level visually) to assure that the ability to monitor level will not be interrupted. However, if all level indication were to be lost during a loss of RCS inventory event, the operators would need to determine that <u>RPV RCS</u> inventory loss was occurring by observing <u>Table C-1 indications</u>. <u>Table C-1 RCS leakage indications</u> and tank level changes. Sump and tank level increases must be evaluated against other potential sources of leakage such as cooling water sources inside the <u>C</u>eontainment to ensure they are indicative of RCS leakage.]

As water level in the RPV lowers, the dose rate above the core will increase. The dose rate due to this core shine should result in site specific monitor indication and possible alarm.

- [This EAL should conservatively estimate a site specific dose rate setpoint indicative of core uncovery (ie., level at TOAF). For BWRs that do not have installed radiation monitors capable

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

EAL#: CG3.2

## of indicating core uncovery, alternate site specific level indications of core uncovery should be used.]

[Post-TMI studies indicated that the installed nuclear instrumentation will operate erratically when the core is uncovered and that this should be used as a tool for making such determinations.]

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This EAL applies to conditions in which a significant drop in Reactor Vessel water level below the RCS hot leg penetration has occurred with prolonged core uncovery. RCS level indication capability does not support detection of RCS levels that low and, consequently, alternate means of assessing RCS inventory loss must be used:

- As water level in the Reactor Vessel lowers, the dose rate above the core will increase. The dose rate due to this core shine should result in up-scaled general area low range containment monitor (1/2R10B & 1/2R2) and high range containment monitor (1/2R44A) indication and possible alarm. A dose rate setpoint indicative of core uncovery (i.e., level at top of active fuel) is a means to detect the onset of IMMINENT core damage. S-C-ZZ-MDC-2280 documents the basis for the threshold values for the R2, R10B and R44A values that indicate RCS level at or approaching the top of active fuel. Data from both Unit 1 and Unit 2 was reviewed and values rounded off to provide conservative threshold values that cover both Salem units based on how many fuel assemblies are in the Reactor Vessel and includes an appropriate reduction for radioactive decay of short lived radionuclides. As Reactor Vessel level decreases life threatening levels could exist directly above the core; with water level at top of active fuel dose rates 20 feet above the core will be in excess of 38,000 R/hr. Additionally. post-TMI studies indicated that the installed nuclear instrumentation will operate erratically when the core is uncovered and that this should be used as a tool for making such determinations. For EAL simplification, the thresholds are representative of a partially defueled core (80 fuel assemblies in the Reactor Vessel).
- Erratic source range monitor indication may be identified by:
  - o Source range count rate indicators NI31B and NI32B
  - NIS Recorder NR45
  - o Audio count rate
  - o SPDS
  - o Process Computer
- RCS inventory loss may be detected by the leakage indications listed in Table C-1. Level increases must be evaluated against other potential sources of leakage such as

FAL # CG3.2

cooling water sources inside the Containment to ensure they are indicative of RCS leakage. Visual observation of leakage from systems connected to the RCS in areas inside or outside the Containment that cannot be isolated could be indicative of a loss of RCS inventory. 

Three conditions are associated with a challenge to Containment:

- The status of **CONTAINMENT CLOSURE** indicates the ability to rely on the 0 Containment as a barrier to fission product release.
- , and agric is a reaction search is the card of a solution of the solution of
- When hydrogen concentration in the Containment atmosphere exceeds 4%, the 0 possibility of an explosive mixture exists inside Containment. Elevated Containment atmosphere hydrogen concentration is alarmed at  $\geq 2\%$  by overhead annunciator C-23, CNTMT H<sub>2</sub> LVL HI
- a tha day is the second second and the second se • An UNPLANNED rise in Containment pressure in the Cold Shutdown or Refueling Mode may signify an energy addition to the Containment such that the Containment cannot be relied upon as a barrier to fission product release. ....

- Definitions: UNISOLABLE: A breach or leak that cannot be promptly isolated from the Control n an an the state of the state Room.
  - CONTAINMENT CLOSURE: Is the Salem procedurally defined action taken to secure containment and its associated structures, systems, and components as a functional barrier to fission product release under existing plant conditions. CONTAINMENT CLOSURE status is checked and verified using S1(S2) OP-AB.CONT-0001(Q).
  - UNPLANNED: A parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions.
    - IMMINENT: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, CG1 Example EAL #2
- 2. OU-AA-103 Shutdown Safety Management Program
- 3. S1(S2).OP-AB.CONT-0001(Q) Containment Closure
- 4. S-C-ZZ-MDC-2280, EAL Dose Rates to Radiation Detectors Following Loss of RPV Level during Refueling Operation
- 5. Technical Specifications 3.3.1.1 Reactor Trip System Instrumentation
- 6. UFSAR Table 7.5-2 Main Control Room Indicators and/or Recorders Available to the Operator to Monitor Significant Plant Parameters During Normal Operations

Salem

n Sector

Rev. 0 (draft E)



#### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

- 7. SC.IC-CC.NIS-0011(Q) N31 Source Range
- 8. SC.IC-CC.NIS-0012(Q) N32 Source Range
- 9. S1(S2).OP-SO.RC-0005(Q) Draining the Reactor Coolant System to ≥101 Foot Elevation
- 10. UFSAR Figure 6.3-2 & 6.3-3 Containment Sump and Drain Trench & Containment Sump Pit
- 11. UFSAR 6.3.2.2 Equipment and Component Description (ECCS)
- 12. UFSAR Figure 6.2-17 Containment Isolation Pressurizer Relief Tank Connections
- 13.S1(S2).OP-AB.RC-0001(Q) Reactor Coolant System Leak
- 14.1(2)-EOP-FRCC-1 Response to Inadequate Core Cooling Basis Document (pg 16)
- 15. Salem EOP Setpoint Basis Document Vendor Doc. #320832
- 16.S1(S2).OP-AR.ZZ-0003(Q) OHA C-23, CNTMT H<sub>2</sub> LVL HI

This page intentionally blank



ļ

۰.

EAL Category:	C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction	
EAL Subcategory:	4 – RCS Temperature	
Initiating Condition:	<b>UNPLANNED</b> loss of decay heat removal capability with irradiated fuel in the Reactor Vessel	
Mode Applicability:	5 - Cold Shutdown, 6 - Refueling	
EAL# & Classification Level:	CU4.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT	
EAL:		
An UNPLANNED Loss of Decay Heat Removal functions		
AND		

RCS Temperature has risen to > 200°F

#### Basis:

This IC-EAL is be a precursor of more serious conditions and, as a result, is considered to be a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant. In cold shutdown, the ability to remove decay heat relies primarily on forced cooling flow. Operation of the systems that provide this forced cooling may be jeopardized due to the unlikely loss of electrical power or RCS inventory. Since the RCS usually remains intact in the <u>C</u>eold <u>S</u>shutdown mode a large inventory of water is available to keep the core covered.

[Entry into cold shutdown conditions may be attained within hours of operating at power. Entry into the refueling mode procedurally may not occur for typically 100 hours (site specific) or longer after the reactor has been shutdown. Thus the heatup threat and therefore the threat to damaging the fuel clad may be lower for events that occur in the refueling mode with irradiated fuel in the RPV (note that the heatup threat could be lower for cold shutdown conditions if the entry into cold shutdown was following a refueling). In addition, the operators should be able to monitor RCS temperature and RPV level so that escalation to the alert level via CA4 or CA1 will occur if required.]

During refueling, the level in the <u>RPV Reactor Vessel</u> will normally be maintained above the <u>Reactor Vessel RPV</u> flange. Refueling evolutions that decrease water level below the <u>Reactor</u> <u>Vessel RPV</u> flange are carefully planned and procedurally controlled. Loss of forced decay heat removal at reduced inventory may result in more rapid increases in RCS/<del>RPV</del> temperatures depending on the time since shutdown.

[Unlike the cold shutdown mode,] normal means of core temperature indication and RCS level indication may not be available in the refueling mode. Redundant means of RPV level

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) FAI # CU4.1 indication are therefore procedurally installed to assure that the ability to monitor level will not be interrupted. However, if all level and temperature indication were to be lost in either the cold shutdown of refueling modes, EAL 2 would result in declaration of a NOUE if both temperature and level indication cannot be restored within 15 minutes from the loss of both means of indication. Escalation to ALERT would be via <u>EAL\_CA3.1</u> based on an inventory loss or <u>EAL\_CA4.1</u> based on exceeding its temperature criteria.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The Technical Specification cold shutdown temperature limit is 200°F.

RCS coolant temperature may be indicated by the following instrumentation:

- Core exit TCs (computer points T0031A, T0022A, T0046A, T0014A)
- Hot Leg temperatures (computer points T0419A, T0439A, T0459A, T0479A)
- RHR HX inlet temperatures (computer points T0630A and T0631A) temperatures
- RHR HX outlet temperatures (T0627A, T2360A)
- RCS cold leg temperatures (T0406A, T0426A, T0446A, T0466A)

Definitions:

UNPLANNED: A parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended

evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, CU4 Example EAL #1
- 2. SGS Technical Specifications Table 1.1, Operational Modes
- 3. 1(2)OP-AB.RHR-0001(Q) Loss of RHR
- 4. 1(2)OP-AB.RHR-0002(Q) Loss of RHR at Reduced Inventory
- 5. SC.OP-DL.ZZ-0011(Q) Reactor Coolant System Heatup/Cooldown Log

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CU4.1

. .

а кан на на Ка

Salem

EAL Category:	C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	4 – RCS Temperature
Initiating Condition:	<b>UNPLANNED</b> loss of decay heat removal capability with irradiated fuel in the Reactor Vessel
Mode Applicability:	5 - Cold Shutdown, 6 - Refueling
EAL# & Classification Level:	CU4.2 – UNUSUAL EVENT

EAL:

An UNPLANNED Loss of Decay Heat Removal functions

<u>AND</u>

Loss of **BOTH** of the following:

- All RCS Temperature indication
- All RCS level indication

<u>AND</u>

≥ 15 minutes have elapsed (Note 3)

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

#### Basis:

This IC-EAL is be a precursor of more serious conditions and, as a result, is considered to be a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant. In cold shutdown, the ability to remove decay heat relies primarily on forced cooling flow. Operation of the systems that provide this forced cooling may be jeopardized due to the unlikely loss of electrical power or RCS inventory. Since the RCS usually remains intact in the <u>C</u>eold <u>S</u>shutdown mode a large inventory of water is available to keep the core covered.

[Entry into cold shutdown conditions may be attained within hours of operating at power. Entry into the refueling mode procedurally may not occur for typically 100 hours (site specific) or longer after the reactor has been shutdown. Thus the heatup threat and therefore the threat to damaging the fuel clad may be lower for events that occur in the refueling mode with irradiated fuel in the RPV (note that the heatup threat could be lower for cold shutdown

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)



conditions if the entry into cold shutdown was following a refueling). In addition, the operators should be able to monitor RCS temperature and RPV level so that escalation to the alert level via CA4 or CA1 will occur if required.]

During refueling, the level in the <u>RPV\_RCS</u> will normally be maintained above the <u>RPV</u> <u>Reactor Vessel</u> flange. Refueling evolutions that decrease water level below the <u>Reactor</u> <u>Vessel RPV</u> flange are carefully planned and procedurally controlled. Loss of forced decay heat removal at reduced inventory may result in more rapid increases in RCS/<del>RPV</del> temperatures depending on the time since shutdown.

[Unlike the cold shutdown mode,] nNormal means of core RCS temperature indication and RCS level indication may not be available in the refueling mode. Redundant means of RPV RCS level indication are therefore procedurally installed to assure that the ability to monitor level will not be interrupted. However, if all level and temperature indication were to be lost in either the Ceold Schutdown of Rrefueling modes, this EAL 2-would result in declaration of a NOUE if both temperature and level indication cannot be restored within 15 minutes from the loss of both means of indication. Escalation to ALERT would be via EAL CA3.1 based on an inventory loss or EAL CA4.1 based on exceeding its temperature criteria.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

RCS water level is normally monitored using the instrument ranges in Attachment 2, page 10.

During refueling, the reactor head and associated RVLIS piping are removed. Visual observation by personnel on the refuel floor in communication with the Control Room may also provide indication of refueling cavity water level and RCS water level.

RCS coolant temperature may be indicated by the following instrumentation:

- Core exit TCs (computer points T0031A, T0022A, T0046A, T0014A)
- Hot Leg temperatures (computer points T0419A, T0439A, T0459A, T0479A)
- RHR HX inlet temperatures (computer points T0630A and T0631A) temperatures
- RHR HX outlet temperatures (T0627A, T2360A)
- RCS cold leg temperatures (T0406A, T0426A, T0446A, T0466A)

Definitions:

**UNPLANNED:** A parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions.

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, CU4 Example EAL #2
- 2. S1(S2).OP-SO.RC-0005(Q) Draining the Reactor Coolant System to > 100 Foot Elevation
- 3. S1(S2).OP-SO.RVL-0001 Reactor Vessel Level Instrumentation System
- 4. UFSAR 5.6.5 Reactor Vessel Water Level

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CU4.2

- 5. UFSAR 7.3.1.1.9 Instrumentation Used During a Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA)
- 6. 1(2)OP-AB.RHR-0001(Q) Loss of RHR
- 7. 1(2)OP-AB.RHR-0002(Q) Loss of RHR at Reduced Inventory
- 8. SC.OP-DL.ZZ-0011(Q) Reactor Coolant System Heatup/Cooldown Log

#### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

EAL Category:	C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction
---------------	---

**EAL Subcategory:** 4 – RCS Temperature

Initiating Condition: Inability to maintain plant in cold shutdown

Mode Applicability: 5 - Cold Shutdown, 6 - Refueling

EAL# & Classification Level: CA4.1 – ALERT

EAL:

An UNPLANNED event results in RCS temperature > 200°F for > Table C-3 duration

An **UNPLANNED** event results in RCS pressure increase > 10 psig due to a loss of RCS cooling (this portion of the EAL does NOT apply in Solid Plant conditions)

Table C-3 RCS Heatup Duration Thresholds		
RCS Integrity	CONTAINMENT CLOSURE	Duration Threshold
Intact <u>AND</u> NOT in reduced inventory status	NOT Applicable	60 minutes **
NOT Intact <u>OR</u> RCS is in a reduced inventory status	Established	20 minutes **
	NOT Established	0 minutes
** IF a Decay Heat Removal System is placed in operation within the duration threshold and RCS Temperature is lowering, THEN this EAL is NOT Applicable		

: '

#### Basis:

#### For EAL 1, 1<sup>st</sup> Condition

<u>T</u>the RCS <u>Reheat Heatup</u> Duration Threshold table addresses complete loss of functions required for core cooling for greater than 60 minutes during <u>R</u>refueling and <u>C</u>eold <u>S</u>shutdown modes when RCS integrity is established. [RCS integrity should be considered to be in place when the RCS pressure boundary is in its normal condition for the cold shutdown mode of operation (e.g., no freeze seals or nozzle dams). The status of **CONTAINMENT CLOSURE** in this condition is immaterial given that the RCS is providing a high pressure barrier to fission product release to the environment.] The 60 minute time frame should allow sufficient time to restore cooling without there being a substantial degradation in plant safety.

The RCS <u>Heatup</u>Reheat Duration Threshold table also addresses the complete loss of functions required for core cooling for greater than 20 minutes during <u>R</u>refueling and <u>C</u>eold <u>S</u>shutdown modes when **CONTAINMENT CLOSURE** is established but RCS integrity is not established or RCS inventory is reduced [(e.g., mid-loop operation in PWRs)]. *[As discussed above, RCS integrity should be assumed to be in place when the RCS pressure boundary is in its normal condition for the cold shutdown mode of operation (e.g., no freeze seals or nozzle dams).]* The allowed 20 minute time frame was included to allow operator action to restore the heat removal function, if possible. *[The allowed time frame is consistent with the guidance provided by Generic Letter 88-17, "Loss of Decay Heat Removal" (discussed later in this basis) and is believed to be conservative given that a low pressure Containment barrier to fission product release is established.]* 

Finally, complete loss of functions required for core cooling during <u>R</u>refueling and <u>C</u>eold <u>S</u>shutdown modes when neither **CONTAINMENT CLOSURE** nor RCS integrity are established. [RCS integrity is in place when the RCS pressure boundary is in its normal condition for the cold shutdown mode of operation (e.g., no freeze seals or nozzle dams). No delay time is allowed because the evaporated reactor coolant that may be released into the Containment during this heatup condition could also be directly released to the environment.]

The note (\*\*) in Table C-3 indicates that this EAL is not applicable if actions are successful in restoring an RCS-decay heat removal system to operation and RCS temperature is being reduced within the specified time frame.

#### In EAL 2, t2nd Condition

The **10 psig** pressure increase addresses situations where, due to high decay heat loads, the time provided to restore temperature control, should be less than 60 minutes. The RCS pressure setpoint chosen should be **10 psig** or the lowest pressure that the site can read on installed Control Board instrumentation that is equal to or greater than **10 psig**.

Escalation to SITE AREA EMERGENCY would be via <u>EAL\_CS1EAL\_CS3.2</u> should boiling result in significant <u>RPV\_RCS</u> level loss leading to core uncovery.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

EAL#: CA4.1

[For PWRs, this IC and its associated EALs are based on concerns raised by Generic Letter 88-17, "Loss of Decay Heat Removal." A number of phenomena such as pressurization, vortexing, steam generator U-tube draining, RCS level differences when operating at a midloop condition, decay heat removal system design, and level instrumentation problems can lead to conditions where decay heat removal is lost and core uncovery can occur. NRG analyses show that there are sequences that can cause core uncovery in 15 to 20 minutes and severe core damage within an hour after decay heat removal is lost.]

A loss of Technical Specification components alone is not intended to constitute an ALERT. The same is true of a momentary unplanned excursion above the Technical Specification cold shutdown temperature limit when the heat removal function is available.

The Emergency DirectorEmergency Coordinator must remain alert to events or conditions that lead to the conclusion that exceeding the EAL is IMMINENT. If, in the judgment of the Emergency DirectorEmergency Coordinator, an IMMINENT situation is at hand, the classification should be made as if the threshold has been exceeded.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

200°F is the Technical Specification cold shutdown temperature limit.

**10 psig** is one-half of the **20 psig** minor division on 1(2)PI-403. This instrument has a range of 0 to 600 psig. This RCS pressure indication is also displayed on SPDS Point U1(2)PT0403S and P250 Computer Point P0499A.

"Intact" is defined as all RCS penetrations between the core and Containment atmosphere are isolated, and a minimum of two RCS loops with U-tubes not drained and their associated Steam Generators are available as heat sinks for natural circulation. "Available as Heat Sinks" indicates each associated Steam Generator has a feed makeup source available, secondary water level is above the U-tubes, and a Steam Generator vent path exists.

A "Reduced Inventory" condition exists when Reactor Vessel level is less than 101 ft.

RCS coolant temperature may be indicated by the following instrumentation:

- Core exit TCs (computer points T0031A, T0022A, T0046A, T0014A)
- Hot Leg temperatures (computer points T0419A, T0439A, T0459A, T0479A)

사람은 이 가장 가장 가지 않았다. 가장 이 가지 않는 것이 가지 않는 것이 가지 않는 것이 가지 않았다. 같은 것 같은 사람은 유민과 이 가지 않는 것이 것이 같은 것은 것이 있다. 것이 가지 않는 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있다.

- RHR HX inlet temperatures (computer points T0630A and T0631A) temperatures
- RHR HX outlet temperatures (T0627A, T2360A)
- RCS cold leg temperatures (T0406A, T0426A, T0446A, T0466A)

Definitions:

**UNPLANNED**: A parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions.

Salem

· . . .

**CONTAINMENT CLOSURE**: Is the Salem procedurally defined action taken to secure containment and its associated structures, systems, and components as a functional barrier to fission product release under existing plant conditions. **CONTAINMENT CLOSURE** status is checked and verified using S1(S2).OP-AB.CONT-0001(Q).

**IMMINENT**: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, CA4 Example EAL #1 & #2
- 2. OU-AA-103 Shutdown Safety Management Program
- 3. S1(S2).OP-AB.CONT-0001(Q) Containment Closure
- 4. S1(S2).OP-ST.CAN-0007(Q) Refueling Operations Containment Closure
- 5. SGS Technical Specifications Table 1.1 Operational Modes
- 6. S1(S2).IC-CC.RCP-0070(Q) 1(2)PT-403 Reactor Coolant System Hot Leg Pressure Channel II
- 7. S1(S2).OP-SO.RC-0005(Q) Draining The Reactor Coolant System To ≥101 Foot Elevation
- 8. UFSAR 5.6.5 Reactor Vessel Water Level
- 9. S1(S2).OP-SO.RVL-0001 Reactor Vessel Level Instrumentation System, Note pg 16
- 10.1(2)OP-AB.RHR-0001(Q) Loss of RHR
- 11.1(2)OP-AB.RHR-0002(Q) Loss of RHR at Reduced Inventory
- 12.SC.OP-DL.ZZ-0011(Q) Reactor Coolant System Heatup/Cooldown Log

EAL# & Classification Level:	CU5.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT
Mode Applicability:	5 - Cold Shutdown, 6 - Refueling, D - Defueled
Initiating Condition:	Loss of all onsite or offsite communications capabilities
EAL Subcategory:	5 – Communications
EAL Category:	C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction

#### EAL:

Loss of **all Table C-4** Onsite communication methods affecting the ability to perform routine operations

#### 

Loss of **all Table C-4** Offsite communication methods affecting the ability to perform offsite notifications

Table C-4 Communications Systems		
System	Onsite	Offsite
Direct Inward Dial System (DID)	X	X
Station Page System (Gaitronics)	X	
Station Radio System	X	
Nuclear Emergency Telephone System (NETS)		X
Centrex Phone System (ESSX)		X
NRC (ENS)		X

#### SGS ECG - EAL Technical Bases

#### Basis:

The purpose of this IC-<u>EAL</u> and its associated EALs is to recognize a loss of communications capability that either defeats the plant operations staff ability to perform routine tasks necessary for plant operations or the ability to communicate issues with off-site authorities. The loss of off-site communications ability is expected to be significantly more comprehensive than the condition addressed by 10 CFR 50.72.

The availability of one method of ordinary off-site communications is sufficient to inform federal, state, and local authorities of plant issues. This EAL is intended to be used only when extraordinary means (e.g., relaying of information from radio transmissions, individuals being sent to off-site locations, etc.) are being utilized to make communications possible.

.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Onsite and Offsite global communications include one or more of the systems listed in Table C-4.

#### Direct Inward Dial System (DID)

Direct Inward Dial (DID) system is named for the dominant feature of the commercial telephone service provided by the local telephone company for the site. DID allows station telephones to be extensions or tied lines of the same systems. These exchanges can take advantage of backup power supplies provided to the stations, and may use either PSEG microwave, commercial telephone system microwave, or buried cable transmission systems to maintain external communications. This commercial telephone service is available as an additional backup for the NETS and Centrex/ESSX 1 system.

#### Station Page System (Gaitronics)

Gaitronics is a completely transistorized voice communication system with five voice channels: one page and five party. The system is designed for use in extreme environmental conditions such as dust, moisture, heat and noise. The system consists of handsets, speakers and their associated amplifiers. The power for this system is 120 volts AC from an inverted DC source to provide reliable communications during an emergency.

#### Station Radio System

The Operations and Fire Protection Department UHF radio system is a multi-frequency system used routinely by both station Operations Departments and the Fire Protection Department. When an emergency event is declared, these radio frequencies serve both station Operations Support Centers (OSC).

#### Nuclear Emergency Telephone System (NETS)

The Nuclear Emergency Telecommunications System (NETS) is a privately controlled, selfcontained telephone exchange that operates as a closed system, not accessible from other phone exchanges. This feature allows the system to be dedicated to emergency response use. The system may use PSEG microwave, commercial telephone system microwave, fiber optics, or buried cable transmission as needed. The exchange switching equipment is maintained at the Environmental & Energy Resource Center (EERC). As an independent system with an uninterruptible power supply, it may operate with or without local phone service or external power.

#### Centrex Phone System (ESSX)

The Centrex/Electronic Switch System Exchange 1(Centrex/ESSX 1) is also a privately controlled exchange, which PSEG operates with its own microwave signal system. This system is also independent of local phone service, since each circuit is independently wired. The microwave signal is generated from corporate facilities in Newark, NJ, separated from any local effects of weather or telephone use. The exchange is accessible from other exchanges, but circuits are located only in PSEG facilities. It is considered the primary backup for the NETS system.

#### NRC (ENS)

The Emergency Notification System (ENS) is a dedicated communications system with the NRC, which is part of the Federal Telecommunications System (FTS) and consists of direct lines to the NRC. FTS lines are used to provide general accident information. These telephones are installed in the Control Room, TSC, and the EOF.

This EAL is the cold condition equivalent of the hot condition EAL SU6.1.

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, CU6 Example EAL #1 and 2
- 2. PSEG Nuclear Emergency Plan, Section 7 Communications
- 3. UFSAR 9.5.2 Communications System

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CU5.1 

### This page intentionally blank

. .. .. .

(4) A determinant and the second of the second of the second second determinant of the second

 $(1, 2, 2) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2}$ 

> Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CU5.1

EAL Category:	C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	6 – Inadvertent Criticality
Initiating Condition:	Inadvertent Criticality
Mode Applicability:	5 - Cold Shutdown, 6 - Refueling
EAL# & Classification Level:	CU6.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT
EAL:	

UNPLANNED sustained positive startup rate observed on nuclear instrumentation

#### Basis:

This IC-EAL addresses criticality events that occur in Cold Shutdown or Refueling modes [(NUREG 1449, Shutdown and Low-Power Operation at Commercial Nuclear Power Plants in the United States)] such as fuel mis-loading events. and inadvertent dilution events. This IC EAL indicates a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant, warranting a NOUE classification.

[This condition can be identified using period monitors/startup rate monitor. The term "sustained" is used in order to allow exclusion of expected short term positive periods/startup rates from planned fuel bundle or control rod movements during core alteration for PWRs and BWRs. These short term positive periods/startup rates are the result of the increase in neutron population due to subcritical multiplication.]

Escalation would be by Emergency DirectorEmergency Coordinator Judgment.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The term "sustained" is used in order to allow exclusion of expected short term positive startup rates from planned fuel bundle or control rod movements during core alteration. These short term positive startup rates are the result of the increase in neutron population due to subcritical multiplication.

Positive reactor startup rate may be identified by:

- Source range startup rate indicators NI31D and NI32D
- NIS Recorder NR45
- Audio count rate
- SPDS
- Process Computer

Salem

i

#### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

This EAL is the cold condition equivalent of the hot condition EAL SU3.1.

#### Definitions:

UNPLANNED: A parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions.

·· . and the second second

et al service de la construction de 

A set of the set of

· ·

Rev. 0 (draft E) FAI # CU6.1

Salem
#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, CU8 Example EAL #1
- 2. Technical Specifications 3.3.1.1 Reactor Trip System Instrumentation
- 3. UFSAR Table 7.5-2 Main Control Room Indicators
- 4. SC.IC-CC.NIS-0011(Q) N31 Source Range
- 5. SC.IC-CC.NIS-0012(Q) N32 Source Range

EAL

Attachments (Support Materials)

# Attachment 1 – Use of Fission Product Barrier Table

Mode Applicability: 1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown

A point system is used to determine the Emergency Classification Level based on the Fission Product Barrier Table. Each Fission Product Barrier Loss and Potential Loss threshold is assigned a point value as noted below.

Perform the following:

- 1. Review all columns of the Fission Product Barrier Table and identify which need further review.
- 2. For each of the three barriers, determine the EAL with the highest point value. No more than one EAL should be selected for each barrier.
- 3. Add the point values for the three barriers.
- 4. Classify based on the point value sum as follows:

If the sum is:	Classify as:	EAL	ECG Att#
2, 3	UNUSUAL EVENT	ANY loss or ANY potential loss of Containment	1
4, 5	ALERT	<u>ANY</u> loss or <u>ANY</u> potential loss of either Fuel Clad or RCS	2
6 - 11	SITE AREA EMERGENCY	Loss or potential loss of <u>ANY</u> two barriers <u>OR</u> Potential loss of 2 barriers with the loss of the 3rd barrier	3
12, 13	GENERAL EMERGENCY	Loss of <u>ANY</u> two barriers <u>AND</u> Loss or potential loss of third barrier	4

- 5. Implement the appropriate ECG Attachment.
- 6. Continue to review the Fission Product Barrier Table for changes that could result in emergency escalation or de-escalation.

### Attachment 2 – EAL Basis Figures

Figures referenced in the basis discussions of the EALs are listed in this Attachment.

Title	Page No.
AC Power Distribution	2
CFST – Core Cooling	4
CFST – Shutdown Margin	5
CFST – Heat Sink	6
CFST – Thermal Shock	7
Thermal Shock Limit A Curve	8
CFST – Containment Environment	9
RCS Level Instrument Ranges	10

#### AC Power Distribution

The 500-kV switching station is connected to three 500-kV transmission lines. Two transmission lines go north to two major switching stations: PSE&G New Freedom Switching Station and Atlantic City Electric's Orchard Switching Station. The New Freedom Switching Station is connected to the PSE&G 230-kV bulk power system via four 500/230-kV autotransformers. Orchard Switching Station is also connected to Atlantic City Electric's 230-kV bulk power system via a 500/230-kV autotransformer. In addition, it is connected to the Pennsylvania / New Jersey / Maryland 500-kV interconnected system. The third transmission line serves as a tie line to the adjacent Hope Creek 500-kV switchyard line which is also integrated into the Pennsylvania / New Jersey / Maryland 500-kV interconnected system.

The 500-13 kV station power transformers are connected to different bus sections of the 500-kV switching station:

- 13-kV north ring bus: Each 500-13 kV transformer T1 and T2 feeds two (one for each unit) 13-4 kV station power transformers T11, T21 and T12, T22 associated with group buses.
- 13kV south bus: Each 500-13kV transformer T3 and T4 respectively and feeds two (one for each unit) 13 4kV station power transformers T13, T24 and T14, T23 associated with vital buses and circulating water switchgear. The 13-4kV station power transformers T13 and T14 (Unit 1) share the loads of three vital buses and two CW bus sections while T21 and T22 (Unit 2) share the loads of three vital buses and two CW bus sections.

The onsite power sources for each unit consists of the main generator, the emergency diesel generators (one for each vital bus), and the Unit 3 40-MW gas turbine generator (one for both units). Any two EDGs and their associated vital buses can supply sufficient power for operation of the required safeguards equipment for a design basis LOCA coincident with a loss of offsite power. For the purposes of the EAL, availability of EDGs that have not been challenged to start during degradation of AC power sources to the 4KV vital buses should be based on meeting Technical Specification action requirements for loss of offsite AC power sources. The gas turbine generator can be manually started and paralleled with normal sources of plant startup or standby power but is normally used for peaking purposes. Procedure AB.LOOP-0001(Q) provides guidance to use the gas turbine to energize a 13KV ring bus during a blackout if necessary. The gas turbine generator is not controlled by technical specifications, however, and for classification purposes under this EAL cannot be credited as a power source for the 4KV vital buses.

la la



### AC Power Distribution (cont'd)

CFST – Core Cooling



Rev. 0 (draft E) Figures







CFST – Heat Sink

Salem

يەر ب

Rev. 0 (draft E) Figures

#### CFST – Thermal Shock



Thermal Shock Limit A Curve



#### **CFST – Containment Environment**



Salem

Page 9 of 10

Rev. 0 (draft E) Figures



#### **RCS Level Instrument Ranges**

Salem

Page 10 of 10

Rev. 0 (draft E) Figures

#### Attachment 3 – Definitions

Selected words in the ECG Initialting Conditions (ICs) and Emergency Action Levels (EALs) have been set in all capital letters and bolded. These words are defined terms having specific meanings as they relate to this document and the definitions of these terms are provided below and in the basis for the EAL that the word is used in.

AIRCRAFT: Includes both small and large AIRCRAFT. Examples of AIRCRAFT include general aviation Cessna, Piper and Lear type private planes, large passenger or freight planes as well as police, medical and media helicopters. A large AIRCRAFT is referred to as an AIRLINER.

AIRLINER/LARGE AIRCRAFT: Any size or type of AIRCRAFT with the potential for causing significant damage to the plant (refer to the Security Contingency Plan for a more detailed definition).

**BOMB**: Refers to an explosive device suspected of having sufficient force to damage plant systems or structures.

**CIVIL DISTURBANCE**: A group of persons violently protesting station operations or activities at the site.

**CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY**: Is the barrier(s) between areas containing radioactive substances and the environment and includes the multi-purpose canister (MPC) and, for the purposes of this EAL, the associated cask shielding.

**CONTAINMENT CLOSURE**: Is the Salem procedurally defined action taken to secure containment and its associated structures, systems, and components as a functional barrier to fission product release under existing plant conditions. **CONTAINMENT CLOSURE** status is checked and verified using S1(S2).OP-AB.CONT-0001(Q).

**CREDIBLE / ACTUAL THREAT**: Is a threat which poses a likely and serious danger to the safe operation of the facility or to site personnel and public safety.

**DEGRADED PERFORMANCE**: Assessment of degraded safe shutdown system performance includes examination of systems in standby status as well as those in operation. When a safe shutdown system is in operation, its performance can be directly observed and compared to its design capability (e.g., rated flow is required but cannot be achieved). When an operating safe shutdown system cannot fulfill its design function, its performance is degraded. When a safe shutdown system is in standby, its performance capability may not be readily determined. One or more of the following can provide indirect indication of its performance capability:

• Electrical faults on power supplies

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

- Normally closed breakers in tripped position
- System annunciators activated
- System warning lights lit
- Insufficient system pressure from keep-fill pumps
- Elevated area temperatures or radiation levels
- Increased sump pump operation in areas in which the system is located

**EXPLOSION**: A rapid, violent, unconfined combustion, or catastrophic failure of pressurized/energized equipment that imparts energy of sufficient force to potentially damage permanent structures, systems, or components.

**FAULTED**: (PWRs) In a steam generator, the existence of secondary side leakage that results in an uncontrolled drop in steam generator pressure or the steam generator being completely depressurized.

**FIRE**: Combustion characterized by heat and light. Sources of smoke such as slipping drive belts or overheated electrical equipment do not constitute **FIRES**. Observation of flame is preferred but is NOT required if large quantities of smoke and heat are observed.

**HOSTAGE**: A person(s) held as leverage against the station to ensure that demands will be met by the station.

**HOSTILE ACTION**: An act toward Salem or Hope Creek or its personnel that includes the use of violent force to destroy equipment, take **HOSTAGES**, and/or intimidate PSEG to achieve an end. This includes attack by air, land, or water using guns, explosives, **PROJECTILE**s, vehicles, or other devices used to deliver destructive force. Other acts that satisfy the overall intent may be included. **HOSTILE ACTION** should not be construed to include acts of civil disobedience or felonious acts that are not part of a concerted attack on Salem or Hope Creek. Non-terrorism-based EALs should be used to address such activities (i.e., this may include violent acts between individuals in the **OCA**).

**HOSTILE FORCE**: One or more individuals who are engaged in a determined assault, overtly or by stealth and deception, equipped with suitable weapons capable of killing, maining, or causing destruction.

**IDENTIFIED LEAKAGE:** As defined in T/S, shall be leakage (except Reactor Coolant Pump Seal Water Injection) into closed systems, such as pump seal or valve packing leaks that are captured and conducted to a sump or collecting tank, or, shall be leakage into the containment atmosphere from sources that are both specifically located and known either not to interfere with the operation of the leakage detection systems or not to be **PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE**, or, shall be Reactor coolant system leakage through a steam generator to the secondary system (primary-to-secondary leakage).

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) Definitions **IMMINENT**: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

**INDEPENDENT SPENT FUEL STORAGE INSTALLATION (ISFSI)**: A complex that is designed and constructed for the interim storage of spent nuclear fuel and other radioactive materials associated with spent fuel storage.

MALICIOUS ACT: Purposeful malevolent actions directed at compromising reactor safety and thus could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety.

MINIMUM EXCLUSION AREA (MEA): The closest location just beyond the OWNER CONTROLLED AREA where a member of the general public could gain access. For Salem the MEA is 0.79 miles.

**NORMAL PLANT OPERATIONS**: Activities at the plant site associated with routine testing, maintenance, or equipment operations, in accordance with normal operating or administrative procedures. Entry into abnormal or emergency operating procedures, or deviation from normal security or radiological controls posture, is a departure from **NORMAL PLANT OPERATIONS**.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

**PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE**: As defined in T/S, shall be leakage (except steam generator tube leakage) through a non-isolable fault in a Reactor Coolant System component body, pipe wall or vessel wall.

**PROJECTILE**: An object that impacts Salem and/or Hope Creek that could cause concern for continued operability, reliability, or personnel safety.

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER-CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**RUPTURED**: (PWRs) In a steam generator, existence of primary-to-secondary leakage of a magnitude sufficient to require or cause a reactor trip and safety injection.

**SABOTAGE**: Deliberate damage, mis-alignment, or mis-operation of plant equipment with the intent to render the equipment inoperable. Equipment found tampered with or damaged due to malicious mischief may not meet the definition of **SABOTAGE** until this determination is made by security supervision.

**SECURITY CONDITION:** Any Security Event as listed in the approved security contingency plan that constitutes a threat/compromise to site security, threat/risk to site personnel, or a potential degradation to the level of safety of the plant. A **SECURITY CONDITION** does not involve a **HOSTILE ACTION**.

**SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENT**: An **UNPLANNED** event based on EC judgment, but includes as a minimum any one of the following: (1) automatic turbine runback greater than 25% thermal reactor power, (2) electrical load rejection greater than 25% full electrical load, (3) Reactor Trip, or (4) Safety Injection Activation.

**TAMPERING**: Means deliberately damaging, disabling, or altering equipment necessary for safe shutdown or security equipment necessary for the protection of the facility. Confirmed tampering implies that a criminal activity may have occurred which requires a threshold of proof for a reason to believe that no other possibility exists for the incident other than tampering.

**UNIDENTIFIED LEAKAGE**: As defined in T/S, shall be all leakage which is not **IDENTIFIED LEAKAGE**.

**UNISOLABLE**: A breach or leak that cannot be promptly isolated from the Control Room.

**UNPLANNED**: A parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions.

**VALID**: An indication, report, or condition, is considered to be **VALID** when it is verified by (1) an instrument channel check, (2) indications on related or redundant indicators, or (3) by direct observation by plant personnel, such that doubt related to the indicator's operability, the condition's existence, or the report's accuracy is removed. Implicit in this definition is the need for timely assessment.

VALIDATED: AIRCRAFT threat call from the NRC that is confirmed to be authentic. Calls from the NRC are VALIDATED by use of the NRC provided authentication code or by making a return call to the NRC Headquarter Operations Center and confirming threat information with the NRC Operation Officer. AIRCRAFT threat calls from other agencies, NORAD, FAA, or FBI should be VALIDATED by calling the NRC Operations Officer.

**VISIBLE DAMAGE**: Damage to equipment or structure that is readily observable without measurements, testing, or analysis. Damage is sufficient to cause concern regarding the continued operability or reliability of the affected structure, system, or component. Example damage includes: deformation due to heat or impact, denting, penetration, rupture, cracking, and paint blistering. Surface blemishes (e.g., paint chipping, scratches) should not be included.

VITAL AREAS: Typically any site specific areas, normally within the **PROTECTED AREA**, that contains equipment, systems, components, or material, the failure, destruction, or release of which could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety by exposure to radiation.

Salem

Page 4 of 4

Rev. 0 (draft E)



#### Attachment 4 – Glossary of Abbreviations & Acronyms

Acronyms and Abbreviations used in the ECG and ECG basis document are listed in this attachment.

Solom		Dogo 1 of 6	Day 0 (day
CAS CCPM CEDE CDE CET CFCU CFR CFST CIS CM1 CM2 CNTMT CoC CO2 CP CPM CPS CR CRS CREF		Central Alarm Station Corrected Counts per Minute Committed Effective Dose Equivalent Committed Dose Equivalent Core Exit Thermocouple Containment Fan Coil Unit Code of Federal Regulations Critical Safety Function Status Tree Containment Isolation System Primary Communicator (CR) Secondary Communicator (CR) Containment (Barrier) Certificate of Compliance Carbon dioxide Control Point Counts Per Minute Counts Per Second Control Room Control Room Emergency Filter System	
BKGD BKR BLDG BNE	- - -	Background Breaker (electrical circuit) Building Bureau of Nuclear Engineering (NJDEPE)	
AAAG AB AC ADMSS AFST AFW ALARA ARM ASAP ASM ATWT Aux		Accident Assessment Advisory Group (Delaware) Auxiliary Building Alternating Current Administrative Support Supervisor - TSC Auxiliary Feedwater Storage Tank Auxiliary Feedwater As Low As Reasonably Achievable Area Radiation Monitor As Soon As Possible Administrative Support Manager Anticipated Transient Without Trip Auxiliary	

Salem

Page 1 of 6

Rev. 0 (draft E)

CVCS	-	Chemical and Volume Control System	
DC DDE DEI DEMA DEP DHS DID DOE DOT DPCC/DCR DPM DPM DRCF		Direct Current Deep Dose Equivalent Dose Equivalent Iodine Delaware Emergency Management Agency Department of Environmental Protection (NJ) Department of Homeland Security Direct Inward Dial (phone system) Department of Energy Department of Transportation Discharge Prevention, Containment, & Countermeasures Cleanup & Removal Plan Decades per Minute Disintegrations per Minute Dose Rate Conversion Factor	/Discharge
EACS EAL EAS ECCS ECG EDG EDO EDO EERC EMRAD ENC EOF EOF EOF EOF EPA EPA EPA EPA EPA EPA EPA EPA EPA EPS ERF ERM ERO ESF ESSX		Emergency Air Conditioning System (Control Room) Emergency Action Level Emergency Alert System (Broadcast) Emergency Core Cooling Systems Event Classification Guide Emergency Coordinator Emergency Diesel Generator Emergency Duty Officer Energy & Environmental Resource Center (Old NTC) Emergency Radio (NJ) Emergency Radio (NJ) Emergency News Center Emergency Operations Center (NJ & DE) Emergency Operations Center (NJ & DE) Emergency Operations Facility Emergency Operating Procedures Emergency Preparedness Advisor Environmental Protection Agency Emergency Plan Implementing Procedure Emergency Plana Implementing Procedure Emergency Response Data System Emergency Response Data System Emergency Response Facility Emergency Response Manager Emergency Response Manager Emergency Response Manager Emergency Response Organization Engineered Safety Feature Electronic Switch System Exchange (Centrex) (Newark 973 Exc	PZ = 50 miles) hange phone system)
FAA	-	Federal Aviation Administration	
Salem		Page 2 of 6	Rev. 0 (draft E)
			٦

FBI FC FFD FHB FRCC FRCE FRCI FRERP FRHS FRHS FRTS FTS	- - - - - - - - - - - - -	Federal Bureau of Investigation Fuel Clad (Barrier) Fitness For Duty Fuel Handling Building Fission Product Barrier Functional Restoration Core Cooling Functional Restoration Containment Environment Functional Restoration Coolant Inventory Federal Radiological Emergency Response Plan Functional Restoration Heat Sink Functional Restoration Shutdown Margin Functional Restoration Thermal Shock Federal Telecommunications System (NRC)
GE	-	General Emergency
HCGS HEPA HP HVAC HX	- - -	Hope Creek Generating Station High Efficiency Particulate Absorbers Health Physics Heating, Ventilation & Air Conditioning Heat Exchanger
IAW IC ICMF IDLH IPEEE IR ISFSI I/S ISOL		In Accordance With Initiating Condition Initial Contact Message Form Immediately Dangerous to Life and Health Individual Plant Examination of External Events Intermediate Range Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation In Service Isolation
K <sub>eff</sub> Kl KV	- -	Effective Neutron Multiplication Factor Potassium Iodide Kilovolt (1000 volts)
LAC LCO LDC LDE LEL LFL LLD LOCA LOP/LOPA		Lower Alloways Creek Limiting Condition for Operation Learning Development Center (aka - NAB or TB2) Lens Dose Equivalent Lower Explosive Limit Lower Flammability Limit Lowest Level Detectable Loss of Coolant Accident Loss of Offsite Power/ Loss of Offsite Power Accident

Salem

Page 3 of 6

Rev. 0 (draft E)

EP-SC-111-233

LPZ	-	Low Population Zone	
MBD MDA MEA MEES MET MIDAS MIMS MOU MRO MSIV MSLI		Mixed Bed Demineralizer Minimum Detectable Amount Minimum Exclusion Area (Salem = .79 miles, HC = .56 miles) Major Equipment & Electrical Status (Form) Meteorological Meteorological Information Data Acquisition System Metal Impact Monitoring System Memorandum of Understanding Medical Review Officer Main Steam Isolation Valve Main Steam Line Isolation	
NAB NAWAS NCO NEI NEO NETS NFE NFPB NG NJSP NOAA NOSF NR NRC NRC NSP NUMARC NWS		Nuclear Administration Building (aka – LDC or TB2) National Attack Warning Alert System Nuclear Control Operator Nuclear Energy Institute Nuclear Equipment Operator Nuclear Emergency Telecommunications System Nuclear Fuels Engineer Normal Full Power Background Noble Gas New Jersey State Police National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration Nuclear Operations Support Facility Narrow Range Nuclear Regulatory Commission Nuclear Site Protection Nuclear Management and Resources Council National Weather Service	
OBE OCA ODCM OEM OHA OSB OSC	- - - -	Operating Basis Earthquake Owner Controlled Area Offsite Dose Calculation Manual Office of Emergency Management Overhead Annunciators Operational Status Board (Form) Operations Support Center	
PAG PAR PIM PMP PORV		Protective Action Guideline Protective Action Recommendation Public Information Manager Pump Power Operated Relief Valve	

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

PRT PSEG PSIA	<ul> <li>Pressurizer Relief Tank</li> <li>Public Service Enterprise Group</li> <li>Pounds per Square Inch Absolute</li> </ul>
PSIG PWR PWST PZR	<ul> <li>Pounds Square Inch Gauge</li> <li>Pressurized Water Reactor</li> <li>Primary Water Storage Tank</li> <li>Pressurizer</li> </ul>
RAC RAD RAL RC RCA RCAM RCDT RCP RCS RHR RMO RMS ROIC RPS RPS RPS RPS RPS RPS RPS RPS RPS RPS	<ul> <li>Radiological Assessment Coordinator</li> <li>Radiation</li> <li>Reportable Action Level</li> <li>Reactor Coolant</li> <li>Radiologically Controlled Area</li> <li>Repair and Corrective Action Mission</li> <li>Reactor Coolant Drain Tank</li> <li>Reactor Coolant Pump</li> <li>Reactor Coolant System (Barrier)</li> <li>Residual Heat Removal</li> <li>Recovery Manager</li> <li>Recovery Management Organization</li> <li>Radiation Monitoring System</li> <li>Regional Operations &amp; Intelligence Center (NJSP)</li> <li>Reactor Protection System</li> <li>Remote Response Center (in NOSF)</li> <li>Radiological Support Manager</li> <li>Reactor Vessel Level Instrumentation System</li> <li>Refueling Water Storage Tank</li> </ul>
SAE SAM SAS SAT SBO SCBA SCP SDE SDE SDM SFP S/G SGS SGTR SI SJAE	<ul> <li>Site Area Emergency</li> <li>Severe Accident Management</li> <li>Secondary Alarm Station (Security)</li> <li>Satisfactory</li> <li>Station Blackout</li> <li>Self Contained Breathing Apparatus</li> <li>Security Contingency Procedure</li> <li>Shallow Dose Equivalent</li> <li>Shutdown Margin</li> <li>Spent Fuel Pool</li> <li>Steam Generator</li> <li>Salem Generator Tube Rupture</li> <li>Safety Injection</li> <li>Steam Jet Air Ejector</li> </ul>

Salem

Page 5 of 6

Rev. 0 (draft E)

SM SNM SOS SPDS SRPT SSCL SSE SSM SSNM SSNM STA SUR	<ul> <li>Shift Manager</li> <li>Special Nuclear Materi</li> <li>Systems Operations S</li> <li>Safety Parameter Disp</li> <li>Shift Radiation Protect</li> <li>Station Status Checklis</li> <li>Safe Shutdown Earthq</li> <li>Site Support Manager</li> <li>Strategic Special Nucle</li> <li>Shift Technical Advisor</li> <li>Start-up Rate</li> </ul>	al upervisor (Security) lay System ion Technician st (form) uake ear Material	7
T-COLD T-HOT TAF TDR TEDE TOAF TPARD T/S TSC TSS TSTL TSTL TSTM	<ul> <li>Temperature Cold (Leg</li> <li>Temperature Hot (Leg)</li> <li>Top of Active Fuel - BV</li> <li>Technical Document R</li> <li>Total Effective Dose Ed</li> <li>Top of Active Fuel - PV</li> <li>Total Protective Action</li> <li>Technical Support Centre</li> <li>Technical Support Teatre</li> <li>Technical Support Teatre</li> </ul>	g) VR doom quivalent VR Recommendation Dose ns itër ervisor m Leader m Leader m Member	
UE UFSAR UHS USCG	<ul> <li>Unusual Event</li> <li>Updated Final Safety A</li> <li>Ultimate Heat Sink</li> <li>United States Coast G</li> </ul>	analysis Report uard	
VCT VDC VLV	<ul> <li>Volume Control Tank</li> <li>Volts Direct Current</li> <li>Valve</li> </ul>		
WB WR	- Whole Body - Wide Range		

Salem

۰.

Page 6 of 6

Rev. 0 (draft-E)

#### Attachment 5 – SGS-to-NEI 99-01 EAL Cross-Reference

This cross-reference is provided to facilitate association and location of a Salem Generating Station EAL within the NEI 99-01 IC/EAL identification scheme. Further information regarding the development of the SGS EALs based on the NEI guidance can be found in the EAL Comparison Matrix.

SGS	NEI 99-01		
EAL	IC	Example EAL	
RU1.1	AU1	1	
RU1.2	AU1	1	
RU1.3	AU1	3	
RU2.1	AU2	1	
RU2.2	AU2	2	
RA1.1	AA1	1	
RA1.2	AA1	1	
RA1.3	AA1	3	
RA2.1	AA2	2	
RA2.2	AA2	1	
RA3.1	AA3	1	
RS1.1	AS1	1	
RS1.2	AS1	2	
RS1.3	AS1	4	
RG1.1	AG1	1	
RG1.2	AG1	2	
RG1.3	AG1	4	
CU1.1	CU3	1	
CU2.1	CU7	1	
CU3.1	CU1	1	
CU3.2	CU2	2	

Rev. 0 (draft E)

SC	S	NEI 99-01	
EA		IC	Example EAL
CU	3.3	CU2	1
CU	4.1	CU4	1
CU	4.2	CU4	2
CU	5.1	CU6	1, 2
CU	6.1	CU8	1
CA	1.1	CA3	1
CA	3.1	CA1	1
CA	3.2	CA1	2
CA	4.1	CA4	1, 2
ČS:	3.2	CS1	3
CG	3.2	CG1	2
ÈU	1.1	Ē-HU1	1
HU	1,1	HU1	1
ΗŪ	1.2	HU1	2
ΗÜ	1.3	HU1	4
HU	1.4	HU1	3
HU	1.5	HU1	5
HU	2.1	HU2	1
HU	2.2	HU2	2
ĤŬ	3.1	HU3	1
ΗÜ	3.2	HU3	2.
HU	<b>4</b> .1	HU4	1, 2, 3
HU	ð.1	HU5	1
HA	1.1	HA1	1
HA	1.2	HA1	2
HA	1.3	HA1	4
HA	1.4	HA1	3
HĂ	1.6	HA1	5

Salem

Page 2 of 6

Rev. 0 (draft E)

Cross-Reference

SGS	NEI 99-01		
EAL	IC	Example EAL	
HA2.1	HA2	1	
HA2.2	HA2	1	
HA3.1	HA3	1	
HA4.1	HA4	1, 2	
HA5.1	HA5	1	
HA6.1	HA6	1	
HS4.1	HS4	1	
HS5.1	HS2	1	
HS6.1	HS3	1	
HG4.1	HG1	1, 2	
HG6.1	HG2	1	
SU1.1	SU1	1	
SU3.1	SU8	2	
SU4.1	SU2	1	
SU5.1	SU3	1	
SU6.1	SU6	1, 2	
SU7.1	SU4	1	
SU7.2	SU4	2	
SU8.1	SU5	1, 2	
SA1.1	SA5	1	
SA3.1	SA2	1	
SA5.1	SA4	1	
SS1.1	SS1	1	
SS2.1	SS3	1	
SS3.1	SS2	1 ·	
SS5.1	SS6	1	
SG1.1	SG1	1	
SG3.1	SG2	1	

Salem

Page 3 of 6

Rev. 0 (draft E)

Cross-Reference

•

•

•

SGS	NEI 99-01		
EAL	Barrier Threshold		
FB1-L	FC Loss 1		
FB4-L	FC Loss 2		
FB2-L	FC Loss 3		
FB3-L	FC Loss 6		
FB5-L	FC Loss 8		
FB1-P	FC P-Loss 1		
FB2-P	FC P-Loss 1		
FB3-P	FC P-Loss 3		
FB4-P	FC P-Loss 4		
FB5-P	FC P-Loss 8		
RB2-L	RCS Loss 2		
RB3-L	RCS Loss 4		
RB1-L	RCS Loss 6		
RB4-L	RCS Loss 8		
RB1-P	RCS P-Loss 1		
RB2-P	RCS P-Loss 1		
RB3-P	RCS P-Loss 2		
RB4-P	RCS P-Loss 8		
CB1-L	CNTMT Loss 2		
CB2-L	CNTMT Loss 2		
CB3-L	CNTMT Loss 4		
CB4-L	CNTMT Loss 4		
CB5-L	CNTMT Loss 5		
CB6-L	CNTMT Loss 8		
CB1-P	CNTMT P-Loss 1		
CB6-P	CNTMT P-Loss 2		
CB7-P	CNTMT P-Loss 2		

#### Fission Product Barrier EALs

Salem

.

Rev. 0 (draft E)

•

Cross-Reference

SGS	NEI 99-01	
EAL	Barrier Threshold	
CB8-P	CNTMT P-Loss 2	
CB3-P	CNTMT P-Loss 3	
CB4-P	CNTMT P-Loss 3	
CB5-P	CNTMT P-Loss 6	
CB2-P	CNTMT P-Loss 7	
CB9-P	CNTMT P-Loss 8	



Rev. 0 (draft E)

This page intentionally blank

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) Cross-Reference

#### Purpose:

This is a reference document that contains the methodology and calculations used in developing the thresholds for radiological release based Emergency Action Levels (EALs). The radiological EALs covered under this document are based on EALs AU1, AA1, AS1 and AG1 in NEI-99-01, Rev. 05, "Methodology for Development of Emergency Action Levels".

#### **Reference Materials:**

- NEI 99-01, Rev. 05 Methodology for Development of Emergency Action Levels, EALs AU1, AA1, AS1 and AG1
- NEI 99-01, Rev. 05 Appendix A: Basis for Radiological Effluent EALs
- Salem ODCM Rev. 24
- EPA 400-R-92-001, Manual or Protective Action Guides and Protective Actions for Nuclear Incidents

#### Terms & Calculation Constants and origin:

- ODCM Offsite Dose Calculation Manual
- Hours in one year: 365.25 days X 24 hrs/day = 8766 hours
- EDE Effective Dose Equivalent
- CDE Committed Dose Equivalent
- CEDE Committed Effective Dose Equivalent = CDE X Weighting Factor (thyroid per 10CFR20)
- TEDE Total Effective Dose Equivalent = EDE + CEDE
- PAG Protective Action Guideline: Per EPA = 1000mRem TEDE dose or 5000 mRem thyroid dose. Actual or projected values above these guidelines will require offsite protective actions to be implemented.
- ODCM Rad Effluent Limit 500 mRem/year is a total site Noble Gas limit that includes Salem 1, Salem 2 and Hope Creek. Therefore, Salem will have an administratively controlled limit of ½ the total site limit or 250 mRem/year for EAL calculation purposes.
- Allocation Factor (AF) = .5 As defined in the Salem ODCM, (page 83) this is an administrative control imposed to ensure that the combined releases from Salem Units 1 and 2 and Hope Creek will not exceed the regulatory limit from the site. The Site AF is only used in the UE and Alert EALs.

- X/Q = Site Specific Atmospheric dispersion to the site boundary. U1 and U2 Value = 2.2E-06 sec/m<sup>3</sup>. Origin – Salem ODCM, Rev. 24, Table 2-2.1 and 2-2.2, Parameters for Gaseous Alarm Setpoint Determinations.
- DRCF = Site Specific Dose Rate Conversion Factor. U1 and U2 Value = 4.7E+02 mrem/year per uCi/m<sup>3</sup>. Origin Salem ODCM, Rev. 24, Table C-1, Effective Dose Factors, Noble Gases Total Body and Skin Total Body Effective Dose Factor.

Index: (Radiological Release EAL Calculations)

Salem EALS:	Page #:
Unusual Event EAL AU1.1 – (Default Release Rate EAL)	4
Unusual Event EAL AU1.2 – (2 time alarm) No Calc needed	<b>_</b> ·
Unusual Event EAL AU1.3 – (Sample Analysis Concentration)	5
Unusual Event EAL AU1.4 – (Perimeter Monitoring System - NA)	-
Unusual Event EAL AU1.5 – (Dose Assessment - NA)	-
Alert FAL AA11 - (Default Release Rate FAL)	6
Alert FAL AA1 $2 \sim (200 \text{ time alarm})$ No Calc needed	
Alert FAL AA1 3 – (Sample Analysis Concentration)	7
Alert EAL AA1 4 - (Perimeter Monitoring System - NA)	, _
Alert EAL AA1.5 – (Dose Assessment- NA)	-
Site Area Emergency EAL AS1.1 (Default Delease Pate EAL)	Q
Site Area Emergency EAL AS1.1 – (Delault Release Rale EAL)	0
Site Area Emergency EAL AS1.2 - (Dose Assessment)	9.
Site Area Emergency EAL AS1.5 – (Fermiteler Molinoling Sys - NA)	-
Site Area Emergency EAL AS1.4 – (FA boundary dose fate)	10
Site Area Emergency EAL AS1.4 – (I-151 Field Survey Sample Analysis)	11
General Emergency EAL AG1.1 – (Default Release Rate EAL)	12
General Emergency EAL AG1.2 – (Dose Assessment)	13
General Emergency EAL AG1.3 - (Perimeter Monitoring Sys - NA)	-
General Emergency EAL AG1.4 – (PA boundary dose rate)	14
General Emergency EAL AG1.4 – (I-131 Field Survey Sample Analysis)	15

rt.

# Salem Radiological EAL Setpoint Calculation Document NEI 99-01, Rev. 05 EALs

Submitted By:	Craig Banner	_ Date: 12-15-2009_
EP Review By:	Gary Young	Date: 12-17-2009_
Technical Review:	_Jenny Shelton	_ Date: 06-04-2010_
Salem SFAM Review:	Phil Quick	_ Date: 06-07-2010_
HC SFAM Review:	John Molner	Date: 06-04-2010_
CFAM Approval:	David Burgin	Date: 06-09-2010_

н <u>і</u> А. <sub>1</sub>.

## Salem Radiological EAL Setpoint Calculation Document NEI 99-01, Rev. 05 EALs

#### Calculation for: Unusual Event EAL AU1.1 - (Default Release Rate EAL)

#### **Objective of Calculation:**

Provide a Salem Radiological Release Rate value that equates to a Release that is > 2 times the ODCM limit of 500 mRem/year.

#### Discussion:

The ODCM limit of 500 mRem/year is a total site limit that includes Salem 1, Salem 2 and Hope Creek. Therefore, Salem 1 &2 will have an administratively controlled limit of ½ the total site limit or 250 mRem/year for EAL calculation purposes.

This EAL does not include Iodine Release Rates, since the Plant Vent does not have an Iodine detector.

Release Rate = Total Noble Gas Release Rate from Salem (Unit 1 & Unit 2) which would result in a TEDE Dose Rate of 250 mRem/year, The EAL value will be 2 times this release rate.

#### Derivation / Calculation:

Radiological ODCM Limit Calculation for Noble Gas:

 $Release Rate (uCi/Sec) = \frac{ODCMLimit(m Re m / year) * (SiteAllocationFactor)}{(ODCM X / Q) * (ODCM DRCF)}$ 

ODCM Limit = 500 mRem/Year Salem ODCM X/Q =  $2.20E-06 \text{ sec/m}^3$ Salem ODCM DRCF =  $4.70E+02 \text{ mRem/yr/uCi/m}^3$ Site Allocation Factor = 5.00E-01

Release Rate (uCi/Sec) =  $\frac{(500m \operatorname{Re} m / yr) * (5.00E - 01)}{(2.20E - 06 \operatorname{sec} / m^3) * (4.70E + 02m \operatorname{Re} m / yr / \mu Ci / m^3)}$ 

Release Rate = 2.42E+05 uCi/Sec (Also the ODCM Limit Release Rate Value)

EAL Value = 2 times the Release Rate

UE EAL Value: (EAL # RU1.1)

Total (S1 & S2) Noble Gas Release Rate > 4.84E+05  $\mu$ Ci/sec

Salem

#### Calculation for: <u>Unusual Event EAL AU1.3 – (Sample Analysis Concentration)</u>

#### **Objective of Calculation:**

Provide a Radiological Release Noble Gas and Iodine Sample Concentration that equates to a Release that is > 2 times the ODCM limit of 500 mRem/year.

#### Discussion:

The ODCM limit of 500 mRem/year (Noble Gas/Total Body) and 1500mRem/year (I-131/Child Thyroid) is a total site limit that includes Salem 1, Salem 2 and Hope Creek. Therefore, Salem 1&2 will have an administratively controlled limit (allocation factor) of ½ the total site limit or 250 mRem/year (Noble Gas/Total Body) and 750 mRem/year (I-131/Child Thyroid) for EAL calculation purposes. This allocation factor is used in the calculation that derived the Noble Gas and Iodine release rates.

#### Derivation / Calculation:

Calculation of the threshold sample concentrations are as follows:

Formula: Concentration (uCi/cc) =  $\frac{SingleUnit ReleaseRate * 2}{ConversionFactor * VentFlowRate}$ 

Noble Gas Sample Concentration =  $\frac{1.21E + 05\,\mu\text{Ci}/\sec^2 2}{472x80000cfm} = 6.4\text{E}-03\,\mu\text{Ci/cc}$ 

*I-131 Sample Concentration* =  $\frac{10.5E + 00\,\mu\text{Ci}/\sec^2 2}{472x80000cfm} = 5.6\text{E-07}\,\mu\text{Ci/cc}$ 

Where:

- Single Unit (U1 or U2) Release Rate (Noble Gas) = Total Noble Gas Release Rate from Salem (Unit 1 & Unit 2) as derived for EAL AU1.1 split between Unit 1 and 2 (divided by 2) = 2.42E+05 uCi/Sec/2 = 1.21E+05 uCi/Sec per Unit.
- Single Unit (UI or U2) Release Rate (Thyroid/I-131) = 10.5 uCi/Sec per unit as per ODCM, Rev. 24, Section 2.3.2
- 2 = EAL criteria of 2X ODCM value
- $472 = \text{conversion factor } (28,317 \text{ cc/ft}^3 \times 1 \text{ min/60 sec})$
- 80000 cfm = Plant Vent Flow (normal)

# UE EAL Values: (EAL# RU1.3)

# Noble Gas Sample Concentration > 6.4E-03 $\mu$ Ci/cc I-131 Sample Concentration > 5.6E-07 $\mu$ Ci/cc

#### Calculation for: ALERT EAL AA1.1 - (Default Release Rate EAL)

#### **Objective of Calculation:**

Provide a Radiological Release Rate value that equates to a Release that is > 200 times the ODCM limit of 500 mRem/year.

#### Discussion:

The ODCM limit of 500 mRem/year is a total site limit that includes Salem 1, Salem 2 and Hope Creek. Therefore, Salem 1 &2 will have an administratively controlled limit of ½ the total site limit or 250 mRem/year for EAL calculation purposes.

This EAL does not include Iodine Release Rates, since the Plant Vent does not have an Iodine detector.

Release Rate = Total Noble Gas Release Rate from Salem (Unit 1 & Unit 2) which would result in a TEDE Dose Rate of 250 mRem/year. The EAL Value will be > 200 times the release rate.

#### **Derivation / Calculation:**

ODCM Limit Calculation for Noble Gas:

Release Rate (uCi/Sec) =  $\frac{(ODCMLimit - m \text{Re} m / year) * (SiteAllocationFactor)}{(ODCM X / Q) * (ODCM DRCF)}$ 

ODCM Limit = 500 mRem/Year Salem ODCM X/Q =  $2.20E-06 \text{ sec/m}^3$ Salem ODCM DRCF =  $4.70E+02 \text{ mRem/yr/uCi/m}^3$ Site Allocation Factor = 5.00E-01

Release Rate (uCi/Sec) =  $\frac{(500m \operatorname{Re} m / yr) * (5.00E - 01)}{(2.20E - 06 \operatorname{sec}/m^3) * (4.70E + 02m \operatorname{Re} m / yr / \mu Ci / m^3)}$ 

Release Rate = 2.42E+05 uCi/Sec

EAL Value = > 200 times the Release Rate

Alert EAL Value: (EAL# RA1.1)

Total (S1 & S2) Noble Gas Release Rate > 4.84E+07  $\mu$ Ci/sec

#### Calculation for: <u>ALERT EAL AA1.3 – (Sample Analysis Concentration)</u>

#### **Objective of Calculation:**

Provide a Radiological Release Noble Gas and Iodine Sample Concentration that equates to a Release that is > 200 times the ODCM limit of 500 mRem/year.

#### Discussion:

The ODCM limit of 500 mRem/year (Noble Gas/Total Body) and 1500mRem/year (I-131/Child Thyroid) is a total site limit that includes Salem 1, Salem 2 and Hope Creek. Therefore, Salem 1&2 will have an administratively controlled limit (allocation factor) of ½ the total site limit or 250 mRem/year (Noble Gas/Total Body) and 750 mRem/year (I-131/Child Thyroid) for EAL calculation purposes. This allocation factor is used in the calculation that derived the Noble Gas and Iodine release rates.

#### Derivation / Calculation:

Calculation of the threshold sample concentrations are as follows:

Formula: Concentration (uCi/cc) =  $\frac{SingleUnit Re leaseRate * 200}{ConversionFactor * VentFlowRate}$ 

Noble Gas Sample Concentration =  $\frac{1.21E + 05\mu Ci / \sec^{*} 200}{472x80000 cfm} = 6.4\text{E-01} \ \mu \text{Ci/cc}$ 

*I-131 Sample Concentration* =  $\frac{10.5E + 00\,\mu\text{Ci}/\sec^2 200}{472x80000cfm} = 5.6\text{E-05}\,\mu\text{Ci/cc}$ 

Where:

- Single Unit (U1 or U2) Release Rate (Noble Gas) = Total Noble Gas Release Rate from Salem (Unit 1 & Unit 2) as derived for EAL AU1.1 split between Unit 1 and 2 (divided by 2) = 2.42E+05 uCi/Sec/2 = 1.21E+05 uCi/Sec per Unit.
- Single Unit (UI and U2) Release Rate (Thyroid/I-131) = 10.5 uCi/Sec per unit as per ODCM, Rev. 24, Section 2.3.2
- 200 = EAL criteria of > 200X ODCM value
- $472 = \text{conversion factor } (28,317 \text{ cc/ft}^3 \text{ x 1 min/60 sec})$
- 80000 cfm = Plant Vent Flow (normal)

## Alert EAL Values: (EAL# RA1.3)

# Noble Gas Sample Concentration > $6.4E-01 \mu$ Ci/cc I-131 Sample Concentration > $5.6E-05 \mu$ Ci/cc

Salem
### Calculation for: <u>SITE AREA EMERGENCY - EAL AS1.1 – (Default Release Rate EAL)</u>

### **Objective of Calculation:**

Provide a Radiological Release Rate value that equates to a Release resulting in an offsite dose of > 100 mrem EDE at or beyond the site boundary.

### Discussion:

This IC addresses radioactivity releases that result in doses at or beyond the site boundary that exceed 10% of the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public.

The monitor reading EALs should be determined using a dose assessment method that back calculates from the dose values specified in the IC. Since doses are generally not monitored in real-time, it is suggested that a release duration of one hour be assumed, and that the EALs be based on a site specific boundary (or beyond) dose of >100 mrem whole body. Iodine Release Rates for this EAL are excluded since the Plant Vent Radiation Monitoring System does not include an Iodine detector.

The meteorology and source term used are the same as used for determining AU1 and AA1 monitor reading EALs.

Release Rate = Total Noble Gas Release Rate from Salem (Unit 1 & Unit 2) which would result in a EDE Dose Rate of > 100mRem/hr at the site boundary or beyond.

### **Derivation / Calculation:**

Radiological Limit Calculation for Noble Gas:

Release Rate (uCi/Sec) = 
$$\frac{(10\% of PAG)m \operatorname{Re}m(accumulatedin1hour)}{(ODCM X / Q)*(ODCM DRCF)}$$

10% of PAG = 100 mRem dose accumulated in 1 hour Salem ODCM X/Q =  $2.20E-06 \text{ sec/m}^3$ Salem ODCM DRCF =  $5.36E-02 \text{ mRem/hr/uCi/m}^3$  ( $4.70E+02 \text{ mRem/yr/uCi/m}^3$  / 8766 hrs/yr) Site Allocation Factor = not used for SAE and GE EALs

Release Rate (uCi/Sec) =  $\frac{100m \operatorname{Re} m(doseaccumulatedin1hr)}{(2.20E - 06 \operatorname{sec}/m^3)*(5.36E - 02m \operatorname{Re} m/hr/\mu Ci/m^3)}$ 

SAE EAL Value: (EAL# RS1.1)

Total (S1 & S2) Noble Gas Release Rate > 8.48E+08 uCi/Sec

Salem

### Calculation for: <u>SITE AREA EMERGENCY - EAL AS1.2 - (Dose Assessment)</u>

### **Objective of Calculation:**

Using actual meteorology, provide a dose assessment SSCL threshold TEDE 4-Day Dose value that is equivalent to a TEDE dose of >100 mRem and a Thyroid-CDE Dose of 500 mRem.

### Discussion:

This IC addresses radioactivity releases that result in doses at or beyond the site boundary that exceed 10% of the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Public protective actions will be necessary. Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public and involve fuel damage.

### **Derivation / Calculation:**

The dose assessment output on the SSCL is reported at varying distances from the plant as a TEDE 4-Day dose. This TEDE 4-day dose assumes a 4 hr release duration. To obtain the approximate dose for a projected release condition of 1 hour, the TEDE 4-day dose value would need to be divided by 4.

A TEDE 4-Day Dose > 4.0E+02 mRem correspond directly to an EDE dose rate value of 100 mRem/hr and exceeds 10% of the EPA Protective Actions Guides (PAGs). The Thyroid-CDE Dose > 2.0E+03 mRem correspond directly to an CDE dose rate value of 500 mRem/hr and exceeds 10% of the EPA Protective Actions Guides (PAGs) which was established in consideration of the 1:5 ratio of the EPA PAG for TEDE and thyroid CDE.

Dose Assessment using actual meteorological data provides an accurate indication of release magnitude. The use of dose assessment based EALs is therefore preferred over the use of Release Rate based EALs which utilize calculations which have built-in inaccuracies because ODCM default Meteorological data is used.

### SAE EALs Values: (EAL# RS1.2)

### Dose Assessment TEDE 4-Day Dose > 4.0E+02 mRem

**Dose Assessment CDE Dose > 2.0E+03 mRem -** based on Dose Assessment using Plant Vent effluent isotopic sample analysis as input to MIDAS and NOT based on a default Noble Gas to Iodine Ratio

### Salem Radiological EAL Setpoint Calculation Document NEI 99-01, Rev. 05 EALs

### Calculation for: SITE AREA EMERGENCY - EAL AS1.4 - (PA boundary dose rate)

### **Objective of Calculation:**

Provide a **PROTECTED AREA** Boundary dose rate that equates to an offsite dose of > 100 mRem EDE.

### **Discussion:**

This IC addresses radioactivity releases that result in field survey results (closed window) dose rates greater than 100 mR/hr expected to continue for 60 minutes or longer at or beyond the site boundary. This value exceeds 10% of the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public.

### **Derivation / Calculation:**

A Field Measured Dose Rate of > 1.0E+02 mRem/hr corresponds directly to a dose values that exceed 10% of the EPA Protective Actions Guides (PAGs).

### SAE EAL Value: (EAL# RS1.3) SI.3)

### Dose Rate > 100 mRem/hr

<sup>16</sup> A. S. Wagathan, "A second state of the 

.

### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

### Salem Radiological EAL Setpoint Calculation Document NEI 99-01, Rev. 05 EALs

### Calculation for: SITE AREA EMERGENCY - EAL AS1.4 - (I-131 Field Survey Sample Analysis)

### **Objective of Calculation:**

Provide a Field Survey Sample Analysis value that equates to an offsite release that would result in a dose of > 500 mRem Thyroid CDE at or beyond the **PROTECTED AREA** Boundary.

### Discussion:

This EAL addresses a radioactivity release field survey I-131 sample concentration or count rate that would result in a Thyroid CDE dose of greater than 500 mRem for one hour of inhalation at or beyond the site boundary. This value exceeds 10% of the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public.

The Iodine-131 field survey sample concentration and count rate threshold is based on I-131 dose conversion factors (DCFs) from EPA-400. The thresholds are based on a Thyroid-CDE Dose Rate of > 500 mRem/hr for I-131.

Field Survey I-131 Sample Analysis results are provided as a sample concentration in units of uCi/cc for field samples counted in a Multi-Channel-Analyzer (MCA).

### **Derivation / Calculation:**

The release sample concentration calculations are as follows.

The sample concentration is calculated using the I-131 Dose Conversion Factor from EPA-400: Solving the following equation for  $\mu$ Ci/cc:

mRem/hr =  $(\mu Ci/cc)$ (Dose Conversion Factor)

Then;

*I-131 Sample Concentration* (
$$\mu$$
Ci/cc) = ( $\frac{500m \operatorname{Re}m / hr}{1.30E + 09m \operatorname{Re}m / \mu$ Ci/cc/hr}) = **3.85E-07**  $\mu$ Ci/cc

Where 1.30E+09 mRem/ $\mu$ Ci/cc/hr is the Dose Conversion Factor from EPA-400, Table 5-4, Thyroid Dose, and includes the EPA breathing rate.

### SAE EAL Values: (EAL# RS1.3)

### I-131 Concentration > $3.85E-07 \mu Ci/cc$

Salem

### Page 11 of 15

Rev. 0 (Draft E)

2.1 2.1 1.

### Salem Radiological EAL Setpoint Calculation Document NEI 99-01, Rev. 05 EALs

### Calculation for: GENERAL EMERGENCY - EAL AG1.1 - (Default Release Rate EAL)

### **Objective of Calculation:**

Provide a Radiological Release Rate value that equates to a Release resulting in an offsite dose of > 1000 mrem EDE at or beyond the site boundary.

### **Discussion:**

This IC addresses radioactivity releases that result in doses at or beyond the site boundary that exceed the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Public protective actions will be necessary. Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public and likely involve fuel damage. . . . . . . .

The monitor reading EALs should be determined using a dose assessment method that back calculates from the dose values specified in the IC. Since doses are generally not monitored in real-time, it is suggested that a release duration of one hour be assumed, and that the EALs be based on a site specific boundary (or beyond) dose of > 1000 mrem whole body. Iodine Release Rates for this EAL are excluded since the Plant Vent Radiation Monitoring System does not include an Iodine detector.

The meteorology and source term used are the same as used for determining AU1 and AA1 monitor reading EALs.

ار با المراجع المراجع التي المراجع المراجع . منظم المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع . Release Rate = Total Noble Gas Release Rate from Salem (Unit 1 & Unit 2) which would result in a TEDE Dose Rate of > 1000 mRem/hr at the site boundary or beyond.

Derivation / Calculation: Radiological Effluent Technical Specifications/ODCM Limit Calculation for Noble Gas:

 $Release Rate (uCi/Sec) = \frac{100\% PAG(m Rem)Accumulatedin1hr}{(ODCM X / Q) * (ODCM DRCF)}$ 

100% of PAG = 1000 mRem accumulated in 1 hour Salem ODCM  $X/Q = 2.20E-06 \text{ sec/m}^3$ Salem ODCM DRCF = 5.36E-02 mRem/hr/uCi/m<sup>3</sup> (4.70E+02 mRem/yr/uCi/m<sup>3</sup> / 8766 hrs/yr) Site Allocation Factor = not used for SAE and GE EALs Release Rate (uCi/Sec) =  $\frac{1000m \operatorname{Re}m(doseaccumulatedin1hr)}{(2.20E - 06 \operatorname{sec}/m^3) * (5.36E - 02m \operatorname{Re}m/hr/\mu Ci/m^3)}$ 

### GE EAL Value: (EAL# RG1.1)

Total (S1 & S2) Noble Gas Release Rate > 8.48E+09 uCi/Sec

Salem

### Calculation for: <u>GENERAL EMERGENCY</u> - EAL AG1.2 - (Dose Assessment)

### **Objective of Calculation:**

Using actual meteorology, provide a dose assessment SSCL threshold TEDE 4-Day Dose value that is equivalent to a TEDE dose of > 1000 mRem and a Thyroid-CDE Dose of > 5000 mRem.

### Discussion:

This IC addresses radioactivity releases that result in doses at or beyond the site boundary that exceed the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Public protective actions will be necessary. Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public and involve fuel damage.

### Derivation / Calculation:

The dose assessment output on the SSCL is reported at varying distances from the plant as a TEDE 4-Day dose. This TEDE 4-day dose assumes a 4 hr release duration. To obtain the approximate dose for a projected release condition of 1 hour, the TEDE 4-day dose value would need to be divided by 4.

A TEDE 4-Day Dose > 4.0E+03 mRem correspond directly to an EDE dose rate value of >1000 mRem/hr and exceeds the EPA Protective Actions Guides (PAGs). The Thyroid-CDE Dose > 2.0E+04 mRem correspond directly to an CDE dose rate value of > 5000 mRem/hr and exceeds the EPA Protective Actions Guides (PAGs) which was established in consideration of the 1:5 ratio of the EPA PAG for TEDE and thyroid CDE.

Dose Assessment using actual meteorological data provides an accurate indication of release magnitude. The use of dose assessment based EALs is therefore preferred over the use of Release Rate based EALs which utilize calculations which have built-in inaccuracies because ODCM default Meteorological data is used.

### GE EAL Values: (EAL#RG1.2)

### Dose Assessment TEDE 4-Day Dose > 4.0E+03 mRem

**Dose Assessment CDE Dose > 2.0E+04 mRem -** based on Dose Assessment using Plant Vent effluent isotopic sample analysis as input to MIDAS and NOT based on a default Noble Gas to Iodine Ratio

### Calculation for: <u>GENERAL EMERGENCY - EAL AG1.4 - (PA boundary dose rate)</u>

### **Objective of Calculation:**

Provide a **PROTECTED** AREA Boundary dose rate that equates to an offsite dose of > 1000 mRem EDE.

### Discussion:

This IC addresses radioactivity releases that result in doses at or beyond the site boundary that exceed the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Public protective actions will be necessary. Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public and involves fuel damage.

### Derivation / Calculation:

A Field Measured Dose Rate of > 1.0E+03 mRem/hr corresponds directly to a dose values that exceed the EPA Protective Actions Guides (PAGs). GE EAL Value: (EAL# RG1.3)

Dose Rate > 1000 mRem/hr

### Calculation for: GENERAL EMERGENCY - EAL AG1.4 - (I-131 Field Survey Sample Analysis)

### **Objective of Calculation:**

Provide a Field Survey Sample Analysis value that equates to an offsite release that would result in a dose of > 5000 mRem Thyroid CDE at or beyond the **PROTECTED AREA** Boundary.

### Discussion:

This EAL addresses a radioactivity release field survey I-131 sample concentration or count rate that would result in a Thyroid CDE dose of greater than 5000 mRem for one hour of inhalation at or beyond the site boundary. This value exceeds the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public.

The Iodine-131 field survey sample concentration and count rate threshold is based on I-131 dose conversion factors (DCFs) from EPA-400. The thresholds are based on a Thyroid-CDE Dose Rate of > 5000 mRem/hr for I-131.

Field Survey I-131 Sample Analysis results are provided as sample concentration in units of uCi/cc for field samples counted in a Multi-Channel-Analyzer (MCA).

### Derivation / Calculation:

The release sample concentration calculations are as follows.

The sample concentration is calculated using the I-131 Dose Conversion Factor from EPA-400: Solving the following equation for  $\mu$ Ci/cc:

 $mRem/hr = (\mu Ci/cc)(Dose Conversion Factor)$ 

Then;

*I-131 Sample Concentration* ( $\mu$ Ci/cc) = ( $\frac{5000m \operatorname{Re}m/hr}{1.30E + 09m \operatorname{Re}m/\mu Ci/cc/hr}$ ) = 3.85E-06  $\mu$ Ci/cc

Where 1.30E+09 mRem/ $\mu$ Ci/cc/hr is the Dose Conversion Factor from EPA-400, Table 5-4, Thyroid Dose, and includes the EPA breathing rate.

### GE EAL Values: (EAL# RG1.3)

### I-131 Concentration > $3.85E-06 \mu Ci/cc$

Salem

### ATTACHMENT 6

· · · £

, D

ÿ

Salem Generating Stations

EAL Technical Bases Document

(Clean Version)

D

ŷ



ì

# Salem Generating Station

# Event Classification Guide (ECG)

### Emergency Action Level Technical Bases

Draft E 7/30/2010

1

8

### SALEM EVENT CLASSIFICATION GUIDE (ECG) EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL (EAL) TECHNICAL BASES TABLE OF CONTENTS

### ECG – EAL Bases Front-Matter Materials:

- EP-SC-111-200 SGS ECG EAL Technical Bases Table of Contents
- EP-SC-111-201 Emergency Action Level (EAL) Technical Basis Introduction

·· .

EP-SC-111-202 ECG Usage

### ECG EAL Sections – Bases Information:

EP-SC-111-203	EAL Bases for Category R1	- Offsite Rad Conditions

EP-SC-111-204 EAL Bases for Category R2 - Onsite Rad Conditions / Fuel Pool Events

EP-SC-111-205 EAL Bases for Category R3 - CR/CAS Rad

- EP-SC-111-206 EAL Bases for Category E ISFSI
- EP-SC-111-207 EAL Bases for Category H1 Hazards Natural & Destructive Phenomena (Quake, High Winds / Tornado, Turbine Rotating Component Failure, Internal Flooding, River Level, Vehicle Crash / Projectile Impact)
- EP-SC-111-208 EAL Bases for Category H2 Hazards Fire or Explosion
- EP-SC-111-209 EAL Bases for Category H3 Hazards Hazardous Gas
- EP-SC-111-210 EAL Bases for Category H4 Hazards Security
- EP-SC-111-211 EAL Bases for Category H5 Hazards Control Room Evacuation
- EP-SC-111-212 EAL Bases for Category H6 Hazards EC Judgment
- EP-SC-111-213 EAL Bases for Category S1 System Malfunction Loss of AC Power

Ø	SALEM EVENT CLASSIFICATION GUIDE (ECG) EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL (EAL) TECHNICAL BASES TABLE OF CONTENTS
EP-SC-111-214	EAL Bases for Category S2 - System Malfunction - Loss of DC Power
EP-SC-111-215	EAL Bases for Category S3 - System Malfunction - ATWT/Criticality
EP-SC-111-216	EAL Bases for Category S4 - System Malfunction - Inability to Reach or Maintain Shutdown Conditions
EP-SC-111-217	EAL Bases for Category S5 - System Malfunction – Loss of Annunciators / Instrumentation
EP-SC-111-218	EAL Bases for Category S6 - System Malfunction - Communication
EP-SC-111-219	EAL Bases for Category S7 - System Malfunction - Fuel Clad Degradation
EP-SC-111-220	EAL Bases for Category S8 - System Malfunction - RCS Leakage
EP-SC-111-221	EAL Bases for Category F1 - Fission Product Barriers - Fuel
EP-SC-111-222	EAL Bases for Category F2 - Fission Product Barriers - RCS
EP-SC-111-223	EAL Bases for Category F3 - Fission Product Barriers - Containment
EP-SC-111-224	EAL Bases for Category C1 - Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction - Loss of AC Power
EP-SC-111-225	EAL Bases for Category C2 - Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction - Loss of DC Power
EP-SC-111-226	EAL Bases for Category C3 - Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction - RPV Level
EP-SC-111-227	EAL Bases for Category C4 - Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction - RCS Temperature

### SALEM EVENT CLASSIFICATION GUIDE (ECG) EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL (EAL) TECHNICAL BASES **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Ø

- EP-SC-111-228 EAL Bases for Category C5 - Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction - Communication
- EP-SC-111-229 EAL Bases for Category C6 - Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction - Inadvertent Criticality

### ECG - EAL Technical Bases Supporting Documents:

EP-SC-111-230 - Use of Fission Product Barrier Table (Tab – Attachment 1)

EP-SC-111-231 - EAL Bases Figures / Drawings (Tab – Attachment 2)

EP-SC-111-232 - EAL Definitions (Tab - Attachment 3)

• •

EP-SC-111-233 - Glossary of Abbreviations & Acronyms (Tab – Attachment 4) · · · · · · the second second

EP-SC-111-234 - SGS-to-NEI 99-01 EAL Cross-reference (Tab – Attachment 5)

EP-SC-111-235 - Salem EAL Rad Set-Point Calculation Document (Tab – Attachment 6)



Salem Generating Station Event Classification Guide (ECG)

> Emergency Action Level Technical Bases

> > Draft E 7/30/10

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Sectio</u>	n	<u>Page</u>
1.	Purpose	2
2.	Emergency Classification Descriptions	2
3.	Fission Product Barriers	3
4.	EAL Relationship to EOPs	5
5.	Symptom-Based vs. Event-Based Approach	5
6.	EAL Organization	6
7.	Operating Mode Applicability	8
8.	EAL Technical Bases Organization	9
9.	References	11

Salem

.

### 1. PURPOSE

This document provides an explanation and rationale for each Salem Generating Station (SGS) Emergency Action Level (EAL). It should be used to facilitate review of the SGS EALs, provide historical documentation for future reference and serve as a training aid. Decision-makers responsible for implementation of the Event Classification Guide (ECG) may use this document as a technical reference in support of EAL interpretation. This information may assist the Emergency Coordinator in making classifications, particularly those involving judgment or multiple events. The information may also be useful in training, for explaining event classifications to offsite officials, and facilitate regulatory review and approval of the classification scheme.

The expectation is that emergency classifications are to be made as soon as conditions are present and recognizable for the classification, but within 15 minutes or less in all cases of conditions present. Use of this document for assistance is not intended to delay the emergency classification.

This document is controlled pursuant to 10 CFR 50.54(q).

### 2. Emergency Classification Descriptions

The NRC and Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) established four emergency classes for fixed nuclear facilities.

An emergency class is used for grouping off-normal nuclear power plant conditions according to their relative radiological seriousness and the time sensitive onsite and offsite actions needed to respond to such conditions.

The four emergency classes are (in order of less severe to most severe):

- Unusual Event (UE)
- Alert (A)
- Site Area Emergency (SAE)
- General Emergency (GE)
- 2.1 Unusual Event

Events are in progress or have occurred which indicate a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant or indicates a security threat to facility protection has been initiated.

• The lowest level of emergency at the plant, which can usually be handled by the normal operating shift.

Salem

 No releases of radioactive material requiring offsite response or monitoring are expected unless further degradation of safety systems occurs. Dose consequences in Unrestricted Areas would not reach 20 mRem TEDE.

### 2.2 Alert

Events are in progress or have occurred which involve an actual or potential substantial degradation of the level of safety of the plant or a security event that involves probable life threatening risk to site personnel or damage to site equipment because of **HOSTILE ACTION**.

- Emergency Response personnel are required in addition to the normal operating shift. The entire emergency response organization is called in. The TSC is activated, and the EOF and ENC are manned and may activate if needed for support.
- Any release of radioactive material is expected to be limited to a small fraction of the EPA Protective Action Guideline exposure levels. Dose consequences in Unrestricted Areas would not reach 100 mRem TEDE.

### 2.3 Site Area Emergency

Events are in progress or have occurred which involve an actual or likely failure of plant functions needed for protection of the public or **HOSTILE ACTION** that result in intentional damage or malicious acts; (1) toward site personnel or equipment that could lead to the likely failure of or; (2) that prevent effective access to equipment needed for the protection of the public.

- The entire emergency response organization is activated.
- Any release of radioactive material is not expected to exceed EPA Protective Action Guideline exposure levels beyond the plant boundary. Dose consequences in Unrestricted Areas not to exceed 1000 mRem TEDE.

### 2.4 General Emergency

Events are in process or have occurred which involve actual or **IMMINENT** substantial core degradation or melting with potential for loss of containment integrity or **HOSTILE ACTIONS** that result in an actual loss of physical control of the facility.

- The entire emergency response organization is activated.
- Release of radioactive material can be expected to exceed EPA Protective Action Guideline exposure levels of 1000 mRem TEDE in Unrestricted Areas.

### 3. Fission Product Barriers

Many of the EALs derived from the NEI 99-01 methodology are fission product barrier based. That is, the conditions that define the EALs pertain to the loss or potential loss of one or more

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

Introduction

of the three fission product barriers. "Loss" and "Potential Loss" signify the relative damage and threat of damage to the barrier. "Loss" means the barrier no longer assures containment of radioactive materials; "Potential Loss" infers an increased probability of barrier loss and decreased certainty of maintaining the barrier.

### 3.1 Barrier Descriptions

The EAL fission product barriers are:

<u>Fuel Clad Barrier (FB)</u>: The Fuel Clad barrier consists of the zircalloy or stainless steel fuel bundle tubes that contain the fuel pellets.

<u>Reactor Coolant System Barrier (RB):</u> The Reactor Coolant System barrier includes the Reactor Coolant System primary side and its connections up to and including the pressurizer safety and relief valves, and other connections up to and including the primary isolation valves.

<u>Containment (CB)</u>: The Containment barrier includes the containment building and connections up to and including the outermost containment isolation valves. This barrier also includes the main steam, feedwater, and blowdown line extensions outside the containment building up to and including the outermost secondary side isolation valve.

3.2 Emergency Classification Based on Fission Product Barrier Degradation

The following criteria for event classification relate to fission product barrier loss or potential loss:

UNUSUAL EVENT

ANY loss or ANY potential loss of Containment

ALERT

<u>ANY</u> loss or <u>ANY</u> potential loss of either Fuel Clad or RCS

### SITE AREA EMERGENCY

Loss or potential loss of <u>ANY</u> two barriers OR

Potential loss of 2 barriers with the loss of the 3<sup>rd</sup> barrier

### GENERAL EMERGENCY

Loss of <u>ANY</u> two barriers and loss or potential loss of third barrier

Discrete threshold values associated with fission product barrier loss and potential loss are given in Attachment 1, Use of Fission Product Barrier Table. The bases for the thresholds are discussed in the following ECG sections:

- EP-SC-111-221 EAL Bases for Fuel Clad Barrier
- EP-SC-111-222 EAL Bases for RCS Barrier
- EP-SC-111-223 EAL Bases for Containment Barrier

A point system (described in Attachment 1) is used to determine fission product barrier emergency classification levels as well as Protective Action Recommendations (PARs) if a General Emergency is declared.

### 4. EAL Relationship to EOPs

Where possible, the EALs have been made consistent with and utilize the conditions defined in the SGS Emergency Operating Procedures (EOPs). While the symptoms that drive operator actions specified in the EOPs are not indicative of all possible conditions which warrant emergency classification, they define the symptoms, independent of initiating events, for which reactor plant safety and/or fission product barrier integrity are threatened. When these symptoms are clearly representative of one of the NEI Initiating Conditions, they have been utilized as an EAL. This permits rapid classification of emergency situations based on plant conditions without the need for additional evaluation or event diagnosis. Although some of the EALs presented here are based on conditions defined in the EOPs, classification of emergencies using these EALs is not dependent upon EOP entry or execution. The EALs can be utilized independently or in conjunction with the EOPs.

### 5. Symptom-Based vs. Event-Based Approach

To the extent possible, the EALs are symptom-based; that is, the action level threshold is defined by values of key plant operating parameters that identify emergency or potential emergency conditions. This approach is appropriate because it allows the full scope of variations in the types of events to be classified as emergencies. However, a purely symptom-based approach is not sufficient to address all events for which emergency classification is appropriate. Particular events to which no predetermined symptoms can be ascribed have also been utilized as EALs since they may be indicative of potentially more serious conditions not yet fully realized.

÷

Rev. 0 (draft E)

### 6. EAL Organization

#### 6.1 EAL Groups

The EAL scheme is divided into three broad groups:

- EALs applicable under <u>all</u> plant operating modes This group would be reviewed by the EAL-user any time emergency classification is considered.
- EALs applicable only under <u>hot</u> operating modes This group would only be reviewed by the EAL-user when the plant is in Hot Shutdown, Hot Standby, Startup or Power Operations operating modes.
- EALs applicable only under <u>cold</u> operating modes This group would only be reviewed by the EAL-user when the plant is in Cold Shutdown or Refueling operating modes or when the Reactor Vessel is defueled.

The purpose of the groups is to avoid review of hot condition EALs when the plant is in a cold condition and avoid review of cold condition EALs when the plant is in a hot condition. This approach significantly minimizes the total number of EALs that must be reviewed by the EAL-user for a given plant condition, reduces EAL-user reading burden and, thereby, speeds identification of the EAL that applies to the emergency.

6.2 EAL Categories and Subcategories

Within each EAL group, EALs are assigned to categories/subcategories. Category titles generally align with the EAL Recognition Categories of NEI 99-01.

Subcategory titles are selected to represent conditions that are operationally significant to the EAL-user. Subcategories are used as necessary to further divide the EALs of a category into logical sets of possible emergency classification thresholds.

Salem

The SGS EAL categories/subcategories and their relationship to NEI Recognition Categories are listed below.

SGS EALs			
Category Subcategory			
Group: Any Operating Mode:			
R – Abnormal Rad Release / Rad Effluent	<ol> <li>1 – Offsite Rad Conditions</li> <li>2 – Onsite Rad Conditions/Fuel Pool Events</li> <li>3 - CR/CAS Rad</li> </ol>		
E - ISFSI	Spent Fuel Transit		
H – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety	<ol> <li>Natural &amp; Destructive Phenomena</li> <li>Fire or Explosion</li> <li>Hazardous Gas</li> <li>Security</li> <li>Control Room Evacuation</li> <li>EC Judgment</li> </ol>		
Group: Hot Conditions:			
S – System Malfunction	<ol> <li>Loss of AC Power</li> <li>Loss of DC Power</li> <li>ATWT / Criticality</li> <li>Inability to Reach or Maintain Shutdown Conditions</li> <li>Instrumentation</li> <li>Communications</li> <li>Fuel Clad Degradation</li> <li>RCS Leakage</li> </ol>		
F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation	None		
Group: Cold Conditions:			
C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction	<ul> <li>1 - Loss of AC Power</li> <li>2 - Loss of DC Power</li> <li>3 - RCS Level</li> <li>4 - RCS Temperature</li> <li>5 - Communications</li> <li>6 - Inadvertent Criticality</li> </ul>		

;

### 7. Operating Mode Applicability

With the exception of **ISFSI** (which is not assigned an operating mode), NEI 99-01 assigns one or more operating modes to each EAL. The **ISFSI** EAL will be applicable in all operating modes at Salem Generating Station; as such, operating mode applicability is N/A for the **ISFSI** EAL.

MODE	K <sub>eff</sub>	THERMAL POWER *	T <sub>avg</sub>
1. Power Operation	≥ 0.99	> 5%	≥ 350 <sup>°</sup> F
2. Startup	≥ 0.99	≤ 5%	≥ 350°F
3. Hot Standby	< 0.99	0	≥ 350°F
4. Hot Shutdown	< 0.99	0	> 200 <sup>°</sup> F & < 350 <sup>°</sup> F
5. Cold Shutdown	< 0.99	0	≤ 200 <sup>°</sup> F
6. Refueling **	<u>&lt;</u> 0.95	0	≤ 140 <sup>°</sup> F
Defueled	NA	NA	NA – no fuel in Reactor Vessel

### 7.1 Operating Mode Definitions

- \* Excluding Decay Heat
- \*\* Fuel in the Reactor Vessel with the head closure bolts less than fully tensioned or with the head removed

### 7.3 Applicability

The plant operating mode that exists at the time that the event occurs (prior to any protective system or operator action is initiated in response to the condition) should be compared to the operating mode applicability of the EALs. If a lower or higher plant operating mode is reached before the emergency classification is made, the declaration shall be based on the operating mode that existed at the time the event occurred.

For events that occur in Cold Shutdown or Refueling, escalation is via EALs that have Cold Shutdown or Refueling for mode applicability, even if Hot Shutdown (or a higher mode) is entered during any subsequent heat-up. In particular, the fission product barrier EALs are applicable only to events that initiate in Hot Shutdown or higher.

### 8. EAL Technical Bases Organization

EAL technical bases are provided for each EAL according to:

- EAL category (R, E, H, S, F and C)
- EAL subcategory

Figures cited in EAL basis discussions are provided in Attachment 2. EAL defined terms and abbreviations and acronyms are listed in Attachments 3 and 4, respectively.

For each EAL, the following information is provided:

- EAL Category Letter & Title
- EAL Subcategory Number & Title
- Initiating Condition

Site-specific description of the generic IC given in NEI 99-01.

• Operating Mode Applicability

One or more of the following operating modes comprise the conditions to which each EAL is applicable: 1 - Power Operations, 2 – Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown, 5 - Cold Shutdown, 6 - Refueling, D - Defueled, N/A - Not Applicable or All.

For Fission Product Barrier Table bases, Operating Mode Applicability is always Operating Modes 1, 2, 3 and 4. For these EALs, the barrier threat (Loss or Potential Loss) is listed.

• EAL# and Classification Level (EAL# & Point Value for Fission Product Barrier Table EAL bases):

The EAL number is a unique identifier to support accurate communication of the emergency classification to onsite and offsite personnel. Four characters define each EAL identifier:

Category R, E, H, C and S EALs: (Example: SU7.1)

- 1. First character (letter) Corresponds to the EAL category (R, E, H, C or S)
- 2. Second character (letter) Emergency classification level: U for Unusual Event, A for Alert, S for Site Area Emergency, or G for General Emergency.
- 3. Third character (number): Subcategory number within the given category. Subcategories are sequentially numbered beginning with the number one (1).

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

Introduction

If a category does not have a subcategory, this character is assigned the number one (1).

4. Fourth character (number): The numerical sequence of the EAL within the EAL subcategory. If the subcategory has only one EAL, it is given the number one (1).

Selected EALs in Category H have been designated as "Common Site" events. These events are annotated with the phrase "(Common Site)" immediately following the classification level.

Category F Fission Product Barrier EALs: (Example CB4-P)

- 1. First and second characters (letters) identify the barrier to which the EAL applies.
  - FB: Fuel Clad Barrier
  - RB: Reactor Coolant Barrier
  - CB: Containment Barrier
- Third character (number) Sequential number beginning with the number one (1) for the first threshold in the barrier loss or potential loss of the Fission Product Barrier Table (Attachment 1)
- 3. Last character (letter) preceded by a dash (-) designates if EAL is for a potential loss or loss of the barrier in question.
  - P: Potential Loss
  - L: Loss
- EAL (enclosed in rectangle)

Exact wording of the EAL as it appears in the EAL wallcharts.

• <u>Basis</u>

The basis discussion applicable to the EAL taken from NEI 99-01.



ł

### • Explanation/Discussion/Definitions

Description of the site-specific rationale for the EAL.

• EAL Basis Reference(s)

Source documentation from which the EAL is derived. The first reference in each list gives the NEI 99-01 IC and example EAL number. A cross-reference of SGS EALs and NEI 99-01 ICs/EALs is given in Attachment 5.

### 9. **REFERENCES**

9.1 NEI 99-01 Revision 5, Methodology for Development of Emergency Action Levels, Final, February 2008 (ADAMS Accession Number of ML080450149)

- 9.2 NRC Regulatory Issue Summary (RIS) 2003-18, Supplement 2, Use of Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) 99-01, Methodology for Development of Emergency Action Levels Revision 4, Dated January 2003 (December 12, 2005) (ADAMS Accession Number of ML051450482)
- 9.3 NRC Regulatory Issue Summary (RIS) 2007-02 Clarification of NRC Guidance for Emergency Notifications During Quickly Changing Events, Dated February 2007 (ADAMS Accession Number of ML062370311)
- 9.4 Salem EAL Comparison Matrix NRC submittal document that defines differences between NEI 99-01, Rev. 05 and PSEG submitted Salem EALs

!

### EVENT CLASSIFICATION GUIDE (ECG) USE

### NOTE

It is expected the Shift Manager (SM) always serves at the Emergency Coordinator (EC) during the initiating event even if the SM is out of the control room. The Control Room Supervisor (CRS) assumes operational command and control responsibility for the shift crew but not as the EC. The CRS should ensure that the SM is immediately called back to the control room on any conditions that require ECG assessment. Only if the SM is not able (sick or hurt) may the CRS serve as the EC.

### 1. EC Judgment

The EALs described in the ECG are not all inclusive and will not identify each and every condition, parameter or event which could lead to an event classification. The following guidance should be used by the EC:

- IF an EAL has been exceeded, but satisfaction of the <u>Initiating Condition (IC) is in</u> <u>auestion</u>,
- THEN CLASSIFY the event IAW the EAL.
- IF however, it is clear that the EAL has <u>NOT</u> been exceeded (and will not),
- THEN DO NOT classify the event.
- IF an IC has been satisfied, but exceeding the specific EAL is in question,
- THEN CLASSIFY the event IAW the IC.

<u>In any case,</u>

<u>IF</u> the plant conditions are equivalent to one of the four emergency classes as described in Section 2 of EP-SC-111-201,

THEN CLASSIFY the event based on EC discretion IAW EALs in Category H.

### 2. Assessment Time

2.1 Timeliness

Assessment of an Emergency Condition should be completed in a timely manner, which is considered to be within 15 minutes of when events **are known or should have been known**. If an EAL specifies a duration time (e.g., loss of annunciators for 15 minutes or longer), the assessment time runs concurrently with the EAL duration time and is the same length.



### 2.2 Duration Time Exceeded

If an event is recognized or reported and the required duration time is known to have already been exceeded, the duration portion of the EAL should be considered as being satisfied and the assessment time for the remaining portions of the EAL should be within 15 minutes from the time of recognition.

### 3. Implementing Actions

The ECG is not a stand-alone document. At times, the ECG will refer the user to other attachments or procedures for accomplishment of specific evolutions such as: Accountability, Recovery, development of PARs, etc.

The ECG should be considered an "Implementing Procedure" and used in accordance with the requirements of a Level 2 – Reference Use procedure as defined in HU-AA-104-101, Procedure Use and Adherence. The ECG classification sections allow for judgment and decision making as to whether or not an EAL is exceeded.

### NOTE Comparison of redundant instrumentation, indications, and/or alarms should be used to confirm actual plant conditions.

### 4. Classification

The primary tools for determining the emergency classification level are the EAL wallcharts. The user of the EAL wallcharts may (but is not required to) consult the EAL Technical Bases in order to obtain additional information concerning the EALs under classification consideration. To use the EAL wallcharts, follow this sequence:

1 . E

- 1. Assess the event and/or plant conditions and determine which EAL Group is most appropriate.
- 2. Review EAL categories and subcategories on the appropriate wallcharts.
- 3. For each applicable subcategory, review EALs in the subcategory beginning with the highest emergency classification level to the lowest classification level (left to right).
- 4. If the HOT conditions wallchart is employed, also review the Fission Product Barrier (FPB) Table (Wallchart sheet 3) as follows:
  - a. Examine the FPB categories in the left column of the table.
  - b. Select the category that most likely coincides with event conditions.
  - c. Review all thresholds in this category for each fission product barrier.
  - d. For each threshold that is exceeded, identify its point value and determine the classification level in accordance with the instructions on the Fission Product Barrier Table (or in EAL Technical Basis, Attachment 1).

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)



### NOTE

The Emergency Coordinator should classify and declare an emergency before an Emergency Action Level (EAL) is exceeded if, in the EC's judgment, it is determined that the EAL will be exceeded within 2 hours

 REVIEW the associated EALs as compared to the event and SELECT the <u>highest</u> appropriate emergency. If identification of an EAL is questionable refer to paragraph 1 above.

If there is any doubt with regard to assessment of a particular EAL, the <u>ECG EAL</u> <u>Technical Basis Document</u> should be reviewed. Words contained in an EAL that appear in uppercase and bold print (e.g., **VALID**) are defined at the end of the basis for the EAL. Words or numbers contained in an EAL that are in bold print but not uppercase are EAL threshold values (e.g.,  $\geq$  15 minutes).

- If an EAL has been exceeded, equal level EALs or lower level EALs are not required to be seperately reported as long as the applicable information is communicated to the NRC using ECG Attachment 5, EP-SC-111-F5, NRC Data Sheet Completion Reference.
- 7. When the Shift Manager (SM) is the Emergency Coordinator, the Shift Technical Advisor (STA) is responsible to perform an independent verification of the EAL classification. The STA verification does not alleviate the requirement of the SM to make a timely classification. Should the SM fill the STA role, independent verification of the EAL classification will be delegated to another on-shift SRO, the Independent Assessor.
- 8. Identify and implement the referenced ECG form based on the Emergency Classification Level.

0	Unusual Event	Implement EC-SC-111-F1
0	Alert	Implement EC-SC-111-F2
0	Site Area Emergency	Implement EC-SC-111-F3
0	General Emergency	Implement EC-SC-111-F4
0	Unusual Event (Common Site)	Implement EC-SC-111-F8

9. Continue assessment after classification and attachment initiation, by returning to the EAL wallcharts to review EALs that may result in escalation/de-escalation of the emergency level.



### 5. Emergency Short Duration Events

- 1. A Short Duration emergency event is a transitory event that meets or exceeds one or more EALs for less than 15 minutes (i.e., action is taken and the plant returned to a condition in which no EAL applies). For a Short Duration event the Control Room Staff is aware of the event and realizes that an EAL had been exceeded.
- 2. Short Duration events that occur will be assessed and emergency classification made, if appropriate, within 15 minutes of control room indications or the receipt of the information, indicating that an EAL has or had been exceeded. This classification is to be made even if no EALs are currently being exceeded (i.e., actions have been taken to stabilize the Plant such that no EALs currently apply).
- 3. For some events, the condition may be corrected before a declaration has been made. The key consideration in this situation is to determine whether or not further plant damage occurred while the corrective actions were being taken. In some situations, this can be readily determined, in other situations, further analyses (e.g., coolant radiochemistry sampling, may be necessary). Classify the event as indicated and terminate the emergency once assessment shows that there were no consequences from the event and other termination criteria are met.
- 4. Guidance for classifying transient events addresses the period of time of event recognition and classification (15 minutes). However, in cases when EAL declaration criteria may be met momentarily during the normal expected response of the plant, declaration requirements should not be considered to be met when the conditions are a part of the designed plant response, or result from appropriate Operator actions.

### 6. Conditions Discovered After-the-Fact

There may be cases in which a plant condition that exceeded an EAL was not recognized at the time of occurrence but is identified well after the condition has occurred (e.g., as a result of routine log or record review), and the condition no longer exists. In these cases, an emergency should not be declared. Reporting requirements of 10 CFR 50.72 are applicable and the guidance of NUREG-1022, Rev. 2, Section 3, should be applied.

- 1. An After-the-Fact event is defined as an event that exceeded an EAL threshold and was not recognized at the time of occurrence but is identified greater than 1 hour after the condition has occurred (e.g., as a result of a routine log review, record review, post trip review, engineering evaluation) and the condition no longer exists.
- 2. For an After-the-Fact event the Control Room Staff was either not aware of the event or did not realize that an EAL was exceeded at the time of the occurrence.
- 3. Plant emergency events that are in progress or have occurred with ongoing adverse consequences/effects should not be considered After-the-Fact events and should therefore be classified and declared as an ongoing emergency event.



. •

4. EMERGENCY CONDITIONS - After-the-Fact events that occur will be assessed and evaluated to ensure that no EAL currently applies. An emergency declaration is NOT required and a non-emergency, One-Hour Report should be initiated in accordance with non-emergency RALs in the ECG.

### 7. NRC Communications During An Emergency Guidance

- 1. Complete and accurate communications with the NRC Operations Center during emergencies is required and expected. The purpose of notifying the NRC within one-hour of an emergency, is to provide event information when immediate NRC action may be required to protect the public health and safety <u>OR</u> when the NRC needs accurate and timely information to respond to heightened public concern. If the information we provide is not accurate or does not contain sufficient detail, then we hamper the NRC from doing their job.
- 2. The NRC Data Sheet, along with the Initial Contact Message Form, is the primary vehicle to ensure the NRC is kept informed. General Guidance on completing the event description portion of the NRC Data Sheet is provided in the NRC Data Sheet (ECG Attachment 5).

### 8. Event Retraction Guidance

- IF an ENS notification to the NRC was made as directed by the applicable ECG Attachment <u>AND</u> it is later determined that the event or condition is <u>not</u> reportable,
- <u>THEN</u> the notification may be retracted as follows:
- 1. OBTAIN both the Operations Shift Manager's and Shift Manager's approval of any proposed retractions. Ensure Reg Assurance is consulted prior to approval to retract an Event.
- 2. COMPLETE "page 1" of ECG Attachment, EP-SC-111-F5, NRC Datasheet Completion Reference, providing a retraction of the original notification. Event Description Section of NRC Data Sheet should explain the rationale for the retraction.
- 3. NOTIFY the NRC Operations Center and NRC Resident Inspector.
- 4. RECORD on the "NRC Data Sheet" the name of the NRC contact that received the retraction information.
- 5. FORWARD the retraction "NRC Data Sheet" with the rest of the original attachment of the ECG that was implemented when the original notification was made to the Operations Shift Manager.

2

### 9. Common Site Events Guidance

- 1. Selected EALs in Category H (Unusual Event level only) have been designated as "Common Site" events. These events will be annotated with the words "Common Site" just below the mode applicability line in the wallcharts and next to the classification level in the EAL Bases document.
- 2. The Common Site UE ECG Attachment 8, EP-SC-111-F8, Declaration of "Common Site" UE, will direct the SM to establish agreement on which SM will declare and report the event. Therefore, either Salem or Hope Creek will report Common Site Unusual Events, but not both.
- 3. Events classified at an Alert or higher level require plant specific information to be provided to the states of New Jersey and Delaware, the NRC, and to PSEG Emergency Response Facilities and therefore will not be classified as common site events.

### 10. EAL Classification Considerations

- 1. Planned evolutions involve preplanning to address the limitations imposed by the condition, the performance of required surveillance testing, and the implementation of specific controls prior to knowingly entering the condition in accordance with the specific requirements of the SGS Technical Specifications. Activities which cause the site to operate beyond that allowed by the SGS Technical Specifications, planned or unplanned, may result in an EAL threshold being met or exceeded. Planned evolutions to test, manipulate, repair, perform maintenance or modifications to systems and equipment that result in an EAL value being met or exceeded are not subject to classification and activation requirements as long as the evolution proceeds as planned and is within the operational limitations imposed by the specific operating license. However, these conditions may be subject to the reporting requirements of 10 CFR 50.72.
- All classifications are to be based upon VALID indications, reports or conditions. Indications, reports or conditions are considered VALID when they are verified by (1) an instrument channel check, or (2) indications on related or redundant indications, or (3) by direct observation by plant personnel, such that doubt related to the indication's operability, the condition's existence, or the report's accuracy is removed. Implicit in this definition is the need for timely assessment.
- 3. Although the majority of the EALs provide very specific thresholds, the Emergency Coordinator must remain alert to events or conditions that lead to the conclusion that exceeding the EAL is IMMINENT. If, in the judgment of the Emergency Coordinator, an IMMINENT situation is at hand, the classification should be made as if the threshold has been exceeded. While this is particularly prudent at the higher emergency classification levels (as the early classification may provide for more effective implementation of protective measures), it is nonetheless applicable to all emergency classification levels.

Salem

Page 6 of 8

Rev. 0 (draft E)



- 4. When multiple simultaneous events occur, the emergency classification level is based on the highest EAL reached. For example, two Alerts remain in the Alert category. Or, an Alert and a Site Area Emergency is a Site Area Emergency. Further guidance is provided in RIS 2007-02, Clarification of NRC Guidance for Emergency Notifications During Quickly Changing Events.
- 5. Another important aspect of usable EAL guidance is the consideration of what to do when the risk posed by an emergency is clearly decreasing. A combination approach involving recovery from General Emergencies and some Site Area Emergencies and termination from Unusual Events, Alerts, and certain Site Area Emergencies causing no long term plant damage appears to be the best choice. Downgrading to lower emergency classification levels adds notifications but may have merit under certain circumstances. Refer to procedure NC.EP-EP.ZZ-0405, Emergency Termination – Reduction – Recovery, for detailed directions.
- 6. The logic used for the Fission Product Barrier EALs reflects the following considerations:
  - The Fuel Clad Barrier and the RCS Barrier are weighted more heavily than the Primary Containment Barrier. Unusual Events associated with RCS and Fuel Clad Barriers are addressed under EALs in Category S, System Malfunctions.
  - The ability to escalate to higher emergency classification levels as an event deteriorates must be maintained. For example, RCS leakage steadily increasing would represent an increasing risk to public health and safety.
  - The Primary Containment Barrier should not be declared lost or potentially lost based on exceeding Technical Specification action statement criteria, unless there is an event in progress requiring mitigation by the Primary Containment barrier. When no event is in progress (Loss or Potential Loss of either Fuel Clad and/or RCS barrier) the Primary Containment Barrier status is addressed by Technical Specifications.
- 7. Since Salem is a multi-unit station with shared safety-related system and functions, emergency classification level upgrading must also consider the effects of a loss of a common system on more than one unit (e.g., potential for radioactive release from more than one core). For example, the control panels for both units in close proximity within the same room. Thus, Control Room evacuation most likely would affect both units. There are a number of other systems and functions which may be shared. This must be considered in the emergency classification level declaration.
- 8. SGS and HCGS share a common **ISFSI**. Classification of events related to spent fuel stored at the **ISFSI** appear only in the HCGS EAL scheme. Classification of events related to the transfer of spent fuel from SGS to the **ISFSI** are addressed in the SGS EAL scheme (EAL EU1.1).

### This page intentionally blank

na series de la serie de la Recentra de la serie de la s Recentra de la serie de la s

e de la servicie de l Servicie de la servic Servicie de la servic

Rev. 0 (draft E) Usage

## EALs for:

# Abnormal Radiological Levels



ISFSI

EAL Category:	R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent			
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Offsite Rad Conditions			
Initiating Condition:	Any release of gaseous or liquid radioactivity to the environment greater than 2 times the ODCM for 60 minute or longer			
Mode Applicability:	All			
EAL# & Classification Level:	RU1.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT			
EAL:				
VALID gaseous monitor reading > Table R-1 column "UE"				
AND				
≥ 60 minutes have elapsed (Not	e 2)			
Note 2: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the release duration has exceeded, or will likely exceed, the applicable time. In the absence of data to the contrary, assume that the release duration has exceeded the applicable time if an ongoing release is detected and the release start time is unknown.				

1

	Table R-1 Effluent Monitor Classification Thresholds*					
	Release Point	Monitor	GE	SAE	ALERT	UE
Gaseous	Plant Vent Effluent Noble Gas Unit 1 + Unit 2	1R41D + 2R41D <u>OR</u> SPDS combined release rate	8.48E+09 µCi/sec	8.48E+08 µCi/sec	4.84E+07 µCi/sec	4.84E+05 µCi/sec
Liquid	Containment Fan Coil Process	1(2)R13A/B			1.64E+05 cpm	1.64E+03 cpm
	Liquid Radwaste	1R18	A12 11		N/A See EAL RA1.3	U1= 5.50E+05 cpm
	Disposal Process	2R18				U2= 9.90E+05 cpm
	Steam Generator	1R19A-D			U1= 6.40E+05 cpm	U1= 6.40E+03 cpm
	Blowdown Process	2R19A-D			U2= 8.30E+05 cpm	U2= 8.30E+03 cpm
	Non-Rad Liquid Waste	2R37			3.60E+05 cpm	3.60E+03 cpm

\* For high radiation conditions on Letdown Line Monitor 1R31A (2R31), refer to EAL SU7.1

Basis: This EAL addresses a potential decrease in the level of safety of the plant as indicated by a radiological release that exceeds regulatory commitments for an extended period of time.

Nuclear power plants incorporate features intended to control the release of radioactive effluents to the environment. Further, there are administrative controls established to prevent unintentional releases, or control and monitor intentional releases. The occurrence of extended, uncontrolled radioactive releases to the environment is indicative of a degradation in these features and/or controls.

The threshold value that equates to a multiple of two times the ODCM limits is specified in EAL RU1.1 only to distinguish between non-emergency conditions. While this multiple obviously corresponds to an off-site dose or dose rate, the emphasis in classifying this event is the degradation in the level of safety of the plant, not the magnitude of the associated dose or dose rate

This EAL addresses radioactivity releases, that for whatever reason, cause effluent radiation monitor readings to exceed the threshold identified in the EAL.

This EAL is intended for sites that have established effluent monitoring on non-routine release pathways for which a discharge permit would not normally be prepared.

Salem
#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The column "UE" gaseous release value in Table R-1 (Unit 1 + Unit 2) represents two times the associated effluent monitor alarm setpoint. This setpoint is set to preclude exceeding the ODCM release rate limits associated with the specified monitor.

The plant vent monitors (R41) sample and detect noble gases and collect samples of particulates and iodine discharge through the plant vent. Channel D (R41D) provides the gaseous effluent release rate ( $\mu$ Ci/sec) by combining (product of) the on-range R41A through R41C with plant vent flow (cc/sec).

#### Definitions:

**VALID**: An indication, report, or condition, is considered to be **VALID** when it is verified by (1) an instrument channel check, or (2) indications on related or redundant indicators, or (3) by direct observation by plant personnel, such that doubt related to the indicator's operability, the condition's existence, or the report's accuracy is removed. Implicit in this definition is the need for timely assessment.

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AG1 Example EAL #1
- 2. Salem Radiological EAL Setpoint Calculation Document NEI 99-01 Rev. 5 EALs (Attachment 6)
- 3. FSAR Section 11.4 Radiological Monitoring
- 4. PSBP 315733(4) Radiation Monitoring System Control Manual

#### This page intentionally blank

> Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RU1.1

Page 4 of 4

ł

*i* 

EAL Category:	R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent			
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Offsite Rad Conditions			
Initiating Condition:	Any release of gaseous or liquid radioactivity to the environment greater than 2 times the ODCM for 60 minute or longer			
EAL# & Classification Level:	RU1.2 – UNUSUAL EVENT			
Mode Applicability:	All			
EAL:				
ANY VALID liquid monitor readin	g > Table R-1 column "UE"			
AND				
≥ 60 minutes have elapsed (Not	e 2)			
Note 2: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the release duration has exceeded, or will likely exceed, the applicable time. In the absence of data to the contrary, assume that the release duration has exceeded the applicable time if an ongoing release is detected and the release start time is unknown.				

1

	Table R-1 Effluent Monitor Classification Thresholds*					
	Release Point	Monitor	GE	SAE	ALERT	UE
Gaseous	Plant Vent Effluent Noble Gas Unit 1 + Unit 2	1R41D + 2R41D <u>OR</u> SPDS combined release rate	8.48E+09 µCi/sec	8.48E+08 µCi/sec	4.84E+07 µCi/sec	4.84E+05 µCi/sec
Liquid	Containment Fan Coil Process	1(2)R13A/B			1.64E+05 cpm	1.64E+03 cpm
	Liquid Radwaste	1R18			N/A	U1= 5.50E+05 cpm
	Disposal Process	2R18			See EAL RA1.3	U2= 9.90E+05 cpm
	Steam Generator	1R19A-D			U1= 6.40E+05 cpm	U1= 6.40E+03 cpm
	Blowdown Process	2R19A-D			U2= 8.30E+05 cpm	U2= 8.30E+03 cpm
	Non-Rad Liquid Waste	2R37			3.60E+05 cpm	3.60E+03 cpm

\* For high radiation conditions on Letdown Line Monitor 1R31A (2R31), refer to EAL SU7.1

Basis: This EAL addresses a potential decrease in the level of safety of the plant as indicated by a

radiological release that exceeds regulatory commitments for an extended period of time.

Nuclear power plants incorporate features intended to control the release of radioactive effluents to the environment. Further, there are administrative controls established to prevent unintentional releases, or control and monitor intentional releases. The occurrence of extended, uncontrolled radioactive releases to the environment is indicative of a degradation in these features and/or controls.

The threshold values that equate to a multiple of two times the ODCM limits are specified in EAL RU1.2 only to distinguish between non-emergency conditions. While this multiple obviously corresponds to an off-site dose or dose rate, the emphasis in classifying this event is the degradation in the level of safety of the plant, not the magnitude of the associated dose or dose rate.

This EAL addresses radioactivity releases, that for whatever reason, cause effluent radiation monitor readings to exceed the threshold identified in the EAL.

This EAL is intended for sites that have established effluent monitoring on non-routine liquid release pathways for which a discharge permit would not normally be prepared (Containment Fan Coil, SG Blowdown & Chemical Waste Basin) as well as planned batch releases for which a radioactivity discharge permit is prepared (Liquid Radwaste Disposal).

Salem

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The column "UE" liquid release values in Table R-1 represent two (2) times the High Alarm setpoints (except 2R18 which is 1.5 times) associated with the specified monitors. The High Alarm setpoints are obtained from channel calibrations procedures as listed in the reference section of this bases.

Instrumentation that may be used to assess this EAL is listed below:

• Containment Fan Coil Process 1(2)R13A/B (Upper Range is 1.00E+06 cpm)

Service water is used as the cooling medium for the containment fan coil units (CFCUs) and could be contaminated if the cooling coil leaks with containment pressure above Service Water pressure. Since the Service Water System discharges into Circ Water and then back to the river, the fan cooler units will be indirectly monitored for radioactivity. This is done through the use of two monitors for the five fan coolers. The two monitors sample two of the three Circ Water headers that contain Service Water used to cool the CFCUs just before it discharges back to the river. Alarms on these monitors would be indicative of a CFCU leak but could also be associated with other systems including from the pathways monitored by the R18s, R19s and the R37, which also discharge into Circ Water and are monitor along with any R18s, R19s or R37 monitor, then the source of the Rad effluent may not be a CFCU leak and further investigation would be warranted. However, exceeding the EAL threshold value for  $\geq 60$  minutes should result in Unusual Event classification even if the exact source remains questionable.

• Liquid Radwaste Disposal Process 1(2)R18 (Upper Range is 1.00E+06 cpm)

This channel continuously monitors all Waste Disposal System liquid releases from the plant. Automatic valve closure action is initiated by this monitor when a high radiation level is indicated and alarmed in the Control Room. Liquid Radwaste discharges to Circ Water which then discharges to the Delaware River.

This Unit 1 EAL threshold is based on 2 times the High Alarm Set Point as defined in the Channel Calibration procedure.

This Unit 2 EAL threshold is based on a value that is approximately 1.5 times the High Alarm Set Point as defined in the Channel Calibration procedure which ensures that the threshold value is within the upper range of the monitor.

Since the ranges of the 1(2) R18s monitors do not support EAL threshold values of 200 times the high alarm value, no Alert threshold is provided on Table R-1. If the release pathway could not be isolated as expected, the EC should refer to EAL RA1.3 for Alert threshold values based on sample analysis.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

• Steam Generator Blowdown Process 1(2)R19A-D (Upper Range is 1.00E+06 cpm)

Each of these channels (four channels per unit) monitors the liquid phase of the steam generators for radioactivity, which would indicate a primary-to-secondary system leak. The four steam generator blowdown sample lines each have a radiation monitor. A high radiation alarm signal will close the No. 12 (22) steam generator blowdown tank inlet valves and the steam generator blowdown isolation valves on the affected steam generator.

• Non-Rad Liquid Waste 2R37 (Upper Range is 1.00E+06 cpm)

The non-radwaste basin provides a potential release path due to the fact that steam generator blowdown is directed to the basin during plant startup. This monitor provides for continuous monitoring of the discharge from the non-radwaste basin. Non-Rad Liquid Waste discharges to Circ Water which then discharges to the Delaware River.

Definitions:

VALID: An indication, report, or condition, is considered to be VALID when it is verified by (1) an instrument channel check, or (2) indications on related or redundant indicators, or (3) by direct observation by plant personnel, such that doubt related to the indicator's operability, the condition's existence, or the report's accuracy is removed. Implicit in this definition is the need for timely assessment.

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AU1 Example EAL #2
- 2. Salem ODCM Section 3.3.8 Radioactive Liquid Effluent Monitoring Instrumentation
- 3. Salem ODCM Figures 1-1 and 1-2, Liquid Release Flow paths for Unit 1 and Unit 2
- 4. UFSAR Section 11.4 Radiation Monitoring
- 5. PSBP 315733(4) Radiation Monitoring System Control Manual
- 6. S1(S2).IC-CC.RM-0097/98, Channel Cal for 1/2R13A/B
- 7. S1(S2).IC-CC.RM-0028, Channel Cal for 1/2R18
- 8. S1(S2).IC-CC.RM-0029/30/31/32, Channel Cal for 1/2R19A/B/C/D

• •

9. S2.IC-CC.RM-0060, Channel Cal for 2R37



EAL Category:	R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Offsite Rad Conditions
Initiating Condition:	Any release of gaseous or liquid radioactivity to the environment greater than 2 times the ODCM for 60 minutes or longer
EAL# & Classification Level:	RU1.3 – UNUSUAL EVENT
Mode Applicability:	All

#### EAL:

Confirmed sample analyses for gaseous or liquid releases indicate concentrations or release rates > Table R-2 column "UE"

#### <u>AND</u>

≥ 60 minutes have elapsed (Note 2)

Note 2: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the release duration has exceeded, or will likely exceed, the applicable time. In the absence of data to the contrary, assume that the release duration has exceeded the applicable time if an ongoing release is detected and the release start time is unknown.

•	Table R-2 Effluent Sample Classification Thresholds						
	Release Point	Sample	ALERT	UE			
s		NG	6.40E-01 μCi/cc	6.40E-03 µCi/cc			
aseou	Plant Vent	I-131	5.60E-05 µCi/cc	5.60E-07 µCi/cc			
υ	Unmonitored	Isotopic	200 x ODCM 3/4.11.2	2 x ODCM 3/4.11.2			
	Containment Fan Coil	Isotopic	200 x ODCM 3/4.11.1	2 x ODCM 3/4.11.1			
id	Liquid Radwaste Disposal	Isotopic	200 x ODCM 3/4.11.1	2 x ODCM 3/4.11.1			
-iqu	Steam Generator Blowdown	Isotopic	200 x ODCM 3/4.11.1	2 x ODCM 3/4.11.1			
	Chemical Waste Basin	Isotopic	200 x ODCM 3/4.11.1	2 x ODCM 3/4.11.1			
	Unmonitored	Isotopic	200 x ODCM 3/4.11.1	2 x ODCM 3/4.11.1			

#### Basis:

This EAL addresses a potential decrease in the level of safety of the plant as indicated by a radiological release that exceeds regulatory commitments for an extended period of time.

Nuclear power plants incorporate features intended to control the release of radioactive effluents to the environment. Further, there are administrative controls established to prevent unintentional releases, or control and monitor intentional releases. The occurrence of extended, uncontrolled radioactive releases to the environment is indicative of a degradation in these features and/or controls.

The multiple of two times the ODCM limits is specified in EAL RU1.3 only to distinguish between non-emergency conditions. While this multiple obviously corresponds to an off-site dose or dose rate, the emphasis in classifying this event is the degradation in the level of safety of the plant, not the magnitude of the associated dose or dose rate.

This EAL includes any release for which a radioactivity discharge permit was not prepared, or a release that exceeds the conditions (e.g., minimum dilution flow, maximum discharge flow, alarm setpoints, etc.) on the applicable permit for  $\geq$  60 minutes. • • ...

This EAL addresses uncontrolled releases that are detected by sample analyses, particularly on unmonitored pathways, e.g., spills of radioactive liquids into storm drains, heat exchanger leakage in river water systems, etc. 

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Releases in excess of two times the site Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM) Section 3/4.11.1 or 3/4.11.2 limits that continue for 60 minutes or longer represent an uncontrolled situation and hence, a potential degradation in the level of safety. The final integrated dose (which is very low in the UNUSUAL EVENT emergency class) is not the primary concern here; it is the degradation in plant control implied by the fact that the release was not isolated within 60 minutes.

en Maria e la compañía de Maria Maria de Compañía Maria de Compañía de Compañía de Compañía de Compañía de Compañía

Table R-2 provides calculated radiological release noble gas and iodine sample concentrations that equate to a release that is 2 times the ODCM limit (Section 3/4.11.2) of 500 mRem/year as well as specifying liquid release effluent sample streams 2 times the ODCM limits (Section 3/4.11.1).

Each Salem unit has a single gaseous release point (Plant Vent) for which a sample concentration threshold has been calculated.

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AU1 Example EAL #3
- 2. Off-Site Dose Calculation Manual, Section 3/4.11.1 Liquid Effluents
- 3. Off-Site Dose Calculation Manual, Section 3/4.11.2 Gaseous Effluents
- 4. Salem Radiological EAL Setpoint Calculation Document NEI 99-01 Rev. 5 EALs (Attachment 6)

#### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

. .

:

This page intentionally blank

Rev. 0 (draft E) eal#: RU1.3

EAL Category:	R Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent					
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Offsite Rad Conditions					
Initiating Condition:	Any release of gaseous or liquid radioactivity to the environment greater than 200 times the ODCM for 15 minutes or longer					
Mode Applicability:	All					
EAL# & Classification Level:	RA1.1 – ALERT					
EAL:						
VALID gaseous monitor reading > Table R-1 column "ALERT"						
AND						
≥ 15 minutes have elapsed (Note 2)						

Note 2: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the release duration has exceeded, or will likely exceed, the applicable time. In the absence of data to the contrary, assume that the release duration has exceeded the applicable time if an ongoing release is detected and the release start time is unknown.

į.

	Table R-1 Effluent Monitor Classification Thresholds*					
	Release Point	Monitor	GE	SAE	ALERT	UE
Gaseous	Plant Vent Effluent Noble Gas Unit 1 + Unit 2	1R41D + 2R41D <u>OR</u> SPDS combined release rate	8.48E+09 µCi/sec	8.48E+08 µCi/sec	4.84E+07 µCi/sec	4.84E+05 µCi/sec
	Containment Fan Coil Process	1(2)R13A/B			1.64E+05 cpm	1.64E+03 cpm
	Liquid Radwaste	1R18			N/A	U1= 5.50E+05 cpm
Liquid	Disposal Process	2R18			See EAL RA1.3	U2= 9.90E+05 cpm
	Steam Generator	1R19A-D			U1= 6.40E+05 cpm	U1= 6.40E+03 cpm
	Blowdown Process	2R19A-D			U2= 8.30E+05 cpm	U2= 8.30E+03 cpm
	Non-Rad Liquid Waste	2R37			3.60E+05 cpm	3.60E+03 cpm

\* For high radiation conditions on Letdown Line Monitor 1R31A (2R31), refer to EAL SU7.1

Basis:

This EAL addresses an actual or substantial potential decrease in the level of safety of the plant as indicated by a radiological release that exceeds regulatory commitments for an extended period of time.

Nuclear power plants incorporate features intended to control the release of radioactive effluents to the environment. Further, there are administrative controls established to prevent unintentional releases, or control and monitor intentional releases. The occurrence of extended, uncontrolled radioactive releases to the environment is indicative of a degradation in these features and/or controls.

The threshold value that equates to a multiple of two hundred times the ODCM limits is specified in EAL RA1.1 only to distinguish between non-emergency conditions. While this multiple obviously corresponds to an off-site dose or dose rate, the emphasis in classifying this event is the degradation in the level of safety of the plant, not the magnitude of the associated dose or dose rate.

This EAL is intended for sites that have established effluent monitoring on non-routine release pathways for which a discharge permit would not normally be prepared.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The column "ALERT" gaseous release value in Table R-1 (Unit 1 + Unit 2) represents two hundred times the associated effluent monitor alarm setpoint. This setpoint is set to preclude exceeding the ODCM release rate limits associated with the specified monitor.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)



The plant vent monitors (R41) sample and detect noble gases and collect samples of particulates and iodine discharge through the plant vent. Channel D (R41D) provides the gaseous effluent release rate ( $\mu$ Ci/sec) by combining (product of) the on-range R41A through R41C with plant vent flow (cc/sec).

Definitions:

**VALID**: An indication, report, or condition, is considered to be **VALID** when it is verified by (1) an instrument channel check, or (2) indications on related or redundant indicators, or (3) by direct observation by plant personnel, such that doubt related to the indicator's operability, the condition's existence, or the report's accuracy is removed. Implicit in this definition is the need for timely assessment.

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AA1 Example EAL #1
- 2. Salem Radiological EAL Setpoint Calculation Document NEI 99-01 Rev. 5 EALs (Attachment 6)
- 3. UFSAR Section 11.4 Radiological Monitoring
- 4. PSBP 315733(4) Radiation Monitoring System Control Manual

#### This page intentionally blank

Salem

,

-

EAL Category:	R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent		
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Offsite Rad Conditions		
Initiating Condition:	Any release of gaseous or liquid radioactivity to the environment greater than 200 times the ODCM for 15 minutes or longer		
EAL# & Classification Level:	RA1.2 – ALERT		
Mode Applicability:	All		
EAL:			
ANY VALID liquid monitor readin	ng <b>&gt; Table R-1</b> column "ALERT"		
AND			
≥ 15 minutes have elapsed (Not	e 2)		
Note 2: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the release duration has exceeded, or will likely exceed, the applicable time. In the absence of data to the contrary, assume that the release duration has exceeded the applicable time if an ongoing release is detected and the release start time is unknown.			

:

- 	Table R-1 Effluent Monitor Classification Thresholds*					
- 9 - 5	Release Point	Monitor	GE	SAE	ALERT	UE
Gaseous	Plant Vent Effluent Noble Gas Unit 1 + Unit 2	1R41D + 2R41D <u>OR</u> SPDS combined release rate	8.48E+09 µCi/sec	8.48E+08 µCi/sec	4.84E+07 µCi/sec	4.84Ė+05 µCi/sec
	Containment Fan Coil Process	1(2)R13A/B			1.64E+05 cpm	1.64E+03 cpm
	Liquid Radwaste	1R18			N/A See EAL RA1.3	U1= 5.50E+05 cpm
quid	Disposal Process	2R18				U2= 9.90E+05 cpm
	Steam Generator	1R19A-D		-	U1= 6.40E+05 cpm	U1= 6.40E+03 cpm
	Blowdown Process	2R19A-D			U2= 8.30E+05 cpm	U2= 8.30E+03 cpm
	Non-Rad Liquid Waste	2R37			3.60E+05 cpm	3.60E+03 cpm

\* For high radiation conditions on Letdown Line Monitor 1R31A (2R31), refer to EAL SU7.1

## Basis: Alternative against and the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the second of the constitution of t

This EAL addresses an actual or substantial potential decrease in the level of safety of the plant as indicated by a radiological release that exceeds regulatory commitments for an extended period of time.

Nuclear power plants incorporate features intended to control the release of radioactive effluents to the environment. Further, there are administrative controls established to prevent unintentional releases, or control and monitor intentional releases. The occurrence of extended, uncontrolled radioactive releases to the environment is indicative of a degradation in these features and/or controls.

The threshold values that equate to a multiple of two hundred times the ODCM limits are specified in EAL RA1.2 only to distinguish between non-emergency conditions. While this multiple obviously corresponds to an off-site dose or dose rate, the emphasis in classifying this event is the degradation in the level of safety of the plant, not the magnitude of the associated dose or dose rate.

This EAL includes any release for which a radioactivity discharge permit was not prepared, or a release that exceeds the conditions (e.g., minimum dilution flow, maximum discharge flow, alarm setpoints, etc.) on the applicable permit.

This EAL is intended for sites that have established effluent monitoring on non-routine release pathways for which a discharge permit would not normally be prepared (Containment Fan Coil, SG Blowdown & Chemical Waste Basin) as well as planned batch releases for which a radioactivity discharge permit is prepared (Liquid Radwaste Disposal).

Salem

......

· .

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RA1.2

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

With the exception of the R18 monitors, the "ALERT" column liquid release values in Table R-1 represent two hundred (200) times the High Alarm setpoints associated with the specified monitors. The High Alarm setpoints are obtained from channel calibrations procedures as listed in the reference section of this bases.

Instrumentation that may be used to assess this EAL is listed below:

• Containment Fan Coil Process 1(2)R13A/B (Upper Range is 1.00E+06 cpm)

Service water is used as the cooling medium for the containment fan coil units (CFCUs) and could be contaminated if the cooling coil leaks with containment pressure above Service Water pressure. Since the Service Water System discharges into Circ Water and then back to the river, the fan cooler units will be indirectly monitored for radioactivity. This is done through the use of two monitors for the five fan coolers. The two monitors sample two of the three Circ Water headers that contain Service Water used to cool the CFCUs just before it discharges back to the river. Alarms on these monitors would be indicative of a CFCU leak but could also be associated with other systems including from the pathways monitored by the R18s, R19s and the R37, which also discharge into Circ Water and are monitor along with any R18s, R19s or R37 monitor, then the source of the Rad effluent may not be a CFCU leak and further investigation would be warranted. However, exceeding the EAL threshold value for  $\geq 15$  minutes should result in an Alert classification even if the exact source remains questionable.

• Liquid Radwaste Disposal Process 1(2)R18 (Upper Range is 1.00E+06 cpm)

Since the ranges of the 1(2) R18s monitors do not support EAL threshold values of 200 times the high alarm value, no Alert threshold is provided on Table R-1. If the release pathway could not be isolated as expected, the EC should refer to EAL RA1.3 for Alert threshold values based on sample analysis.

This channel continuously monitors all Waste Disposal System liquid releases from the plant. Automatic valve closure action is initiated by this monitor when a high radiation level is indicated and alarmed in the Control Room. Liquid Radwaste discharges to Circ Water which then discharges to the Delaware River.

• Steam Generator Blowdown Process 1(2)R19A-D (Upper Range is 1.00E+06 cpm)

Each of these channels (four channels per unit) monitors the liquid phase of the steam generators for radioactivity, which would indicate a primary-to-secondary system leak. The four steam generator blowdown sample lines each have a radiation monitor. A high radiation alarm signal will close the No. 12 (22) steam generator blowdown tank inlet

. . . .

valves and the steam generator blowdown isolation valves on the affected steam generator.

Non-Rad Liquid Waste 2R37 (Upper Range is 1.00E+06 cpm) 0

The non-radwaste basin provides a potential release path due to the fact that steam generator blowdown is directed to the basin during plant startup. This monitor provides for continuous monitoring of the discharge from the non-radwaste basin. Non-Rad Liquid Waste discharges to Circ Water which then discharges to the Delaware River.

#### Definitions:

VALID: An indication, report, or condition, is considered to be VALID when it is verified by (1) an instrument channel check, or (2) indications on related or redundant indicators, or (3) by direct observation by plant personnel, such that doubt related to the indicator's operability, the condition's existence, or the report's accuracy is removed. Implicit in this definition is the need for timely assessment.

# EAL Basis Reference(s):

· . ·

- EAL Basis Reference(s): 1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AA1 Example EAL #2
- 2. Salem ODCM Section 3.3.8 Radioactive Liquid Effluent Monitoring Instrumentation

- 3. Salem ODCM Figures 1-1 and 1-2, Liquid Release Flow paths for Unit 1 and Unit 2 and the second second
- 4. UFSAR Section 11.4 Radiation Monitoring
- 5. PSBP 315733(4) Radiation Monitoring System Control Manual
- 6. S1(S2).IC-CC.RM-0097/98, Channel Cal for 1/2R13A/B
- 7. S1(S2).IC-CC.RM-0028, Channel Cal for 1/2R18
- 8. S1(S2).IC-CC.RM-0029/30/31/32, Channel Cal for 1/2R19A/B/C/D
- 9. S2.IC-CC.RM-0060, Channel Cal for 2R37

A gran and a second

· · · · · · ·

Rev. 0 (draft E) FALH RA1.2

Salem

1

bnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent
offsite Rad Conditions
elease of gaseous or liquid radioactivity to the onment greater than 200 times the ODCM for 15 tes or longer
3 – ALERT

#### EAL:

Confirmed sample analyses for gaseous or liquid releases indicate concentrations or release rates > Table R-2 column "ALERT"

#### <u>AND</u>

#### ≥ 15 minutes have elapsed (Note 2)

Note 2: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the release duration has exceeded, or will likely exceed, the applicable time. In the absence of data to the contrary, assume that the release duration has exceeded the applicable time if an ongoing release is detected and the release start time is unknown.

	Table R-2 Effluent Sample Classification Thresholds					
Release Point Sample ALERT UE						
(0		NG	6.40E-01 µCì/cc	6.40E-03 µCi/cc		
aseou	Plant Vent	1-131	5.60E-05 µCi/cc	5.60E-07 µCi/cc		
Ű	Unmonitored	Isotopic	200 x ODCM 3/4.11.2	2 x ODCM 3/4.11.2		
	Containment Fan Coil	Isotopic	200 x ODCM 3/4.11.1	2 x ODCM 3/4.11.1		
id	Liquid Radwaste Disposal	Isotopic	200 x ODCM 3/4.11.1	2 x ODCM 3/4.11.1		
-iqu	Steam Generator Blowdown	Isotopic	200 x ODCM 3/4.11.1	2 x ODCM 3/4.11.1		
	Chemical Waste Basin	Isotopic	200 x ODCM 3/4.11.1	2 x ODCM 3/4.11.1		
	Unmonitored	Isotopic	200 x ODCM 3/4.11.1	2 x ODCM 3/4.11.1		

i

#### Basis:

This EAL addresses an actual or substantial potential decrease in the level of safety of the plant as indicated by a radiological release that exceeds regulatory commitments for an extended period of time.

Nuclear power plants incorporate features intended to control the release of radioactive effluents to the environment. Further, there are administrative controls established to prevent unintentional releases, or control and monitor intentional releases. The occurrence of extended, uncontrolled radioactive releases to the environment is indicative of a degradation in these features and/or controls.

The multiple of two hundred times the ODCM limits is specified in EAL RU1.3 only to distinguish between non-emergency conditions. While this multiple obviously corresponds to an off-site dose or dose rate, the emphasis in classifying this event is the degradation in the level of safety of the plant, not the magnitude of the associated dose or dose rate.

This EAL addresses uncontrolled releases that are detected by sample analyses, particularly on unmonitored pathways, e.g., spills of radioactive liquids into storm drains, heat exchanger leakage in river water systems, etc. Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:  $\frac{2\pi m_{eff}^{2}}{2m_{eff}^{2}} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2m_{eff}^{2}} + \frac{1$ 

Confirmed sample analyses in excess of two hundred times the site Offsite Dose Calculation Manual Section 3/4.11.1 or 3/4.11.2 limits that continue for 15 minutes or longer represent an uncontrolled situation and hence, a potential degradation in the level of safety. This event escalates from the UNUSUAL EVENT by raising the magnitude of the release by a factor of 100 over the UNUSUAL EVENT level (i.e., 200 times ODCM).

and the second second 5 1 Table R-2 provides calculated radiological release noble gas and iodine sample concentrations that equate to a release that is 200 times the ODCM limit (Section 3/4.11.2) of 500 mRem/year as well as specifying liquid release effluent sample streams 200 times the ODCM limits (Section 3.11.1). 

Each Salem unit has a single gaseous release point (Plant Vent) for which a sample concentration threshold has been calculated.

The required release duration was reduced to 15 minutes in recognition of the raised severity.

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AA1 Example EAL #3
- 2. Off-Site Dose Calculation Manual, Section 3/4.11.1 Liquid Effluents
- 3. Off-Site Dose Calculation Manual, Section 3/4.11.2 Gaseous Effluents
- 4. Salem Radiological EAL Setpoint Calculation Document NEI 99-01 Rev. 5 EALs (Attachment 6)

This page intentionally blank

Į

EAL Category:	R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent
---------------	--

EAL Subcategory: 1 – Offsite Rad Conditions

Initiating Condition: Off-site dose resulting from an actual or IMMINENT release of gaseous radioactivity greater than 100 mRem TEDE or 500 mRem Thyroid CDE for the actual or projected duration of the release

Mode Applicability: All

EAL# & Classification Level: RS1.1 – SITE AREA EMERGENCY

EAL:

VALID gaseous monitor reading > Table R-1 column "SAE"

<u>AND</u>

Dose assessment results are NOT available

<u>AND</u>

≥ 15 minutes have elapsed (Note 1)

Note 1: If dose assessment results are available, declaration should be based on dose assessment (EAL RS1.2) instead of gaseous monitor values. Do NOT delay declaration awaiting dose assessment results.

The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

ļ

	Table R-1 Effluent Monitor Classification Thresholds*						
jua -	Release Point	Monitor	GE	SAE	ALERT	UE	
Gaseous	Plant Vent Effluent Noble Gas Unit 1 + Unit 2	1R41D + 2R41D <u>OR</u> SPDS combined release rate	8.48E+09 µCi/sec	8.48E+08 µCi/sec	4.84E+07 µCi/sec	4.84E+05 µCi/sec	
	Containment Fan Coil Process	1(2)R13A/B			1.64E+05 cpm	1.64E+03 cpm	
	Liquid Radwaste	1R18		N/A See EAL RA1.3	N/A	`U1= 5.50E+05 cpm	
quid	Disposal Process	2R18			U2= 9.90E+05 cpm		
	Steam Generator	1R19A-D			U1= 6.40E+05 cpm	U1= 6.40E+03 cpm	
	Blowdown Process	2R19A-D			- U2= 8.30E+05 cpm	U2= 8.30E+03 cpm	
	Non-Rad Liquid Waste	2R37			3.60E+05 cpm	3.60E+03 cpm	

\* For high radiation conditions on Letdown Line Monitor 1R31A (2R31), refer to EAL SU7.1

#### Basis:

This EAL addresses radioactivity releases that result in doses at or beyond the site boundary that exceed 10% of the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public. While these failures are addressed by other EALs, this EAL provides appropriate diversity and addresses events which may not be able to be classified on the basis of plant status alone. It is important to note that for the more severe accidents the release may be unmonitored or

there may be large uncertainties associated with the source term and/or meteorology.

The TEDE dose which forms the bases for the specified effluent monitor threshold is set at 10% of the EPA PAG.

The Table R-1 monitor list includes effluent monitors on all potential release pathways.

Since dose assessment is based on actual meteorology, whereas the monitor reading EAL is not, the results from these assessments may indicate that the classification is not warranted, or may indicate that a higher classification is warranted. For this reason, emergency implementing procedures call for the timely performance of dose assessments using actual meteorology and release information. If the results of these dose assessments are available when the classification is made (e.g., initiated at a lower classification level), the dose assessment results override the monitor reading EAL.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This EAL address gaseous radioactivity releases, that for whatever reason, cause effluent radiation monitor readings corresponding to site boundary doses that exceed 100 mRem TEDE.

The column "SAE" gaseous effluent release values in Table R-1 (Unit 1 + Unit 2) correspond to calculated doses of 10% of the EPA Protective Action Guidelines (TEDE).

The plant vent monitors (R41) sample and detect noble gases and collect samples of particulates and iodine discharge through the plant vent. Channel D (R41D) provides the gaseous effluent release rate ( $\mu$ Ci/sec) by combining (product of) the on-range R41A through R41C with plant vent flow (cc/sec).

If dose assessment results are available, EAL RS1.2 would dictate the need for a Site Area Emergency classification due to abnormal radiation effluents.

Definitions:

**IMMINENT**: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

**VALID**: An indication, report, or condition, is considered to be **VALID** when it is verified by (1) an instrument channel check, or (2) indications on related or redundant indicators, or (3) by direct observation by plant personnel, such that doubt related to the indicator's operability, the condition's existence, or the report's accuracy is removed. Implicit in this definition is the need for timely assessment.

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AS1 Example EAL #1
- 2. Salem Radiological EAL Setpoint Calculation Document NEI 99-01 Rev. 5 EALs (Attachment 6)
- 3. UFSAR Section 11.4 Radiological Monitoring
- 4. PSBP 315733(4) Radiation Monitoring System Control Manual

### This page intentionally blank

> Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RS1.1

EAL:	
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	RS1.2 – SITE AREA EMERGENCY
Initiating Condition:	Off-site dose resulting from an actual or <b>IMMINENT</b> release of gaseous radioactivity greater than 100 mRem TEDE or 500 mRem Thyroid CDE for the actual or projected duration of the release
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Offsite Rad Conditions
EAL Category:	R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent

Dose assessment using actual meteorology indicates TEDE 4-day dose > 4.0E+02 mRem or Thyroid CDE dose > 2.0E+03 mRem at or beyond the MINIMUM EXCLUSION AREA (MEA)

#### Basis:

This EAL addresses radioactivity releases that result in doses at or beyond the **MINIMUM EXCLUSION AREA (MEA)** that exceed 10% of the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public.

While these failures are addressed by other EALs, this EAL provides appropriate diversity and addresses events which may not be able to be classified on the basis of plant status alone. It is important to note that for the more severe accidents the release may be unmonitored or there may be large uncertainties associated with the source term and/or meteorology.

The TEDE dose is set at 10% of the EPA PAG, while the 500 mrem thyroid CDE was established in consideration of the 1:5 ratio of the EPA PAG for TEDE and thyroid CDE.

Since dose assessment is based on actual meteorology, whereas the monitor reading EAL is not, the results from these assessments may indicate that the classification is not warranted, or may indicate that a higher classification is warranted. For this reason, emergency implementing procedures call for the timely performance of dose assessments using actual meteorology and release information. If the results of these dose assessments are available when the classification is made (e.g., initiated at a lower classification level), the dose assessment results override the monitor reading EAL.

Salem

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The dose assessment output on the Station Status Checklist (SSCL) is reported at varying distances from the plant as a TEDE 4-Day dose. This TEDE 4-day dose assumes a 4 hr release duration. To obtain the approximate dose for a projected release condition of 1 hour, the TEDE 4-day dose value would need to be divided by 4.

A TEDE 4-Day Dose > 4.0E+02 mRem correspond directly to a TEDE dose rate value of 100 mRem/hr and exceeds 10% of the EPA Protective Actions Guides (PAGs). The Thyroid-CDE Dose > 2.0E+03 mRem correspond directly to an CDE dose rate value of 500 mRem/hr and exceeds 10% of the EPA Protective Actions Guides (PAGs) which was established in consideration of the 1:5 ratio of the EPA PAG for TEDE and thyroid CDE.

For the purposes of this EAL, the Site Boundary for SGS is the MINIMUM EXCLUSION AREA.

Definitions:

**IMMINENT:** Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

MINIMUM EXCLUSION AREA (MEA): The closest location just beyond the OWNER CONTROLLED AREA where a member of the general public could gain access. For Salem the MEA is 0.79 miles.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AS1 Example EAL #2
- 2. Salem Radiological EAL Setpoint Calculation Document NEI 99-01 Rev. 5 EALs (Attachment 6)
- 3. UFSAR 2.1.2.2, Boundaries for Establishing Effluent Release Limits

		· · ·	•		1	
·						
1	Ţ.			. *		•
	• •				<b>*</b> :	۱
			. ·	-		

EAL:	
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	RS1.3 – SITE AREA EMERGENCY
Initiating Condition:	Off-site dose resulting from an actual or <b>IMMINENT</b> release of gaseous radioactivity greater than 100 mRem TEDE or 500 mRem Thyroid CDE for the actual or projected duration of the release
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Offsite Rad Conditions
EAL Category:	R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent

Field survey results indicate closed window dose rates > 100 mRem/hr expected to continue for  $\ge$  1 hr at or beyond the **PROTECTED AREA BOUNDARY** 

#### 

Analyses of field survey samples indicate I-131 concentration > 3.85E-07 μCi/cc at or beyond the PROTECTED AREA BOUNDARY

#### Basis:

This EAL addresses radioactivity releases that result in doses at or beyond the **PROTECTED AREA BOUNDARY** that exceed 10% of the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public.

While these failures are addressed by other EALs, this EAL provides appropriate diversity and addresses events which may not be able to be classified on the basis of plant status alone. It is important to note that for the more severe accidents the release may be unmonitored or there may be large uncertainties associated with the source term and/or meteorology.

The TEDE dose is set at 10% of the EPA PAG, while the 500 mrem thyroid CDE was established in consideration of the 1:5 ratio of the EPA PAG for TEDE and thyroid CDE.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This EAL addresses a radioactivity release field survey I-131 sample concentration or count rate that would result in a Thyroid CDE dose of greater than 500 mRem for one hour of inhalation at or beyond the **PROTECTED AREA BOUNDARY**. This value exceeds 10% of the

eal#: RS1.3

EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public.

The lodine-131 field survey sample concentration and count rate threshold is based on I-131 dose conversion factors (DCFs) from EPA-400. The thresholds are based on a Thyroid-CDE Dose Rate of 500 mRem/hr for I-131.

For the purposes of this EAL, the **PROTECTED AREA BOUNDARY** is used as it is an easily determined location to obtain a field survey dose rate reading or to obtain a field sample.

#### Definitions:

**IMMINENT**: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

**PROTECTED AREA (PA):** A security controlled area within the **OWNER-CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA): Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the OCA.

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

1 N

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AS1 Example EAL #4
- 2. Off-Site Dose Calculation Manual, Figure 5.1-3, Area Plot Plan of Site
- Salem Radiological EAL Setpoint Calculation Document NEI 99-01 Rev. 5 EALs (Attachment 6)

EAL Category:	R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Offsite Rad Conditions
Initiating Condition:	Off-site dose resulting from an actual or <b>IMMINENT</b> release of gaseous radioactivity greater than 1000 mRem TEDE or 5000 mRem Thyroid CDE for the actual or projected duration of the release
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	RG1.1 – GENERAL EMERGENCY

#### EAL:

VALID gaseous monitor reading > Table R-1 column "GE"

#### <u>AND</u>

Dose assessment results are NOT available

#### <u>AND</u>

≥ 15 minutes have elapsed (Note 1)

Note 1: If dose assessment results are available, declaration should be based on dose assessment (EAL RG1.2) instead of gaseous monitor values. Do NOT delay declaration awaiting dose assessment results.

The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

ł

; .	Table R-1 Effluent Monitor Classification Thresholds*					
р 12 г. г.	Release Point	Monitor	GE	SAE	ALERT	UE
Gaseous	Plant Vent Effluent Noble Gas Unit 1 + Unit 2	1R41D + 2R41D <u>OR</u> SPDS combined release rate	8.48E+09 µCi/sec	8.48E+08 µCi/sec	4.84E+07 µCi/sec	4.84E+05 µCi/sec
	Containment Fan Coil Process	1(2)R13A/B			1.64E+05 cpm	1.64E+03 cpm
Liquid	Liquid Radwaste	1R18	·		N/A See EAL RA1.3	U1= 5.50E+05 cpm
	Disposal Process	2R18				U2= 9.90E+05 cpm
	Steam Generator	1R19A-D			U1= 6.40E+05 cpm	U1= 6.40E+03 cpm
	Blowdown Process	2R19A-D	·	····	U2= 8.30E+05 cpm	U2= 8.30E+03 cpm
	Non-Rad Liquid Waste	2R37	<b>_</b>		3.60E+05 cpm	3.60E+03 cpm

\* For high radiation conditions on Letdown Line Monitor 1R31A (2R31), refer to EAL SU7.1

#### Basis:

This EAL addresses radioactivity releases that result in doses at or beyond the site boundary that exceed the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Public protective actions will be necessary. Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public and likely involve fuel damage.

While these failures are addressed by other EALs, this EAL provides appropriate diversity and addresses events which may not be able to be classified on the basis of plant status alone. It is important to note that for the more severe accidents the release may be unmonitored or there may be large uncertainties associated with the source term and/or meteorology.

The TEDE dose which forms the bases for the specified effluent monitor threshold is set at the EPA PAG.

The Table R-1 monitor list includes effluent monitors on all potential release pathways.

Since dose assessment is based on actual meteorology, whereas the monitor reading EAL is not, the results from these assessments may indicate that the classification is not warranted. For this reason, emergency implementing procedures call for the timely performance of dose assessments using actual meteorology and release information. If the results of these dose assessments are available when the classification is made (e.g., initiated at a lower classification level), the dose assessment results override the monitor reading EAL.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This EAL address gaseous radioactivity releases, that for whatever reason, cause effluent radiation monitor readings corresponding to site boundary doses that exceed 1000 mRem TEDE.

The column GE gaseous effluent release values in Table R-1 (Unit 1 + Unit 2) correspond to calculated doses of 100% of the EPA Protective Action Guidelines (TEDE).

The plant vent monitors (R41) sample and detect noble gases and collect samples of particulates and iodine discharge through the plant vent. Channel D (R41D) provides the gaseous effluent release rate ( $\mu$ Ci/sec) by combining (product of) the on-range R41A through R41C with plant vent flow (cc/sec).

If dose assessment results are available, EAL RG1.2 would dictate the need for a General Emergency classification due to abnormal radiation effluents.

Definitions:

**IMMINENT**: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

**VALID**: An indication, report, or condition, is considered to be **VALID** when it is verified by (1) an instrument channel check, or (2) indications on related or redundant indicators, or (3) by direct observation by plant personnel, such that doubt related to the indicator's operability, the condition's existence, or the report's accuracy is removed. Implicit in this definition is the need for timely assessment.

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AG1 Example EAL #1
- 2. Salem Radiological EAL Setpoint Calculation Document NEI 99-01 Rev. 5 EALs (Attachment 6)
- 3. UFSAR Section 11.4 Radiological Monitoring
- 4. PSBP 315733(4) Radiation Monitoring System Control Manual

·.

39 C - 16 This page intentionally blank

<sup>4</sup> Construction of the statement of and the second second

. 

> la de la companya de Companya de la company . . . .

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RG1.1

EAL Category:	R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Offsite Rad Conditions
Initiating Condition:	Off-site dose resulting from an actual or <b>IMMINENT</b> release of gaseous radioactivity greater than 1000 mRem TEDE or 5000 mRem Thyroid CDE for the actual or projected duration of the release
EAL# & Classification Level:	RG1.2 – GENERAL EMERGENCY
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL:	
Dose assessment using actual m	neteorology indicates TEDE 4-day dose > 4.0E+03 mRem

or Thyroid CDE dose > 2.0E+04 mRem at or beyond the MINIMUM EXCLUSION AREA (MEA)

#### Basis:

This EAL addresses radioactivity releases that result in doses at or beyond the **MINIMUM EXCLUSION AREA (MEA)** that exceed the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Public protective actions will be necessary. Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public and likely involve fuel damage.

While these failures are addressed by other EALs, this EAL provides appropriate diversity and addresses events which may not be able to be classified on the basis of plant status alone. It is important to note that for the more severe accidents the release may be unmonitored or there may be large uncertainties associated with the source term and/or meteorology.

The TEDE dose is set at the EPA PAG, while the 5000 mrem thyroid CDE was established in consideration of the 1:5 ratio of the EPA PAG for TEDE and thyroid CDE.

Since dose assessment is based on actual meteorology, whereas the monitor reading EAL is not, the results from these assessments may indicate that the classification is not warranted. For this reason, emergency implementing procedures call for the timely performance of dose assessments using actual meteorology and release information. If the results of these dose assessments are available when the classification is made (e.g., initiated at a lower classification level), the dose assessment results override the monitor reading EAL.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The dose assessment output on the Station Status Checklist (SSCL) is reported at varying distances from the plant as a TEDE 4-Day dose. This TEDE 4-day dose assumes a 4 hr release duration. To obtain the approximate dose for a projected release condition of 1 hour, the TEDE 4-day dose value would need to be divided by 4.

A TEDE 4-Day Dose > 4.0E+03 mRem correspond directly to a TEDE dose rate value of 1000 mRem/hr and exceeds the EPA Protective Actions Guides (PAGs). The Thyroid-CDE Dose > 2.0E+04 mRem correspond directly to an CDE dose rate value of 5000 mRem/hr and exceeds the EPA Protective Actions Guides (PAGs) which was established in consideration of the 1:5 ratio of the EPA PAG for TEDE and thyroid CDE.

For the purposes of this EAL, the Site Boundary for SGS is the MINIMUM EXCLUSION AREA (MEA) distance.

Definitions:

IMMINENT: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

MINIMUM EXCLUSION AREA (MEA): The closest location just beyond the OWNER CONTROLLED AREA where a member of the general public could gain access. For Salem the MEA is 0.79 miles.

OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA): Property owned; maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the OCA. Belder and a set of the set of the

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AG1 Example EAL #2

- 2. Salem Radiological EAL Setpoint Calculation Document NEI 99-01 Rev. 5 EALs (Attachment 6)
- 3. UFSAR 2.1.2.2, Boundaries for Establishing Effluent Release Limits
| EAL Category:  | R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent  |  |
|--|---|--|
| EAL Subcategory:   | 1 – Offsite Rad Conditions  |  |
| Initiating Condition:  | Off-site dose resulting from an actual or IMMINENT release<br>of gaseous radioactivity greater than 1000 mRem TEDE or<br>5000 mRem Thyroid CDE for the actual or projected<br>duration of the release |  |
| EAL# & Classification Level:   | RG1.3 – GENERAL AREA EMERGENCY  |  |
| Mode Applicability:  | All   |  |
| EAL:   |   |  |
| Field survey results indicate closed window dose rates > 1000 mRem/hr expected to continue for $\geq$ 1 hr at or beyond the <b>PROTECTED AREA BOUNDARY</b> |   |  |

# 

Analyses of field survey samples indicate I-131 concentration > **3.85E-06** μ**Ci/cc** at or beyond the **PROTECTED AREA BOUNDARY** 

# Basis:

This EAL addresses radioactivity releases that result in doses at or beyond the **PROTECTED AREA BOUNDARY** that exceed the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Public protective actions will be necessary. Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public and likely involve fuel damage.

While these failures are addressed by other EALs, this EAL provides appropriate diversity and addresses events which may not be able to be classified on the basis of plant status alone. It is important to note that for the more severe accidents the release may be unmonitored or there may be large uncertainties associated with the source term and/or meteorology.

The TEDE dose is set at the EPA PAG, while the 5000 mrem thyroid CDE was established in consideration of the 1:5 ratio of the EPA PAG for TEDE and thyroid CDE.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This EAL addresses a radioactivity release field survey I-131 sample concentration or count rate that would result in a Thyroid CDE dose of greater than 5000 mRem for one hour of inhalation at or beyond the **PROTECTED AREA BOUNDARY**. This value exceeds the EPA

eal#: RG1.3

Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public.

The lodine-131 field survey sample concentration and count rate threshold is based on I-131 dose conversion factors (DCFs) from EPA-400. The thresholds are based on a Thyroid-CDE Dose Rate of 5000 mRem/hr for I-131.

For the purposes of this EAL, the **PROTECTED AREA BOUNDARY** is used as it is an easily determined location to obtain a field survey dose rate reading or to obtain a field sample.

Definitions:

**IMMINENT**: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

**PROTECTED AREA (PA):** A security controlled area within the **OWNER-CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

# EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AG1 Example EAL #4
- 2. Off-Site Dose Calculation Manual, Figure 5.1-3, Area Plot Plan of Site
- 3. Salem Radiological EAL Setpoint Calculation Document NEI 99-01 Rev. 5 EALs (Attachment 6)

. . . <u>.</u> . .

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RG1.3

. . . . .

EAL Category:	R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent
---------------	--

EAL Subcategory:2 – Onsite Rad Conditions/Fuel Pool Events

Initiating Condition: UNPLANNED rise in plant radiation levels

Mode Applicability: All

EAL# & Classification Level: RU2.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT

EAL:

**UNPLANNED** water level drop in the refueling cavity, refueling canal or spent fuel pool (SFP) as indicated by <u>ANY</u> of the following:

- Confirmed SFP low level alarm (OHA-C35 SFP LVL LO)
- RVLIS Refueling Mode
- Visual observation (local or remote)

# <u>AND</u>

VALID area radiation monitor reading rise on <u>ANY</u> of the following:

- 1(2)R5 Fuel Handling Bldg
- 1(2)R9 Fuel Storage Area
- 1(2)R32A Fuel Handling Crane Fuel Handling Bldg (local monitor)
- 1(2)R2 Containment General Area 130ft elevation
- Temporary ARM

# Basis:

This EAL addresses increased radiation levels as a result of water level decreases above irradiated fuel or events that have resulted, or may result, in unplanned increases in radiation dose rates within plant buildings. These radiation increases represent a loss of control over radioactive material and represent a potential degradation in the level of safety of the plant.

The refueling pathway is the combination of cavities and pools in which spent fuel may be located. While a radiation monitor could detect an increase in dose rate due to a drop in the water level, it might not be a reliable indication of whether or not the fuel is covered.

For example, an ARM reading may increase due to planned evolutions such as head lift, or even a fuel assembly being raised in the manipulator mast. Also, a monitor could in fact be

Salem

Rev.0 (draft E)

eal#: RU2.1

#### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

properly responding to a known event involving transfer or relocation of a source, stored in or near the fuel pool or responding to a planned evolution such as removal of the reactor head. Generally, increased radiation monitor indications will need to combined with another indicator (or personnel report) of water loss.

For refueling events where the water level drops below the Reactor Vessel flange, classification would be via EAL CU3.1. This event escalates to an ALERT per EAL RA2.1 if irradiated fuel outside the reactor vessel is uncovered. For events involving irradiated fuel in the reactor vessel, escalation would be via the Fission Product Barrier Table for events in operating modes 1-4.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The Spent Fuel Pool (SFP) low level alarm actuates at 128' 2" from 1(2)LC650.

During refueling operations the reactor vessel and refueling cavity are flooded. During fuel handling operations, the fuel transfer tube (canal) will connect the refueling cavity and the Spent Fuel Pool (SFP). An unexplained lowering of refueling cavity level or SFP level can be an indication that these volumes are draining. A drop in refueling cavity and SFP level may result in a SFP low-level alarm. This alarm would be validated by visual observation of lowering level (local or remote) in the refueling cavity or SFP.

When the spent fuel pool and refueling cavity are connected, there could exist the possibility of uncovering irradiated fuel. Therefore, this EAL is applicable for conditions in which irradiated fuel is being transferred to and from the Reactor Vessel and SFP as well as for SFP drain down events.

For a loss of shielding, the source of the radiation is within the refueling cavity or SFP. Without the shielding provided by normal water inventory in the SFP, equipment pool, and/or refueling cavity, radiation levels from irradiated fuel and activation products will rise substantially.

Area radiation monitors that may respond to a loss of spent fuel shielding are those located on the 130' elevation (Containment or Fuel Handling Building):

- 1(2)R5 Fuel Handling Bldg
- 1(2)R9 Fuel Storage Area
- 1(2)R32A Fuel Handling Crane Fuel Handling Bldg (local monitor)
- 1(2)R2 Containment General Area 130ft elevation
- Temporary Area Radiation Monitors

Definitions:

**UNPLANNED**: A parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions.

**VALID**: An indication, report, or condition, is considered to be **VALID** when it is verified by (1) an instrument channel check, or (2) indications on related or redundant indicators, or (3) by direct observation by plant personnel, such that doubt related to the indicator's operability, the condition's existence, or the report's accuracy is removed. Implicit in this definition is the need for timely assessment.

# EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AU2 Example EAL #1
- 2. S1(S2).OP-AR.ZZ-0003(Q) OHA-C35 SFP LVL LO
- 3. S1(S2).OP-AB.FUEL-0002(Q) Loss of Refueling Cavity or Spent Fuel Pool Level
- 4. S1(S2).OP-AB.RAD-0001(Q) Abnormal Radiation
- 5. S1(S2).OP-AB.FUEL-0001(Q) Fuel Handling Incident

 $\mathcal{D}$ 

.

.

This page intentionally blank

EAL Category:	R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent
EAL Subcategory:	2 – Onsite Rad Conditions/Fuel Pool Events
Initiating Condition:	UNPLANNED rise in plant radiation levels
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	RU2.2 – UNUSUAL EVENT

#### EAL:

**UNPLANNED VALID** area radiation monitor readings or survey results rise by a factor of **1,000** over normal levels (Note 7)

Note 7: Normal levels can be considered as the highest reading in the past 24 hours excluding the current peak value

#### Basis:

This EAL addresses **UNPLANNED** increases in radiation dose rates within plant buildings. These radiation increases represent a loss of control over radioactive material and represent a potential degradation in the level of safety of the plant.

This EAL excludes radiation level increases that result from planned activities such as use of radiographic sources and movement of radioactive waste materials. A specific list of ARMs is not required as it would restrict the applicability of the threshold. The intent is to identify loss of control of radioactive material in any monitored area.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Definitions:

**UNPLANNED** - A parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions.

**VALID** - An indication, report, or condition, is considered to be **VALID** when it is verified by (1) an instrument channel check, or (2) indications on related or redundant indicators, or (3) by direct observation by plant personnel, such that doubt related to the indicator's operability, the condition's existence, or the report's accuracy is removed. Implicit in this definition is the need for timely assessment.

# EAL Basis Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AU2 Example EAL #2

Salem

# This page intentionally blank

(a) A strategy weak a second of the strategy for a second s Second secon second se

a color to a trade a second

м ;

> Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RU2.2

Page 2 of 2

EAL Category:	R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent
EAL Subcategory:	2 – Onsite Rad Conditions/Fuel Pool Events
Initiating Condition:	Damage to irradiated fuel or loss of water level that has resulted or will result in the uncovering of irradiated fuel outside the Reactor Vessel
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	RA2.1 – ALERT
EAL:	

# Damage to irradiated fuel or loss of water level (uncovering irradiated fuel outside the Reactor Vessel) that causes a VALID high alarm on <u>ANY</u> of the following radiation monitors:

#### Fuel Handling Bldg

- 1(2)R5 Fuel Handling Bldg
- 1(2)R9 Fuel Storage Area
- 1(2)R32A Fuel Handling Crane Fuel Handling Bldg (local monitor)
- 1(2)R41A Plant Vent

#### **Containment**

- 1(2)R2 Containment General Area 130ft elevation
- 1(2)R11A Containment Air Particulate
- 1(2)R12A Containment Vent Noble Gas
- 1(2)R12B Containment Vent Iodine

#### Basis:

This EAL addresses increases in radiation dose rates within plant buildings, and may be a precursor to a radioactivity release to the environment. These events represent a loss of control over radioactive material and represent an actual or substantial potential degradation in the level of safety of the plant.

Salem

These events escalate from EAL RU2.1 in that fuel activity has been released, or is anticipated due to fuel heatup. This EAL applies to spent fuel requiring water coverage and is not intended to address spent fuel which is licensed for dry storage.

This EAL addresses radiation monitor indications of fuel uncovery and/or fuel damage.

Increased ventilation monitor readings may be indication of a radioactivity release from the fuel, confirming that damage has occurred. Increased background at the ventilation monitor due to water level decrease may mask increased ventilation exhaust airborne activity and needs to be considered.

While a radiation monitor could detect an increase in dose rate due to a drop in the water level, it might not be a reliable indication of whether or not the fuel is covered.

For example, a refueling bridge ARM reading may increase due to planned evolutions such as head lift, or even a fuel assembly being raised in the manipulator mast. Also, a monitor could in fact be properly responding to a known event involving transfer or relocation of a source, stored in or near the fuel pool or responding to a planned evolution such as removal of the reactor head. Generally, increased radiation monitor indications will need to combined with another indicator (or personnel report) of water loss.

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be based on EAL RS1.1 or EAL RG1.1.

Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Indications of spent fuel damage may include:

- Actual visual observation of a fuel handling incident
- Gas bubbles in the vicinity of a fuel bundle
- Discoloration of the water in the vicinity of a fuel bundle
- Increasing radiation and alarm on area and ventilation radiation monitors

When the Spent Fuel Pool (SFP) and refueling cavity are connected, there could exist the possibility of uncovering irradiated fuel. Therefore, this EAL is applicable for conditions in which irradiated fuel is being transferred to and from the Reactor Vessel and SFP.

For a loss of shielding, the source of the radiation is within the refueling cavity, refueling canal or SFP. Without the shielding provided by normal water inventory in the SFP, refueling canal and/or refueling cavity, radiation levels from irradiated fuel and activation products will rise substantially in either the Containment or Fuel Handling Building. Radiation levels  $\geq$  2 R/hr in the Containment or FHB are indicative of imminent uncovering of spent fuel or reactor internals.

Salem

~

Rev. 0 (draft E)

eal#: RA2.1

· · · · · · ·

# Fuel Handling Building (FHB)

Area Radiation Monitors (ARMs) 1(2)R5 Spent Fuel, 1(2)R9 New Fuel Storage and 1(2)R32A Fuel Handling Crane are located on the 130' elevation of the FHB.

- 1(2)R5 Fuel Handling Bldg This channel continuously monitors the fuel storage areas. A high radiation alarm will initiate charcoal filtration of the FHB atmosphere.
- 1(2)R9 Fuel Storage Area This channel also continuously monitors the fuel storage areas. A high radiation alarm will also initiate charcoal filtration of the FHB atmosphere.
- 1(2)R32A Fuel Handling Crane Fuel Handling Bldg (local monitor) This channel is not connected to the central Radiation Monitoring System and is provided with a flashing beacon and stops upward hoist movement.

1(2)R41A Plant Vent is the low range noble gas Plant Vent monitor. Releases of fission product gases to the FHB atmosphere would be transported via the FHB ventilation and detected in the plant vent radiation monitor.

#### **Containment**

Area Radiation Monitor (ARM) 1(2)R2 Containment is located on the 130' elevation of the Containment.

Releases of fission product particulates, iodines or gases to the Containment atmosphere would be transported via Containment ventilation and detected in the Containment Vent Radiation Monitors 1(2)R11A (part.), 1(2)R12A (gas) or 1(2)R12B (iodine).

# Definitions:

**VALID** - An indication, report, or condition, is considered to be **VALID** when it is verified by (1) an instrument channel check, or (2) indications on related or redundant indicators, or (3) by direct observation by plant personnel, such that doubt related to the indicator's operability, the condition's existence, or the report's accuracy is removed. Implicit in this definition is the need for timely assessment.

# EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AA2 Example EAL #2
- 2. S1(S2).OP-AB.FUEL-0002(Q) Loss of Refueling Cavity or Spent Fuel Pool Level
- 3. S1(S2).OP-AB.RAD-0001(Q) Abnormal Radiation
- 4. S1(S2).OP-AB.FUEL-0001(Q) Fuel Handling Incident
- 5. UFSAR Section 11.4 Radiation Monitoring Systems

# This page intentionally blank

(4) State 2.5<sup>3</sup> and 5.5 And 2.5 A

#### • • • • •

#### 

> Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RA2.1

Salem

EAL Category:	R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent
EAL Subcategory:	2 – Onsite Rad Conditions/Fuel Pool Events
Initiating Condition:	Damage to irradiated fuel or loss of water level that has resulted or will result in the uncovering of irradiated fuel outside the Reactor Vessel
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	RA2.2 – ALERT
EA1.	

#### EAL:

A water level drop in the refueling cavity, spent fuel pool or refueling canal that will result in irradiated fuel becoming uncovered

#### Basis:

This event represents a loss of control over radioactive material and represents an actual or substantial potential degradation in the level of safety of the plant.

This event escalates from EAL RU2.1 in that fuel activity release is anticipated due to fuel heatup. This EAL applies to spent fuel requiring water coverage and is not intended to address spent fuel which is licensed for dry storage.

Indications may include instrumentation such as water level and local area radiation monitors, and personnel (e.g., refueling crew) reports. If available, video cameras may allow remote observation. Depending on available level instrumentation, the declaration threshold may need to be based on indications of water makeup rate or decrease in water storage tank level.

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be based on EAL RS1.1 or EAL RG1.1.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

None

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AA2 Example EAL #1

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RA2.2 . · · · ·

. . .

#### This page intentionally blank

an e e de la servición de la se A 1995 de las serviciónses de la servición de la

and a start of the second start A start of the second start of t A start of the second start of t

1

.

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RA2.2

Page 2 of 2

Salem

EAL Category:	R – Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent		
EAL Subcategory:	3 – CR/CAS Rad		
Initiating Condition:	Rise in radiation levels within the facility that impedes operation of systems required to maintain plant safety functions		
Mode Applicability:	Ali		
EAL# & Classification Level:	RA3.1 – ALERT		
EAL:			
Dose rates > 15 mR/hr in EITHER of the following:			
<ul> <li>Control Room (1(2)R1A)</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Central Alarm Station</li> </ul>			

#### Basis:

This EAL addresses increased radiation levels that impact continued operation in areas requiring continuous occupancy to maintain safe operation or to perform a safe shutdown.

The cause and/or magnitude of the increase in radiation levels is not a concern of this EAL. The Emergency Coordinator must consider the source or cause of the increased radiation levels and determine if any other EAL may be involved.

The value of 15mR/hr is derived from the GDC 19 value of 5 rem in 30 days with adjustment for expected occupancy times. Although Section III.D.3 of NUREG-0737, "Clarification of TMI Action Plan Requirements", provides that the 15 mR/hr value can be averaged over the 30 days, the value is used here without averaging, as a 30 day duration implies an event potentially more significant than an Alert.

Areas requiring continuous occupancy include the Control Room and the Central Alarm Station (CAS).

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Control Room ARM 1(2)R1A measures area radiation in a range of 0.1 - 10<sup>3</sup> mR/hr. Should increase Control Room radiation be detected, operators are directed to align Control Room Ventilation in the Accident Pressurized mode.

There is no permanently installed CAS area radiation monitor that may be used to assess this EAL threshold. Therefore, this threshold must be assessed via local radiation survey for the CAS.

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, AA3 Example EAL #1
- 2. UFSAR Section 11.4 Radiation Monitoring Systems
- 3. S1(S2).OP-AB.RAD-0001(Q) Abnormal Radiation

en de la companya de la comp

and and a second se In the second second

and of device when a subset of the state of the second second second second second second second second second The second sec In the second second



EAL Category:	E – ISFSI
EAL Sub-category:	Spent Fuel Transit
Initiating Condition:	Damage to a loaded cask CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY
Mode Applicability:	Mode NOT applicable
EAL# & Classification Level:	EU1.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT
	· .

#### EAL:

Damage to a Multi Purpose Canister (MPC) **CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY** as indicated by on-contact radiation readings  $\geq$  600 mR/hr (gamma + neutron) on the surface of the spent fuel cask, excluding the air vents, OR  $\geq$  60 mR/hr (gamma + neutron) on the top of the spent fuel cask while in transit to the ISFSI.

#### Basis:

An UNUSUAL EVENT in this EAL is categorized on the basis of the occurrence of an event of sufficient magnitude that a loaded in-transit MPC **CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY** is damaged or violated. This includes classification based on a loaded fuel storage cask **CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY** loss posing an operational safety problem with respect to its removal from storage.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This EAL applies to emergency conditions affecting a spent fuel cask caused by an accident or natural phenomena. This EAL would be applicable at all times in all modes for a loaded spent fuel storage cask from the time the lid is installed, as the cask leaves the Salem Fuel Handling Building and during transport to the **INDEPENDENT SPENT FUEL STORAGE INSTALLATION (ISFSI)**. This EAL provides for an Unusual Event classification, which may be entered if conditions occur that have the potential for damaging or degrading the **CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY** of a spent fuel cask. Damage to the storage cask could result in an increase in direct radiation readings from the cask. This Salem EAL is only applicable for a Salem spent fuel cask that is in transit to the **ISFSI**. After the spent fuel cask is in place at the **ISFSI**, any further conditions that could adversely impact the **ISFSI** or an individual cask from either Salem or Hope Creek would be assessed and classified as needed by the Hope Creek Shift Manager (SM) per Hope Creek EAL EU1.1.

Salem

Page 1 of 2

Rev. 0 (draft E)



As provided in the Holtec HI-STORM 100 System Certificate of Compliance (CoC), Appendix A (Technical Specifications), Section 5.7.4 contains radiation values for the cask that should not be exceeded. Under Amendment #5, the highest allowable radiation level on contact with the HI-STORM 100 cask body is 300 mR/hr on the side of the cask and 30 mR/hr on the top of the cask. Keeping in line with NEI guidance that a UE is warranted for radiation conditions at a level of twice the Technical Specification value, **600 mR/hr** and **60 mR/hr** are being used as the EAL threshold radiation levels.

Continued use of this lower value is conservative for casks loaded under later CoC amendments where the radiation limit values may increase. The threshold values are sufficiently above nominal radiation levels of the **CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY** that radiation levels above this EAL threshold would indicate significant damage to the **CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY**.

No releases of radioactive material requiring offsite response or monitoring are expected because the seal-welded spent fuel canister (part of the **CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY**) is designed to remain intact under all normal, off-normal, and credible accident conditions of onsite transport and storage at the **ISFSI**, according to Holtec licensing documents. Prior to the installation of the spent fuel cask lid on the HI-STORM 100 cask, emergency classifications would be based on other Category R EALs.

#### Definitions:

INDEPENDENT SPENT FUEL STORAGE INSTALLATION (ISFSI): A complex that is designed and constructed for the interim storage of spent nuclear fuel and other radioactive materials associated with spent fuel storage.

**CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY**: Is the barrier(s) between areas containing radioactive substances and the environment and includes the multi-purpose canister (MPC) and, for the purposes of this EAL, the associated cask shielding.

# EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01 Rev. 5, E-HU1 Example EAL #1
- 2. HOLTEC HI-STORM 100 UFSAR, Chapter 5 and Chapter 11
- 3. Certificate of Compliance, Docket # 72-1014
- 4. Holtec International Final Safety Analysis Report for the HI-STORM 100 Cask System Holtec Report No.: HI-2002444
- 5. Certificate of Compliance No. 72-1014 Appendix A Technical Specifications for the HI-STORM 100 Cask System Section 1.1 Definitions

-- :

111

# EALs for:

# HAZARDS

EAL Category:	H – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Natural & Destructive Phenomena
Initiating Condition:	Natural or destructive phenomena affecting the <b>PROTECTED AREA</b>
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HU1.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT (Common Site)
EAL:	

Seismic event identified by <u>ANY</u> two of the following:

- Earthquake felt in plant by Control Room Operators
- SMA-3 Event Indicator (flag) white
- National Earthquake Information Center (NEIC) (Note 4)

Note 4: The NEIC can be contacted by calling (303) 273-8500. Select option #1 and inform the analyst you wish to confirm recent seismic activity in the vicinity of Salem/Hope Creek Generating Station. Provide the analyst with the following coordinates: 39° 27' 46" (39.465°) north latitude, 75° 32' 08" (75.537°) west longitude.

#### Basis:

This EAL is categorized on the basis of the occurrence of an event of sufficient magnitude to be of concern to plant operators.

Damage may be caused to some portions of the site, but should not affect ability of safety functions to operate.

As defined in the EPRI-sponsored Guidelines for Nuclear Plant Response to an Earthquake, dated October 1989, a "felt earthquake" is: An earthquake of sufficient intensity such that: (a) the vibratory ground motion is felt at the nuclear plant site and recognized as an earthquake based on a consensus of control room operators on duty at the time, and (b) for plants with operable seismic instrumentation, the seismic switches of the plant are activated.

The National Earthquake Information Center can confirm if an earthquake has occurred in the area of the plant.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

SGS seismic instrumentation consists of a Kinemetrics SMA-3 Strong Motion Accelerograph and associated sensors that are equipped with seismic triggers set to alarm (Unit 1 OHA A-37 SEIS RCDR SYS ACT) and initiate recording at an acceleration equal to or exceeding 0.01 g. When the seismic trigger activates the SMA-3 Event Indicator (flag) will change from black to white and the amber event alarm will illuminate. The amber event alarm will extinguish when ground acceleration reduces below the 0.01 g setpoint but the Event Indicator (flag) will remain white until manually reset. Three time-history triaxial acceleration sensors are provided (2 in Reactor Building, 1 in Auxiliary Building). These sensors transmit electrical signals to be recorded on magnetic tape.

The NEIC can confirm seismic activity in the vicinity of the SGS/HCGS site. Refer to Note 4 to contact the NEIC.

Alternatively go to the USGS NEIC website:

http://earthquake.usgs.gov/egcenter/

On the US map, click on 'New Jersey' and then click on earthquake indicator for information. The maps are updated within 5 min. of a measured earthquake. · . . . . .

Additional Earthquake information can be found on the internet at:

- 아이는 아이는 것 같은 것이 있는 것이 없다. • http://www.earthquake.usgs.gov
- http://www.mgs.md.gov (click on "Live Earthquake Data online") ο
- http://earthquake.usgs.gov/regional/neic

This event escalates to an ALERT under EAL HA1.1 if the earthquake exceeds Operating Basis Earthquake (OBE) levels (0.1g). 

An approximate relationship between acceleration units in gravity and magnitude reported per the Richter scale is as follows:

<u>An Ac</u>	cceleration of: is ap	prox. equal to a Richte	er Scale Magr	nitude of:
	0.01g	4.0		· ·
	0.02g	4.5		
	0.1g	5.5		
	0.2g	6.5	·	n e
	*.		, .	,

Definitions:

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HU1 Example EAL #1
- 2. UFSAR Table 7.7-3 Seismic Monitoring Instrumentation
- 3. SC.OP-AB.ZZ-0004(Q) Earthquake
- 4. S1.OP-AR.ZZ-0001(Q) OHA A-37 SEIS RCDR SYS ACT
- 5. UFSAR 2.1.1 Site Location
- 6. UFSAR 1.2.4 Seismology

# This page intentionally blank

• . .

EAL Category:	H – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	1 - Natural & Destructive Phenomena
Initiating Condition:	Natural or destructive phenomena affecting the <b>PROTECTED AREA</b>
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HU1.2 – UNUSUAL EVENT (Common Site)
EAL:	

Tornado TOUCHING DOWN within the **PROTECTED AREA** 

Average Wind Speeds > 95 MPH from <u>ANY</u> elevation of the Met Tower

#### **Basis**:

This EAL is categorized on the basis of the occurrence of an event of sufficient magnitude to be of concern to plant operators.

This EAL is based on a tornado touching down within the **PROTECTED AREA** or high winds onsite

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be based on **DEGRADED PERFORMANCE**, or by other in-plant conditions, via EAL HA1.2.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Average, as used in the EAL threshold, is intended to be the 15 minute rolling average as provided by SPDS and not the instantaneous wind speed.

The design wind velocities are 108 mph (including a gust factor of approximately 1.3) at 30 feet above ground. However, the Control Room wind speed only provides indication up to 100 mph so the classification threshold has been capped at 95 mph to allow for onscale indication of wind speed. The manner in which the HCGS SPDS processes data from the meteorological instrumentation differs from the SGS SPDS; consequently, minor differences between HCGS and SGS readings may occur.

A tornado touching down within the **PROTECTED AREA** warrants declaration of an UNUSUAL EVENT regardless of the measured wind speed at the meteorological tower. A

Salem

tornado is defined as a violently rotating column of air in contact with the ground and extending from the base of a thunderstorm.

The National Weather Service can be contacted for further information about existing or projected Adverse Weather Conditions:

0	Phila/Mount Holly	(609) 261-6600
0	NWS Web site	http://www.erh.noaa.gov/er/phi
0	Phila/Mount Holly	(609) 261-6604
0	Phila/Mount Holly	(609) 261-6602

Definitions:

**PROTECTED AREA (PA):** A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the OCA.

**VISIBLE DAMAGE**: Damage to equipment or structure that is readily observable without measurements, testing, or analysis. Damage is sufficient to cause concern regarding the continued operability or reliability of the affected structure, system, or component. Example damage includes: deformation due to heat or impact, denting, penetration, rupture, cracking, and paint blistering. Surface blemishes (e.g., paint chipping, scratches) should not be included.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HU1 Example EAL #2
- 2. UFSAR 3.3.1.1 Design wind Velocity and Loading
- 3. OP-AA-108-111-1001 Severe Weather and Natural Disaster Guidelines
- 4. NC.CH-SC.MET-1206(Q) Meteorological Monitoring System Calibration and Maintenance
- 5. SC.OP-AB.ZZ-0001(Q) Adverse Environmental Conditions

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HU1.2

+1

EAL Category:	H – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety	
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Natural & Destructive Phenomena	
Initiating Condition:	Natural or destructive phenomena affecting the <b>PROTECTED AREA</b>	
Mode Applicability:	All	
EAL# & Classification Level:	HU1.3 – UNUSUAL EVENT	
EAL:		
Main Turbine rotating component failures resulting in <b>EITHER</b> of the following:		

- Main Turbine casing penetration
- Main Turbine or Generator Seal Damage

#### Basis:

This EAL is categorized on the basis of the occurrence of an event of sufficient magnitude to be of concern to plant operators.

This EAL addresses main turbine rotating component failures of sufficient magnitude to cause observable damage to the turbine casing or to the seals of the turbine generator. Generator seal damage observed after generator purge does not meet the intent of this EAL because it did not impact normal operation of the plant.

Of major concern is the potential for leakage of combustible fluids (lubricating oils) and gases (hydrogen cooling) to the plant environs. Actual fires and flammable gas build up are appropriately classified via EAL HU2.1 and EAL HU3.1.

This EAL is consistent with the definition of an UNUSUAL EVENT while maintaining the anticipatory nature desired and recognizing the risk to non-safety related equipment.

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be to EAL HA1.3 based on damage done by projectiles generated by the failure or by any radiological releases in Category R.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Main Turbine rotating component failures of sufficient magnitude to cause damage to the turbine casing or turbine/generator seals increases the potential for leakage of combustible/explosive gases and of combustible liquids to the Turbine Building or damage to

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

EAL#: HU1.3

#### SGS ECG - EAL Technical Bases

plant systems due to **PROJECTILES**. The presence of  $H_2$  gas in sufficient quantities may present a combustion hazard. Actual fires and flammable gas build up is classified under fire and flammable gas EALs.

Generator seal damage observed after generator purge does not meet the intent of this EAL since it did not impact normal plant operations.

Turbine rotating component failures may also result in other direct damage to plant systems and components. Damage may rupture the turbine lubricating oil system, which would release flammable liquids to the Turbine Building. Potential rupture of the condenser and condenser tubes may cause flooding in the lower levels of the Turbine Building. This damage should be readily observable.

Escape of  $H_2$  gas from the generator due to a loss of seal oil pumps or turbine lube oil without a turbine rotating component failure should not be classified under this event but should be reviewed IAW EALs in Subcategory H.3, Hazardous Gas.

Definitions:

PROTECTED AREA (PA): A security controlled area within the OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA) that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the PA requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

**PROJECTILE**: An object that impacts Salem and/or Hope Creek that could cause concern for continued operability, reliability or personnel safety.

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HU1 Example EAL #4
- 2. UFSAR 3.5.4 Turbine Missile

 $\{ i_1, \ldots, i_n \}$ 

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HU1.3

EAL Category:	C – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Natural & Destructive Phenomena
Initiating Condition:	Natural or destructive phenomena affecting the <b>PROTECTED AREA</b>
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HU1.4 – UNUSUAL EVENT
EAL:	

Internal **Flooding** that has the potential to affect safe shutdown systems or components required by Technical Specifications for the current operating mode in <u>ANY</u> **Table H-1** plant structure

Table H-1	Plant Structures Containing Safe Shutdown Systems or Components
0	Auxiliary Building
0	Service Water Intake Structure
0	Control Point Area
o	Inner/Outer Penetration Areas
o	Containment
o	Fuel Handling Building
0	Service Building
0	RWST, PWST, and AFWST Area

#### Basis:

This EAL is categorized on the basis of the occurrence of an event of sufficient magnitude to be of concern to plant operators.

This EAL addresses the effect of internal flooding caused by events such as component failures, equipment misalignment, or outage activity mishaps.

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be based **on DEGRADED PERFORMANCE** via EAL HA1.4, or by other plant conditions.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

**Flooding** as used in this EAL describes a condition where water is entering the room faster than installed equipment is capable of removal, resulting in a rise of water level within the room. Classification of this EAL should not be delayed while corrective actions are being taken to isolate the water source.

The Table H-1 Plant Structures Containing Safe Shutdown Systems or Components include those plant structures identified as Seismic Category I.

**Flooding** can occur from several sources including the Circulating Water System, Service Water System, Demineralized Water, Component Cooling Water, Fire Protection and Refueling Water Storage Tank.

**Flooding** is determined in these areas by visual report from staff or by confirmation of sump alarms. S1(S2).OP-AB.ZZ-0002 (Q) directs the operators to determine the exact location and severity of **flooding**. Attachments in this procedure delineate the affected plant areas; potential source(s) of water, affected vital equipment, flood rate and time to submerge vital equipment.

If mitigating actions to control flooding have been unsuccessful and the flooding level has reached 50% of the equipment disabled level(s) as specified in S1(S2).OP-AB.ZZ-0002, **FLOODING**, then the **flooding** is severe, is in excess of sump handling capability and has the potential to affect safety equipment and therefore, classification under this EAL is warranted. The source of the **flooding** and the status of the sump pumps are not factors in evaluating this EAL. For areas that do not have a **flooding** level specified in S1(S2).OP-AB.ZZ-0002, SM judgment should be used.

Definitions:

**PROTECTED AREA (PA):** A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

· · · · · ·

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HU1.4 **DEGRADED PERFORMANCE**: Assessment of degraded safe shutdown system performance includes examination of systems in standby status as well as those in operation. When a safe shutdown system is in operation, its performance can be directly observed and compared to its design capability (e.g., rated flow is required but cannot be achieved). When an operating safe shutdown system cannot fulfill its design function, its performance is degraded. When a safe shutdown system is in standby, its performance capability may not be readily determined. One or more of the following can provide indirect indication of its performance capability:

- Electrical faults on power supplies
- Normally closed breakers in tripped position
- System annunciators activated
- System warning lights lit
- Insufficient system pressure from keep-fill pumps
- Elevated area temperatures or radiation levels
- Increased sump pump operation in areas in which the system is located

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HU1 Example EAL #3
- 2. UFSAR 3.2 Classification of Structures, Components and Systems
- 3. S1(S2).OP-AB.ZZ-0002 (Q) Flooding

T

# This page intentionally blank

and the second second

and the second second

# and the second second

and a state of the s State of the state of t

> Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HU1.4

•

Salem

EAL Category:	C – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety		
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Natural & Destructive Phenomena		
Initiating Condition:	Natural or destructive phenomena affecting the <b>PROTECTED AREA</b>		
Mode Applicability:	All		
EAL# & Classification Level:	HU1.5 – UNUSUAL EVENT (Common Site)		
EAL:			
River level > 99.5'			
OR			
River level < 80.0'			

#### Basis:

See Explanation Section below:

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The first condition of this EAL indicates river level conditions that can threaten the level of safety of the plant due to flooding. River level greater than **99.5'** (+10.5' Mean Sea Level, MSL) is indication of impending site flood conditions. Flood protection measures are required by Salem Technical Specifications and procedure at 99.5'(+10.5'MSL). At this river level precautionary actions are taken, including filling outside tanks and ensuring that perimeter flood doors are closed. These actions ensure that the facility flood protection features are in place prior to a river level that would necessitate their use. Hope Creek performs these actions at 95.0' (+6.0'MSL).

The High river level threshold is below the river level that would require a plant shutdown. Technical Specification actions required by a River Level of >100.5' includes placing the plant in at least Hot Standby within the next 6 hours and in Cold Shutdown within the next 30 hours. This is based on the river level at which facility flood protection features provide protection to safety related equipment. Hope Creek performs similar actions are at 99.5' (+10.5'MSL).

The grade level at the Salem station is lower than that for Hope Creek (Salem = **99.5'**, Hope Creek = 101.5').

The second condition of this EAL indicates river level conditions, River level < **80.0'** (-9.0'MSL), approaching the loss of the Service Water Intake (Ultimate Heat Sink). The low

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

EAL#: HU1.5

level threshold indicates a river level condition that is one foot lower than the historical low water level of 81.0' (-8.0'MSL) (December 31, 1962) and is higher than the Service Water pumps design level.

These events will be escalated based on damage to plant safety systems, loss of fission product barriers or abnormal radiological releases as discussed in other EAL categories.

River level indication is displayed in Unit 1 on LA-8639 which has a range of 70' to 110'.

The National Weather Service can be contacted for further information about existing or projected Adverse Weather Conditions:

- Phila/Mount Holly (609) 261-6600
- NWS Web site <a href="http://www.erh.noaa.gov/er/phi">http://www.erh.noaa.gov/er/phi</a>
- Phila/Mount Holly (609) 261-6604
- Phila/Mount Holly (609) 261-6602

#### Definitions:

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the OCA.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HU1 Example EAL #5
- 2. Technical Specifications 3/4.7.5 Flood Protection
- 3. UFSAR 2.4 Hydraulic Engineering
- 4. UFSAR Figure 2.4-3 Service Water Intake
- 5. UFSAR Figure 3.4-1 Datum and Water Level Relationships
- 6. S1(S2).OP-AB.CW-0001(Q) Circulating Water System Malfunction
- 7. S1(S2).OP-AB.ZZ-0002(Q) Flooding
- 8. OP-AA-108-111-1001 Severe Weather and National Disaster Guidelines

EAL Category:	C – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Natural & Destructive Phenomena
Initiating Condition:	Natural or destructive phenomena affecting VITAL AREAS
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HA1.1 – ALERT

# EAL:

Actuation of the Hope Creek OBE Seismic Switch (> 0.1g) has occurred as verified by the Hope Creek Shift Manager

# <u>AND</u>

Earthquake confirmed by <u>ANY</u> of the following:

- Earthquake felt in plant by Control Room Operators
- National Earthquake Information Center (NEIC) (Note 4)
- Control Room indication of DEGRADED PERFORMANCE of safe shutdown systems
  - Note 4: The NEIC can be contacted by calling (303) 273-8500. Select option #1 and inform the analyst you wish to confirm recent seismic activity in the vicinity of Salem/Hope Creek Generating Station. Provide the analyst with the following coordinates: 39° 27' 46" (39.465°) north latitude, 75° 32' 08" (75.537°) west longitude.

# Basis:

This EAL escalates from HU1.1 in that the occurrence of the event may have resulted in damage to plant structures or areas containing equipment necessary for a safe shutdown, or may have caused damage to the safety systems in those structures evidenced by Control Room indications of degraded system response or performance. The occurrence of damage and/or degraded system response is intended to discriminate against lesser events. The initial report should not be interpreted as mandating a lengthy damage assessment prior to classification. No attempt is made in this EAL to assess the actual magnitude of the damage. The significance here is not that a particular system or structure was damaged, but rather, that the event was of sufficient magnitude to cause this degradation.

Salem

#### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be based on System Malfunction EALs.

Seismic events of this magnitude can result in a VITAL AREA being subjected to forces beyond design limits, and thus damage may be assumed to have occurred to plant safety systems.

The National Earthquake Information Center can confirm if an earthquake has occurred in the area of the plant.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Ground motion acceleration of 0.1g is the Operating Basis Earthquake (OBE) for SGS.

As defined in the EPRI-sponsored Guidelines for Nuclear Plant Response to an Earthquake, dated October 1989, a "felt earthquake" is: An earthquake of sufficient intensity such that: (a) the vibratory ground motion is felt at the nuclear plant site and recognized as an earthquake based on a consensus of Control Room operators on duty at the time, and (b) for plants with operable seismic instrumentation, the seismic switches of the plant are activated.

and the second The NEIC can confirm seismic activity in the vicinity of the SGS/HCGS site. Refer to Note 4 to contact the NEIC.

Alternatively go to the USGS NEIC website:

#### http://earthquake.usgs.gov/eqcenter/

On the US map, click on 'New Jersey' and then click on earthquake indicator for information. The maps are updated within 5 min. of a measured earthquake.

Additional Earthquake information can be found on the internet at:

- http://www.earthquake.usgs.gov
- http://www.mgs.md.gov (click on "Live Earthquake Data online") 0
- http://earthquake.usgs.gov/regional/neic 0

An approximate relationship between acceleration units in gravity and magnitude reported per the Richter scale is as follows:

	Zeroven de transference			
<u>An Acc</u>	celeration of:	is approx. equal to a Richter S	Scale Magnitude of:	
1. T.L.	0.01g	4.0	N	· · ·
, · ·	0.02g	<b>4.5</b>	$\frac{\partial (M_{1,1}(M_{1,1}), M_{1,1}(M_{1,1}), M_{1,1}(M_{1,1}), M_{1,1}(M_{1,1}))}{\partial M_{1,1}(M_{1,1})} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{M_{1,1}(M_{1,1})}{M_{1,1}(M_{1,1})} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{M_{1,1}(M_{1,1$	
	0.1g	5.5		
	0.2g	6.5		

....

Salem

Definitions:

**VITAL AREA**: Typically any site specific areas, normally within the **PROTECTED AREA**, that contains equipment, systems, components, or material, the failure, destruction, or release of which could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety by exposure to radiation

**DEGRADED PERFORMANCE**: Assessment of degraded safe shutdown system performance includes examination of systems in standby status as well as those in operation. When a safe shutdown system is in operation, its performance can be directly observed and compared to its design capability (e.g., rated flow is required but cannot be achieved). When an operating safe shutdown system cannot fulfill its design function, its performance is degraded. When a safe shutdown system is in standby, its performance capability may not be readily determined. One or more of the following can provide indirect indication of its performance capability:

- Electrical faults on power supplies
- Normally closed breakers in tripped position
- System annunciators activated
- System warning lights lit
- Insufficient system pressure from keep-fill pumps
- Elevated area temperatures or radiation levels
- Increased sump pump operation in areas in which the system is located

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.
....

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HA1 Example EAL #1
- 2. UFSAR Table 7.7-3 Seismic Monitoring Instrumentation
- 3. SC.OP-AB.ZZ-0004(Q) Earthquake
- 4. S1.OP-AR.ZZ-0001(Q) OHA A-37 SEIS RCDR SYS ACT

.

· ···

- 5. UFSAR 2.1.1 Site Location
- 6. UFSAR 1.2.4 Seismology

ł

## EAL Category: C – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety

EAL Subcategory: 1 – Natural & Destructive Phenomena

Initiating Condition: Natural or destructive phenomena affecting VITAL AREAS

Mode Applicability: All

EAL# & Classification Level: HA1.2 – ALERT

EAL:

Tornado TOUCHING DOWN within the **PROTECTED AREA** 

Average Wind Speeds > 95 MPH from <u>ANY</u> elevation of the Met Tower

AND

Resulting in **<u>EITHER</u>** of the following:

- Control Room indication of **DEGRADED PERFORMANCE** of a Safety System
- VISIBLE DAMAGE to ANY of the plant structures in Table H-1

Table H-1	Plant Structures Containing Safe
	Shutdown Systems or Components

- Auxiliary Building
- Service Water Intake Structure
- Control Point Area
- Inner/Outer Penetration Areas
- Containment
- Fuel Handling Building
- Service Building
- RWST, PWST, and AFWST Area

i. I

### Basis:

This EAL escalates from EAL HU1.2 in that the occurrence of the event has resulted in **VISIBLE DAMAGE** to plant structures or areas containing equipment necessary for a safe shutdown, or has caused damage to the safety systems in those structures evidenced by Control Room indications of degraded system response or performance. The occurrence of **VISIBLE DAMAGE** and/or degraded system response is intended to discriminate against lesser events. The initial report should not be interpreted as mandating a lengthy damage assessment prior to classification. No attempt is made in this EAL to assess the actual magnitude of the damage. The significance here is not that a particular system or structure was damaged, but rather, that the event was of sufficient magnitude to cause this degradation.

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be based on System Malfunction EALs.

This EAL is based on a tornado touching down or high winds that have caused **VISIBLE DAMAGE** to structures containing functions or systems required for safe shutdown of the plant.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Average, as used in the EAL threshold, is intended to be the 15 minute rolling average as provided by SPDS and not the instantaneous wind speed.

The design wind velocities are 108 mph (including a gust factor of approximately 1.3) at 30 feet above ground. However, the Control Room wind speed only provides a display up to 100 mph so the classification threshold has been capped at 95 mph to allow for onscale indication of wind speed. The manner in which the HCGS SPDS processes data from the meteorological instrumentation differs from the SGS SPDS; consequently, minor differences between HCGS and SGS readings may occur.

The ALERT classification is appropriate if relevant plant parameters indicate that the performance of safety systems has been degraded. No attempt should be made to fully inventory the actual magnitude of the damage or quantify the degradation of safety system performance prior to declaration of an ALERT under this threshold. The declaration of an ALERT and the activation of the TSC provide the Emergency Coordinator with the resources needed to perform detailed damage assessments.

The National Weather Service can be contacted for further information about existing or projected Adverse Weather Conditions:

0	Phila/Mount Holly	(609) 261-6600
---	-------------------	----------------

NWS Web site <u>http://www.erh.noaa.gov/er/phi</u>

....

- Phila/Mount Holly (609) 261-6604
- Phila/Mount Holly (609) 261-6602

ļ

Definitions:

VITAL AREA: Typically any site specific areas, normally within the **PROTECTED** AREA, that contains equipment, systems, components, or material, the failure, destruction, or release of which could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety by exposure to radiation.

**DEGRADED PERFORMANCE**: Assessment of degraded safe shutdown system performance includes examination of systems in standby status as well as those in operation. When a safe shutdown system is in operation, its performance can be directly observed and compared to its design capability (e.g., rated flow is required but cannot be achieved). When an operating safe shutdown system cannot fulfill its design function, its performance is degraded. When a safe shutdown system is in standby, its performance capability may not be readily determined. One or more of the following can provide indirect indication of its performance capability:

- Electrical faults on power supplies
- Normally closed breakers in tripped position
- System annunciators activated
- System warning lights lit
- Insufficient system pressure from keep-fill pumps
- Elevated area temperatures or radiation levels
- Increased sump pump operation in areas in which the system is located

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

VISIBLE DAMAGE: Damage to equipment or structure that is readily observable without measurements, testing, or analysis. Damage is sufficient to cause concern regarding the continued operability or reliability of the affected structure, system, or component. Example damage includes: deformation due to heat or impact, denting, penetration, rupture, cracking, and paint blistering. Surface blemishes (e.g., paint chipping, scratches) should not be included.

. *1* 

### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HA1 Example EAL #2
- 2. UFSAR 3.3.1.1 Design wind Velocity and Loading
- 3. UFSAR 3.2 Severe Weather and Natural Disaster Guidelines
- 4. OP-AA-108-111-1001 Severe Weather and Natural Disaster Guidelines
- 5. NC.CH-SC.MET-1206(Q) Meteorological Monitoring System Calibration and Maintenance
- 6. SC.OP-AB.ZZ-0001(Q) Adverse Environmental Conditions

 $\left( \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1$ 

Adda to the second se Second secon second sec

Rev. 0 (draft E) FAI # ₩\@1\_2

EAL Category:	C – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Natural & Destructive Phenomena

Initiating Condition: Natural or destructive phenomena affecting VITAL AREAS

Mode Applicability: All

EAL# & Classification Level: HA1.3 – ALERT

### EAL:

Turbine failure-generated **PROJECTILES** resulting in **<u>EITHER</u>** of the following:

- VISIBLE DAMAGE to <u>ANY</u> Table H-1 plant structures
- Control Room indication of DEGRADED PERFORMANCE of safe shutdown systems

Table H-1	Plant Structures Containing Safe Shutdown Systems or Components
0	Auxiliary Building
0	Service Water Intake Structure
o	Control Point Area
0	Inner/Outer Penetration Areas
0	Containment
0	Fuel Handling Building
0	Service Building
o	RWST, PWST, and AFWST Area

## Basis:

This EAL escalates from EAL HU1.3 in that the occurrence of the event has resulted in **VISIBLE DAMAGE** to plant structures or areas containing equipment necessary for a safe shutdown, or has caused damage to the safety systems in those structures evidenced by Control Room indications of degraded system response or performance. The occurrence of **VISIBLE DAMAGE** and/or degraded system response is intended to discriminate against lesser events. The initial report should not be interpreted as mandating a lengthy damage assessment prior to classification. No attempt is made in this EAL to assess the actual

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

EAL#: HA1.3

magnitude of the damage. The significance here is not that a particular system or structure was damaged, but rather, that the event was of sufficient magnitude to cause this degradation.

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be based on System Malfunction EALs.

This EAL addresses the threat to safety related equipment imposed by **PROJECTILES** generated by main turbine rotating component failures. Therefore, this EAL is consistent with the definition of an ALERT in that the potential exists for actual or substantial potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant.

en de la companya de

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Definitions:

VITAL AREA: Typically any site specific areas, normally within the **PROTECTED AREA**, that contains equipment, systems, components, or material, the failure, destruction, or release of which could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety by exposure to radiation.

**DEGRADED PERFORMANCE**: Assessment of degraded safe shutdown system performance includes examination of systems in standby status as well as those in operation. When a safe shutdown system is in operation, its performance can be directly observed and compared to its design capability (e.g., rated flow is required but cannot be achieved). When an operating safe shutdown system cannot fulfill its design function, its performance is degraded. When a safe shutdown system is in standby, its performance capability may not be readily determined. One or more of the following can provide indirect indication of its performance capability:

- Electrical faults on power supplies
- Normally closed breakers in tripped position
- System annunciators activated
- System warning lights lit
- Insufficient system pressure from keep-fill pumps
- Elevated area temperatures or radiation levels
- Increased sump pump operation in areas in which the system is located

Page 2 of 4

**PROTECTED AREA (PA):** A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

**VISIBLE DAMAGE**: Damage to equipment or structure that is readily observable without measurements, testing, or analysis. Damage is sufficient to cause concern regarding the continued operability or reliability of the affected structure, system, or component. Example damage includes: deformation due to heat or impact, denting, penetration, rupture, cracking, and paint blistering. Surface blemishes (e.g., paint chipping, scratches) should not be included.

**PROJECTILE**: An object that impacts Salem and/or Hope Creek that could cause concern for continued operability, reliability or personnel safety.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HA1 Example EAL #4
- 2. UFSAR 3.5.4 Turbine Missiles

# This page intentionally blank

> Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HA1.3

EAL Category:	C – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety

EAL Subcategory: 1 – Natural & Destructive Phenomena

Initiating Condition: Natural or destructive phenomena affecting VITAL AREAS

Mode Applicability: All

EAL# & Classification Level: HA1.4 – ALERT

EAL:

Internal Flooding in ANY Table H-1 plant structure

<u>AND</u>

The **Flooding** is of a magnitude that results in **<u>EITHER</u>** of the following:

- Indication of **DEGRADED PERFORMANCE** of a **Safety System** within a **Table H-1** Structure.
- An Industrial Safety Hazard (Electrical Shock, High Temp, etc.) resulting in access restrictions to operate or monitor **Safety System** equipment.

Table H-1	Plant Structures Containing Safe Shutdown Systems or Components
0	Auxiliary Building
0	Service Water Intake Structure
0	Control Point Area
0	Inner/Outer Penetration Areas
0	Containment
0	Fuel Handling Building
0	Service Building
0	RWST, PWST, and AFWST Area

## Basis:

This EAL escalates from EAL HU1.4 in that the occurrence of the event has resulted in an electrical shock hazard precluding access to plant structures containing safe shutdown

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)



systems or components or damage to the safety systems or components in those structures as evidenced by Control Room indications of degraded system response or performance. The lack of access or occurrence of degraded system response is intended to discriminate against lesser events. The initial report should not be interpreted as mandating a lengthy assessment prior to classification. No attempt is made in this EAL to assess the actual magnitude of any damage. The significance here is not that a particular system or structure was damaged, but rather, that the event was of sufficient magnitude to cause this lack of access or performance degradation.

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be based on System Malfunction EALs.

This EAL addresses the effect of internal flooding caused by events such as component failures, equipment misalignment, or outage activity mishaps. It is based on the **DEGRADED PERFORMANCE** of systems, or has created industrial safety hazards (e.g., electrical shock) that preclude necessary access to operate or monitor safety equipment. The inability to access, operate or monitor safety equipment represents an actual or substantial potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant.

**Flooding** as used in this EAL describes a condition where water is entering the room faster than installed equipment is capable of removal, resulting in a rise of water level within the room. Classification of this EAL should not be delayed while corrective actions are being taken to isolate the water source.

و المان ا

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

**Flooding** is an event or condition in excess of the available sump pump handling capability (installed or temporary) that results in a condition where water is entering a room faster than it is being removed resulting in a rise in water level within the room. Classification should not be delayed while taking corrective actions to isolate the source of the **flooding**. This EAL addresses the effects of **flooding** caused by events such as component failures, equipment misalignment, or outage activity mishaps where flooding is occurring in areas that affect safety related equipment. This EAL is based on the degraded performance of systems, or has created industrial safety hazards (electrical shock) that preclude necessary access to operate or monitor safety equipment. The inability to access, operate or monitor safety equipment meeting the definition of an ALERT.

In those cases where it is believed that **DEGRADED PERFORMANCE** due to **flooding** may have caused damage to a Safety System, an ALERT declaration is warranted since the full extent of the damage need not be known. A Safety System is defined as any system required to maintain safe operation or to establish or maintain Cold Shutdown.

If the **flooding** has reached the level(s) specified in OP-AB.ZZ-0002, FLOODING, then the flooding is severe, is in excess of sump handling capability and has degraded the performance of safety related equipment. Consequently, if the flooding has reached the levels specified in

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

EAL#: HA1.4

OP-AB.ZZ-0002, **Flooding**, then classification under this EAL is warranted. The source of the flooding and the status of the sump pumps are not factors in evaluating this EAL. For areas that do not have a **Flooding** level specified in OP-AB.ZZ-0002, SM judgment should be used.

**Flooding** is determined in these areas by visual report from staff or by confirmation of sump alarms. S1(S2).OP-AB.ZZ-0002 (Q) directs the operators to determine the exact location and severity of **Flooding**. Attachments in this procedure delineate the affected plant areas, potential source(s) of water, affected vital equipment, flood rate and time to submerge vital equipment.

Definitions:

**VITAL AREA**: Typically any site specific areas, normally within the **PROTECTED AREA**, that contains equipment, systems, components, or material, the failure, destruction, or release of which could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety by exposure to radiation.

**DEGRADED PERFORMANCE**: Assessment of degraded safe shutdown system performance includes examination of systems in standby status as well as those in operation. When a safe shutdown system is in operation, its performance can be directly observed and compared to its design capability (e.g., rated flow is required but cannot be achieved). When an operating safe shutdown system cannot fulfill its design function, its performance is degraded. When a safe shutdown system is in standby, its performance capability may not be readily determined. One or more of the following can provide indirect indication of its performance capability:

- Electrical faults on power supplies
- Normally closed breakers in tripped position
- System annunciators activated
- System warning lights lit
- Insufficient system pressure from keep-fill pumps
- Elevated area temperatures or radiation levels
- Increased sump pump operation in areas in which the system is located

PROTECTED AREA (PA): A security controlled area within the OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA) that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the PA requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HA1 Example EAL #3
- 2. UFSAR 3.2 Classification of Structures, Components and Systems
- 3. S1(S2).OP-AB.ZZ-0002 (Q) Flooding

 $= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2}$ 

EAL Category:	C – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
ERE Outogoly.	

EAL Subcategory: 1 – Natural & Destructive Phenomena

Initiating Condition: Natural or destructive phenomena affecting VITAL AREAS

Mode Applicability: All

EAL# & Classification Level: HA1.6 – ALERT

### EAL:

Vehicle Crash or **PROJECTILE** Impact with or within **ANY** Table H-1 Structure

## <u>AND</u>

The Vehicle Crash or **PROJECTILE** Impact results in **<u>EITHER</u>** of the following:

- Control Room indication of **DEGRADED PERFORMANCE** of a **Safety System** within **Table H-1** Structure
- VISIBLE DAMAGE to ANY of the plant structures in Table H-1

Table H-1	Plant Structures Containing Safe Shutdown Systems or Components
0	Auxiliary Building
0	Service Water Intake Structure
o	Control Point Area
0	Inner/Outer Penetration Areas
0	Containment
0	Fuel Handling Building
o	Service Building
0	RWST, PWST, and AFWST Area

## Basis:

The occurrence of **VISIBLE DAMAGE** and/or degraded system response is intended to discriminate against lesser events. The initial report should not be interpreted as mandating a lengthy damage assessment prior to classification. No attempt is made in this EAL to assess

Salem

Page 1 of 4

Rev. 0 (draft E)

EAL#: HA1.6

1.1

the actual magnitude of the damage. The significance here is not that a particular system or structure incurred damage, but rather that the event was of sufficient magnitude to cause either **VISIBLE DAMAGE** to the safety systems in Table H-1 structures or Control Room indications of degraded system performance.

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be based on EALs in Category S, System Malfunctions.

This EAL addresses vehicle crashes or **PROJECTILE** impacts within the **PROTECTED AREA** that results in **VISIBLE DAMAGE** to **VITAL AREAS** or indication of damage to safety structures, systems, or components containing functions and systems required for safe shutdown of the plant.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The primary concern in this EAL is the magnitude of the vehicle crashes/ **PROJECTILE** impacts. A detailed assessment of system damage is not required prior to classification. Vehicle Crash includes **AIRCRAFT**, Helicopters, Ships, Barges, Trucks, Autos, or any other vehicle types of sufficient momentum to potentially damage the structure. Minor contacts (not crashes) by onsite vehicles such as trucks, autos, forklifts, etc., are excluded from classification under this EAL. **PROJECTILE** impact includes flying objects from either offsite or onsite, rotating equipment or turbine failure causing turbine-casing penetration.

A Safety System is any system required to maintain safe operation or to establish or maintain cold shutdown. In those cases where it is believed that the vehicle crash/**PROJECTILE** impact may have caused **VISIBLE DAMAGE** to a Safety System, an ALERT declaration is warranted since the full extent of the damage may not be known. The turbine building is not a safety structure and would not be considered for this EAL.

المحاج المستم المستوي بدأتاهم سترابي

1. 1. A. 1. 1.

No lengthy or time-consuming assessment of damage is required prior to classification. In this EAL, no attempt is made to quantify the magnitude of the damage to any safety system but instead an attempt is made to identify any damage in order to quantify the magnitude and extent of the vehicle crashes/**PROJECTILE** impact.

In short, if the vehicle crash/ **PROJECTILE** impact is big enough that it has damaged a Safety System/Safety Structure or cause Safety System DEGRADED PERFORMANCE, then the vehicle crash/ **PROJECTILE** impact is big enough to justify an ALERT declaration.

Any security aspects or suspected **HOSTILE ACTIONS** that involve vehicles or **PROJECTILE** impact should be considered under EALs in Subcategory H.4.

This event will be escalated based on further damage to plant safety systems, fission product barriers, or abnormal radiation releases. The Emergency Coordinator may use discretion and escalate the classification to a SITE-AREA EMERGENCY based on the nature of the damage.

Definitions:

VITAL AREA: Typically any site specific areas, normally within the **PROTECTED AREA**, that contains equipment, systems, components, or material, the failure, destruction, or release of which could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety by exposure to radiation.

**PROJECTILE**: An object that impacts Salem and/or Hope Creek that could cause concern for continued operability, reliability, or personnel safety.

**DEGRADED PERFORMANCE**: Assessment of degraded safe shutdown system performance includes examination of systems in standby status as well as those in operation. When a safe shutdown system is in operation, its performance can be directly observed and compared to its design capability (e.g., rated flow is required but cannot be achieved). When an operating safe shutdown system cannot fulfill its design function, its performance is degraded. When a safe shutdown system is in standby, its performance capability may not be readily determined. One or more of the following can provide indirect indication of its performance capability:

- Electrical faults on power supplies
- Normally closed breakers in tripped position
- System annunciators activated
- System warning lights lit
- Insufficient system pressure from keep-fill pumps
- Elevated area temperatures or radiation levels
- Increased sump pump operation in areas in which the system is located

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

**VISIBLE DAMAGE**: Damage to equipment or structure that is readily observable without measurements, testing, or analysis. Damage is sufficient to cause concern regarding the continued operability or reliability of the affected structure, system, or component. Example damage includes: deformation due to heat or impact, denting, penetration, rupture, cracking, and paint blistering. Surface blemishes (e.g., paint chipping, scratches) should not be included.

AIRCRAFT: Includes both small and large AIRCRAFT. Examples of AIRCRAFT include general aviation Cessna, Piper and Lear type private planes, large passenger or freight planes as well as police, medical and media helicopters.

HOSTILE ACTION: An act toward Salem or Hope Creek or its personnel that includes the use of violent force to destroy equipment, take HOSTAGES, and/or intimidate PSEG to achieve an end. This includes attack by air, land, or water using guns, explosives, **PROJECTILEs**, vehicles, or other devices used to deliver destructive force. Other acts that satisfy the overall intent may be included. **HOSTILE ACTION** should not be construed to include acts of civil disobedience or felonious acts that are not part of a concerted attack on Salem or Hope Creek. Non-terrorism-based EALs should be used to address such activities (i.e., this may include violent acts between individuals in the **OCA**).

**HOSTAGE**: A person(s) held as leverage against the station to ensure that demands will be met by the station.

and the second second

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HA1 Example EAL #5
- 2. UFSAR 3.2 Classification of Structures, Components and Systems

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HA1.6

EAL Category: H – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety

EAL Subcategory: 2 – Fire or Explosion

Initiating Condition: FIRE within the PROTECTED AREA not extinguished within 15 minutes of detection or EXPLOSION within the PROTECTED AREA

Mode Applicability: All

EAL# & Classification Level: HU2.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT

EAL:

FIRE NOT extinguished within 15 minutes of EITHER of the following:

- Control Room notification/report of a FIRE
- Verified **FIRE** detection system alarm/actuation

# <u>AND</u>

FIRE is located in the Turbine Building or <u>ANY</u> Table H-1 plant structure (Note 3)

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

Table H-1	Plant Structures Containing Safe Shutdown Systems or Components
o	Auxiliary Building
0	Service Water Intake Structure
o	Control Point Area
o	Inner/Outer Penetration Areas
0	Containment
0	Fuel Handling Building
0	Service Building
0	RWST, PWST, and AFWST Area

#### Basis:

This EAL addresses the magnitude and extent of **FIRES** that may be potentially significant precursors of damage to safety systems. It addresses the FIRE, and not the degradation in performance of affected systems that may result.

The 15 minute time period begins with a credible notification/report that a FIRE is occurring, or upon verification that a **FIRE** detection system alarm/actuation is due to a **FIRE**.

a. A credible notification/report to the Control room would be a communications from a member of the plant staff (in-house or contractor) that identifies the observation of a FIRE in a specific location.

NOTE: In this case, the 15 minute clock to assess the EAL and to extinguish the FIRE runs concurrently and starts upon Control Room receipt of the FIRE notification/report.

- b. Verification that a FIRE detection system alarm/actuation is due to a FIRE (not a spurious/false alarm) includes either one of the following:
  - 1. Control Room (or other nearby site-specific location) receipt of related independent alarm(s) (FIRE, temperature, deluge, FIRE pump start, etc.)

i in a c · · · · · · NOTE: In this case, the 15 minute clock to assess the EAL and to extinguish the FIRE runs concurrently and starts upon receipt of the independent alarm(s) related to the FIRE.

2. On/Near-scene visual confirmation if only a single FIRE/smoke detector has alarmed. -. . .

NOTE: In this case, the 15 minute clock to assess the EAL and to extinguish the FIRE runs concurrently and starts upon an on/near-scene confirmation of a FIRE related to the single FIRE/smoke detector that had alarmed. 

The intent of this **15 minute** duration is to size the **FIRE** and to discriminate against small FIRES that are readily extinguished (e.g., smoldering waste paper basket).

-The Turbine Building and Table H-1 list is limited and applies to buildings and areas in actual contact with or immediately adjacent to VITAL AREAS or other significant buildings or areas. The intent of this EAL is not to include buildings (i.e., warehouses) or areas that are not in actual contact with or immediately adjacent to VITAL AREAS. This excludes FIRES within administration buildings, waste-basket FIRES, and other small FIRES of no safety consequence. Immediately adjacent implies that the area immediately adjacent contains or may contain equipment or cabling that could impact equipment located in VITAL AREAS or the FIRE could damage equipment inside VITAL AREAS or that precludes access to VITAL AREAS.

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be based on EAL HA2.1.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The Table H-1 Plant Structures Containing Safe Shutdown Systems or Components include those plant structures identified as Seismic Category I.

Definitions:

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

**VITAL AREA**: Typically any site specific areas, normally within the **PROTECTED AREA**, that contains equipment, systems, components, or material, the failure, destruction, or release of which could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety by exposure to radiation.

**FIRE**: Combustion characterized by heat and light. Sources of smoke such as slipping drive belts or overheated electrical equipment do not constitute **FIRES**. Observation of flame is preferred but is NOT required if large quantities of smoke and heat are observed.

**EXPLOSION**: A rapid, violent, unconfined combustion, or catastrophic failure of pressurized/energized equipment that imparts energy of sufficient force to potentially damage permanent structures, systems, or components.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HU2 Example EAL #1
- 2. UFSAR 3.2 Classification of Structures, Components and Systems

ļ

This page intentionally blank

 A second sec second sec

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HU2.1

.

Page 4 of 4

EAL:	
EAL# & Classification Level:	HU2.2 – UNUSUAL EVENT
Mode Applicability:	All
Initiating Condition:	FIRE within the PROTECTED AREA not extinguished within 15 minutes of detection or EXPLOSION within the PROTECTED AREA
EAL Subcategory:	2 – Fire or Explosion
EAL Category:	H – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety

EXPLOSION within the PROTECTED AREA

#### Basis:

This EAL addresses the magnitude and extent of **EXPLOSIONS** that may be potentially significant precursors of damage to safety systems. It addresses the **EXPLOSION**, and not the degradation in performance of affected systems that may result.

This EAL addresses only those **EXPLOSIONS** of sufficient force to damage permanent structures or equipment within the **PROTECTED AREA**.

No attempt is made to assess the actual magnitude of the damage. The occurrence of the **EXPLOSION** is sufficient for declaration.

The Emergency Coordinator also needs to consider any security aspects of the **EXPLOSION**, if applicable.

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be based on EAL HA2.2.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

If the **EXPLOSION** is determined to be hostile in nature, the event is classified under EAL HS4.1.

Definitions:

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

**FIRE**: Combustion characterized by heat and light. Sources of smoke such as slipping drive belts or overheated electrical equipment do not constitute **FIRES**. Observation of flame is preferred but is NOT required if large quantities of smoke and heat are observed.

**EXPLOSION**: A rapid, violent, unconfined combustion, or catastrophic failure of pressurized/energized equipment that imparts energy of sufficient force to potentially damage permanent structures, systems, or components.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HU2 Example EAL #2

e da 1910 - Antara esta a compositor de la Antara esta de la compositor de la composit

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HU2.2

Salem

Page 2 of 2

EAL Category: H – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety

EAL Subcategory: 2 – Fire or Explosion

Initiating Condition: FIRE or EXPLOSION in a VITAL AREA affecting the operability of plant safety systems required to establish or maintain safe shutdown

Mode Applicability: All

EAL# & Classification Level: HA2.1 – ALERT

### EAL:

**FIRE** in <u>ANY</u> **Table H-1** plant structure affecting the operability of plant safety systems required to establish or maintain safe shutdown

# <u>AND</u>

≥ 15 minutes have elapsed (Note 3)

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

Table H-1	Plant Structures Containing Safe Shutdown Systems or Components
0	Auxiliary Building
0	Service Water Intake Structure
ø	Control Point Area
ଚ	Inner/Outer Penetration Areas
0	Containment
ø	Fuel Handling Building
•	Service Building
0	RWST, PWST, and AFWST Area

### Basis:

The significance here is that the **FIRE** was large enough to cause damage to these systems.

The declaration of an ALERT and the activation of the Technical Support Center will provide the Emergency Coordinator with the resources needed to perform detailed damage assessments.

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, will be based on EALs in Category S, System Malfunctions, Category F, Fission Product Barrier Degradation, or Category R, Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The Table H-1 Plant Structures Containing Safe Shutdown Systems or Components include those plant structures identified as Seismic Category I.

Definitions:

**FIRE**: Combustion characterized by heat and light. Sources of smoke such as slipping drive belts or overheated electrical equipment do not constitute **FIRES**. Observation of flame is preferred but is NOT required if large quantities of smoke and heat are observed.

**EXPLOSION**: A rapid, violent, unconfined combustion, or catastrophic failure of pressurized/energized equipment that imparts energy of sufficient force to potentially damage permanent structures, systems, or components.

VITAL AREAS: Typically any site specific areas, normally within the **PROTECTED AREA**, that contains equipment, systems, components, or material, the failure, destruction, or release of which could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety by exposure to radiation

**PROTECTED AREA (PA):** A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HA2 Example EAL #1
- 2. UFSAR 3.2 Classification of Structures, Components and Systems

.

.

This page intentionally blank

!

EAL Category: H – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety

EAL Subcategory: 2 – Fire or Explosion

Initiating Condition: FIRE or EXPLOSION in a VITAL AREA affecting the operability of plant safety systems required to establish or maintain safe shutdown

Mode Applicability: All

EAL# & Classification Level: HA2.2 – ALERT

EAL:

**EXPLOSION** in <u>ANY</u> **Table H-1** plant structure affecting the operability of plant safety systems required to establish or maintain safe shutdown

Table H-1 Plant Structures Containing Safe Shutdown Systems or Components	
0	Auxiliary Building
0	Service Water Intake Structure
0	Control Point Area
o	Inner/Outer Penetration Areas
0	Containment
o	Fuel Handling Building
0	Service Building
, o	RWST, PWST, and AFWST Area

### Basis:

The significance here is that the **EXPLOSION** was large enough to cause damage to these systems.

The declaration of an ALERT and the activation of the Technical Support Center will provide the Emergency Coordinator with the resources needed to perform detailed damage assessments.

The Emergency Coordinator also needs to consider any security aspects of the EXPLOSION.

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, will be based on EALs in Category S, System Malfunctions, Category F, Fission Product Barrier Degradation, or Category R, Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

If the **EXPLOSION** is determined to be hostile in nature, the event is classified under EAL HS4.1.

The Table H-1 Plant Structures Containing Safe Shutdown Systems or Components include those plant structures identified as Seismic Category I.

Definitions:

**FIRE**: Combustion characterized by heat and light. Sources of smoke such as slipping drive belts or overheated electrical equipment do not constitute **FIRES**. Observation of flame is preferred but is NOT required if large quantities of smoke and heat are observed.

**EXPLOSION**: A rapid, violent, unconfined combustion, or catastrophic failure of pressurized/energized equipment that imparts energy of sufficient force to potentially damage permanent structures, systems, or components.

VITAL AREAS: Typically any site specific areas, normally within the **PROTECTED AREA**, that contains equipment, systems, components, or material, the failure, destruction, or release of which could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety by exposure to radiation.

**PROTECTED AREA (PA):** A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA):** Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HA2 Example EAL #1
- 2. UFSAR 3.2 Classification of Structures, Components and Systems

e de la production de la companya d

Salem

Page 2 of 2

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HA2.2

EAL Category:	H – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	3 – Hazardous Gas
Initiating Condition:	Release of toxic, corrosive, asphyxiant or flammable gases deemed detrimental to <b>NORMAL PLANT OPERATIONS</b>
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HU3.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT
EAL:	

Release of toxic, corrosive, asphyxiant or flammable gas in amounts (excluding small or incidental releases) that have or could adversely affect **NORMAL PLANT OPERATIONS** 

### Basis:

This EAL is based on the release of toxic, corrosive, asphyxiant or flammable gases of sufficient quantity to affect normal plant operations.

The fact that SCBA or other respiratory protection may be worn does not eliminate the need to declare the event.

This EAL is not intended to require significant assessment or quantification. It assumes an uncontrolled process that has the potential to affect **NORMAL PLANT OPERATIONS**. This would preclude small or incidental releases, or releases that do not impact structures needed for plant operation.

An asphyxiant is a gas capable of reducing the level of oxygen in the body to dangerous levels. Most commonly, asphyxiants work by merely displacing air in an enclosed environment. This reduces the concentration of oxygen below the normal level of around 19%, which can lead to breathing difficulties, unconsciousness or even death.

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be based on EAL HA3.1.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The release may have originated within the Site Boundary, or it may have originated offsite and subsequently drifted onto the Site Boundary. Offsite events (e.g., tanker truck accident releasing toxic gases, etc.) resulting in the plant being within the evacuation area should also be considered in this EAL because of the adverse affect on **NORMAL PLANT OPERATIONS**. Should the release affect plant VITAL AREAS, escalation to an ALERT would be based on EAL HA3.1. Should an **EXPLOSION** or **FIRE** occur due to flammable gas within an affected plant area, an ALERT may be appropriate based on EAL HA2.1 or EAL HA2.2.

A Toxic Gas is considered to be any substance that is dangerous to life or limb by reason of inhalation or skin contact.

A Flammable Gas is considered to be any substance that can result in an ignition, sustained burn or detonation.

Carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  is an asphyxiant gas. A 20 lb  $CO_2$  extinguisher discharge will not create a hazardous atmosphere unless the room volume is less than 2500 cubic feet.

A Corrosive Gas is a highly reactive substance that causes obvious damage to living tissue. Corrosives act either directly, by chemically destroying the part or indirectly by causing inflammation. Acids and bases are common corrosive materials. Corrosives such as these are also sometimes referred to as caustics.

This EAL should not be construed to include confined spaces that must be ventilated prior to entry or situations involving the fire department personnel who are using respiratory equipment during the performance of their duties unless it also affects personnel not involved with the fire department activates. In addition, those situations that require personnel to wear respiratory protection equipment as the result of airborne contamination as required by Radiation Protection personnel do not meet the intent of this EAL.

### Definitions:

**NORMAL PLANT OPERATIONS:** Activities at the plant site associated with routine testing, maintenance, or equipment operations, in accordance with normal operating or administrative procedures. Entry into abnormal or emergency operating procedures, or deviation from normal security or radiological controls posture, is a departure from NORMAL PLANT OPERATIONS.

VITAL AREA: Typically any site specific areas, normally within the **PROTECTED AREA**, that contains equipment, systems, components, or material; the failure, destruction, or release of which could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety by exposure to radiation.

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

**FIRE**: Combustion characterized by heat and light. Sources of smoke such as slipping drive belts or overheated electrical equipment do not constitute **FIRES**. Observation of flame is preferred but is NOT required if large quantities of smoke and heat are observed.

**EXPLOSION**: A rapid, violent, unconfined combustion, or catastrophic failure of pressurized/energized equipment that imparts energy of sufficient force to potentially damage permanent structures, systems, or components.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HU3 Example EAL #1
- 2. OE25324 Alert Declared Due to CO2 Fire Extinguisher Discharge

.

This page intentionally blank

Salem

ļ

EAL:	
EAL# & Classification Level:	HU3.2 – UNUSUAL EVENT (Common Site)
Mode Applicability:	All
Initiating Condition:	Release of toxic, corrosive, asphyxiant or flammable gases deemed detrimental to <b>NORMAL PLANT OPERATIONS</b>
EAL Subcategory:	3 – Hazardous Gas
EAL Category:	H – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety

Notification by Local, County, or State Officials for evacuation or sheltering of site personnel based on an **off-site gas release event** that includes toxic, corrosive, asphyxiant, or flammable gas

#### Basis:

The fact that SCBA or other respiratory protection may be worn does not eliminate the need to declare the event.

An asphyxiant is a gas capable of reducing the level of oxygen in the body to dangerous levels. Most commonly, asphyxiants work by merely displacing air in an enclosed environment. This reduces the concentration of oxygen below the normal level of around 19%, which can lead to breathing difficulties, unconsciousness or even death.

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be based on EAL HA3.1.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This EAL is based on the existence of an uncontrolled release originating offsite and local, county or state officials have reported the need for evacuation or sheltering of site personnel.

State and local officials may determine the evacuation area for an offsite spill or release by using "The Emergency Response Guidebook (ERG2008)" developed by the US Department of Transportation.

Should the release affect plant VITAL AREAS, escalation to an ALERT would be based on EAL HA3.1. Should an **EXPLOSION** or **FIRE** occur due to flammable gas within an affected plant area, an ALERT may be appropriate based on EAL HA2.1.

A Toxic Gas is considered to be any substance that is dangerous to life or limb by reason of inhalation or skin contact.

A Flammable Gas is considered to be any substance that can result in an ignition, sustained burn or detonation.

Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) is an asphyxiant gas. A 20 lb. CO<sub>2</sub> fire extinguisher discharge will not create a hazardous atmosphere unless the room volume is less than 2500 cu.ft.

A Corrosive Gas is a highly reactive substance that causes obvious damage to living tissue. Corrosives act either directly, by chemically destroying the part or indirectly by causing inflammation. Acids and bases are common corrosive materials. Corrosives such as these are also sometimes referred to as caustics.

Definitions:

**NORMAL PLANT OPERATIONS**: Activities at the plant site associated with routine testing, maintenance, or equipment operations, in accordance with normal operating or administrative procedures. Entry into abnormal or emergency operating procedures, or deviation from normal security or radiological controls posture, is a departure from **NORMAL PLANT OPERATIONS**.

VITAL AREA: Typically any site specific areas, normally within the **PROTECTED AREA**, that contains equipment, systems, components, or material, the failure, destruction, or release of which could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety by exposure to radiation.

PROTECTED AREA (PA): A security controlled area within the OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA) that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the PA requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA):** Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

FIRE: Combustion characterized by heat and light. Sources of smoke such as slipping drive belts or overheated electrical equipment do not constitute FIRES. Observation of flame is preferred but is NOT required if large quantities of smoke and heat are observed. **EXPLOSION**: A rapid, violent, unconfined combustion, or catastrophic failure of pressurized/energized equipment that imparts energy of sufficient force to potentially damage permanent structures, systems, or components.

2.1

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HU3 Example EAL #2
- 2. The Emergency Response Guide (ERG2008)
- 3. OE25354 Alert Due to CO<sub>2</sub> Fire Extinguisher Discharge

ļ
This page intentionally blank

Salem

.

!

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HU3₌2 ,

EAL Category:	H – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	3 – Hazardous Gas
Initiating Condition:	Access to a <b>VITAL AREA</b> is prohibited due to toxic, corrosive, asphyxiant or flammable gases which jeopardize operation of operable equipment required to maintain safe operations or safely shut down the reactor
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HA3.1 – ALERT
EAL:	

Access to <u>ANY</u> **Table H-1** plant structure is prohibited due to toxic, corrosive, asphyxiant, or flammable gases which jeopardize operation of systems required to maintain safe operations or safely shut down the reactor (Note 5)

Note 5: If the equipment in the stated area was already inoperable, or out of service, before the event occurred, then this EAL should NOT be declared as it will have NO adverse impact on the ability of the plant to safely operate or safely shut down beyond that already allowed by Technical Specifications at the time of the event.

Table H-1	Plant Structures Containing Safe Shutdown Systems or Components
0	Auxiliary Building
0	Service Water Intake Structure
٥	Control Point Area
0	Inner/Outer Penetration Areas
o	Containment
0	Fuel Handling Building
0	Service Building
0	RWST, PWST, and AFWST Area

#### Basis:

Gases in a **VITAL AREA** can affect the ability to safely operate or safely shut down the reactor.

Salem

Page 1 of 4

1

Rev. 0 (draft E)

eal#: HA3.1

. . 1

The fact that SCBA or other respiratory protection may be worn does not eliminate the need to declare the event.

Declaration should not be delayed for confirmation from atmospheric testing if the atmosphere poses an immediate threat to life and health or an immediate threat of severe exposure to gases. This could be based upon documented analysis, indication of personal ill effects from exposure, or operating experience with the hazards.

An asphyxiant is a gas capable of reducing the level of oxygen in the body to dangerous levels. Most commonly, asphyxiants work by merely displacing air in an enclosed environment. This reduces the concentration of oxygen below the normal level of around 19%, which can lead to breathing difficulties, unconsciousness or even death.

An uncontrolled release of flammable gasses within a facility structure has the potential to affect safe operation of the plant by limiting either operator or equipment operations due to the potential for ignition and resulting equipment damage/personnel injury. Flammable gasses, such as hydrogen and acetylene, are routinely used to maintain plant systems (hydrogen) or to repair equipment/components (acetylene - used in welding). This EAL assumes concentrations of flammable gasses which can ignite/support combustion.

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, will be based on EALs in Category S, System Malfunctions, Category F, Fission Product Barrier Degradation, or Category R, Abnormal Rad Levels / Rad Effluent

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This EAL is based on gases that have entered a plant structure in concentrations that could be unsafe for plant personnel and, therefore, preclude access to equipment necessary for the safe operation or safe shutdown of the plant. The Table H-1 Plant Structures Containing Safe Shutdown Systems or Components include those plant structures identified as Seismic Category I.

A Toxic Gas is considered to be any substance that is dangerous to life or limb by reason of inhalation or skin contact.

A Flammable Gas is considered to be any substance that can result in an ignition, sustained burn or detonation.

Carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  is an asphyxiant gas. A 20 lb  $CO_2$  extinguisher discharge will not create a hazardous atmosphere unless the room volume is less than 2500 cubic feet.

A Corrosive Gas is a highly reactive substance that causes obvious damage to living tissue. Corrosives act either directly, by chemically destroying the part or indirectly by causing inflammation. Acids and bases are common corrosive materials. Corrosives such as these are also sometimes referred to as caustics.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HA3.1 This EAL should not be construed to include confined spaces that must be ventilated prior to entry or situations involving the fire department personnel who are using respiratory equipment during the performance of their duties unless it also affects personnel not involved with the fire department activates. In addition, those situations that require personnel to wear respiratory protection equipment as the result of airborne contamination as required by Radiation Protection personnel do not meet the intent of this EAL.

### Definitions:

**VITAL AREA**: Typically any site specific areas, normally within the **PROTECTED AREA**, that contains equipment, systems, components, or material, the failure, destruction, or release of which could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety by exposure to radiation.

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HA3 Example EAL #1
- 2. UFSAR 3.2 Classification of Structures, Components and Systems
- 3. OE25324 Alert Declared Due to CO2 Fire Extinguisher Discharge

This page intentionally blank

الم المالية المالية المركز التي المركز ال المركز المركز

2.214 Control Control And Street Street Technology (Street Street Stree Street Stre

> Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HA3.1

EAL Category:	H – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	4 – Security
Initiating Condition:	Confirmed <b>SECURITY CONDITION</b> or threat which indicates a potential degradation in the level of safety of the plant
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HU4.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT (Common Site)

#### EAL:

A **SECURITY CONDITION** that does NOT involve a **HOSTILE ACTION** as reported by the Security Operations Supervisor or designee (Note 8)

### <u>OR</u>

Receipt of a **CREDIBLE/ACTUAL THREAT** to Salem or Hope Creek station – (determined by security in accordance with SY-AA-101-132, "Threat Assessment") (Note 8)

### 

A VALIDATED notification from NRC providing information of a Salem/Hope Creek AIRCRAFT threat (Note 8)

NOTE 8: Shift Manager (SM) should implement the Prompt Actions of NC.EP-EP.ZZ-0102, EC Response, Attachment 10, prior to classification of a security emergency.

Key Information to obtain from Security Supervision upon SM notification of a security event:

- Determination if the security event is a HOSTILE ACTION or SECURITY CONDITION
- If a **HOSTILE ACTION**, is location the **OCA** or **PA**?

#### Basis:

Security events which do not represent a potential degradation in the level of safety of the plant are reported under 10 CFR 73.71 or in some cases under 10 CFR 50.72. Security events assessed as **HOSTILE ACTIONS** are classifiable under EAL HA4.1, EAL HS4.1 and EAL HG4.1.

A higher initial classification could be made based upon the nature and timing of the security threat and potential consequences. The Emergency Coordinator shall consider upgrading the emergency response status and emergency classification level in accordance with the Salem – Hope Creek Security Contingency Plan.

# 1<sup>st</sup> Condition (SECURITY CONDITION)

Reference is made to the specific security shift supervision (Security Operations Supervisor or designee) because these individuals are the designated personnel on-site qualified and trained to confirm that a security event is occurring or has occurred. Training on security event classification confirmation is closely controlled due to the strict secrecy controls placed on the Salem – Hope Creek Security Contingency Plan.

This threshold is based on the Salem – Hope Creek Security Contingency Plan. The Salem – Hope Creek Security Contingency Plan is based on guidance provided by NEI 03-12, Template for the Security Plan, Training and Qualification Plan, Security Contingency Plan and ISFSI Program.

## 2<sup>nd</sup> Condition (CREDIBLE / ACTUAL THREAT)

This threshold is included to ensure that appropriate notifications for the security threat are made in a timely manner. This includes information of a credible threat. Only the site to which the specific threat is made needs declare the Notification of an UNUSUAL EVENT.

The determination of **CREDIBLE** is made through use of information found in Threat Assessment, SY-AA-101-132.

#### 3rd Condition (AIRCRAFT Threat)

The intent of this part of the EAL is to ensure that notifications for the **AIRCRAFT** threat are made in a timely manner and that offsite response organization (OROs) and plant personnel are at a state of heightened awareness regarding the credible threat. It is not the intent of this EAL to replace existing non-hostile related EALs involving **AIRCRAFT**.

This EAL is met when a plant (site) receives information regarding an **AIRCRAFT** threat from NRC. Validation is performed by calling the NRC or by other approved methods of authentication. Only the site to which the specific threat is made need declare the UNUSUAL EVENT.

The NRC Headquarters Operations Officer (HOO) will communicate to the licensee if the threat involves an **AIRLINER** (**AIRLINER** is meant to be a large **AIRCRAFT** with the potential for causing significant damage to the plant). The status and size of the plane may be provided by NORAD through the NRC.

Escalation to ALERT emergency classification level would be via EAL HA4.1 and would be appropriate if the threat involves an **AIRLINER** within 30 minutes of the plant or a **HOSTILE ACTION** in the **OCA** or **PA**.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

If the security events do not meet the threshold for an UNUSUAL EVENT classification, they may result in the need to make a non-emergency report per RAL Section 11.7.1.a, One Hour Non-Emergency Safeguards Event (10 CFR 73.71) as determined by Security per SY-AA-1002, "Safeguards Event Report."

Security will be focused on actions to mitigate the security event and will provide the SM with key information as the event progresses. Communications between the SMs and the Security Team Leader should be accurate, concise, and focused on EAL criteria and protection of key target sets. As Security and Operations terminology sometimes differ, clarifying questions should be asked to ensure accurate information exchange.

### 1<sup>st</sup> Condition (SECURITY CONDITION)

Page 6 of this EAL Basis is a "Security Contingency Event Summary Table" that indicates which Security Contingency Events could result in Security Supervision determining that a **SECURITY CONDITION** exists and therefore an UNUSUAL EVENT classification should be made <u>OR</u>, could result in Security Supervision determining that a **HOSTILE ACTION** is or has occurred and therefore classification at the ALERT or higher level should be made based on the location (OCA or PA) of the **HOSTILE ACTION**.

### 2<sup>nd</sup> Condition (CREDIBLE / ACTUAL THREAT)

This threshold is included to ensure that threat information from any source which is assessed by security supervision as being a "CREDIBLE/ACTUAL THREAT" is classified as an UNUSUAL EVENT. Only the site to which the specific threat is made needs to declare the UNUSUAL EVENT. For Security Events, Salem and Hope Creek is considered a single site, therefore a "CREDIBLE/ACTUAL THREAT" to either Salem or Hope Creek would affect the entire site and a "Common Site" UE declaration would be made.

Timely classification will ensure that Offsite Response Organizations and plant personnel are notified in a timely manner resulting in a state of heightened awareness. Threats are evaluated by security per Threat Assessment, SY-AA-101-132. Security threats that do not meet the definition of a "CREDIBLE/ACTUAL THREAT" should be dispositioned IAW Threat Assessment, SY-AA-101-132.

Salem

#### <u>3<sup>rd</sup> Condition (AIRCRAFT Threat)</u>

**AIRCRAFT** threat calls from the NRC should be **VALIDATED** by use of NRC authentication code or a return call to the NRC Headquarter Operations Center.

For security events, Salem and Hope Creek is considered a single site, therefore, a "VALIDATED AIRCRAFT THREAT" to either Salem or Hope Creek would affect the entire site and a "Common Site" UE declaration would be made.

Definitions:

**SECURITY CONDITION**: Any security event as listed in the approved security contingency plan that constitutes a threat/compromise to site security, threat/risk to site personnel, or a potential degradation to the level of safety of the plant. A **SECURITY CONDITION** does not involve a **HOSTILE ACTION**.

VALIDATED: AIRCRAFT threat call from the NRC that is confirmed to be authentic. Calls from the NRC are VALIDATED by use of the NRC provided authentication code or by making a return call to the NRC Headquarter Operations Center and confirming threat information with the NRC Operation Officer. AIRCRAFT threat calls from other agencies, NORAD, FAA, or FBI should be VALIDATED by calling the NRC Operations Officer.

AIRCRAFT: Includes both small and large AIRCRAFT. Examples of AIRCRAFT include general aviation Cessna, Piper and Lear type private planes, large passenger or freight planes as well as police, medical and media helicopters. A large AIRCRAFT is referred to as an AIRLINER.

AIRLINER/LARGE AIRCRAFT: Any size or type of AIRCRAFT with the potential for causing significant damage to the plant (refer to the Security Contingency Plan for a more detailed definition).

**CREDIBLE / ACTUAL THREAT**: Is a threat which poses a likely and serious danger to the safe operation of the facility or to site personnel and public safety.

**HOSTILE ACTION**: An act toward Salem or Hope Creek or its personnel that includes the use of violent force to destroy equipment, take **HOSTAGES**, and/or intimidate the licensee to achieve an end. This includes attack by air, land, or water using guns, explosives, **PROJECTILEs**, vehicles, or other devices used to deliver destructive force. Other acts that satisfy the overall intent may be included. **HOSTILE ACTION** should not be construed to include acts of civil disobedience or felonious acts that are not part of a concerted attack on Salem or Hope Creek. Non-terrorism-based EALs should be used to address such activities (i.e., this may include violent acts between individuals in the **OCA**). **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, the area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER**-**CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security center.

**PROJECTILE**: An object that impacts Salem/Hope Creek that could cause concern for continued operability, reliability, or personnel safety.

**HOSTAGE**: A person(s) held as leverage against the station to ensure that demands will be met by the station.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HU4 Example EAL #1, #2, #3
- 2. Salem Hope Creek Security Contingency Plan
- 3. SY-AA-101-132 Threat Assessment
- 4. SC.OP-AB.CR-0004(Q) Security Event
- 5. SC.OP-AB.CR-0005(Q) Airborne Threat

Contingency Event Number	Event Could Result in Determination of a SECURITY CONDITION (UE ONLY) Yes / No	Event Could Result in Determination of a <b>HOSTILE</b> <b>ACTION</b> (ALERT or Higher) Yes / No
# 1	Yes	Yes
#2	Yes	Yes
# 3	Yes	No
#4	No	Yes
# 5	Yes	Yes
#6	No	No
# 7	No	No
#8	Yes	Yes
#9	Yes	Yes
# 10	Yes	Yes
# 11	Yes	No
# 12	Yes	No
# 13	Yes	No
# 14	Yes	No
# 15	Yes	No
# 16	Yes	Yes
# 17	Yes	Yes
# 18	No	Yes
# 19	Yes	Yes
# 20	Yes	No

EAL Category:	H – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	4 – Security
Initiating Condition:	HOSTILE ACTION within the OWNER CONTROLLED AREA or airborne attack threat
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HA4.1 – ALERT

EAL:

A **HOSTILE ACTION** is occurring or has occurred within the **OCA** as reported by the Security Operations Supervisor or designee (Note 8)

## 

A VALIDATED notification from NRC of a AIRLINER attack threat < 30 minutes away from Salem/Hope Creek (Note 8)

NOTE 8: Shift Manager (SM) should implement the Prompt Actions of NC.EP-EP.ZZ-0102, EC Response, Attachment 10, prior to classification of a security emergency.

Key Information to obtain from Security Supervision upon SM notification of a security event:

- Determination if the security event is a **HOSTILE ACTION** or **SECURITY CONDITION**
- If a **HOSTILE ACTION**, is location the **OCA** or **PA**?

### Basis:

This EAL addresses the contingency for a very rapid progression of events, such as that experienced on September 11, 2001. They are not premised solely on the potential for a radiological release. Rather the issue includes the need for rapid assistance due to the possibility for significant and indeterminate damage from additional air, land or water attack elements.

The fact that the site is under serious attack or is an identified attack target with minimal time available for further preparation or additional assistance to arrive requires a heightened state of readiness and implementation of protective measures that can be effective (such as on-site evacuation, dispersal or sheltering).

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

### 1<sup>st</sup> Condition (OCA HOSTILE ACTION)

This EAL addresses the potential for a very rapid progression of events due to a **HOSTILE ACTION** within or directed towards the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**. It is not intended to address incidents that are accidental events or acts of civil disobedience, such as small **AIRCRAFT** impact, hunters, or physical disputes between employees within the **OCA**. Those events are adequately addressed by other EALs or RALs

Note that this EAL is applicable for any **HOSTILE ACTION** occurring, or that has occurred, in the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA**.

If not previously notified by the NRC that the airborne **HOSTILE ACTION** was intentional, then it would be expected, although not certain, that notification by an appropriate Federal agency would follow. In this case, appropriate federal agency is intended to be NORAD, FBI, FAA or NRC. However, the declaration should not be unduly delayed awaiting Federal notification.

### 2<sup>nd</sup> Condition (AIRLINER Threat)

This EAL addresses the immediacy of an expected threat (AIRLINER) arrival or impact on the site within a relatively short time (< 30 minutes).

The intent of this EAL is to ensure that notifications for the AIRLINER attack threat are made in a timely manner and that OROs and plant personnel are at a state of heightened awareness regarding the credible threat. AIRLINER is meant to be a large AIRCRAFT with the potential for causing significant damage to the site.

This EAL is met when a plant receives information regarding an **AIRLINER** attack threat from NRC and the **AIRLINER** is within 30 minutes of the plant. Only the site to which the specific threat is made need declare the ALERT.

The NRC Headquarters Operations Officer (HOO) will communicate to the licensee if the threat involves an **AIRLINER** (**AIRLINER** is meant to be a large **AIRCRAFT** with the potential for causing significant damage to the plant). The status and size of the plane may be provided by NORAD through the NRC.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This event will be escalated to a SITE AREA EMERGENCY based upon **HOSTILE ACTION** affecting the **PROTECTED AREA (PA)**. Also, if Hope Creek declares an SAE due to their **PA** being affected by the security event, Salem will escalate to SAE to match them.

### 1<sup>st</sup> Condition (OCA HOSTILE ACTION)

Reference is made to the specific security shift supervision (Security Operations Supervisor or designee) because these individuals are the designated personnel on-site qualified and trained to confirm that a **HOSTILE ACTION** is occurring or has occurred.

This EAL condition is not premised solely on adverse health effects caused by a radiological release. Rather the issue is the immediate need for assistance due to the nature of the event and the potential for significant and indeterminate damage. Although nuclear plant security officers are well trained and prepared to protect against **HOSTILE ACTION**, it is appropriate for Offsite Response Organizations (OROs) to be notified and encouraged to begin activation to be better prepared should it be necessary to consider further actions.

Page 6 of this EAL Basis is a "Security Contingency Event Summary Table" that indicates which Security Contingency Events could result in Security Supervision determining that a **HOSTILE ACTION** is or has occurred and therefore classification at the ALERT or higher level should be made based on the location (OCA or PA) of the **HOSTILE ACTION**. Security events that do not involve a **HOSTILE ACTION** may result in Security Supervision determining that a **SECURITY CONDITION** exists and therefore an UNUSUAL EVENT classification should be made per EAL HU4.1.

## 2<sup>nd</sup> Condition (AIRLINER Threat)

The fact that the site is an identified attack candidate with minimal time available for further preparation requires a heightened state of readiness and implementation of protective measures that can be effective (onsite evacuation, dispersal, or sheltering) before arrival or impact.

This EAL is met when a plant receives **VALIDATED** information regarding an **AIRLINER** attack threat from NRC and the **AIRLINER** is less than 30 minutes away from the site. Only the site (Salem and Hope Creek is considered a single site for Security event classifications) to which the specific threat is made needs declare the ALERT.

**AIRLINER** threat calls from the NRC should be **VALIDATED** by use of NRC authentication code or a return call to the NRC Headquarter Operations Center.

Definitions:

**HOSTILE ACTION**: An act toward Salem or Hope Creek or its personnel that includes the use of violent force to destroy equipment, take **HOSTAGES**, and/or intimidate the licensee to achieve an end. This includes attack by air, land, or water using guns, explosives, **PROJECTILES**, vehicles, or other devices used to deliver destructive force. Other acts that satisfy the overall intent may be included. **HOSTILE ACTION** should not be construed to include acts of civil disobedience or felonious acts that are not part of a concerted attack on Salem or Hope Creek. Non-terrorism-based EALs should be used to address such activities (i.e., this may include violent acts between individuals in the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA**).

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

**HOSTAGE**: A person(s) held as leverage against the station to ensure that demands will be met by the station.

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER**-**CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

AIRCRAFT: Includes both small and large AIRCRAFT. Examples of AIRCRAFT include general aviation Cessna, Piper and Lear type private planes, large passenger or freight planes as well as police, medical and media helicopters. A large AIRCRAFT is referred to as an AIRLINER.

AIRLINER/LARGE AIRCRAFT: Any size or type of AIRCRAFT with the potential for causing significant damage to the plant (refer to the Security Contingency Plan for a more detailed definition).

**SECURITY CONDITION**: Any security event as listed in the approved security contingency plan that constitutes a threat/compromise to site security, threat/risk to site personnel, or a potential degradation to the level of safety of the plant. A **SECURITY CONDITION** does not involve a **HOSTILE ACTION**.

**PROJECTILE**: An object directed toward Salem/Hope Creek that could cause concern for its continued operability, reliability, or personnel safety.

VALIDATED: AIRCRAFT threat call from the NRC that is confirmed to be authentic. Calls from the NRC are VALIDATED by use of the NRC provided authentication code or by making a return call to the NRC Headquarter Operations Center and confirming threat information with the NRC Operation Officer. AIRCRAFT threat calls from other agencies, NORAD, FAA, or FBI should be VALIDATED by calling the NRC Operations Officer.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HA4 Example EAL #1, #2
- 2. Salem Hope Creek Security Contingency Plan
- 3. SC.OP-AB.CR-0004(Q) Security Event
- 4. SC.OP-AB.CR-0005(Q) Airborne Threat

Contingency Event Number	Event Could Result in Determination of a SECURITY CONDITION (UE ONLY) Yes / No	Event Could Result in Determination of a <b>HOSTILE</b> <b>ACTION</b> (ALERT or Higher) Yes / No
# 1	Yes	Yes
#2	Yes	Yes
#3	Yes	No
# 4	No	Yes
# 5	Yes	Yes
#6	No	No
#7	No	No
#8	Yes	Yes
#9	Yes	Yes
# 10	Yes	Yes
# 11	Yes	No
# 12	Yes	No
# 13	Yes	No
# 14	Yes	No
# 15	Yes	No
# 16	Yes	Yes
# 17	Yes	Yes
# 18	No	Yes
# 19	Yes	Yes
# 20	Yes	No

EAL Category:	H – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	4 – Security
Initiating Condition:	HOSTILE ACTION within the PROTECTED AREA
Mode Applicability:	Ali
EAL# & Classification Level:	HS4.1 – SITE AREA EMERGENCY

### EAL:

A **HOSTILE ACTION** is occurring or has occurred within the **PROTECTED AREA** as reported by the Security Operations Supervisor or designee (Note 8)

NOTE 8: Shift Manager (SM) should implement the Prompt Actions of NC.EP-EP.ZZ-0102, EC Response, Attachment 10, prior to classification of a security emergency.

Key Information to obtain from Security Supervision upon SM notification of a security event:

- Determination if the security event is a **HOSTILE ACTION** or **SECURITY CONDITION**
- If a HOSTILE ACTION, is location the OCA or PA?

### Basis:

This condition represents an escalated threat to plant safety above that contained in the ALERT in that a **HOSTILE FORCE** has progressed from the **OWNER CONTROLLED AREA** to the **PROTECTED AREA**.

This EAL addresses the contingency for a very rapid progression of events due to a **HOSTILE ACTION** within or directed towards the **PROTECTED AREA (PA)**. Plant **VITAL AREAS** are within the **PROTECTED AREA** and are generally controlled by card key readers. A **HOSTILE ACTION** in the **PROTECTED AREA** (which includes **VITAL AREAS**) could represent a situation that threatens the safety of plant personnel and the general public. These EALs address the contingency for a very rapid progression of events, such as that experienced on September 11, 2001. It is not premised solely on the potential for a radiological release. Rather the issue includes the need for rapid assistance due to the possibility for significant and indeterminate damage from additional air, land or water attack elements.

The fact that the site is under serious attack with minimal time available for further preparation or additional assistance to arrive requires Offsite Response Organization (ORO) readiness and preparation for the implementation of protective measures.

This EAL is not intended to address incidents that are accidental events or acts of civil disobedience, such as small AIRCRAFT impact, hunters, or physical disputes between employees within the **PROTECTED AREA**. Those events are adequately addressed by other EALs or RALs.

Although nuclear plant security officers are well trained and prepared to protect against **HOSTILE ACTION**, it is appropriate for OROs to be notified and encouraged to begin preparations for public protective actions to be better prepared should it be necessary to consider further actions.

If not previously notified by NRC that the airborne **HOSTILE ACTION** was intentional, then it would be expected, although not certain, that notification by an appropriate Federal agency would follow. In this case, appropriate federal agency is intended to be NORAD, FBI, FAA or NRC. However, the declaration should not be unduly delayed awaiting Federal notification.

Escalation of this emergency classification level to a GENERAL EMERGENCY, if appropriate, would be based upon the actual loss of physical control of the facility. If necessary, Salem will declare this event.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The Security Shift Supervision is defined as the Security Operations Supervisor or designee.

These individuals are the designated on-site personnel qualified and trained to confirm that a security event is occurring or has occurred. Training on security event classification confirmation is closely controlled due to the strict secrecy controls placed on the Salem – Hope Creek Security Contingency Plan (Safeguards) information.

**PROJECTILES** that are directed into or that have impacted the **PA** from the **OCA** or beyond are considered under this EAL as **HOSTILE ACTIONS** within the **PA**.

Page 5 of this EAL Basis is a "Security Contingency Event Summary Table" that indicates which Security Contingency Events could result in Security Supervision determining that a **HOSTILE ACTION** is or has occurred and therefore classification at the ALERT or higher level should be made based on the location (OCA or PA) of the **HOSTILE ACTION**. Security events that do not involve a **HOSTILE ACTION** may result in Security Supervision determining that a **SECURITY CONDITION** exists and therefore an UNUSUAL EVENT classification should be made per EAL HU4.1.

### Definitions:

**HOSTILE ACTION**: An act toward Salem or Hope Creek or its personnel that includes the use of violent force to destroy equipment, take **HOSTAGES**, and/or intimidate the licensee to achieve an end. This includes attack by air, land, or water using guns, explosives, **PROJECTILES**, vehicles, or other devices used to deliver destructive force. Other acts that satisfy the overall intent may be included. **HOSTILE ACTION** should not be construed to include acts of civil disobedience or felonious acts that are not part of a concerted attack on Salem or Hope Creek. Non-terrorism-based EALs should be used to address such activities (i.e., this may include violent acts between individuals in the **OCA**).

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the OCA.

**HOSTILE FORCE**: One or more individuals who are engaged in a determined assault, overtly or by stealth and deception, equipped with suitable weapons capable of killing, maiming, or causing destruction.

**HOSTAGE**: A person(s) held as leverage against the station to ensure that demands will be met by the station.

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER**-**CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**SECURITY CONDITION**: Any security event as listed in the approved security contingency plan that constitutes a threat/compromise to site security, threat/risk to site personnel, or a potential degradation to the level of safety of the plant. A **SECURITY CONDITION** does not involve a **HOSTILE ACTION**.

**AIRCRAFT**: Includes both small and large **AIRCRAFT**. Examples of **AIRCRAFT** include general aviation Cessna, Piper and Lear type private planes, large passenger or freight planes as well as police, medical and media helicopters. A large **AIRCRAFT** is referred to as an **AIRLINER**.

eal#: HS4.1

**AIRLINER/LARGE AIRCRAFT**: Any size or type of **AIRCRAFT** with the potential for causing significant damage to the plant (refer to the Security Contingency Plan for a more detailed definition).

**PROJECTILE**: An object that impacts Salem and/or Hope Creek that could cause concern for continued operability, reliability, or personnel safety.

VITAL AREAS: Typically any site specific areas, normally within the **PROTECTED AREA**, that contains equipment, systems, components, or material, the failure, destruction, or release of which could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety by exposure to radiation.

### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HA4 Example EAL #1, #2
- 2. Salem Hope Creek Security Contingency Plan
- 3. SC.OP-AB.CR-0004(Q) Security Event
- 4. SC.OP-AB.CR-0005(Q) Airborne Threat

## Security Contingency Event Summary Table

Contingency Event Number	Event Could Result in Determination of a SECURITY CONDITION (UE ONLY) Yes / No	Event Could Result in Determination of a <b>HOSTILE</b> <b>ACTION</b> (ALERT or Higher) Yes / No
# 1	Yes	Yes
# 2	Yes	Yes
# 3	Yes	No
# 4	No	Yes
# 5	Yes	Yes
# 6	No	No
# 7	No	No
# 8	Yes	Yes
# 9	Yes	Yes
# 10	Yes	Yes
# 11	Yes	No
# 12	Yes	No
# 13	Yes	No
# 14	Yes	No
# 15	Yes	No
# 16	Yes	Yes
# 17	Yes	Yes
# 18	No	Yes
# 19	Yes	Yes
# 20	Yes	No

This page intentionally blank

EAL Category:	H – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	4 – Security
Initiating Condition:	HOSTILE ACTION resulting in loss of physical control of the facility
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HG4.1 – GENERAL EMERGENCY

### EAL:

A **HOSTILE ACTION** has occurred such that plant personnel are unable to operate equipment required to maintain safety functions (i.e., reactivity control, RCS inventory, or secondary heat removal) at Salem or Hope Creek (Note 8)

## 

A **HOSTILE ACTION** has caused failure of Spent Fuel Cooling Systems and **IMMINENT** fuel damage is likely at Salem or Hope Creek (Note 8)

NOTE 8: Shift Manager (SM) should implement the Prompt Actions of NC.EP-EP.ZZ-0102, EC Response, Attachment 10, prior to classification of a security emergency.

Key Information to obtain from Security Supervision upon SM notification of a security event:

- Determination if the security event is a HOSTILE ACTION or SECURITY CONDITION
- If a **HOSTILE ACTION**, is location the **OCA** or **PA**?

### Basis:

### 1st Condition

This EAL encompasses conditions under which a **HOSTILE ACTION** has resulted in a loss of physical control of **VITAL AREAS** (containing vital equipment or controls of vital equipment) required to maintain safety functions and control of that equipment cannot be transferred to and operated from another location.

Typically, these safety functions are reactivity control (ability to shut down the reactor and keep it shutdown), RCS inventory (ability to cool the core), and secondary heat removal (ability to remove decay heat).

Salem

If control of the plant equipment necessary to maintain safety functions can be transferred to another location, then the threshold is not met.

### 2nd Condition

This EAL addresses failure of spent fuel cooling systems as a result of **HOSTILE ACTION** if **IMMINENT** fuel damage is likely.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

#### Definitions:

**HOSTILE ACTION**: An act toward Salem or Hope Creek or its personnel that includes the use of violent force to destroy equipment, take **HOSTAGES**, and/or intimidate the licensee to achieve an end. This includes attack by air, land, or water using guns, explosives, **PROJECTILES**, vehicles, or other devices used to deliver destructive force. Other acts that satisfy the overall intent may be included. **HOSTILE ACTION** should not be construed to include acts of civil disobedience or felonious acts that are not part of a concerted attack on Salem or Hope Creek. Non-terrorism-based EALs should be used to address such activities (i.e., this may include violent acts between individuals in the **OCA**).

**PROJECTILE**: An object that impacts Salem and/or Hope Creek that could cause concern for continued operability, reliability, or personnel safety.

VITAL AREAS: Typically any site specific areas, normally within the **PROTECTED** AREA, that contains equipment, systems, components, or material, the failure, destruction, or release of which could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety by exposure to radiation.

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER**-**CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**HOSTAGE**: A person(s) held as leverage against the station to ensure that demands will be met by the station.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the **OCA**.

**IMMINENT:** Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HG1 Example EAL #1, #2
- 2. SC.OP-AB.CR-0004(Q) Security Event
- 3. SC.OP-AB.CR-0005(Q) Airborne Threat

L

This page intentionally blank

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HG4.1

EAL Category:	H – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety	
EAL Subcategory:	5 – Control Room Evacuation	
Initiating Condition:	Control Room evacuation has been initiated	
Mode Applicability:	All	
EAL# & Classification Level:	HA5.1 – ALERT	
EAL:		
Control Room evacuation has been initiated		

#### Basis:

With the Control Room evacuated, additional support, monitoring and direction through the Technical Support Center and/or other emergency response facilities may be necessary.

Inability to establish plant control from outside the Control Room will escalate this event to a SITE AREA EMERGENCY per EAL HS5.1.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Control Room evacuation represents a serious plant situation since the degree of plant control at the Remote Shutdown Panel (RSP) is not as complete as from the Control Room. The intent of this EAL is to declare an ALERT when the determination to evacuate the Control Room has been made based on environmental/personnel safety concerns, and the physical process of evacuating the Control Room per S1(S2).OP-AB.CR-0001(Q), Control Room Evacuation, or S1(S2).OP-AB.CR-0002(Q) Control Room Evacuation Due to Fire in the Control Room, Relay Room, 460/230V Switchgear Room or 4kV Switchgear Room, has commenced.

The Shift Manager (SM) determines if the Control Room requires evacuation. Control Room inhabitability may be caused by fire, dense smoke, noxious fumes, bomb threat in or adjacent to the Control Room, or other life threatening conditions.

### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HA5 Example EAL #1
- 2. S1(S2).OP-AB.CR-0001(Q) Control Room Evacuation
- 3. S1(S2).OP-AB.CR-0002(Q) Control Room Evacuation Due to Fire in the Control Room, Relay Room, 460/230V Switchgear Room or 4kV Switchgear Room
- 4. SC.OP-AB.CR-0003(Q) Control Room Habitability

Salem

Page 1 of 2

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HA5.1 

## This page intentionally blank

· /

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HA5.1

Page 2 of 2

Salem

.

Control Room evacuation has be	en initiated
EAL# & Classification Level:	HS5.1 – SITE AREA EMERGENCY
Mode Applicability:	All
Initiating Condition:	Control Room evacuation has been initiated and plant control CANNOT be established
EAL Subcategory:	5 – Control Room Evacuation
EAL Category:	H – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety

<u>AND</u>

Control of the plant CANNOT be established within **15 minutes** (Note 3)

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

#### Basis:

The intent of this EAL is to capture those events where control of the plant cannot be reestablished in a timely manner. In this case, expeditious transfer of control of safety systems has not occurred (although fission product barrier damage may not yet be indicated).

The intent of the EAL is to establish control of important plant equipment and knowledge of important plant parameters in a timely manner. Primary emphasis should be placed on those components and instruments that supply protection for and information about safety functions. These safety functions are reactivity control (ability to shutdown the reactor and maintain it shutdown), RCS inventory control (ability to cool the core), and secondary heat removal (ability to maintain a heat sink).

The determination of whether or not control is established at the remote shutdown panel is based on Emergency Coordinator judgment. The Emergency Coordinator is expected to make a reasonable, informed judgment within the allocated **15 minutes** that the licensee has control of the plant from the remote shutdown panel.

Escalation of this emergency classification level, if appropriate, would be by EALs in Category F, Fission Product Barrier Degradation, or Category R, Abnormal Rad Levels/Rad Effluent.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HS5.1

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The Shift Manager determines if the Control Room is inoperable and requires evacuation. Control Room inhabitability may be caused by fire, dense smoke, noxious fumes, bomb threat in or adjacent to the Control Room, or other life threatening conditions.

### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HS5 Example EAL #1
- 2. S1(S2).OP-AB.CR-0001(Q) Control Room Evacuation
- 3. S1(S2).OP-AB.CR-0002(Q) Control Room Evacuation Due to Fire in the Control Room, Relay Room, 460/230V Switchgear Room or 4kV Switchgear Room
- 4. SC.OP-AB.CR-0003(Q) Control Room Habitability

#### Salem

Page 2 of 2

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HS5.1

EAL Category:	H – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	6 – EC Judgment
Initiating Condition:	Other conditions exist which in the judgment of the Emergency Coordinator warrant declaration of an UNUSUAL EVENT
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HU6.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT
FAL	

#### EAL:

Other conditions exist which in the judgment of the Emergency Coordinator indicate that events are in progress or have occurred which indicate a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant or indicate a security threat to facility protection has been initiated. NO releases of radioactive material requiring off-site response or monitoring are expected unless further degradation of safety systems occurs

### Basis:

This EAL addresses unanticipated conditions not addressed explicitly elsewhere but that warrant declaration of an emergency because conditions exist which are believed by the Emergency Coordinator to fall under the UNUSUAL EVENT emergency classification level.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

None

### EAL Bases Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HU5 Example EAL #1

.

This page intentionally blank

#### 

(20) Some services of a first service of the ser

and the second second second

. .

> ۔ Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HU6.1

J.



.

EAL Category:	H – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	6 – EC Judgment
Initiating Condition:	Other conditions exist which in the judgment of the Emergency Coordinator warrant declaration of an ALERT
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HA6.1 – ALERT
EAL:	

Other conditions exist which in the judgment of the Emergency Coordinator indicate that events are in progress or have occurred which involve an actual or potential substantial degradation of the level of safety of the plant or a security event that involves probable life threatening risk to site personnel or damage to site equipment because of **HOSTILE ACTION**. <u>ANY</u> releases are expected to be limited to small fractions of the EPA Protective Action Guideline exposure levels

#### Basis:

This EAL addresses unanticipated conditions not addressed explicitly elsewhere but that warrant declaration of an emergency because conditions exist which are believed by the Emergency Coordinator to fall under the ALERT emergency classification level.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

#### Definitions:

**HOSTILE ACTION**: An act toward Salem or Hope Creek or its personnel that includes the use of violent force to destroy equipment, take **HOSTAGES**, and/or intimidate the licensee to achieve an end. This includes attack by air, land, or water using guns, explosives, **PROJECTILES**, vehicles, or other devices used to deliver destructive force. Other acts that satisfy the overall intent may be included. **HOSTILE ACTION** should not be construed to include acts of civil disobedience or felonious acts that are not part of a concerted attack on Salem or Hope Creek plants.

**HOSTAGE**: A person(s) held as leverage against the station to ensure that demands will be met by the station.

**PROJECTILE**: An object that impacts Salem and/or Hope Creek that could cause concern for its continued operability, reliability, or personnel safety.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

### 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HA6 Example EAL #1

. . mare an a start of a

111 <u>-</u> -

<sup>1</sup>Constructions and experiments of the Association of the Associ

and the second second

100 - 140 141

> Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HA6.1

Salem

EAL Category:	H – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	6 – EC Judgment
Initiating Condition:	Other conditions exist which in the judgment of the Emergency Coordinator warrant declaration of a SITE AREA EMERGENCY
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HS6.1 – SITE AREA EMERGENCY

#### EAL:

Other conditions exist which in the judgment of the Emergency Coordinator indicate that events are in progress or have occurred which involve actual or likely major failures of plant functions needed for protection of the public or **HOSTILE ACTION** that results in intentional damage or malicious acts; (1) toward site personnel or equipment that could lead to the likely failure of or; (2) that prevent effective access to equipment needed for the protection of the public. <u>ANY</u> releases are NOT expected to result in exposure levels which exceed EPA Protective Action Guideline exposure levels beyond the site boundary

#### Basis:

This EAL addresses unanticipated conditions not addressed explicitly elsewhere but that warrant declaration of an emergency because conditions exist which are believed by the Emergency Coordinator to fall under the emergency classification level description for SITE AREA EMERGENCY.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Definitions:

**HOSTILE ACTION**: An act toward Salem or Hope Creek or its personnel that includes the use of violent force to destroy equipment, take **HOSTAGES**, and/or intimidate the licensee to achieve an end. This includes attack by air, land, or water using guns, explosives, **PROJECTILES**, vehicles, or other devices used to deliver destructive force. Other acts that satisfy the overall intent may be included. **HOSTILE ACTION** should not be construed to include acts of civil disobedience or felonious acts that are not part of a concerted attack on Salem or Hope Creek plants.

**HOSTAGE**: A person(s) held as leverage against the station to ensure that demands will be met by the station.
**PROJECTILE**: An object that impacts Salem and/or Hope Creek that could cause concern for its continued operability, reliability, or personnel safety.

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HS3 Example EAL #1

and the second secon

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: HS6.1

Page 2 of 2

EAL Category:	H – Hazards & Other Conditions Affecting Plant Safety
EAL Subcategory:	6 – EC Judgment
Initiating Condition:	Other conditions exist which in the judgment of the Emergency Coordinator warrant declaration of a GENERAL EMERGENCY
Mode Applicability:	All
EAL# & Classification Level:	HG6.1 – GENERAL EMERGENCY
<b>EAL</b> .	

Other conditions exist which in the judgment of the Emergency Coordinator indicate that events are in progress or have occurred which involve actual or IMMINENT substantial core degradation or melting with potential for loss of containment integrity or HOSTILE ACTION that results in an actual loss of physical control of the facility. Releases can be reasonably expected to exceed EPA Protective Action Guideline exposure levels off-site for more than the immediate site area

#### Basis:

This EAL addresses unanticipated conditions not addressed explicitly elsewhere but that warrant declaration of an emergency because conditions exist which are believed by the Emergency Coordinator to fall under the emergency classification level description for GENERAL EMERGENCY.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

## Definitions:

**HOSTILE ACTION**: An act toward Salem or Hope Creek or its personnel that includes the use of violent force to destroy equipment, take **HOSTAGES**, and/or intimidate the licensee to achieve an end. This includes attack by air, land, or water using guns, explosives, **PROJECTILES**, vehicles, or other devices used to deliver destructive force. Other acts that satisfy the overall intent may be included. **HOSTILE ACTION** should not be construed to include acts of civil disobedience or felonious acts that are not part of a concerted attack on Salem or Hope Creek plants.

**HOSTAGE**: A person(s) held as leverage against the station to ensure that demands will be met by the station.

**PROJECTILE**: An object that impacts Salem and/or Hope Creek that could cause concern for its continued operability, reliability, or personnel safety.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

eal#: HG6.1

IMMINENT: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

EAL Bases Reference(s): • 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, HG2 Example EAL #1

and the second second

. . .

a de la companya de l Recentra de la companya de la company Recentra de la companya de la company a and a second second 

医输出性 医结核 法保持性 医结肠 经公司 化过程分子 计推断 网络小子属的小子属的外子属的 法律法则 

1 - j -

i 

and the second second

> Rev. 0 (draft E) FAI # HG6.1

Page 2 of 2

# EALs for:

· · ·

Systems Malfunctions

EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Loss of AC Power
Initiating Condition:	Loss of all offsite AC power to vital buses for 15 minutes or longer
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Classification Level:	SU1.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT

Loss of **all** Offsite AC power to **all** 4KV Vital Buses

AND

≥ 15 minutes have elapsed (Note 3)

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

#### Basis:

Prolonged loss of off-site AC power reduces required redundancy and potentially degrades the level of safety of the plant by rendering the plant more vulnerable to a complete loss of AC power to vital buses.

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary losses of offsite power.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The AC power distribution is summarized in Attachment 2, page 2.

Emergency Classification escalates to an ALERT under EAL SA1.1 based on AC power to 4KV vital buses being reduced to a single source.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05 SU1 Example EAL #1
- 2. UFSAR Figure 8.2-2 500 kV Switchyard Diagram
- 3. UFSAR Figure 8.3-1 Auxiliary Power System Diagram

#### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

· · · · ·

- 4. UFSAR 8.1.1 Utility Grid System and Interconnections
- 5. UFSAR 8.3.1 Power
- 6. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.1 A.C. Sources
- 7. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.2 Onsite Power Distribution Systems

- 8. 1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection
- 9. 1(2)-EOP-LOPA-1 Loss of All AC Power
- 10.S1(S2).OP-AB.LOOP-0001(Q) Loss of Off-Site Power
- 11.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0001(Q) Loss of 1A(2A) 4KV Vital Bus
- 12.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0002(Q) Loss of 1B(2B) 4KV Vital Bus
- 13.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0003(Q) Loss of 1C(2C) 4KV Vital Bus

· . . .

: . .

· · · ·

. . . . . . . . . .

(a) the set of the standard set of the transmission of the transmission of the set of the set of the set of states the set of set of the se

a ta ang

. . . . . . . .

na de la forma de la companya de la La companya

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: SU1.1

EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Loss of AC Power
Initiating Condition:	AC power capability to vital buses reduced to a single power source for 15 minutes or longer such that any additional single failure would result in complete loss of AC power to vital buses
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Classification Level:	SA1.1 – ALERT

Loss of 4KV Vital Bus Power Sources (Offsite and Onsite) which results in the availability of only **one** 4KV Vital Bus Power Source (Offsite or Onsite)

<u>AND</u>

≥ 15 minutes have elapsed (Note 3)

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

#### Basis:

The condition indicated by this EAL is the degradation of the offsite and onsite AC power systems such that any additional single failure would result in a complete loss of AC power to vital buses. This condition could occur due to a loss of off-site power with a concurrent failure of all but one emergency diesel generator to supply power to its vital bus. The subsequent loss of this single power source would escalate the event to a SITE AREA EMERGENCY in accordance with EAL SS1.1.

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary losses of power.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

"Availability" means the power source can be aligned to provide power to a vital bus within 15 minutes or is currently supplying power to at least one vital bus.

The availability of EDGs that have not been challenged to start during degradation of AC power sources to the 4KV vital buses should be based on meeting Technical Specification action requirements for loss of offsite AC power sources.

The AC power distribution is summarized in Attachment 2, page 2.

This hot condition Alert EAL is equivalent to the cold condition Unusual Event EAL CU1.1.

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

NEI 99-01, Rev. 05 – SA5 Example EAL #1
UFSAR Figure 8.2-2 500 kV Switchyard Diagram
UFSAR Figure 8.3-1 Auxiliary Power System Diagram
UFSAR 8.1.1 Utility Grid System and Interconnections
UFSAR 8.3.1 Power
SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.1 A.C. Sources
SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.2 Onsite Power Distribution Systems
1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection
1(2)-EOP-LOPA-1 Loss of All AC Power
S1(S2).OP-AB.LOOP-0001(Q) Loss of Off-Site Power
S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0002(Q) Loss of 1B(2B) 4KV Vital Bus
S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0003(Q) Loss of 1C(2C) 4KV Vital Bus

EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Loss of AC Power
Initiating Condition:	Loss of all offsite power and all onsite AC power to vital buses for 15 minutes or longer
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Classification Level:	SS1.1 – SITE AREA EMERGENCY

Loss of all Power (Onsite and Offsite) to all 4KV Vital Buses

<u>AND</u>

≥ 15 minutes have elapsed (Note 3)

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

#### Basis:

Loss of all AC power to vital buses compromises all plant safety systems requiring electric power including RHR, ECCS, Containment Heat Removal and the Ultimate Heat Sink (Service Water). Prolonged loss of all AC power to vital buses will lead to loss of Fuel Clad, RCS, and Containment, thus this event can escalate to a GENERAL EMERGENCY.

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary losses of offsite power.

Escalation to GENERAL EMERGENCY is via EALs in Category F, Fission Product Barrier Degradation, or EAL SG1.1, "Prolonged Loss of All Offsite Power and Prolonged Loss of All Onsite AC Power."

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The intent of this EAL is to classify degraded AC power events that result in a loss of all offsite 13.8 KV power sources to the 4KV vital buses along with a loss of all onsite power sources (EDGs).

The AC power distribution is summarized in Attachment 2, page 2.

Salem

Page 1 of 2

Rev. 0 (draft E)

EAL#: \$\$1.1

This hot condition Site Area Emergency EAL is equivalent to the cold condition Alert EAL CA1.1.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05 SS1 Example EAL #1
- 2. UFSAR Figure 8.2-2 500 kV Switchyard Diagram
- 3. UFSAR Figure 8.3-1 Auxiliary Power System Diagram
- 4. UFSAR 8.1.1 Utility Grid System and Interconnections
- 5. UFSAR 8.3.1 Power
- 6. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.1 A.C. Sources
- 7. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.2 Onsite Power Distribution Systems
- 8. 1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection
- 9. 1(2)-EOP-LOPA-1 Loss of All AC Power
- 10.S1(S2).OP-AB.LOOP-0001(Q) Loss of Off-Site Power
- 11.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0001(Q) Loss of 1A(2A) 4KV Vital Bus
- 12.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0002(Q) Loss of 1B(2B) 4KV Vital Bus
- 13.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0003(Q) Loss of 1C(2C) 4KV Vital Bus

الم المحكم التي يكن الحجالي الحتى الفران الآل المأترين المحجوم المال المراتين المحجوم المالي المراتين المراتين المات الفرار الحالية المحالية المحجوم في المتاتين محج التي يتين المحجوم المحجوم المحجوم المحجوم المحجوم المحجوم المحالي المحجوم المحجوم

a transfer

and a start of the second of the second start and second starts of the second start of the second starts of the Second starts and second starts and starts and starts and starts and second starts and starts and starts and st

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: SS1.1

EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Loss of AC Power
Initiating Condition:	Prolonged loss of all offsite and all onsite AC power to vital buses
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Classification Level:	SG1.1 – GENERAL EMERGENCY
EAL:	
Loss of all Power (Onsite and Offsite) to all 4KV Vital Buses	

AND

**EITHER** of the following:

- Restoration of at least **one** Vital Bus in **< 4 hrs** is NOT likely
- CFST Core Cooling RED or PURPLE path exists

#### Basis:

Loss of all AC power to vital buses compromises all plant safety systems requiring electric power including RHR, ECCS, Containment Heat Removal and the Ultimate Heat Sink (Service Water). Prolonged loss of all AC power to vital buses will lead to loss of Fuel Clad, RCS, and Containment, thus this event can escalate to a GENERAL EMERGENCY.

This EAL is specified to assure that in the unlikely event of a prolonged station blackout, timely recognition of the seriousness of the event occurs and that declaration of a GENERAL EMERGENCY occurs as early as is appropriate, based on a reasonable assessment of the event trajectory.

The likelihood of restoring at least one vital bus should be based on a realistic appraisal of the situation since a delay in an upgrade decision based on only a chance of mitigating the event could result in a loss of valuable time in preparing and implementing public protective actions.

In addition, under these conditions, fission product barrier monitoring capability may be degraded.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The AC power distribution is summarized in Attachment 2, page 2.

Four hours is the station blackout coping time.

The status and availability of DC power may limit or prevent restoration activities. When prolonged powering of inverters and DC loads has occurred without AC power available for the battery chargers, DC voltage will degrade. This degradation of DC power may limit monitoring and assessment capabilities as instrumentation and control power may not be available. Since monitoring of overall plant conditions will be difficult with no AC power, CFST indications for determining barrier loss are used.

The likelihood of restoring at least one Vital Bus should be based on a realistic appraisal of the situation since a delay in an upgrade decision based on only a chance of mitigating the event could result in a loss of valuable time in preparing and implementing public protective actions. In addition, under these conditions, fission product barrier monitoring capability may be degraded. Although it may be difficult to predict when power can be restored, it is necessary to give the Emergency Coordinator reasonable idea of how quickly he may need to declare a General Emergency based on two major considerations:

- 1. Are there any present indications that core cooling is already degraded to the point that loss or potential loss of fission product barriers is imminent?
- 2. If there are no present indications of such core cooling degradation, how likely is it that power can be restored in time to assure that a loss of two barriers with a potential loss of the third barrier can be prevented?

It is estimated that several hours are required to fully evacuate the 10-mile EPZ. Taking into consideration the above factors, declaring a General Emergency leaves sufficient time for the offsite authorities to implement Protective Actions well before a radioactive release would occur while providing sufficient time for on-site and off-site mitigation activities to restore AC power.

CFST status will not be used for event classification until the Control Room Staff has implemented the CFSTs. The Core Cooling CFST is illustrated in Attachment 2, page 4.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05 SG1 Example EAL #1
- 2. UFSAR Figure 8.2-2 500 kV Switchyard Diagram
- 3. UFSAR Figure 8.3-1 Auxiliary Power System Diagram
- 4. UFSAR 8.1.1 Utility Grid System and Interconnections
- 5. UFSAR 8.3.1 Power
- 6. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.1 A.C. Sources
- 7. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.2 Onsite Power Distribution Systems

Salem

.

Page 2 of 4

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: SG1.1

e segue de la filma da la

- 8. 1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection
- 9. 1(2)-EOP-LOPA-1 Loss of All AC Power
- 10.S1(S2).OP-AB.LOOP-0001(Q) Loss of Off-Site Power
- 11.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0001(Q) Loss of 1A(2A) 4KV Vital Bus
- 12.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0002(Q) Loss of 1B(2B) 4KV Vital Bus
- 13.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0003(Q) Loss of 1C(2C) 4KV Vital Bus
- 14.UFSAR 3.12.1.1 Conformance to NRC Rule on Station Blackout
- 15.ES-45.003(Q) Station Blackout Duration Calculation
- 16.1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees Figure 2 Core Cooling Status Tree
- 17.PSE&G Emergency Operating Procedure Setpoint Document Salem Units 1 & 2

.

## This page intentionally blank

EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	2 – Loss of DC Power
Initiating Condition:	Loss of all vital DC power for 15 minutes or longer
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Classification Level:	SS2.1 – SITE AREA EMERGENCY

< 114 VDC bus voltage indications on All 125 VDC vital buses for ≥ 15 minutes (Note 3)

## 

< 25 VDC bus voltage indications on **both** 28 VDC vital buses for ≥ 15 minutes (Note 3) <u>AND</u> loss of control of Safety Related Equipment from the Control Room has been confirmed

## Basis:

Loss of all DC power compromises ability to monitor and control plant safety functions. Prolonged loss of all DC power will cause core uncovering and loss of containment integrity when there is significant decay heat and sensible heat in the reactor system.

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary power losses.

Escalation to a General Emergency would occur by EALs in Category R, Abnormal Rad Levels/Rad Effluent, or Category F, Fission Product Barrier Degradation.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The specified bus voltage indications (rounded for readability on Control Room instrumentation) are the minimum voltage requirements for operability of the 125 VDC buses and 28 VDC buses following battery discharge tests. Although continued operation may occur with degraded voltage, these values signify the minimum operable voltages allowed.

This Site Area Emergency EAL is the hot condition equivalent of the cold condition loss of DC power Unusual Event EAL CU2.1.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, SS3 Example EAL #1
- 2. SC.MD-ST.125-0004 (Q) 125 Volt Station Batteries 18 Month Service Test and Associated Surveillance Testing Using BCT-2000
- 3. SC.MD-ST.28D-0004 (Q) 28 Volt Station Batteries 18 Month Service Test and Associated Surveillance Using BCT-2000
- 4. UFSAR 8.3.2 DC Power
- 5. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.2.3 125 Volt DC Distribution Shutdown
- 6. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.2.5 28 Volt DC Distribution Shutdown
- 7. S1(S2).OP-SO.125-0005 1(2)A 125VDC Bus Operation
- 8. S1(S2).OP-SO.125-0006 1(2)B-125VDC Bus Operation
- 9. S1(S2).OP-SO.125-0007 1(2)C 125VDC Bus Operation

na se en la seconda de la construcción de la Recorda Recorda de la construcción de la construcción de la constr En la construcción de la construcción

and a second and a second for a second of the second formulation of the second of the second of the second of t I second a second formulation of the second secon Second second formulations of the second s

A strategy of the strategy of the

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: SS2.1

EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	3 – ATWT / Criticality
Initiating Condition:	Inadvertent Criticality
Mode Applicability:	3 - Hot Standby, 4 – Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Classification Level:	SU3.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT
EAL:	

UNPLANNED sustained positive startup rate observed on nuclear instrumentation

#### Basis:

This EAL addresses inadvertent criticality events. This EAL indicates a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant, warranting a UE classification. This EAL excludes inadvertent criticalities that occur during planned reactivity changes associated with reactor startups (e.g., criticality earlier than estimated).

Escalation would be by the Fission Product Barrier Table, as appropriate to the operating mode at the time of the event.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The term "sustained" is used in order to allow exclusion of expected short term positive startup rates from planned fuel bundle or control rod movements during core alteration. These short term positive startup rates are the result of the increase in neutron population due to subcritical multiplication.

Positive reactor startup rate may be identified by:

- Source range startup rate indicators NI31D and NI32D
- NIS Recorder NR45
- Audio count rate
- SPDS
- Process Computer

This EAL is the hot condition equivalent of the cold condition EAL CU6.1.

Definitions:

**UNPLANNED**: A parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, SU8 Example EAL #1.
- 2. Technical Specifications 3.3.1.1 Reactor Trip System Instrumentation
- 3. UFSAR Table 7.5-2 Main Control Room Indicators and/or Recorders Available to Plant Operators to Monitor Significant Plant Parameters During Normal Operations
- 4. SC.IC-CC.NIS-0011(Q) N31 Source Range
- 5. SC.IC-CC.NIS-0012(Q) N32 Source Range

(1) Let the set of the set of

ormanis de la versión de la versión de la completencia de la versión de la versión de la versión de la complete La martina de la versión de la versión

(1,2,2) = (1,2,2) + (1,2

(1,2) where (1,2) is the second state of (1,2) is the (1,2) second state of (1,2)

.

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: SU3.1

EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	3 – ATWT / Criticality
Initiating Condition:	Automatic trip fails to shut down the reactor and the manual actions taken from the reactor control console are successful in shutting down the reactor
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup
EAL# & Classification Level:	SA3.1 – ALERT

An automatic trip failed to shut down the reactor

## <u>AND</u>

Manual trip actions taken at the reactor control console (reactor trip switches, trip bkr bezels, supply breakers 1/2E6D and 1/2G6D) successfully shut down the reactor as indicated by reactor power < 5%

## Basis:

The reactor should be considered shutdown when it producing less heat than the maximum decay heat load for which the safety systems are designed (5% power). This EAL equates to the criteria used to determine a valid CFST Shutdown Margin Red Path.

Manual trip actions taken at the reactor control console are any set of actions by the reactor operator(s) which causes or should cause control rods to be rapidly inserted into the core and shuts down the reactor.

The reactor trip switches on the Control Room console are an automatic input into the Reactor Protection System. A failure to trip after actuating **both** reactor trip switches without any other automatic input would make this threshold applicable.

This condition indicates failure of the automatic protection system to trip the reactor. This condition is more than a potential degradation of a safety system in that a front line automatic protection system did not function in response to a plant transient. Thus the plant safety has been compromised because design limits of the fuel may have been exceeded. An ALERT is indicated because conditions may exist that lead to potential loss of fuel clad or RCS and because of the failure of the Reactor Protection System to automatically shutdown the plant.

If manual actions taken at the reactor control console fail to shut down the reactor, the event would escalate to a SITE AREA EMERGENCY.

Rev. 0 (draft E3) EAL#: SA3.1

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This EAL identifies the need to cease critical reactor operations by actuation of the automatic Reactor Protection System (RPS) trip function. A reactor trip is automatically initiated by the Reactor Protection System (RPS) when certain continuously monitored parameters exceed predetermined setpoints.

Following a successful reactor trip, rapid insertion of the control rods occurs. Nuclear power promptly drops to a fraction of the original power level and then decays to a level several decades less with a negative period. The reactor power drop continues until reactor power reaches the point at which the influence of source neutrons on reactor power starts to be observable. A predictable post-trip response from an automatic reactor trip signal should therefore consist of a prompt drop in reactor power as sensed by the nuclear instrumentation and a lowering of power into the source range. A successful trip has therefore occurred when there is sufficient rod insertion from the trip of RPS to bring reactor power to below 5%, the value used to determine a valid Shutdown Margin Status Tree Red Path. CSFST Shutdown Margin red path is illustrated in Attachment 2, page 5.

For the purposes of emergency classification at the Alert level, successful manual trip actions are those which can be quickly performed from the reactor control console (i.e., reactor trip switches, trip bkr bezels, supply breakers 1/2E6D and 1/2G6D). EOP-FRSM-1 requires an Equipment Operator to locally open the Reactor Trip Breakers and trip the Rod Drive MG Sets. These actions are performed outside the Main Control Room and are NOT to be credited as a successful manual trip.

Following any automatic RPS trip signal EOPs prescribe insertion of redundant manual trip signals to back up the automatic RPS trip function and ensure reactor shutdown is achieved. Even if the first subsequent manual trip signal fully inserts all control rods immediately after the initial failure of the automatic trip, the lowest level of classification that must be declared is an Alert.

In the event that the operator identifies a reactor trip is imminent and initiates a successful manual reactor trip before the automatic trip setpoint is reached, no declaration is required. The successful manual trip of the reactor before it reaches its automatic trip setpoint or reactor trip signals caused by instrumentation channel failures do not lead to a potential fission product barrier loss.

If manual reactor trip actions fail to reduce reactor power below 5%, the event escalates to the Site Area Emergency under EAL SS3.1.

If by procedure, operator actions include the initiation of an immediate manual trip following receipt of an automatic trip signal and there are no clear indications that the automatic trip failed (such as a time delay following indications that a trip setpoint was exceeded), it may be difficult to determine if the reactor was shut down because of automatic trip or manual actions. If a subsequent review of the trip actuation indications reveals that the automatic trip did not cause the reactor to be shut down, consideration should be given to evaluating the fuel for

Salem

Page 2 of 4

Rev. 0 (draft E3)



potential damage, and the reporting requirements of 10CFR50.72 should be considered for the transient event.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, SA2 Example EAL #1
- 2. UFSAR 7.1.1.1 Reactor Trip Systems
- 3. UFSAR Table 7.2-1 List of Reactor Trips, Engineered Safety Features, Containment and Steam Line Isolation and Auxiliary Feedwater
- 4. Technical Specifications Table 3.3-1 Reactor Trip System Instrumentation
- 5. 1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection
- 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees Figure 1 Shutdown Margin Status Tree
- 7. 1(2)-EOP-FRSM-1 Response to Nuclear Power Generation

This page intentionally blank

Salem



ļ

1

EAL# & Classification Level:	SS3.1 – SITE AREA EMERGENCY
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup
Initiating Condition:	Automatic trip fails to shut down the reactor and manual actions taken from the reactor control console are not successful in shutting down the reactor.
EAL Subcategory:	3 – ATWT / Criticality
EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction

An automatic trip failed to shut down the reactor

## <u>AND</u>

Manual trip actions taken at the reactor control console (reactor trip switches, trip bkr bezels, supply breakers 1/2E6D and 1/2G6D) do NOT shut down the reactor as indicated by reactor power  $\geq 5\%$ 

## Basis:

The reactor should be considered shutdown when producing less heat than the maximum decay heat load for which the safety systems are designed (5% power). This EAL equates to the criteria used to determine a valid CFST Shutdown Margin Red Path.

Under these conditions, the reactor is producing more heat than the maximum decay heat load for which the safety systems are designed and efforts to bring the reactor subcritical are unsuccessful. A SITE AREA EMERGENCY is warranted because conditions exist that lead to IMMINENT loss or potential loss of both fuel clad and RCS.

Manual trip actions taken at the reactor control console are any set of actions by the reactor operator(s) at which causes or should cause control rods to be rapidly inserted into the core and shuts down the reactor.

Escalation of this event to a GENERAL EMERGENCY would be due to a prolonged condition leading to an extreme challenge to either core cooling or heat removal.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This EAL addresses any automatic reactor trip signal followed by a manual trip that fails to shut down the reactor to an extent the reactor is producing energy in excess of the heat load for which the safety systems were designed.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

eal#: \$\$3**.**1

For the purposes of emergency classification at the Site Area Emergency level, successful manual trip actions are those which can be quickly performed from the reactor control console (i.e., reactor trip switches, trip bkr bezels, supply breakers 1(2)E6D and 1(2)G6D). EOP-FRSM-1 requires an Equipment Operator to locally open the Reactor Trip Breakers and trip the Rod Drive MG Sets. These actions are performed outside the Main Control Room and are not to be credited as a successful manual trip.

For emergency classification purposes, the reactor should be considered shutdown when it is producing less heat than the maximum decay heat load for which the safety systems are designed. This equates to < 5% power, the value used to determine a valid Shutdown Margin Status Tree Red Path. CSFST Shutdown Margin red path is illustrated in Attachment 2, page 5.

Entry into EOP-FRSM-1 will be required if the manual trip from the console "trip handles" or Turbine Trip and P-9 ( $\geq$  49% Power) is not successful: EOP-FRSM-1 requires an Equipment Operator to locally open the Reactor Trip Breakers and trip the Rod Drive MG Sets. Since this action is outside the Control Room, a successful remote Reactor Trip will still require classification under this EAL because the design limits of the fuel may have been exceeded or because of the gross failure of the RPS to shut down the plant. The threshold value of < 5% reactor power was selected to be consistent with CFST EOP-FRSM-1 entry criteria. Mode 2 is included in this EAL to include events which result in a return to > 5% reactor power from some lower value.

Escalation of this event to a GENERAL EMERGENCY would be under EAL SG3.1 or Emergency Coordinator judgment.

Definitions:

**IMMINENT:** Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

Reader and the company of the second strength of the second

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01; Rev. 05; SS2 Example EAL #1
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection
- 3. 1(2)-EOP-FRSM-1 Response to Nuclear Power Generation
- 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees Figure 1 Shutdown Margin Status Tree

AN IN AGE BOLL OF LEADING STREET

オコント やわりい かいものりり ちょう というする

Rev. 0 (draft E)

e de la companya de l La companya de la comp

Salem

Page 2 of 2

eal#: \$\$3.1

EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	3 – ATWT / Criticality
Initiating Condition:	Automatic trip and all manual actions fail to shut down the reactor and indication of an extreme challenge to the ability to cool the core exists
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup
EAL# & Classification Level:	SG3.1 – GENERAL EMERGENCY

An automatic trip failed to shut down the reactor

## <u>AND</u>

All manual actions do <u>NOT</u> shut down the reactor as indicated by reactor power  $\geq$  5%

## <u>AND</u>

**EITHER** of the following:

- CFST Core Cooling RED path exists
- CFST Heat Sink RED path exists due to actual loss of secondary heat sink and heat sink is required

## Basis:

The reactor should be considered shutdown when it producing less heat than the maximum decay heat load for which the safety systems are designed (5% power). This EAL equates to the criteria used to determine a valid CFST Shutdown Margin Red Path.

Under these conditions, the reactor is producing more heat than the maximum decay heat load for which the safety systems are designed and efforts to bring the reactor subcritical are unsuccessful.

In the event either of these challenges exists at a time that the reactor has not been brought below the power associated with the safety system design, a core melt sequence exists. In this situation, core degradation can occur rapidly. For this reason, the GENERAL EMERGENCY declaration is intended to be anticipatory of the fission product barrier table declaration to permit maximum offsite intervention time.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

For emergency classification purposes, the reactor should be considered shutdown when it is producing less heat than the maximum decay heat load for which the safety systems are designed. This equates to < 5% power, the value used to determine a valid Shutdown Margin Status Tree Red Path. CSFST Shutdown Margin red path is illustrated in Attachment 2, page 5.

Entry into EOP-FRSM-1 will be required if the manual trip from the console "trip handle" or Turbine Trip and P-9 ( $\geq$  49% Power) is not successful. EOP-FRSM-1 requires an Equipment Operator to locally open the Reactor Trip Breakers and trip the Rod Drive MG Sets. Since this action is outside the control room, a successful remote Reactor Trip will require classification under this EAL. The threshold value of 5% reactor power was selected to be consistent with CFST EOP-FRSM-1 entry criteria. For events, which result in a return to > 5% reactor power from some lower value, classification under this EAL would be required.

Further degradation is indicated by the occurrence of valid CFST Core Cooling red path or Heat Sink red path. The Core Cooling red path is indicative of a loss of core cooling and the Heat Sink red path of a potential loss of core cooling. CFST status will not be used for event classification until the Control Room Staff has implemented the CFSTs. CFST Core Cooling and CFST Heat Sink are illustrated in Attachment 2 page 4 and 6, respectively.

If the Heat Sink red path is due to a procedurally directed action, classification under this EAL is not required. EOP-FRSM-1 directs the operators to minimize feedwater flow to the steam generators in order to minimize cooldown and control reactivity. A heat sink red path is generated as a result of this operator action. However, actual loss of control of the heat sink does not occur due to these actions. In addition, the heat sink red path is precursor to a loss of core cooling and is backed up by the core cooling red path. Declaration of a General Emergency is not justified if the heat sink red path is a result of procedurally directed actions.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, SG2 Example EAL #1
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-FRSM-1 Response to Nuclear Power Generation
- 3. 1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection
- 4. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees Figure 1 Shutdown Margin Status Tree
- 5. PSE&G Emergency Operating Procedure Setpoint Document Salem Units 1 & 2
- 6. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees Figure 2 Core Cooling Status Tree
- 7. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees Figure 3 Heat Sink Status Tree

EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	4 – Inability to Reach or Maintain Shutdown Conditions
Initiating Condition:	Inability to reach required shutdown within Technical Specification limits
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Shutdown
EAL# & Classification Level:	SU4.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT

Plant is NOT brought to required operating mode within Technical Specifications LCO action statement time

#### Basis:

Limiting Conditions of Operation (LCOs) require the plant to be brought to a required operating mode when the Technical Specification required configuration cannot be restored. Depending on the circumstances, this may or may not be an emergency or precursor to a more severe condition. In any case, the initiation of plant shutdown required by the site Technical Specifications requires a four hour report under 10 CFR 50.72 (b) Non-emergency events. The plant is within its safety envelope when being shut down within the allowable action statement time in the Technical Specifications. An immediate UE is required when the plant is not brought to the required operating mode within the allowable action statement time in the Technical Specifications. Declaration of a UE is based on the time at which the LCO-specified action statement time period elapses under the site Technical Specifications and is not related to how long a condition may have existed.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Depending on the circumstances, this may or may not be a precursor to a more severe condition. A shutdown required by the Technical Specifications requires a report under 10 CFR 50.72 (b) non-emergency events. The plant is within its safety envelope when actions are completed within the allowable Action Statement time in the T/S. If the times specified within the Action Statements are not met, the plant may be in an unsafe condition.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, SU2 Example EAL #1
- 2. SGS Technical Specifications

This page intentionally blank.

#### 

. . . .  $(1 + 3)^{10} = 1 + 12 + 2^{10} + 2^{1$ е. Стала с <u>.</u>... · · · · · · 91 - 2 - 9**7**  Monthly CONTRACT BLACK BLACK BLACK 

~

> > Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: SU4.1

Page 2 of 2

. 2011 - 101

EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	5 – Instrumentation
Initiating Condition:	<b>UNPLANNED</b> loss of safety system annunciation or indication in the Control Room for 15 minutes or longer
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Classification Level:	SU5.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT

**UNPLANNED** loss of > approximately 75% of Control Room Overhead Annunciators for ≥ 15 minutes (Note 3)

## <u>0R</u>

**UNPLANNED** loss of > approximately 75% of Control Room Indications associated with the following safety functions for > 15 minutes (Note 3):

- Reactivity Control
- RCS Inventory
- Decay Heat Removal
- Fission Product Barriers

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

#### Basis:

This EAL is intended to recognize the difficulty associated with monitoring changing plant conditions without the use of a major portion of the annunciation or indication equipment.

Recognition of the availability of computer based indication equipment is considered.

"Planned" loss of annunciators or indicators includes scheduled maintenance and testing activities.

میں ہے۔ اس کے بار کا ایک ایک ایک ایک ایک

Quantification is arbitrary, however, it is estimated that if approximately 75% of the annunciators or indicators are lost, there is an increased risk that a degraded plant condition could go undetected. It is not intended that plant personnel perform a detailed count of the instrumentation lost but use the value as a judgment threshold for determining the severity of the plant conditions.

It is further recognized that most plant designs provide redundant safety system indication powered from separate uninterruptible power supplies. While failure of a large portion of annunciators is more likely than a failure of a large portion of indications, the concern is included in this EAL due to difficulty associated with assessment of plant conditions. The loss of specific, or several, safety system indicators should remain a function of that specific system or component operability status. This will be addressed by the specific Technical Specification. The initiation of a Technical Specification imposed plant shutdown related to the instrument loss will be reported via 10 CFR 50.72. If the shutdown is not in compliance with the Technical Specification action, the UE is based on SU4.1 "Inability to Reach Required. Shutdown Within Technical Specification Limits."

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary power losses.

This UE will be escalated to an ALERT based on a concurrent loss of compensatory indications or a SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENT is occurring during a loss of annunciators/indications.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This EAL recognizes the difficulty associated with monitoring changing plant conditions without the use of a major portion of the annunciation or indication equipment. A UNPLANNED loss of most or all Control Room Overhead Annunciators or other key control room safety function indicators without a plant transient in MODES 1, 2, 3, or 4 for  $\geq$  15 minutes warrants a heightened awareness by Control Room Operators. Quantification of  $\geq$  75% is left to the discretion of the Shift Manager (SM), and is considered approximately 75%. It is not intended that a detailed count be performed, but that a rough approximation be used to determine the severity of the loss.

OP-AB.ANN-0001 (Q) details increased monitoring and surveillance requirements as well as alternate indicators. **15 minutes** is used as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary power losses. The **15 minutes clock** starts when the annunciators or other key Control Room safety function indications have been lost, or are determined to have been lost. If upon time of discovery it is determined that the annunciators or key safety function indications have been lost for at least **15 minutes** prior to discovery, classification should be made under this EAL regardless of time required for restoration. If it is determined that the annunciators were lost for at least **15 minutes** with the annunciators now available at the time of discovery, classification is not required under this EAL, but a review of the "After The Fact" RAL (11.6) should be completed.

Salem

Page 2 of 4

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: SU5.1 Definitions:

**UNPLANNED**: a parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions. An UNPLANNED loss of annunciators and loss other key control room indication systems excludes scheduled maintenance and testing activities.

SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENT: An UNPLANNED event based on EC judgment, but includes as a minimum any one of the following: (1) automatic turbine runback greater than 25% thermal reactor power. (2) electrical load rejection greater than 25% full electrical load, (3) Reactor Trip, or (4) Safety Injection Activation.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, SU3 Example EAL #1
- 2. S1(S2).OP-AB.ANN-0001(Q) Loss of Overhead Annunciator System
- 3. UFSAR 7.7.2.10 Plant Alarm and Annunciator Systems
- 4. UFSAR Table 7.7-2 Overhead Annunciator Groupings

This page intentionally blank

,

EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	5 – Instrumentation
Initiating Condition:	<b>UNPLANNED</b> loss of safety system annunciation or indication in the Control Room with either (1) a <b>SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENT</b> in progress, or (2) compensatory indicators unavailable
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Classification Level:	SA5.1 – ALERT

**UNPLANNED** loss of > approximately 75% of Control Room Overhead Annunciators for ≥ 15 minutes (Note 3)

## 

**UNPLANNED** loss of > approximately 75% of Control Room Indications associated with the following safety functions for > 15 minutes (Note 3):

- Reactivity Control
- RCS Inventory
- Decay Heat Removal
- Fission Product Barriers

<u>AND</u>

**EITHER** of the following:

- A SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENT is in progress, Table S-1
- Compensatory indications are NOT available per OP-AB.ANN-0001(Q)

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

ł

## Table S-1 SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENTS

- Automatic turbine runback > 25% thermal reactor power
- Electrical load rejection > 25% full electrical load
- Reactor Trip
- Safety Injection Activation

#### Basis:

This EAL is intended to recognize the difficulty associated with monitoring changing plant conditions without the use of a major portion of the annunciation or indication equipment during a **SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENT**.

"Planned" loss of annunciators or indicators includes scheduled maintenance and testing activities.

Quantification is arbitrary, however, it is estimated that if **approximately 75%** of the annunciators or indicators are lost, there is an increased risk that a degraded plant condition could go undetected. It is not intended that plant personnel perform a detailed count of the instrumentation lost but use the value as a judgment threshold for determining the severity of the plant conditions. It is also not intended that the Shift Manager be tasked with making a judgment decision as to whether additional personnel are required to provide increased monitoring of system operation.

It is further recognized that most plant designs provide redundant safety system indication powered from separate uninterruptible power supplies. While failure of a large portion of annunciators is more likely than a failure of a large portion of indications, the concern is included in this EAL due to difficulty associated with assessment of plant conditions. The loss of specific, or several, safety system indicators should remain a function of that specific system or component operability status. This will be addressed by the specific Technical Specification. The initiation of a Technical Specification imposed plant shutdown related to the instrument loss will be reported via 10 CFR 50.72. If the shutdown is not in compliance with the Technical Specification action, the UE is based on SU4.1 "Inability to Reach Required Shutdown Within Technical Specification Limits."

"Compensatory indications" in this context includes computer based information such as the Plant Computer System and SPDS (see OP-AB.ANN-0001(Q)). If both a major portion of the annunciation system and all computer monitoring are unavailable, the ALERT is required.

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary power losses.

This ALERT will be escalated to a SITE AREA EMERGENCY if the operating crew cannot monitor the transient in progress due to a concurrent loss of compensatory indications with a **SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENT** in progress during the loss of annunciation or indication.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

OP-AB.ANN-0001(Q) details increased monitoring and surveillance requirements as well as alternate indicators during a loss of Control Room Overhead Annunciators.

The **15 minute clock** starts when the annunciators or other key control room safety function indications have been lost, or are determined to have been lost. If upon time of discovery it is determined that the annunciators or key safety function indications have been lost for at least **15 minutes** prior to discovery, classification should be made under this EAL regardless of time required for restoration.

**SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENTS** are listed in Table S-1 and include response to automatic or manually initiated functions such as reactor trips, automatic turbine runback greater than 25% thermal reactor power, electrical load rejection greater than 25% full electrical load, or Safety Injection activation.

The Plant Computer System and Safety Parameter Display System (SPDS) serve as redundant indicators which may be utilized as compensatory measures in lieu of the Control Room Overhead Annunciators and Control Room indicators associated with safety functions.

The judgment of the Shift Manager should be used as the threshold for determining the severity of the plant conditions.

If the operating crew cannot monitor the transient in progress, the ALERT escalates to a SITE AREA EMERGENCY under EAL SS5.1.

## Definitions:

**UNPLANNED:** a parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions. An **UNPLANNED** loss of annunciators and loss other key control room indication systems excludes scheduled maintenance and testing activities.

**SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENT**: An **UNPLANNED** event based on EC judgment, but includes as a minimum any one of the following: (1) automatic turbine runback greater than 25% thermal reactor power, (2) electrical load rejection greater than 25% full electrical load, (3) Reactor Trip, or (4) Safety Injection Activation.

ł

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: SA5.1

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, SA5 Example EAL #1
- 2. S1(S2).OP-AB.ANN-0001(Q) Loss of Overhead Annunciator System
- 3. UFSAR 7.7.2.10 Plant Alarm and Annunciator Systems
- 4. UFSAR Table 7.7-2 Overhead Annunciator Grouping

A set of the set o

...

<sup>1</sup> Contractor and a second state of the state of the state of the second s

a a second second second secon A second second second

· . • `

(a) A set of The second s second s second s second sec

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: SA5₌1

Page 4 of 4
EAL# & Classification Level:	SS5.1 – SITE AREA EMERGENCY
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
Initiating Condition:	Inability to monitor a SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENT in progress
EAL Subcategory:	5 – Instrumentation
EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction

#### EAL:

Loss of > approximately 75% of Control Room Overhead Annunciators for ≥ 15 minutes (Note 3)

#### 

Loss of > approximately 75% of Control Room Indications associated with the following safety functions for ≥ 15 minutes (Note 3):

- Reactivity Control
- RCS Inventory
- Decay Heat Removal
- Fission Product Barriers

#### <u>AND</u>

A SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENT is in progress, Table S-1

AND

Compensatory indications are NOT available per OP-AB.ANN-0001(Q)

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

# Table S-1 SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENTS Automatic turbine runback > 25% thermal reactor power Electrical load rejection > 25% full electrical load Reactor Trip Safety Injection Activation

#### Basis:

This EAL is intended to recognize the threat to plant safety associated with the complete loss of capability of the control room staff to monitor plant response to a **SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENT**.

"Planned" and "UNPLANNED" actions are not differentiated since the loss of instrumentation of this magnitude is of such significance during a transient that the cause of the loss is not a factor.

Quantification is arbitrary, however, it is estimated that if **approximately 75%** of the annunciators or indicators are lost, there is an increased risk that a degraded plant condition could go undetected. It is not intended that plant personnel perform a detailed count of the instrumentation lost but use the value as a judgment threshold for determining the severity of the plant conditions. It is also not intended that the Shift Manager be tasked with making a judgment decision as to whether additional personnel are required to provide increased monitoring of system operation.

It is further recognized that most plant designs provide redundant safety system indication powered from separate uninterruptible power supplies. While failure of a large portion of annunciators is more likely than a failure of a large portion of indications, the concern is included in this EAL due to difficulty associated with assessment of plant conditions. The loss of specific, or several, safety system indicators should remain a function of that specific system or component operability status. This will be addressed by the specific Technical Specification. The initiation of a Technical Specification imposed plant shutdown related to the instrument loss will be reported via 10 CFR 50.72. If the shutdown is not in compliance with the Technical Specification action, the UE is based on SU4.1 "Inability to Reach Required Shutdown Within Technical Specification Limits."

A SITE AREA EMERGENCY is considered to exist if the Control Room staff cannot monitor safety functions needed for protection of the public while a significant transient is in progress.

Site specific indications needed to monitor safety functions necessary for protection of the public must include Control Room indications, computer generated indications and dedicated annunciation capability.

Salem

. .

Rev. 0 (draft E)

FAI # \$\$5.1

et esta a la cara a

"Compensatory indications" in this context includes computer based information such as the Plant Computer System and SPDS (see OP-AB.ANN-0001(Q)). This should include all computer systems available for this use depending on specific plant design and subsequent retrofits.

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary power losses.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

OP-AB.ANN-0001(Q) details increased monitoring and surveillance requirements as well as alternate indicators during a loss of Control Room Overhead Annunciators.

The **15 minute clock** starts when the annunciators or other key control room safety function indications have been lost, or are determined to have been lost. If upon time of discovery it is determined that the annunciators or key safety function indications have been lost for at least **15 minutes** prior to discovery, classification should be made under this EAL regardless of time required for restoration.

#### SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENTS are listed in Table S-1.

The Plant Process Computer System (PPC) and Safety Parameter Display System (SPDS) serve as redundant indicators which may be utilized as compensatory measures in lieu of the Control Room Overhead Annunciators and Control Room indicators associated with safety functions.

The judgment of the Shift Manager should be used as the threshold for determining the severity of the plant conditions.

#### Definitions:

**UNPLANNED:** a parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions. An **UNPLANNED** loss of annunciators and loss other key control room indication systems excludes scheduled maintenance and testing activities.

**SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENT**: An **UNPLANNED** event based on EC judgment, but includes as a minimum any one of the following: (1) automatic turbine runback greater than 25% thermal reactor power, (2) electrical load rejection greater than 25% full electrical load, (3) Reactor Trip, or (4) Safety Injection Activation.

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, SS6 Example EAL #1
- 2. S1(S2).OP-AB.ANN-0001(Q) Loss of Overhead Annunciator System
- 3. UFSAR 7.7.2.10 Plant Alarm and Annunciator Systems
- 4. UFSAR Table 7.7-2 Overhead Annunciator Grouping

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) FAL # SS5.1

This page intentionally blank

and the second second

The subset of the second of the subset of the second second second second second second (1) and second second

<sup>1</sup> County and a state of a subsection of a subsection prove of the state of the subsection of the

· · ·

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: SS5.1

Page 4 of 4

Salem

EAL# & Classification Level:	SU6.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
Initiating Condition:	Loss of all onsite or offsite communications capabilities
EAL Subcategory:	6 – Communications
EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction

#### EAL:

Loss of **all Table S-2** Onsite communication methods affecting the ability to perform routine operations

#### 

Loss of **all Table S-2** Offsite communication methods affecting the ability to perform offsite notifications

Table S-2 Communications Systems		
System	Onsite	Offsite
Direct Inward Dial System (DID)	X	X
Station Page System (Gaitronics)	X	
Station Radio System	X	
Nuclear Emergency Telephone System (NETS)		X
Centrex Phone System (ESSX)		X
NRC (ENS)		X

!

#### Basis:

The purpose of this EAL is to recognize a loss of communications capability that either defeats the plant operations staff ability to perform routine tasks necessary for plant operations or the ability to communicate issues with off-site authorities.

The availability of one method of ordinary off-site communications is sufficient to inform federal, state, and local authorities of plant problems. This EAL is intended to be used only when extraordinary means (e.g., relaying of information from non-routine radio transmissions, individuals being sent to off-site locations, etc.) are being used to make communications possible.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Onsite and offsite communications include one or more of the systems listed in Table S-2.

#### Direct Inward Dial System (DID)

Direct Inward Dial (DID) system is named for the dominant feature of the commercial telephone service provided by the local telephone company for the site. DID allows station telephones to be extensions or tied lines of the same systems. These exchanges can take advantage of backup power supplies provided to the stations, and may use either PSEG microwave, commercial telephone system microwave, or buried cable transmission systems to maintain external communications. This commercial telephone service is available as an additional backup for the NETS and Centrex/ESSX 1 system.

(1) A second se second sec

and the second second

#### Station Page System (Gaitronics)

Gaitronics is a completely transistorized voice communication system with five voice channels: one page and five party. The system is designed for use in extreme environmental conditions such as dust, moisture, heat and noise. The system consists of handsets, speakers and their associated amplifiers. The power for this system is 120 volts AC from an inverted DC source to provide reliable communications during an emergency.

#### Station Radio System

The Operations and Fire Protection Department UHF radio system is a multi-frequency system used routinely by both station Operations Departments and the Fire Protection Department. When an emergency event is declared, these radio frequencies serve both station Operations Support Centers (OSC).

#### Nuclear Emergency Telephone System (NETS)

The Nuclear Emergency Telecommunications System (NETS) is a privately controlled, selfcontained telephone exchange that operates as a closed system, not accessible from other phone exchanges. This feature allows the system to be dedicated to emergency response

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)



use. The system may use PSEG microwave, commercial telephone system microwave, fiber optics, or buried cable transmission as needed. The exchange switching equipment is maintained at the Environmental & Energy Resource Center (EERC). As an independent system with an uninterruptible power supply, it may operate with or without local phone service or external power.

#### Centrex Phone System (ESSX)

The Centrex/Electronic Switch System Exchange 1 (Centrex/ESSX 1) is also a privately controlled exchange, which PSEG operates with its own microwave signal system. This system is also independent of local phone service, since each circuit is independently wired. The microwave signal is generated from corporate facilities in Newark, NJ, separated from any local effects of weather or telephone use. The exchange is accessible from other exchanges, but circuits are located only in PSEG facilities. It is considered the primary backup for the NETS system.

#### NRC (ENS)

The Emergency Notification System (ENS) is a dedicated communications system with the NRC, which is part of the Federal Telecommunications System (FTS) and consists of direct lines to the NRC. FTS lines are used to provide general accident information. These telephones are installed in the Control Room, TSC, and the EOF.

This EAL is the hot condition equivalent of the cold condition EAL CU5.1.

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, SU6 Example EAL #1, #2
- 2. PSEG Nuclear Emergency Plan, Section 7
- 3. UFSAR 9.5.2 Communications System

#### This page intentionally blank

i se filosoficiente de la companya de la seconda de la Referencia

ŧ

1

Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
Initiating Condition:	Fuel clad degradation
EAL Subcategory:	7 – Fuel Clad Degradation
EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction

#### EAL# & Classification Level: SU7.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT

#### EAL:

**VALID** Letdown Line Monitor readings indicating fuel clad degradation greater than **<u>EITHER</u>** of the following Technical Specification allowable limits:

- 1R31A in warning
- 2R31 in alarm

#### Basis:

This EAL is included because it is a precursor of more serious conditions and, as result, is considered to be a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant.

Escalation of this EAL to the ALERT level is via the Fission Product Barriers.

This threshold addresses letdown radiation monitor readings that provide indication of a degradation of fuel clad integrity.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Letdown Line Monitors serve as a failed fuel detector by monitoring gamma levels in the reactor coolant letdown line. Unit 1 Letdown Line Monitor (1R31A) is a gross iodine monitor. The Unit 2 Letdown Line Monitor (2R31) is an ion chamber which measures letdown line activity. The Letdown Line Monitor "warning" setpoints are administratively set at 50% of the "alarm" setpoints.

- 1R31A "alarm" setpoint is based on 1% failed fuel. The "warning" setpoint represents about 0.5% failed fuel and has been selected because the setpoint would be readily identifiable on Control Room instrumentation.
- 2R31 "alarm" setpoint is based on 0.1% failed fuel. This setpoint is readily identifiable and also representative of typical values of coolant activity at Technical Specification limits.

Read-outs for these monitors can be obtained in the Control Room.

Other radiation monitors that may be used to confirm a valid Letdown Line Monitor alarm include:

- 1(2)R4 Charging Pump Room
- 1(2)R26 Reactor Coolant Filter
- Containment Area Rad Monitors (1(2)R2, 1(2)7, 1(2)10A, 1(2)10B)

Definitions:

VALID: An indication, report, or condition, is considered to be VALID when it is verified by (1) an instrument channel check, (2) indications on related or redundant indicators, or (3) by direct observation by plant personnel, such that doubt related to the indicator's operability, the condition's existence, or the report's accuracy is removed. Implicit in this definition is the need for timely assessment.

. .... . ......

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, SU4 Example EAL #1
- 2. PSBP 315733 Radiation Monitoring System Manual, Unit 1
- 3. PSBP 315734 Radiation Monitoring System Control Manual, Unit 2
- 4. UFSAR 9.3.5.3 Safety Evaluation (Failed fuel Detection System)
- 5. UFSAR 11.4 Radiological Monitoring
- 6. S1(S2).OP-AB.RC-0002 (Q) High Activity in the Reactor Coolant System

and and a second sec a second seco a second se a second seco

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: SU7.1

EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	7 – Fuel Clad Degradation
Initiating Condition:	Fuel clad degradation
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Classification Level:	SU7.2 – UNUSUAL EVENT

#### EAL:

Reactor coolant activity (Dose Equivalent Iodine) exceeds limits of Technical Specification Figure 3.4-1

#### Basis:

This EAL is included because it is a precursor of more serious conditions and, as result, is considered to be a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant.

Escalation of this EAL to the ALERT level is via the Fission Product Barriers.

This threshold addresses coolant samples exceeding coolant technical specifications for transient iodine spiking limits (Technical Specification Figure 3.4-1).

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

An Unusual Event is only warranted when actual fuel clad damage is the cause of the elevated coolant sample (as determined by RCS sample analysis confirmation).

Escalation to an ALERT or higher emergency classification occurs if a sample analysis of reactor coolant activity exceeds  $300 \ \mu$ Ci/gm DEI-131 via EAL FB4-L.

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, SU4Example EAL #2
- 2. SGS Technical Specification Section 3.4.8 Unit 1 Specific Activity
- 3. SGS Technical Specification Section 3.4.9 Unit 2 Specific Activity
- 4. S1(S2).OP-AB.RC-0002(Q) High Activity in Reactor Coolant System

#### This page intentionally blank

an an an an ann an Arrainn an Arr Arrainn an A

and the second state of the second second

and a second second

ł

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: SU7.2

Salem

...

EAL Category:	S – System Malfunction
	e eyetenn mananotten

EAL Subcategory: 8 – RCS Leakage

Initiating Condition: RCS Leakage

Mode Applicability: 1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown

#### EAL# & Classification Level: SU8.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT

#### EAL:

UNIDENTIFIED LEAKAGE or PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE > 10 gpm (Note 6)

<u> 0R</u>

#### IDENTIFIED LEAKAGE > 25 gpm (Note 6)

Note 6: See the Fission Product Barrier Table for possible escalation above the UNUSUAL EVENT due to RCS Leakage

#### Basis:

This EAL is included as a UE because it may be a precursor of more serious conditions and, as result, is considered to be a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant. The **10** gpm value for the UNIDENTIFIED or PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE was selected as it is observable with normal Control Room indications. Lesser values must generally be determined through time-consuming surveillance tests (e.g., mass balances).

Relief valve normal operation should be excluded from this EAL. However, a relief valve that operates and fails to close per design should be considered applicable to this EAL if the relief valve cannot be isolated.

The EAL for identified leakage is set at a higher value due to the lesser significance of **IDENTIFIED LEAKAGE** in comparison to **UNIDENTIFIED** or **PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE**. In either case, escalation of this EAL to the ALERT level is via Fission Product Barrier Degradation EALs.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

RCS Leakage is defined as any leakage of Reactor Coolant this is unisolable or affects Pressurizer level. RCS Leakage of the magnitude described in this EAL is consistent with an Unusual Event classification and should be declared immediately.

Salem

Page 1 of 4

### The Technical Specification definitions for UNIDENTIFIED LEAKAGE, IDENTIFIED LEAKAGE and PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE are provided below.

Relief valve normal operation (e.g., PZR PORV or safety valves) should be excluded from emergency classification under this EAL. A relief valve that fails to close per design and cannot be isolated from the Control Room, however, should be considered applicable to this EAL.

Utilizing the leak before break concept, it is anticipated that there will be indications of minor RCS boundary leakage prior to a fault escalating to a major leak or rupture. Detection of low levels of leakage while pressurized permits monitoring for catastrophic failure or rupture precursors.

The Control Room staff is equipped with the Plant Computer (PRIM SYS LEAK RATE program) and manual methods of determining the extent of RCS leakage.

#### Examples of RCS leakage and applicability include:

Example #1: A rapidly lowering Volume Control Tank (VCT) level is identified during a Radwaste evolution involving the draining of the #12 Mixed Bed Demineralizer (MBD). The Control Room staff identifies the condition and the drain valve on the MBD is closed. Charging flow has not changed and VCT level is stabilized within 3 minutes. The calculated leak rate is 73 gpm for 3 minutes.

This is not reportable because the leakage did not affect pressurizer level and the leak was isolable from the RCS. Note that Tech Spec limits still apply. Example #2: A Unit 2 RCS leakrate calculation identified a 30-gpm leak. VCT level has started to drop unexpectedly and enough time has passed that there are minor variations in pressurizer level. The source of the leak is unknown. After about 20 minutes, it was

determined that the source of the leak was the stem leakoff line from the 2CV55.

This event should be classified within the 15 minute clock and declared an Unusual Event even though the leak could have been isolated. Any reduction in pressurizer level, which can be attributed to a Reactor Coolant System leak should be quantified and the Technical Specification entered and the applicable EAL entered as appropriate. The use of increasing charging flow to quantify Reactor Coolant System leakage is acceptable provided there is an actual Reactor Coolant System leak. This could result in a stable pressurizer level and should be reviewed against Technical Specifications and the ECG as applicable. If at any time the source of the leakage is unknown and it meets the ECG criteria, the Emergency Coordinator should classify the event.

Escalation to the ALERT emergency classification level is via EALs in Category F. Note 6 has been added to remind the EAL-user to review the Fission Product Barrier EALs for possible escalation to higher emergency classifications.

• \_

Definitions:

Salem

Page 2 of 4

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: SU8.1

-

**UNIDENTIFIED LEAKAGE**: As defined in T/S, shall be all leakage which is not **IDENTIFIED LEAKAGE**.

**PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE**: As defined in T/S, shall be leakage (except steam generator tube leakage) through a non-isolable fault in a Reactor Coolant System component body, pipe wall or vessel wall.

**IDENTIFIED LEAKAGE**: As defined in T/S, shall be leakage (except Reactor Coolant Pump Seal Water Injection) into closed systems, such as pump seal or valve packing leaks that are captured and conducted to a sump or collecting tank, or, shall be leakage into the containment atmosphere from sources that are both specifically located and known either not to interfere with the operation of the leakage detection systems or not to be **PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE**, or, shall be Reactor coolant system leakage through a steam generator to the secondary system (primary-to-secondary leakage).

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, SU4 Example EAL #2
- 2. Technical Specifications, Definitions
- 3. Technical Specifications 3.4.6.2 Unit 1 Operational Leakage
- 4. Technical Specifications 3.4.7.2 Unit 2 Operational Leakage
- 5. UFSAR 5.2.7.2 Indication in Control Room
- 6. S1(S2).OP-AB.RC-0001(Q) Reactor Coolant System Leak
- 7. S1(S2).OP-SO.RC-0004(Q) Identifying and Measuring Leakage
- 8. SC.RA-AP.ZZ-0051 Leakage Monitoring and Reduction Program
- 9. S1(S2).OP-ST.RC-0008(Q) Reactor Coolant System Water Inventory Balance

L

#### This page intentionally blank

## EALs for:

## Fission Product Barriers

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	CFSTs
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of Fuel Clad
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	FB1-P (4 points)
EAL:	
CFST Core Cooling PURPLE path exists	

#### Basis:

**Core Cooling** PURPLE indicates subcooling has been lost and that some clad damage may occur.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

CFST status will not be used for event classification until the Control Room staff has implemented the CFSTs. The Core Cooling CFST is illustrated in Attachment 2, page 4. Adverse Containment setpoints appear in the Heat Sink CFST. Adverse Containment conditions exist if Containment pressure exceeds 4 psig or R44 Containment radiation dose rates exceed 1E05 R/hr or R44 dose exceeds 1E06 R.

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Fuel Clad Potential Loss 1.A
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees F.02 Core Cooling Status Tree
- 3. NC.EP-EP.ZZ-0201 (Q) TSC Integrated Engineering Response

This page intentionally blank

Salem



EAL:	
EAL# & Point Value:	FB2-P (4 points)
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of Fuel Clad
Subcategory:	CFSTs
EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation

CFST **Heat Sink** RED path exists due to actual loss of secondary heat sink and heat sink is required

#### Basis:

**Heat Sink** RED when heat sink is required indicates the ultimate heat sink function is under extreme challenge.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

CFST **Heat Sink** RED Path entry conditions affects both the Fuel Clad and RCS Barriers. Therefore, minimum classification would be SAE.

A barrier loss classification should not be made if the **Heat Sink RED** Path is the result of procedurally required Auxiliary Feedwater Flow control.

CFST status will not be used for event classification until the Control Room staff has implemented the CFSTs. The Heat Sink CFST is illustrated in Attachment 2, page 6. Adverse Containment setpoints appear in the Heat Sink CFST. Adverse Containment conditions exist if Containment pressure exceeds 4 psig or R44 Containment radiation dose rates exceed 1E05 R/hr or R44 dose exceeds 1E06 R.

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Fuel Clad Potential Loss 1.B
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees F.03 Heat Sink Status Tree
- 3. 1(2)-EOP-FRHS-1 Response to Loss of Secondary Heat Sink
- 4. NC.EP-EP.ZZ-0201 (Q) TSC Integrated Engineering Response

·

#### This page intentionally blank

en general en men per seu anticipa de la conservation de la film de la conservation de la sérvation de la cons La conservation de la conservation La conservation de la conservation



EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Core Exit TCs
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of Fuel Clad
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	FB3-P (4 points)
EAL:	
5 or more <b>CETs &gt; 700°F</b>	

#### Basis:

The five core exit thermocouple (CET) temperatures > 700°F indicates a loss of subcooling.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Core exit thermocouple (CET) readings greater than **700°F** signal a CFST Core Cooling PURPLE path condition. CET readings are used as a fission product barrier threshold in addition to the CFST thresholds to address events in which the CFSTs may not yet be in use.

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Fuel Clad Potential Loss 3.A
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees F.02 Core Cooling Status Tree

.

This page intentionally blank

ng Marana ang Kanang Kanang





EAL:	
EAL# & Point Value:	FB4-P (4 points)
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of Fuel Clad
Subcategory:	Inventory
EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation

RVLIS < Table F-1 thresholds

Table F-1 RVLIS Thresholds		
RVLIS		RCPs
Full Range	39%	None
Dynamic Range	44%	4
	30%	3
	20%	2
	13%	1

#### Basis:

There is no Loss threshold associated with this item.

The specific values for the Potential Loss thresholds correspond to approximately the top of the active fuel.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The specified RVLIS readings (Table F-1) and the associated number of running RCP pumps are used in the CFSTs to signal core uncovery and are, therefore, indication of inadequate coolant inventory. If the RVLIS thresholds are exceeded, a core covered condition cannot be confirmed. According to the CFST Core Cooling PURPLE path, this water level indicates subcooling has been lost and that some fuel clad damage may occur. RVLIS readings are used as a fission product barrier threshold in addition to the CFST thresholds to address events in which the CFSTs may not yet be in use.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: FB4-P

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Fuel Clad Potential Loss 4.A
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees F.02 Core Cooling Status Tree

· · · ·

n an an Allanda an All Allanda an A

and and a second and

and the second second

· · · ·

Salem

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	EC Judgment
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of Fuel Clad
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	FB5-P (4 points)
EAL:	

<u>ANY</u> condition in the opinion of the Emergency Coordinator that indicates potential loss of the Fuel Clad barrier

#### Basis:

This threshold addresses any other factors that are to be used by the Emergency Coordinator in determining whether the Fuel Clad barrier is potentially lost. In addition, the inability to monitor the barrier should also be incorporated in this threshold as a factor in Emergency Coordinator judgment that the barrier may be considered potentially lost.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The Emergency Coordinator judgment threshold addresses any other factors relevant to determining if the Fuel Clad barrier is potentially lost. Such a determination should include **IMMINENT** barrier degradation, barrier monitoring capability and dominant accident sequences.

- <u>Barrier degradation</u> exists if the degradation will likely occur within two hours based on a projection of current safety system performance.
- <u>Barrier monitoring</u> capability is decreased if there is a loss or lack of reliable indicators. This assessment should include instrumentation operability concerns, readings from portable instrumentation and consideration of offsite monitoring results.
- <u>Dominant accident sequences</u> lead to degradation of all fission product barriers and likely entry to the EOPs. The Emergency Coordinator should be mindful of the Loss of AC power (Station Blackout) and ATWT EALs to assure timely emergency classification declarations.

i

Salem



#### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

. a., . . . .

Definitions:

**IMMINENT:** Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Fuel Clad Potential Loss 8.A





EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation	
Subcategory:	CFSTs	
Initiating Condition:	Loss of Fuel Clad	
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown	
EAL# & Point Value:	FB1-L (5 points)	
EAL:		
CFST Core Cooling RED path exists		

#### Basis:

**Core Cooling** RED indicates significant superheating and core uncovery and is considered to indicate loss of the Fuel Clad Barrier.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

CFST status will not be used for event classification until the Control Room Staff has implemented the CFSTs. The Core Cooling CFST is illustrated in Attachment 2, page 4.

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Fuel Clad Loss 1.A
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees F.02 Core Cooling Status Tree

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: FB1-L

#### This page intentionally blank

-

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: FB1-L

.

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Core Exit TCs
Initiating Condition:	Loss of Fuel Clad
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	FB2-L (5 points)
EAL:	
5 or more CETs > <b>1200°F</b>	

#### Basis:

The five core exit thermocouple (CET) temperatures > 1200°F indicates significant superheating of the coolant.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Core exit thermocouple (CET) readings greater than **1,200°F** signal a CFST Core Cooling RED path condition. CET readings are used as a fission product barrier threshold in addition to the CFST thresholds to address events in which the CFSTs may not yet be in use.

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Fuel Clad Loss 3.A
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees F.02 Core Cooling Status Tree

This page intentionally blank

April 1997 A. C. S. Martin, C. S. Markell, C. S. Markell, and S. Markell, and S. Markell, "A static stat

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: FB2-L

--

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Radiation
Initiating Condition:	Loss of Fuel Clad
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	FB3-L (5 points)
EAL:	

Containment radiation monitor R44A or R44B reading > 300 R/hr

#### Basis:

The site specific reading is a value which indicates the release of reactor coolant, with elevated activity indicative of fuel damage, into the containment.

Reactor coolant concentrations of this magnitude are several times larger than the maximum concentrations (including iodine spiking) allowed within technical specifications and are therefore indicative of fuel damage.

This value is higher than that specified for RCS barrier Loss RB1-L. Thus, this threshold indicates a loss of both the Fuel Clad barrier and RCS barrier that appropriately escalates the emergency classification level to a Site Area Emergency.

There is no Potential Loss threshold associated with this item.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

1(2)R44A and 1(2)R44B are the Containment High Range area radiation monitors. The threshold value of **300** R/hr has been calculated assuming the instantaneous release and dispersal of the reactor coolant noble gas and iodine inventory associated with a concentration of 300  $\mu$ Ci/gm Dose Equivalent I-131 into the Containment atmosphere. 300  $\mu$ Ci/gm Dose Equivalent I-131 into the containment atmosphere. 300  $\mu$ Ci/gm Dose Equivalent I-131 into the Containment atmosphere. 300  $\mu$ Ci/gm Dose Equivalent I-131 into the Containment atmosphere. 300  $\mu$ Ci/gm Dose Equivalent I-131 into the Containment atmosphere. 300  $\mu$ Ci/gm Dose Equivalent I-131 into the Containment atmosphere. 300  $\mu$ Ci/gm Dose Equivalent I-131 into the Containment atmosphere. 300  $\mu$ Ci/gm Dose Equivalent I-131 into the Containment atmosphere. 300  $\mu$ Ci/gm Dose Equivalent I-131 into the Containment atmosphere. 300  $\mu$ Ci/gm Dose Equivalent I-131 into the Containment atmosphere. 300  $\mu$ Ci/gm Dose Equivalent I-131 into the Containment atmosphere. 300  $\mu$ Ci/gm Dose Equivalent I-131 into the Containment atmosphere. 300  $\mu$ Ci/gm Dose Equivalent I-131 into the Containment atmosphere.

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Fuel Clad Loss 6.A
- 2. Calculation by Nuclear Fuels Group file title DS1.6-0098 "Verification of Emergency Action Levels for Event Classification" date 02/10/95

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

EAL#: FB3-L

#### This page intentionally blank

Šekstva stava stava ventska stava politika stava ventska stava stava stava stava stava stava stava stava stava 1921. – Karona stava s 1944. – Karona stava s

> Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: FB3-L

Salem

Coolant activity > 300 µCi/gm dose equivalent I-131		
EAL:		
EAL# & Point Value:	FB4-L (5 points)	
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown	
Initiating Condition:	Loss of Fuel Clad	
Subcategory:	Other	
EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation	

#### Basis:

The site specific value is **300**  $\mu$ **Ci/gm dose equivalent I-131**. Assessment by the NEI EAL Task Force indicates that this amount of coolant activity is well above that expected for iodine spikes. This amount of radioactivity indicates significant clad damage and thus the Fuel Clad Barrier is considered lost.

There is no Potential Loss threshold associated with this item.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The threshold value of **300 µCi/gm Dose Equivalent Iodine-131** (DEI-131) is based upon an engineering calculation and corresponds to approximately 2.8% fuel clad damage.

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Fuel Clad Loss 2.A
- 2. Calculation by Nuclear Fuels Group file title DS1.6-0098 "Verification of Emergency Action Levels for Event Classification" date 2/10/95
- 3. S1(S2).OP-AB.RC-0002 (Q) High Activity in Reactor Coolant System

1

#### This page intentionally blank

na 1995 - Santa 1996 - Santa Sa 1997 - Santa Sa

Weight and the state of the sta

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: FBA-L

Salem

ļ

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	EC Judgment
Initiating Condition:	Loss of Fuel Clad
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	FB5-L (5 points)

#### EAL:

<u>ANY</u> condition in the opinion of the Emergency Coordinator that indicates loss of the Fuel Clad barrier

#### Basis:

This threshold addresses any other factors that are to be used by the Emergency Coordinator in determining whether the Fuel Clad barrier is lost. In addition, the inability to monitor the barrier should also be incorporated in this threshold as a factor in Emergency Coordinator judgment that the barrier may be considered lost.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The Emergency Coordinator judgment threshold addresses any other factors relevant to determining if the Fuel Clad barrier is lost. Such a determination should include IMMINENT barrier degradation, barrier monitoring capability and dominant accident sequences.

- <u>Barrier degradation</u> exists if the degradation will likely occur within two hours based on a projection of current safety system performance.
- <u>Barrier monitoring</u> capability is decreased if there is a loss or lack of reliable indicators. This assessment should include instrumentation operability concerns, readings from portable instrumentation and consideration of offsite monitoring results.
- <u>Dominant accident sequences</u> lead to degradation of all fission product barriers and likely entry to the EOPs. The Emergency Coordinator should be mindful of the Loss of AC power (Station Blackout) and ATWT EALs to assure timely emergency classification declarations.

. . .

Rev. 0 (draft E)


### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

Definitions:

**IMMINENT:** Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

### EAL Bases Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Fuel Clad Loss 8.A

A. State of the second s State Market Second s State Market Second s State Market Second s State Market Second s State Market Second se Second se

> Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: FB5-L

CFST Thermal Shock RED path exists	
EAL:	
EAL# & Point Value:	RB1-P (4 points)
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of RCS
Subcategory:	Isolation
EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation

RCS **Thermal Shock** RED indicates an extreme challenge to the safety function derived from appropriate instrument readings.

There is no Loss threshold associated with this item.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

CFST status will not be used for event classification until the Control Room staff has implemented the CFSTs. The Thermal Shock CFST is illustrated in Attachment 2, pages 7 and 8. The Heat Sink CFST is illustrated in Attachment 2, page 6. Adverse Containment setpoints appear in the Heat Sink CFST. Adverse Containment conditions exist if Containment pressure exceeds 4 psig or R44 Containment radiation dose rates exceed 1E05 R/hr or R44 dose exceeds 1E06 R.

### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 RCS Barrier Potential Loss 1.A
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees F.04 Thermal Shock Status Tree
- 3. NC.EP-EP.ZZ-0201 (Q) TSC Integrated Engineering Response

This page intentionally blank

. . .

and an arrest of the second second

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RB1-P

Page 2 of 2

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Isolation
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of RCS
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	RB2-P (4 points)

### EAL:

CFST **Heat Sink** RED path exists due to actual loss of secondary heat sink and heat sink is required

### Basis:

**Heat Sink RED** when heat sink is required indicates the ultimate heat sink function is under extreme challenge.

There is no Loss threshold associated with this item.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

CFST **Heat Sink** RED Path entry conditions affects both the Fuel Clad and RCS Barriers. Therefore, minimum classification would be SAE.

A barrier loss classification should not be made if the **Heat Sink** RED Path is the result of procedurally required Auxiliary Feedwater Flow control.

CFST status will not be used for event classification until the Control Room staff has implemented the CFSTs. The Thermal Shock CFST is illustrated in Attachment 2, pages 7 and 8. The Heat Sink CFST is illustrated in Attachment 2, page 6. Adverse Containment setpoints appear in the Heat Sink CFST. Adverse Containment conditions exist if Containment pressure exceeds 4 psig or R44 Containment radiation dose rates exceed 1E05 R/hr or R44 dose exceeds 1E06 R.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 RCS Barrier Potential Loss 1.B
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees F.03 Heat Sink Status Tree
- 3. 1(2)-EOP-FRHS-1 Response to Loss of Secondary Heat Sink
- 4. NC.EP-EP.ZZ-0201 (Q) TSC Integrated Engineering Response

· · ·

: `

.

- -

•

Rev. 0 (draft E)

ł

eal#: RB2=P

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Inventory
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of RCS
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	RB3-P (4 points)
EAL:	

One Centrifugal Charging Pump CANNOT maintain PZR level > 17% as a result of RCS leakage

#### Basis:

This threshold is based on the apparent inability to maintain normal liquid inventory within the Reactor Coolant System (RCS) by normal operation of the Chemical and Volume Control System which is considered to be the flow rate equivalent to one charging pump discharging to the charging header. Minimizing letdown is a standard abnormal operating procedure action and may prevent unnecessary classifications when a non-RCS leakage path such as a CVCS leak exists. The intent of this condition is met if the leak is in Letdown and attempts to isolate Letdown are NOT successful. Additional charging pumps being required is indicative of a substantial RCS leak.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Significant leakage from the RCS requires implementation of S1 (S2).OP-AB.RC-0001(Q). Actions required by this procedure specify the use of one Centrifugal Charging Pump, discharging to the charging header, and Letdown reduced to a minimum. If RCS leakage results in an inability to maintain the specified Pressurizer (PZR) level with a normal charging lineup and minimum Letdown flow using one Centrifugal Charging Pump, an RCS inventory loss is occurring that would require initiation of Reactor Trip and Safety Injection (SI) and entry into EOP-TRIP-1. This RCS Potential Loss assumes that any event that would result in significant RCS mass loss will require at least an Alert emergency classification.

Non-RCS leakage events (such as steam or feedwater system breaks) in which no mass is lost from the RCS should not be classified under this RCS barrier Loss. SGTRs that result in entry into 1(2)-EOP-SGTR-1 shall be classified under RCS Barrier Loss RB3-L. If a SGTR does not result in 1(2)-EOP-SGTR-1 entry, it should be classified as a minimum under this RCS barrier Potential Loss if PZR level cannot be maintained above **17%**.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

When PZR level drops to 17%, Letdown isolates and pressurizer heaters deenergize. This condition is signaled by overhead annunciator E-36, PZR HTR OFF LVL LO. Pressurizer level is indicated on LI-459A, LI-460A, LI-461, associated computer points and SPDS.

The design flowrate of one centrifugal charging pump is 150 gpm.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 RCS Barrier Potential Loss 2.A
- 2. S1(S2).OP-AB.RC-0001(Q) Reactor Coolant System Leak
- 3. 1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection
- 4. 1(2)-EOP-SGTR-1 Steam Generator Tube Rupture
- 5. S1(S2).OP-AR.ZZ-0005(Q) ÖHA E-36, PZR HTR OFF LVL LO
- 6. S1(S2).OP-SO.CVC-0002(Q) Charging Pump Operation



EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	EC Judgment
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of RCS
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	RB4-P (4 points)

### EAL:

<u>ANY</u> condition in the opinion of the Emergency Coordinator that indicates potential loss of the RCS barrier

### Basis:

This threshold addresses any other factors that are to be used by the Emergency Coordinator in determining whether the RCS barrier is I potentially lost. In addition, the inability to monitor the barrier should also be incorporated in this threshold as a factor in Emergency Coordinator judgment that the barrier may be considered potentially lost.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The Emergency Coordinator judgment threshold addresses any other factors relevant to determining if the RCS barrier is potentially lost. Such a determination should include **IMMINENT** barrier degradation, barrier monitoring capability and dominant accident sequences.

- <u>Barrier degradation</u> exists if the degradation will likely occur within two hours based on a projection of current safety system performance.
- <u>Barrier monitoring</u> capability is decreased if there is a loss or lack of reliable indicators. This assessment should include instrumentation operability concerns, readings from portable instrumentation and consideration of offsite monitoring results.
- <u>Dominant accident sequences</u> lead to degradation of all fission product barriers and likely entry to the EOPs. The Emergency Coordinator should be mindful of the Loss of AC power (Station Blackout) and ATWT EALs to assure timely emergency classification declarations.

### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

Definitions:

**IMMINENT**: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

### EAL Bases Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 RCS Potential Loss 8.A

a statistica and statistica de la contra d Contra de la contra d

!

Rev. 0 (draft E)

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation	
Subcategory:	Radiation	
Initiating Condition:	Loss of RCS	
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown	
EAL# & Point Value:	RB1-L (5 points)	
EAL:		
ANY of the following Containment radiation monitor readings:		
∘ 1(2)R2 > 1000 mR/hr		
◦ 1(2)R44A > 10 R/hr		

The readings are values which indicate the release of reactor coolant to the containment.

The readings will be less than that specified for Fuel Clad barrier threshold FB3-L. Thus, this threshold would be indicative of a RCS leak only. If the radiation monitor reading increased to that specified by Fuel Clad barrier threshold, fuel damage would also be indicated.

There is no Potential Loss threshold associated with this item.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

130' Containment Area Rad Monitor 1(2)R2 has an instrument scale range of 0.1 mR/hr to 10 R/hr and, therefore, offers the preferred method of assessing this RCS Barrier Loss. 1 R/hr on this monitor is indicative of the instantaneous release and dispersal of the reactor coolant noble gas and iodine inventory associated with Technical Specification concentrations into the Containment atmosphere.

These readings are less than that specified for Fuel Clad Barrier Loss FB3-L since this EAL attempts to identify RCS leakage assuming RCS activity is at the Technical Specification limit.

Classification under this EAL should not be made based upon crud burst evolutions or other non-RCS leakage events.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

EAL#: RB1-L

1 - 1 - <sup>1</sup>

### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 RCS Barrier Loss 6.A
- 2. Calculation by Nuclear Fuels Group file title DS1.6-0098 "Verification of Emergency Action Levels for Event Classification" date 2/10/95

: ч.

v . . .

. -...

. : : . . . .

. 5. • · ·

• . ....

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RB1-L

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Inventory
Initiating Condition:	Loss of RCS
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	RB2-L (5 points)
EAL:	
Subcooling ≤ 0°F (as a result of RCS leakage)	

This threshold addresses conditions where leakage from the RCS is greater than available inventory control capacity such that a loss of subcooling has occurred. The loss of subcooling is the fundamental indication that the inventory control systems are inadequate in maintaining RCS pressure and inventory against the mass loss through the leak.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

RCS subcooling of **0°F** or less is a criterion in the CFST Core Cooling YELLOW path (or higher) and is monitored in the Continuous Action Summary (CAS). Subcooling is indicated in the Control Room on Subcooling Margin Monitor Channel A and Channel B. This threshold focuses on RCS inventory loss due to LOCA conditions. Non-RCS leakage events (such as steam or feedwater system breaks) in which no mass is lost from the RCS should not be classified under this RCS barrier Loss. Subcooling equal to or less than **0°F** is indication that leakage from the RCS barrier is greater than the available inventory control capacity.

This threshold does not apply to primary-to-secondary leakage events since adequate injection capability should be available for the spectrum of such events including Steam Generator Tube Rupture (SGTR). Refer to RCS barrier Loss RB3-L for SGTR.

EOP directed actions resulting in deliberate subcooling reduction (e.g. during SGTR saturated recovery), steam/feedwater line breaks, or momentary reductions below **0°F** that are recoverable (e.g. SI flow reduction sequence) should not be classified under this EAL.

### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 RCS Barrier Loss 2.A
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees F.02 Core Cooling Status Tree

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

EAL#: RB2-L

### This page intentionally blank

#### $\mathcal{L}^{(n)} = \{ (1,1), \dots, (n,n) \in \mathbb{N} : n \in \mathbb{N} \} \} = \{ (1,1), \dots, (n,n) \in \mathbb{N} \} \}$



EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Inventory
Initiating Condition:	Loss of RCS
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	RB3-L (5 points)
EAL:	
SGTR requiring ECCS (SI) Actuation	

This threshold addresses the full spectrum of Steam Generator (SG) tube rupture events in conjunction with Containment barrier Loss thresholds. It addresses RUPTURED SG(s) for which the leakage is large enough to cause actuation of ECCS (SI). This is consistent to the RCS leak rate barrier Potential Loss threshold.

By itself, this threshold will result in the declaration of an Alert. However, if the SG is also
FAULTED (i.e., two barriers failed), the declaration escalates to a Site Area Emergency per Containment barrier Loss thresholds.

There is no Potential Loss threshold associated with this item.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This EAL is indicative of a loss of RCS inventory due to a Steam Generator Tube Rupture (SGTR) in which the leakage is large enough to cause actuation of Safety Injection (SI).

### EAL Bases Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 RCS Barrier Loss 4.A

- 2. 1(2)-EOP-SGTR-1 Steam Generator Tube Rupture
- 3. 1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RB3-L

# This page intentionally blank

The set of the set o

한, 1949 - 1945 - 1975 - 1975 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 • 1979 - 1977 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 • 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979 - 1979

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: RB3-L

Page 2 of 2

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	EC Judgment
Initiating Condition:	Loss of RCS
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	RB4-L (5 points)

### EAL:

<u>ANY</u> condition in the opinion of the Emergency Coordinator that indicates loss of the RCS barrier

### Basis:

This threshold addresses any other factors that are to be used by the Emergency Coordinator in determining whether the RCS barrier is lost. In addition, the inability to monitor the barrier should also be incorporated in this threshold as a factor in Emergency Coordinator judgment that the barrier may be considered lost.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The Emergency Coordinator judgment threshold addresses any other factors relevant to determining if the RCS barrier is lost. Such a determination should include IMMINENT barrier degradation, barrier monitoring capability and dominant accident sequences.

- <u>Barrier degradation</u> exists if the degradation will likely occur within two hours based on a projection of current safety system performance.
- <u>Barrier monitoring</u> capability is decreased if there is a loss or lack of reliable indicators. This assessment should include instrumentation operability concerns, readings from portable instrumentation and consideration of offsite monitoring results.
- <u>Dominant accident sequences</u> lead to degradation of all fission product barriers and likely entry to the EOPs. The Emergency Coordinator should be mindful of the Loss of AC power (Station Blackout) and ATWT EALs to assure timely emergency classification declarations.

Definitions:

**IMMINENT**: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

### EAL Bases Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 RCS Loss 8.A



## and the state of the state of the state

and an ann an 11 an Anna an Anna. Anna an Anna an



EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	CFSTs
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of Containment
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	CB1-P (2 points)
EAL:	
CFST <b>Containment</b> RED path exists	

RED path indicates an extreme challenge to the safety function derived from appropriate instrument readings and/or sampling results, and thus represents a potential loss of containment.

Conditions leading to a **Containment** RED path result from RCS barrier and/or Fuel Clad Barrier Loss. Thus, this threshold is primarily a discriminator between Site Area Emergency and General Emergency representing a potential loss of the third barrier.

There is no Loss threshold associated with this item.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Critical Safety Function Status Tree (CFST) **Containment** RED path exists if Containment pressure is greater than or equal to 47 psig. The **Containment** RED path is in the Containment Environment CFST illustrated in Attachment 2, page 9.

Containment pressure of this magnitude results from RCS barrier loss or a faulted S/G inside Containment and signifies an extreme challenge to the Containment. For this condition, all Containment isolations, as well as automatic Containment Spray and CFCU "low speed" operation should be initiated before this threshold is reached.

The Containment barrier is considered potentially lost at 47 psig even though the Containment yield strength is much higher that 47 psig. Thus, this threshold is primarily a discriminator between a Site Area Emergency and a General Emergency (i.e., a potential loss of the third barrier).

CFST status will not be used for event classification until the Control Room staff has implemented the CFSTs.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CB1-P

. ·

### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Potential Loss 1.A
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees F.05 Containment Environment Status Tree
- 3. UFSAR 6.2 Containment Systems
- 4. 1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection

en andre en andr Andre en andr

and a second second second second at the second An and a second secon An and a second second



EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	CFSTs
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of Containment
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	CB2-P (2 points)
EAL:	

CFST Core Cooling RED path exists

<u>AND</u>

Restoration procedure 1(2)EOP-FRCC-1 NOT effective within **15 minutes** 

### Basis:

See discussion below.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

CFST status will not be used for event classification until the Control Room Staff has implemented the CFSTs. The Core Cooling CFST is illustrated in Attachment 2, page 4.

This threshold is redundant to Containment Barrier Potential Losses CB3-P and CB-4P.

### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Potential Loss 1.A
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Tree F.02 Core Cooling Status Tree
- 3. UFSAR 6.2 Containment Systems
- 4. 1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection

### EP-SC-111-223

### This page intentionally blank

> a sea and e 11 - e a secondar de la companya de la compa References de la companya de la comp

n en mala ser a frage de la consecta de ser en la constante de ser en la constante de ser en la Carta. En la constante de la constante

## 



EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Core Exit TCs
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of Containment
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	CB3-P (2 points)
EAL:	
5 or more CETs > <b>1200°F</b>	
AND	
Restoration procedure 1(2)EOP-FRCC-1 NOT effective within <b>15 minutes</b>	

There is no Loss threshold associated with this item.

The conditions in these thresholds represent an **IMMINENT** core melt sequence which, if not corrected, could lead to vessel failure and an increased potential for containment failure. In conjunction with the Core Cooling and RCS Leakage criteria in the Fuel and RCS barrier columns, this threshold would result in the declaration of a General Emergency -- loss of two barriers and the potential loss of a third. If the function restoration procedures are ineffective, there is no "success" path.

The function restoration procedures are those emergency operating procedures that address the recovery of the core cooling critical safety functions. The procedure is considered effective if the temperature is decreasing or if the vessel water level is increasing.

Whether or not the procedures will be effective should be apparent within **15 minutes**. The Emergency Coordinator should make the declaration as soon as it is determined that the procedures have been, or will be ineffective.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

If core exit thermocouple (CET) readings are greater than **1,200°F**, Fuel Clad barrier is lost. CETs provide an indirect indication of fuel clad temperature by measuring the temperature of the reactor coolant that leaves the core region. Although clad rupture due to high temperature is not expected for CET readings less than the threshold, temperatures of this magnitude

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)



signal significant superheating of the reactor coolant and core uncovery. Events that result in CET readings above the loss threshold are severe accidents and are a severe accident management "Badly Damaged (BD)" condition. The BD descriptor signifies possible core overheating to the point that clad ballooning/collapse may occur and portions of the core may 1.1 have melted.

Severe accident analysis has concluded that functional restoration procedures can arrest core degradation within the Reactor Vessel in a significant fraction of the scenarios, and that the likelihood of Containment failure in these scenarios is small. It is appropriate; therefore, to allow a reasonable period of time for the functional restoration procedures to arrest the core melt sequence. The functional restoration procedure, 1(2)-EOP-FRCC-1, is the emergency operating procedures that address the recovery an inadequate core cooling condition.

#### Definitions:

**IMMINENT**: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified). . . . . . . . . . .

### EAL Bases Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Potential Loss 3.A

. ...

- 2. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees F.02 Core Cooling Status Tree
- 3. 1(2)-EOP-FRCC-1 Response to Inadequate Core Cooling

a di gradi da di gradi di

. .. · .·

. .

and the second second

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)



EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Core Exit TCs
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of Containment
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	CB4-P (2 points)
EAL:	

ALL of the following:

- 5 or more CETs > 700°F
- RVLIS < Table F-1 thresholds
- Restoration procedure 1(2)EOP-FRCC-1 NOT effective within 15 minutes

Table F-1 RVLIS Thresholds		
RVLIS		RCPs
Full Range	39%	None
Dynamic Range	44%	4
	30%	3
	20%	2
	13%	1

#### Basis:

There is no Loss threshold associated with this item.

The conditions in these thresholds represent an **IMMINENT** core melt sequence which, if not corrected, could lead to vessel failure and an increased potential for containment failure. In conjunction with the Core Cooling and RCS Leakage criteria in the Fuel and RCS Barrier columns, this threshold would result in the declaration of a General Emergency -- loss of two

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

ł

#### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

barriers and the potential loss of a third. If the function restoration procedures are ineffective, there is no "success" path.

The function restoration procedures are those emergency operating procedures that address the recovery of the core cooling critical safety functions. The procedure is considered effective if the temperature is decreasing or if the vessel water level is increasing.

Whether or not the procedures will be effective should be apparent within **15 minutes**. The Emergency Coordinator should make the declaration as soon as it is determined that the procedures have been, or will be ineffective.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This threshold indicates subcooling has been lost (CET readings > 700°F), the core is uncovered and some fuel clad damage may be occurring.

The **Table F-1** RVLIS thresholds are used in the CFSTs to signal core uncovery and are, therefore, indication of loss of coolant inventory. If the RVLIS thresholds are exceeded, a core covered condition cannot be confirmed.

Severe accident analysis has concluded that functional restoration procedures can arrest core degradation within the Reactor Vessel in a significant fraction of the scenarios, and that the likelihood of Containment failure in these scenarios is small. It is appropriate; therefore, to allow a reasonable period of time for the functional restoration procedures to arrest the core melt sequence. The functional restoration procedure, 1(2)-EOP-FRCC-1, is the emergency operating procedures that address the recovery an inadequate core cooling condition

Definitions:

IMMINENT: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

S. 1. 18

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Potential Loss 3.B
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees F.02 Core Cooling Status Tree
- 3. 1(2)-EOP-FRCC-1 Response to Inadequate Core Cooling

Rev. 0 (draft E)

97 1

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Radiation
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of Containment
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	CB5-P (2 points)
EAL:	
Containment radiation monitor 1(2)R44A or 1(2)R44B reading > 2000 R/hr	

There is no Loss threshold associated with this item.

The reading is a value which indicates significant fuel damage well in excess of the thresholds associated with both loss of Fuel Clad and loss of RCS Barriers. As stated in NEI 99-01 Section 3.8, a major release of radioactivity requiring off-site protective actions from core damage is not possible unless a major failure of fuel cladding allows radioactive material to be released from the core into the reactor coolant.

Regardless of whether Containment is challenged, this amount of activity in Containment, if released, could have such severe consequences that it is prudent to treat this as a Potential Loss of Containment, such that a General Emergency declaration is warranted.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

1(2)R44A and 1(2)R44B are the Containment High Range area radiation monitors. The threshold value of **2000 R/hr** has been calculated assuming the instantaneous release and dispersal of the reactor coolant noble gas and iodine inventory associated with 20% fuel clad damage into the Containment atmosphere.

### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Potential Loss 6.A
- 2. Calculation by Nuclear Fuels Group file title DS1.6-0098 "Verification of Emergency Action Levels for Event Classification" date 2/10/95

Page 1 of 2

## This page intentionally blank

and The RETURN AND THE ADDRESS OF A DRESS OF A DR

المحمد المحم المحمد المحمد

eteristi geno on too et interio en trenio en 15 et je onges sito gant too on 1,2000 on 1000 en en en eteristica e too oesteristica et too et ettica entrica entrica en consistente on too consistente on too et entri ettico ettico entrico ettico ettico entrico ettico ettico ettico ettico ettico ettico ettico ettico ettico ettic

### and the second second second states are set

(1) A set of the se



EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Inventory
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of Containment
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	CB6-P (2 points)
EAL:	·
Containment pressure > 47 psig and rising	

The specific pressure is based on the containment design pressure.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The specified Containment pressure (**47 psig**) is the Containment design pressure. Proper actuation and operation of the Containment heat removal system when required should avoid Containment pressures in excess of this threshold. The threshold is therefore indicative of a loss of both RCS and Fuel Clad barriers in that it should not be exceeded without severe core degradation (metal-water reaction) or failure to trip in combination with RCS breach. This condition would be expected to require the declaration of a General Emergency.

Containment Pressure is used as a Containment Barrier threshold in addition to the CFST thresholds to address events in which the CFSTs may not yet be in use.

### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Potential Loss 2.A
- 1(2)-EOP-CFST-1 Critical Safety Function Status Trees F.05 Containment Environment Status Tree
- 3. Salem EOP Setpoint Basis Document Vendor Doc. #320832

:

## This page intentionally blank

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CB6-P

Indications of > 4% H <sub>2</sub> inside Containment	
EAL:	
EAL# & Point Value:	CB7-P (2 points)
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of Containment
Subcategory:	Inventory
EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation

Existence of an explosive mixture means a hydrogen and oxygen concentration of at least the lower deflagration limit curve exists. The indications of potential loss under this EAL correspond to some of those leading to the RED path in Containment barrier Potential Loss CB1-P.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

When hydrogen concentration in the Containment atmosphere exceeds 4%, the possibility of an explosive mixture exists. Elevated hydrogen concentrations are likely to be present in the Containment only as a result of an inadequate core cooling, substantial metal-water reaction and a breach of the RCS barrier.

A 4% mixture of  $H_2$  with normal Containment atmosphere represents the deflagration lower limit. Any subsequent ignition and burn of this level mixture releases a substantial amount of energy that must be absorbed by the Containment structure, which is already under stress due to the Loss of the RCS Barrier.

Elevated Containment atmosphere hydrogen concentration is alarmed at  $\ge 2\%$  by overhead annunciator C-23, CNTMT H<sub>2</sub> LVL HI.

### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Potential Loss 2.B
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-FRCC-1 Response to Inadequate Core Cooling Basis Document (pg 16)
- 3. Salem EOP Setpoint Basis Document Vendor Doc. #320832
- 4. S1(S2).OP-AR.ZZ-0003(Q) OHA C-23, CNTMT  $H_2$  LVL HI

A set of the set o

. .

### an an an Arthread an Arthread Arthread



•

EAL# & Point Value:	CB8-P (2 points)
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of Containment
Subcategory:	Inventory
EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation

EAL:

Containment pressure > 15 psig

<u>AND</u>

**EITHER** of the following:

- NO Containment Spray Train in service AND
  - < 5 CFCUs running in low speed
- One Containment Spray Train in service <u>AND</u>
  - < 3 CFCUs running in low speed

### Basis:

This threshold represents a Potential Loss of Containment in that the Containment heat removal/depressurization system is either lost or performing in a degraded manner, as indicated by Containment pressure greater than the setpoint at which the equipment was supposed to have actuated.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

A Containment pressure rise above **15 psig** (the Containment Spray initiation setpoint) indicates a major release of energy to the Containment. No Containment Spray with fewer than five Containment Fan Coil Units (CFCUs) running in low speed or only one train of Containment Spray in service with fewer than 3 CFCUs running in low speed indicates a condition in which systems designed for Containment heat removal and depressurization do not have the capacity to maintain Containment pressure below the structural design limit.

The Containment Fan Cooling System is designed to circulate and cool the Containment atmosphere in the event of a LOCA and thereby ensures that Containment pressure will not

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)



ί.

exceed its design value. Five fan-cooler units are capable of transferring heat from the Containment atmosphere at the post-accident design conditions. The UFSAR accident analyses determined a minimum of three fan-cooler units with at least one Containment Spray train is needed to maintain Containment integrity. Either of two Containment Spray trains containing a pump, associated valving and spray headers are independently capable of delivering 2,600 gpm.

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

1.15

. ..

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Potential Loss 2.C
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection
- 3. SGS Technical Specifications 3.6.2.1 Spray Additive System
- 4. SGS Technical Specifications 3.6.2.3 Containment Cooling System
- 5. UFSAR 6.2.2.1 Containment Spray System
- 6. UFSAR 6.2.2.2 Containment Fan Cooling System

2 .

. ..... . .

1.-

ман, 1997. 1997.

. 2....

jan andra andra

na 1997 - Salar Angeland, salar salar 1997 - Salar Sa



EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Judgment
Initiating Condition:	Potential Loss of Containment
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	CB9-P (2 points)
EAL:	

<u>ANY</u> condition in the opinion of the Emergency Coordinator that indicates potential loss of the Containment barrier

### Basis:

This threshold addresses any other factors that are to be used by the Emergency Coordinator in determining whether the Containment barrier is potentially lost. In addition, the inability to monitor the barrier should also be incorporated in this threshold as a factor in Emergency Coordinator judgment that the barrier may be considered potentially lost.

The Containment barrier should not be declared potentially lost based on exceeding Technical Specification action statement criteria, unless there is an event in progress requiring mitigation by the Containment barrier. When no event is in progress (Loss or Potential Loss of either Fuel Clad and/or RCS) the Containment barrier status is addressed by Technical Specifications.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The Emergency Coordinator judgment threshold addresses any other factors relevant to determining if the Containment barrier is potentially lost. Such a determination should include IMMINENT barrier degradation, barrier monitoring capability and dominant accident sequences.

- <u>Barrier degradation</u> exists if the degradation will likely occur within two hours based on a projection of current safety system performance.
- <u>Barrier monitoring</u> capability is decreased if there is a loss or lack of reliable indicators. This assessment should include instrumentation operability concerns, readings from portable instrumentation and consideration of offsite monitoring results.
- <u>Dominant accident sequences</u> lead to degradation of all fission product barriers and likely entry to the EOPs. The Emergency Coordinator should be mindful of the Loss of

(x, y)

AC power (Station Blackout) and ATWT EALs to assure timely emergency classification declarations.

### Definitions:

**IMMINENT**: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

. · ·

## EAL Bases Reference(s):

1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Potential Loss 8.A

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CB9-P





EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Inventory
Initiating Condition:	Loss of Containment
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	CB1-L (3 points)
EAL:	

A Containment pressure rise followed by a rapid unexplained drop in Containment pressure

### Basis:

Rapid unexplained loss of pressure (i.e., not attributable to containment spray or condensation effects) following an initial pressure increase from a primary or secondary high energy line break indicates a Loss of Containment integrity.

This indicator relies on operator recognition of an unexpected response for the condition and therefore does not have a specific value associated with it. The unexpected response is important because it is the indicator for a Containment bypass condition.

### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The term "Unexplained" signifies the pressure drop is not a result of operator actions taken to reduce Containment pressure. The term "rapid" indicates the Containment breach is relatively large.

For cases in which secondary coolant provides the source of energy that raised Containment pressure, a faulted Steam Generator is possible. This event would require actions in 1(2)-EOP-LOSC-1 to isolate the Main Steam lines, maintain intact Steam Generators for an RCS Heat Sink, minimize Containment pressure, and minimize RCS cooldown.

Rev. 0 (draft E) FAL # CB1-L
# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Barrier Loss 2.A
- 2. UFSAR Table 15.4-22 LOCA Containment Response Results (Loss of Offsite Power Assumed)
- 3. UFSAR Figures 15.4-43a, b and c Containment Pressure (varying initial conditions)
- 4. UFSAR Figure 15.4-44 Containment Pressure
- 5. 1(2)-EOP-LOSC-1 Loss of Secondary Coolant

 $(\cdot, \cdot)$ 

nd an Waxees (Company) and an an Araban (Company) and an an Araban (Company) and an Araban (Company) and an Ara Araban (Company) and an Araban (Company) and an Araban (Company) and an Araban (Company) and an Araban (Company Araban (Company) and an Araban (Company) and an Araban (Company) and an Araban (Company) and an Araban (Company

<sup>الم</sup>لك بالاستان المحافظ المراجع المحافظ المراجع المراجع المحافظ بالمحافظ بالمحافظ بالمحافظ المحافظ المحافظ المح 10 14 - 2010 - 2010 - 2010 - 2010 - 2010 - 2010 - 2010 - 2010 - 2010 - 2010 - 2010 - 2010 - 2010 - 2010 - 2010 2010 - 2010 - 2010 - 2010 - 2010 - 2010 - 2010 - 2010 - 2010 - 2010 - 2010 - 2010 - 2010 - 2010 - 2010 - 2010 -

and the second second second second

a da anti-arresta en la comparación de la c



EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Inventory
Initiating Condition:	Loss of Containment
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	CB2-L (3 points)
EAL:	

Containment pressure or sump level response NOT consistent with LOCA conditions

## Basis:

Containment pressure and sump levels should increase as a result of mass and energy release into Containment from a LOCA. Thus, sump level or pressure not increasing indicates Containment bypass and a Loss of Containment integrity.

This indicator relies on operator recognition of an unexpected response for the condition and therefore does not have a specific value associated with it. The unexpected response is important because it is the indicator for a Containment bypass condition.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

A LOCA is expected to result in a significant Containment pressure rise. This leak rate should result in the accumulation of RCS inventory in the Containment sump as the level rises. A lack of expected Containment sump level response or Containment pressure not rising indicates that the Containment barrier has been bypassed.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Barrier Loss 2.B
- 2. UFSAR Table 15.4-22 LOCA Containment Response Results (Loss of Offsite Power Assumed)
- 3. UFSAR Figures 15.4-43a, b and c Containment Pressure (varying initial conditions)
- 4. UFSAR Figure 15.4-44 Containment Pressure

This page intentionally blank

A set of the set of

The states of the end of the second second second states of the second se Second secon second se



EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation	
Subcategory:	Inventory	
Initiating Condition:	Loss of Containment	
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown	
EAL# & Point Value:	CB3-L (3 points)	
EAL:		
RUPTURED SG that is also FAULTED outside of Containment		

# Basis:

The Loss threshold recognizes that SG tube leakage can represent a bypass of the Containment barrier as well as a Loss of the RCS barrier.

This Loss threshold and Containment Loss CB4-L could be considered redundant. The inclusion of a threshold that uses terms that are commonly used in Emergency Operating Procedures like "**RUPTURED** and **FAULTED**" adds to the ease of the classification process and has been included based on this human factor concern.

For SG tube ruptures which may involve multiple steam generators or **UNISOLABLE** secondary line breaks, this threshold would exist in conjunction with RCS barrier thresholds and would result in a Site Area Emergency. Escalation to General Emergency would be based on "Potential Loss" of the Fuel Clad Barrier.

This threshold addresses the condition in which a **RUPTURED** steam generator is also **FAULTED**. This condition represents a bypass of the RCS and containment barriers and is a subset of the threshold CB4-L. In conjunction with RCS leak rate barrier loss threshold, this would always result in the declaration of a Site Area Emergency.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This threshold is intended to include all flow paths of Contaminated secondary coolant to the environment directly or through systems which exhaust to the Plant Vent (e.g.; leakage to the Auxiliary Building ventilation system). An exception would be if the EOPs require steaming the ruptured Steam Generator to the main condenser. The main condenser off-gas (R15) pathway is excluded from this EAL provided the release is both controlled and monitored.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CB3-L

#### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

Definitions:

**RUPTURED**: (PWRs) In a steam generator, existence of primary-to-secondary leakage of a magnitude sufficient to require or cause a reactor trip and safety injection.

**FAULTED**: (PWRs) In a steam generator, the existence of secondary side leakage that results in an uncontrolled drop in steam generator pressure or the steam generator being completely depressurized.

**UNISOLABLE**: A breach or leak that cannot be promptly isolated from the Control Room.

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Barrier Loss 4.A
- 2. 1(2)-EOP-SGTR-1 Steam Generator Tube Rupture
- 3. 1(2)-EOP-SGTR-3 SGTR with LOCA Subcooled Recovery

andar and a start and a st A start and a start and a start and a start a start and a start

(1) A set of a set

and the second second



EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Inventory
Initiating Condition:	Loss of Containment
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	CB4-L (3 points)
EAL:	

Primary-to-secondary leakrate > 25 gpm

<u>AND</u>

UNISOLABLE steam release from affected SG to the environment

# Basis:

The Loss threshold recognizes that SG tube leakage can represent a bypass of the Containment barrier as well as a Loss of the RCS barrier.

This Loss threshold and Containment Loss CB3-L could be considered redundant.

This threshold results in a UE for smaller breaks that; (1) do not exceed the normal charging capacity threshold in RCS leak rate barrier Potential Loss RB3-P, or (2) do not result in ECCS (SI) actuation in RCS SG tube rupture barrier Loss RB3-L. For larger breaks, RCS barrier threshold criteria would result in an Alert. For SG tube ruptures which may involve multiple steam generators or **UNISOLABLE** secondary line breaks, this threshold would exist in conjunction with RCS barrier thresholds and would result in a Site Area Emergency. Escalation to General Emergency would be based on "Potential Loss" of the Fuel Clad Barrier.

This threshold addresses SG tube leaks that exceed **25 gpm** in conjunction with an **UNISOLABLE** release path to the environment from the affected steam generator. The threshold for establishing the **UNISOLABLE** secondary side release is intended to be a prolonged release of radioactivity from the **RUPTURED** steam generator directly to the environment. This could be expected to occur when the main condenser is unavailable to accept the contaminated steam (i.e., SG tube rupture with concurrent loss of off-site power and the **RUPTURED** steam generator is required for plant cooldown or a stuck open relief valve). If the main condenser is available, there may be releases via air ejectors, gland seal exhausters, and other similar controlled, and often monitored, pathways. These pathways do not meet the intent of an **UNISOLABLE** release path to the environment. These minor

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)



÷.,

releases are assessed using EALs in Category R, Abnormal Rad Levels / Radiological Effluent.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Definitions:

**RUPTURED**: (PWRs) In a steam generator, existence of primary-to-secondary leakage of a magnitude sufficient to require or cause a reactor trip and safety injection.

**UNISOLABLE**: A breach or leak that cannot be promptly isolated from the Control Room.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

. ..

1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Barrier Loss 4.B

and the second secon Second second

and a start of the second of the start of the second of

e je se e

and the second secon

> Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CB4-L

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Other
Initiating Condition:	Loss of Containment
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	CB5-L (3 points)

## EAL:

Failure of ALL valves in ANY one line to close

# <u>AND</u>

Direct downstream pathway to the environment exists after Containment isolation signal (Note 8)

Note 8: A direct downstream release is a pathway from the Containment to any environment outside the Containment when Containment or system isolation is required due to: a safety injection signal, Containment pressure greater than 4 psig, or a VALID containment ventilation isolation signal and the pathway cannot be isolated from the Control Room.

# Basis:

This threshold addresses incomplete containment isolation that allows direct release to the environment.

The use of the modifier "direct" in defining the release path discriminates against release paths through interfacing liquid systems. The existence of an in-line charcoal filter does not make a release path indirect since the filter is not effective at removing fission product noble gases. Typical filters have an efficiency of 95-99% removal of iodine. Given the magnitude of the core inventory of iodine, significant releases could still occur. In addition, since the fission product release would be driven by boiling in the reactor vessel, the high humidity in the release stream can be expected to render the filters ineffective in a short period.

There is no Potential Loss threshold associated with this item.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Indications of Containment failure may be evident without the exact pathway being understood at the time of the failure. If the Containment or part of the RCS is required to be isolated and

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)



## SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

- -

there are VALID indications that the Containment is not isolated, the Containment barrier should be considered lost.

Area Radiation monitor alarms that exceed normal monitor indications without a reason to expect another source such as a gas decay tank, spill, piping shine or fuel handling problem, indicate a loss of the Containment. Area temperature alarms, rising sump level indications or unexpected system flow indications outside Containment may also indicate a loss of the Containment. If the Containment Barrier is lost without a loss of the Fuel Clad Barrier, effluent radiation readings may not increase significantly. Unexpected area temperature alarms, unexpected flow rates or sump level increases outside of Containment, however, may provide the indications that the Containment atmosphere is no longer isolated. In addition, the term "to the environment" is intended to include any leakage that cannot be isolated either directly or through systems that exhaust to the Plant Vent (e.g., leakage to the Auxiliary Building Ventilation System) or directly to any other area outside the Containment.

A safety injection, high Containment pressure or a Containment vent isolation signal represents a situation that requires the Containment to be isolated from the outside environment.

As indicated in Note 8, this EAL allows for valve closure from the Control Room, prior to event classification, to isolate any system not completely isolated. Leakage cannot be isolated from the Control Room refers to valve(s) that did not completely close when demanded (either automatically or manually). This includes Motor Operated Valves not controlled by isolation logic but are manually controlled from the Control Room. For example, if the isolation logic fails to cause valve closure but operator actions implemented in the Control Room successfully isolates the Containment breach path, classification under this EAL is NOT WARRANTED.

Although this EAL ALLOWS for valve closure from the Control Room, the time to attempt closure and make a decision if containment leak isolation was successful RUNS CONCURRENTLY with the EAL 15-minute assessment clock.

- If, during the EAL 15-minute assessment period attempts from the Control Room to isolate the containment ARE SUCCESSFUL then, this EAL is NOT exceeded and classification per this EAL should NOT be made.
- If, during the EAL 15-minute assessment period attempts from the Control Room to isolate the containment ARE NOT SUCCESSFUL then, this EAL is exceeded and classification should be made at that time. There is no need to wait the full 15 minutes.
- If near the end of the 15 minute assessment period and the control room staff has not been able to attempt containment isolation or the EC is not convinced that an isolation attempt has been successful, then this EAL is exceeded and classification should be made at or before the 15-minute assessment time expires.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

.

# Definitions:

**VALID**: An indication, report, or condition, is considered to be **VALID** when it is verified by (1) an instrument channel check, (2) indications on related or redundant indicators, or (3) by direct observation by plant personnel, such that doubt related to the indicator's operability, the condition's existence, or the report's accuracy is removed. Implicit in this definition is the need for timely assessment.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Barrier Loss 5.A
- 2. SGS Technical Specifications 3.6.3 Containment Isolation Valves
- 3. 1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection
- 4. 1(2)-EOP-LOCA-6 LOCA Outside Containment

This page intentionally blank



 $^{1.0}$  (e.g.

EAL Category:	F – Fission Product Barrier Degradation
Subcategory:	Judgment
Initiating Condition:	Loss of Containment
Mode Applicability:	1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown
EAL# & Point Value:	CB6-L (3 points)
EAL:	

<u>ANY</u> condition in the opinion of the Emergency Coordinator that indicates loss of the Containment barrier

## Basis:

This threshold addresses any other factors that are to be used by the Emergency Coordinator in determining whether the Containment barrier is lost. In addition, the inability to monitor the barrier should also be incorporated in this threshold as a factor in Emergency Coordinator judgment that the barrier may be considered lost.

The Containment barrier should not be declared lost based on exceeding Technical Specification action statement criteria, unless there is an event in progress requiring mitigation by the Containment barrier. When no event is in progress (Loss or Potential Loss of either Fuel Clad and/or RCS) the Containment barrier status is addressed by Technical Specifications.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The Emergency Coordinator judgment threshold addresses any other factors relevant to determining if the Containment barrier is lost. Such a determination should include IMMINENT barrier degradation, barrier monitoring capability and dominant accident sequences.

- <u>Barrier degradation</u> exists if the degradation will likely occur within two hours based on a projection of current safety system performance.
- <u>Barrier monitoring</u> capability is decreased if there is a loss or lack of reliable indicators. This assessment should include instrumentation operability concerns, readings from portable instrumentation and consideration of offsite monitoring results.
- <u>Dominant accident sequences</u> lead to degradation of all fission product barriers and likely entry to the EOPs. The Emergency Coordinator should be mindful of the Loss of

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

EAL#: CB6-L

AC power (Station Blackout) and ATWT EALs to assure timely emergency classification declarations.

Definitions:

**IMMINENT**: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

. . . .

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

.

1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, Table 5-F-3 Containment Loss 8.A

All States and All Stat All States and All State



Salem

# EALs for:

# Cold Shutdown Conditions

EAL# & Classification Level:	CU1.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT
Mode Applicability:	5 - Cold Shutdown, 6 - Refueling
Initiating Condition:	AC power capability to vital buses reduced to a single power source for 15 minutes or longer such that any additional single failure would result in complete loss of AC power to vital buses
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Loss of AC Power
EAL Category:	C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction

#### EAL:

Loss of 4.16 KV Vital Bus Power Sources (Offsite and Onsite) which results in the availability of only **one** 4.16 KV Vital Bus Power Source (Offsite or Onsite)

<u>AND</u>

≥ 15 minutes have elapsed (Note 3)

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

## Basis:

The condition indicated by this EAL is the degradation of the offsite and onsite AC power systems such that any additional single failure would result in a station blackout. This condition could occur due to a loss of off-site power with a concurrent failure of all but one emergency diesel generator to supply power to its vital bus. The subsequent loss of this single power source would escalate the event to an ALERT in accordance with EAL CA1.1.

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary losses of power.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

"Availability" means the power source can be aligned to provide power to a vital bus within 15 minutes or is currently supplying power to at least one vital bus.

The availability of EDGs that have not been challenged to start during degradation of AC power sources to the 4KV vital buses should be based on meeting Technical Specification action requirements for loss of offsite AC power sources.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CU1.1 The AC power distribution is summarized in Attachment 2, page 2.

Emergency Classification escalates to an ALERT under EAL CA1.1 based on a loss of all offsite and all onsite AC power to all 4KV vital buses.

This cold condition UNUSUAL EVENT EAL is equivalent to the hot condition ALERT EAL SA1.1.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05 CU3 Example EAL #1
- 2. UFSAR Figure 8.2-2 500 kV Switchyard Diagram
- 3. UFSAR Figure 8.3-1 Auxiliary Power System Diagram
- 4. UFSAR 8.1.1 Utility Grid System and Interconnections
- 5. UFSAR 8.3.1 Power
- 6. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.1.2 Electrical Power Systems Shutdown
- 7. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.2.2 AC Distribution Shutdown
- 8. 1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection
- 9. 1(2)-EOP-LOPA-1 Loss of All AC Power
- 10.S1(S2).OP-AB.LOOP-0001(Q) Loss of Off-Site Power
- 11.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0001(Q) Loss of 1A 4KV Vital Bus
- 12.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0002(Q) Loss of 1B 4KV Vital Bus
- 13.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0003(Q) Loss of 1C 4KV Vital Bus

...

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CU1.1

Salem

EAL# & Classification Level:	CA1.1 – ALERT
Mode Applicability:	5 - Cold Shutdown, 6 - Refueling, D - Defueled
Initiating Condition:	Loss of all offsite and all onsite AC power to vital buses for 15 minutes or longer
EAL Subcategory:	1 – Loss of AC Power
EAL Category:	C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction

## EAL:

Loss of **all** Power (Onsite and Offsite) to **all** 4KV Vital Buses

<u>AND</u>

≥ 15 minutes have elapsed (Note 3)

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

## Basis:

Loss of all AC power compromises all plant safety systems requiring electric power including RHR, ECCS, Containment Heat Removal, Spent Fuel Heat Removal and the Ultimate Heat Sink (Service Water).

The event can be classified as an ALERT when in cold shutdown, refueling, or defueled mode because of the significantly reduced decay heat and lower temperature and pressure, increasing the time to restore one of the vital busses, relative to that specified for the SITE AREA EMERGENCY EAL.

Escalating to SITE AREA EMERGENCY, if appropriate, is by EALs in Category R, Abnormal Rad Levels / RadEffluent.

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary power losses.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The intent of this EAL is to classify degraded AC power events that result in a loss of all offsite power sources (13.8 KV) to the 4KV vital buses along with a loss of all onsite power sources (EDGs).

Salem

The AC power distribution is summarized in Attachment 2, page 2.

This cold condition ALERT EAL is equivalent to the hot condition SITE AREA EMERGENCY EAL SS1.1.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05 CA3 Example EAL #1
- 2. UFSAR Figure 8.2-2 500 kV Switchyard Diagram
- 3. UFSAR Figure 8.3-1 Auxiliary Power System Diagram
- 4. UFSAR 8.1.1 Utility Grid System and Interconnections
- 5. UFSAR 8.3.1 Power
- 6. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.1.2 Electrical Power Systems Shutdown
- 7. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.2.2 AC Distribution Shutdown
- 8. 1(2)-EOP-TRIP-1 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection
- 9. 1(2)-EOP-LOPA-1 Loss of All AC Power

- 10.S1(S2).OP-AB.LOOP-0001(Q) Loss of Off-Site Power
- 11.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0001(Q) Loss of 1A 4KV Vital Bus
- 12.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0002(Q) Loss of 1B 4KV Vital Bus
- 13.S1(S2).OP-AB.4KV-0003(Q) Loss of 1C 4KV Vital Bus

. . . . . . .

· · · ·

a da servicio de la construcción de A servicio de la construcción de la

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CA1.1

EAL Category:	C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction

EAL Subcategory: 2 – Loss of DC Power

Initiating Condition: Loss of required DC power for 15 minutes or longer

Mode Applicability: 5 - Cold Shutdown, 6 - Refueling

EAL# & Classification Level: CU2.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT

# EAL:

< 114 VDC bus voltage indications on All 125 VDC vital buses for ≥ 15 minutes (Note 3)

< 25 VDC bus voltage indications on **both** 28 VDC vital buses for ≥ 15 minutes (Note 3) <u>AND</u> loss of control of Safety Related Equipment from the Control Room has been confirmed

# Basis:

The purpose of this EAL and its associated EALs is to recognize a loss of DC power compromising the ability to monitor and control the removal of decay heat during Cold Shutdown or Refueling operations.

It is intended that the loss of the operating (operable) train is to be considered. If this loss results in the inability to maintain cold shutdown, the escalation to an ALERT will be per EAL CA4.1.

Fifteen minutes was selected as a threshold to exclude transient or momentary power losses.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The specified bus voltage indications (rounded for readability on Control Room instrumentation) are the minimum voltage requirements for operability of the 125 VDC buses and 28 VDC buses following battery discharge tests. Although continued operation may occur with degraded voltage, these values signify the minimum operable voltages allowed.

This UNUSUAL EVENT EAL is the cold condition equivalent of the hot condition loss of DC power SITE AREA EMERGENCY EAL SS2.1.

Salem

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, SS3 Example EAL #1
- 2. SC.MD-ST.125-0004 (Q) 125 Volt Station Batteries 18 Month Service Test and Associated Surveillance Testing Using BCT-2000
- 3. SC.MD-ST.28D-0004 (Q) 28 Volt Station Batteries 18 Month Service Test and Associated Surveillance Using BCT-2000
- 4. UFSAR 8.3.2 DC Power
- 5. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.2.3 125 Volt DC Distribution Shutdown
- 6. SGS Technical Specifications 3.8.2.5 28 Volt DC Distribution Shutdown
- 7. S1(S2).OP-SO.125-0005 1(2)A 125VDC Bus Operation
- 8. S1(S2).OP-SO.125-0006 1(2)B 125VDC Bus Operation
- 9. S1(S2).OP-SO.125-0007 1(2)C 125VDC Bus Operation



EAL# & Classification Level:	CU3.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT
Mode Applicability:	5 - Cold Shutdown
Initiating Condition:	UNPLANNED loss of RCS inventory
EAL Subcategory:	3 – RCS Level
EAL Category:	C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction

EAL:

RCS leakage results in the inability to maintain or restore RCS level to **EITHER**:

- Pressurizer Level > 17% (cold calibration value)
- Within the target band established by procedure (when the level band is established below the pressurizer)

# <u>AND</u>

≥ 15 minutes have elapsed (Note 3)

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

# Basis:

This EAL is considered to be a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant. The inability to maintain or restore level is indicative of loss of RCS inventory.

Relief valve normal operation should be excluded from this EAL. However, a relief valve that operates and fails to close per design should be considered applicable to this EAL if the relief valve cannot be isolated.

Prolonged loss of RCS Inventory may result in escalation to the ALERT emergency classification level via either EAL CA3.1 or EAL CA4.1.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

When Pressurizer (PZR) level drops to 17%, letdown isolates and pressurizer heaters are deenergized. The Pressurizer cold calibration level is monitored on LI-462. This condition is signaled by overhead annunciator E-36, PZR HTR OFF LVL LO Cold calibrated Pressurizer level is indicated on computer points and SPDS.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

eal#: CU3.1

In Cold Shutdown mode, PZR level may be intentionally lowered below the letdown isolation setpoint (e.g., in preparation to detension the reactor vessel head, etc.). For such evolutions, this EAL is applicable if RCS level cannot be restored and maintained within the prescribed target band specified in operating procedures.

Definitions:

**UNPLANNED**: A parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions.

## EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, CU1 Example EAL #1
- 2. S1(S2).OP-AR.ZZ-0005(Q) OHA- E-36, PZR HTR OFF LVL LO
- 3. S1(S2).OP-IO.ZZ-0006(Q) Hot Standby to Cold Shutdown
- 4. S1(S2).OP-IO.ZZ-0007(Q) Cold Shutdown to Refueling
- 5. S1(S2).OP-SO.RC-0005 (Q) Draining the Reactor Coolant System To ≥101 Foot Elevation
- S1(S2).OP-SO.RC-0006 (Q) Draining the Reactor Coolant System < 101ft Elevation with Fuel in the Vessel

, \* . . . . . .

 $(11) \quad (11) \quad$ 

n ta se a construction de la con

Salem

Page 2 of 2

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CU3.1

EAL Category:	C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction

EAL Subcategory: 3 – RCS Level

Initiating Condition: UNPLANNED loss of RCS inventory

Mode Applicability: 6 - Refueling

EAL# & Classification Level: CU3.2 – UNUSUAL EVENT

# EAL:

RCS level CANNOT be monitored with a loss of RCS inventory as indicated by <u>ANY</u> unexplained RCS leakage indication, **Table C-1** 

# Table C-1 RCS Leakage Indications

- Rise in Containment sump pump run frequency
- Aux Building sump level rise
- PRT level rise
- RWST level rise
- RCDT level rise
- Rise in RCS make-up rate
- Observation of RCS leakage that is UNISOLABLE

# Basis:

This EAL is a precursor of more serious conditions and considered to be a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant. This EAL addresses conditions in the Refueling mode when normal means of core temperature indication and RCS level indication may not be available. Redundant means of RCS level indication will normally be installed (including the ability to monitor level visually) to assure that the ability to monitor level will not be interrupted. However, if all level indication were to be lost during a loss of RCS inventory event, the operators would need to determine that RCS inventory loss was occurring by observing Table C-1 indications. Table C-1 RCS leakage indications must be evaluated against other potential sources of leakage such as cooling water sources inside the containment to ensure they are indicative of RCS leakage.

Escalation to the ALERT emergency classification level would be via EAL CA3.2 or EAL CA4.1.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

During refueling, the reactor head and associated RVLIS piping are removed. Visual observation by personnel on the refuel floor in communication with the Control Room may also provide indication of refueling cavity water level and RCS water level.

In this EAL, all level indication is unavailable and the RCS inventory loss must be detected by the leakage indications listed in Table C-1. Level increases must be evaluated against other potential sources of leakage such as cooling water sources inside the Containment to ensure they are indicative of RCS leakage. Visual observation of leakage from systems connected to the RCS in areas inside or outside the Containment that cannot be isolated could be indicative of a loss of RCS inventory.

Definitions:

 The second se Second sec

**UNPLANNED**: A parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions.

**UNISOLABLE**: A breach or leak that cannot be promptly isolated from the Control Room.

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CU3.2

Salem

# EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, CU2 Example EAL #2
- 2. S1(S2).OP-SO.RVL-0001 Reactor Vessel Level Instrumentation System
- 3. UFSAR 5.6.5 Reactor Vessel Water Level
- 4. UFSAR 7.3.1.1.9 Instrumentation Used During a Loss of Coolant Accident
- 5. S1(S2).OP-AB.RHR-0002(Q) Loss of RHR at Reduced Inventory
- 6. S1(S2).OP-SO.RC-0005(Q) Draining the Reactor Coolant System To ≥101 Foot Elevation
- 7. UFSAR Figure 6.3-3 Containment Sump Pit
- 8. UFSAR 6.3.2.2 Equipment and Component Description (ECCS)
- 9. UFSAR Figure 6.2-17 Containment Isolation Pressurizer Relief Tank Connections
- 10.S1(S2).OP-AB.RC-0001(Q) Reactor Coolant System Leak

# This page intentionally blank

EAL Category:	C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction
---------------	---

EAL Subcategory: 3 – RCS Level

Initiating Condition: UNPLANNED loss of RCS inventory

Mode Applicability: 6 - Refueling

EAL# & Classification Level: CU3.3 – UNUSUAL EVENT

# EAL:

**UNPLANNED** RCS level drop below <u>EITHER</u> of the following:

- **104 ft** (Reactor Vessel flange)
- RCS level band (when the RCS level band is established below the Reactor Vessel flange)

# <u>AND</u>

≥ 15 minutes have elapsed (Note 3)

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

# Basis:

This EAL is a precursor of more serious conditions and considered to be a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant.

Refueling evolutions that decrease RCS water level below the Reactor Vessel flange are carefully planned and procedurally controlled. An **UNPLANNED** event that results in water level decreasing below the Reactor Vessel flange, or below the planned RCS water level for the given evolution (if the planned RCS water level is already below the Reactor Vessel flange), warrants declaration of a UE due to the reduced RCS inventory that is available to keep the core covered.

The allowance of **15 minutes** was chosen because it is reasonable to assume that level can be restored within this time frame using one or more of the redundant means of refill that should be available. If level cannot be restored in this time frame then it may indicate a more serious condition exists.

Continued loss of RCS Inventory will result in escalation to the ALERT emergency classification level via either EAL CA3.1 or EAL CA4.1.

This EAL involves a decrease in RCS level below the top of the Reactor Vessel flange that continues for 15 minutes due to an UNPLANNED event. This EAL is not applicable to decreases in flooded refueling cavity level, which is addressed by EAL RU2.1, until such time as the level decreases to the level of the vessel flange.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

104 ft is the Reactor Vessel flange elevation.

RCS water level is normally monitored using the instrument ranges illustrated in Attachment 2, page 10.

During refueling, the reactor head and associated RVLIS piping are removed. Visual observation by personnel on the refuel floor in communication with the Control Room may also provide indication of refueling cavity water level and RCS water level. 81. L

In cold conditions, RCS level may be intentionally lowered below the Reactor Vessel flange (e.g., detensioning the Reactor Vessel head, reduced inventory conditions, etc.). For such evolutions, this EAL is applicable if RCS level cannot be restored and maintained within the prescribed target band.

ega Newska a devalency, w 1900 rukola je se sa neprile s nek su cento s ja da verselja til 1700. This Cold Shutdown EAL represents the hot condition EAL SU7.1, in which RCS leakage is associated with Technical Specification limits. In cold conditions, these limits are not applicable; hence, the use of RCS level as the parameter of concern in this EAL.

Definitions:

UNPLANNED: A parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions.

# EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, CU2 Example EAL #1
- 2. S1(S2).OP-SO.RC-0005(Q) Draining the Reactor Coolant System to ≥101 Foot Elevation
- 3. S1(S2).OP-SO.RVL-0001 Reactor Vessel Level Instrumentation System
- 4. UFSAR 5.6.5 Reactor Vessel Water Level
- 5. UFSAR 7.3.1.1.9 Instrumentation Used During a Loss of Coolant Accident Men Love R Berthler Charles Levies

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E3) FAI #: CU3.3

EAL Category:	C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction	
---------------	---	--

Initiating Condition: Loss of RCS inventory

Mode Applicability: 5 - Cold Shutdown, 6 - Refueling

EAL# & Classification Level: CA3.1 – ALERT

# EAL: RCS level < 97.5 ft

# Basis:

This EAL serves as a precursor to a loss of ability to adequately cool the fuel. The magnitude of this loss of water indicates that makeup systems have not been effective and may not be capable of preventing further RCS level decrease and potential core uncovery. This condition will result in a minimum emergency classification level of an ALERT.

The RCS Level setpoint was chosen because at this level remote RCS level indication may be lost and loss of suction to decay heat removal systems may occur.

The inability to restore and maintain level after reaching this setpoint would be indicative of a failure of the RCS barrier.

If RCS level continues to lower then escalation to SITE AREA EMERGENCY will be via EAL CS3.2.

## Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

RCS water level is normally monitored using the instrument ranges illustrated in Attachment 2, page 10.

The centerline level of the RCS loop hot leg is at approximately 97 ft and the inside diameter of the hot leg penetration is 29 in. The bottom ID would be 97 ft – 29/2 in. or 95 ft 9.5 in. Although NEI desires a level for this threshold that is equal to the bottom of the RCS loop hot leg penetration, the RCS level indication capability does not support detection of a threshold level that low. Mid Loop Level indication is capable of monitoring level to 97.3 ft; so, a setpoint of **97.5 ft** has been selected. Local indication is also available to monitor this level. Continued inventory loss could result in a loss of suction to the RHR System. The inability to restore and maintain level after reaching loop centerline (approximately) would therefore be indicative of a failure of the RCS barrier.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) FAI # CA3.1

# EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, CA1 Example EAL #1
- 2. S1(S2).OP-SO.RC-0005(Q) Draining the Reactor Coolant System to ≥101 Foot Elevation
- 3. UFSAR Figure 5.1-1 Reactor Vessel Schematic
- 4. S1(S2).OP-AB.RHR-0002(Q) Loss of RHR at Reduced Inventory
- 5. UFSAR Figure 6.3-2 & 6.3-3 Containment Sump and Drain Trench & Containment Sump Pit
- 6. UFSAR 6.3.2.2 Equipment and Component Description (ECCS)

**x** . 1 .

ang the second

 Book (Comparison of the second se Second sec

· · · · · ·

- 7. UFSAR Figure 6.2-17 Containment Isolation Pressurizer Relief Tank Connections
- 8. S1(S2).OP-AB.RC-0001(Q) Reactor Coolant System Leak

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CA3.1

1812 813

Salem

. . EAL Category: C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction

EAL Subcategory: 3 – RCS Level

Initiating Condition: Loss of RCS inventory

Mode Applicability: 5 - Cold Shutdown, 6 - Refueling

EAL# & Classification Level: CA3.2 – ALERT

# EAL:

RCS level CANNOT be monitored for  $\geq 15$  minutes with a loss of RCS inventory as indicated by <u>ANY</u> unexplained RCS leakage indication, **Table C-1** (Note 3)

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

# Table C-1 RCS Leakage Indications

- Rise in Containment sump pump run frequency
- Aux Building sump level rise
- PRT level rise
- RWST level rise
- RCDT level rise
- Rise in RCS make-up rate
- Observation of RCS leakage that is UNISOLABLE

# Basis:

This EAL serves as a precursor to a loss of ability to adequately cool the fuel. The magnitude of this loss of water indicates that makeup systems have not been effective and may not be capable of preventing further RCS level decrease and potential core uncovery. This condition will result in a minimum emergency classification level of an ALERT.

In the Cold Shutdown mode, normal RCS level instrumentation systems will usually be available. In the Refueling mode, normal means of RCS level indication may not be available. Redundant means of RCS level indication will usually be installed (including the ability to monitor level visually) to assure that the ability to monitor level will not be interrupted. However, if all level indication were to be lost during a loss of RCS inventory event, the operators would need to determine that RCS inventory loss was occurring by observing Table C-1 indications. Table C-1 RCS leakage indications must be evaluated against other potential sources of leakage such as cooling water sources inside the Containment to ensure they are indicative of RCS leakage. Visual observation of leakage from systems connected to the RCS in areas inside or outside the Containment that cannot be isolated could be indicative of a loss of RCS inventory.

The **15-minute** duration for the loss of level indication was chosen because it is half of the EAL CS3.2 SITE AREA EMERGENCY duration. Significant fuel damage is not expected to occur until the core has been uncovered for greater than 1 hour per the analysis referenced in the EAL CG3.2 basis. Therefore this EAL meets the definition for an ALERT.

If RCS leakage indications continue and RCS level cannot be monitored for 30 minutes or more, EAL CS3.2 will require escalation to SITE AREA EMERGENCY.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

In this EAL, all RCS level indication is unavailable and the RCS inventory loss must be detected by the leakage indications listed in Table C-1. Level increases must be evaluated against other potential sources of leakage (SWS, Component Cooling Water, etc.) to ensure they are indicative of RCS leakage.

In cold shutdown, the decay heat available to raise RCS temperature during a loss of inventory or heat removal event may be significantly greater than in the Refueling Mode. Entry into cold shutdown conditions may be attained within hours of operating at power or hours after refueling is completed. Entry into the Refueling Mode procedurally may not occur for typically a few days or longer after the reactor has been shutdown. Thus the heatup threat and therefore the threat to damaging the fuel clad may be lower for events that occur in the Refueling Mode with irradiated fuel in the Reactor Vessel (note that the heatup threat could be lower for cold shutdown conditions if the entry into cold shutdown was following a refueling).

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CA3.2 Definitions:

**UNISOLABLE**: A breach or leak that cannot be promptly isolated from the Control Room.

# EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, CA1 Example EAL #2
- 2. S1(S2).OP-SO.RVL-0001 Reactor Vessel Level Instrumentation System
- 3. UFSAR 5.6.5 Reactor Vessel Water Level
- 4. UFSAR 7.3.1.1.9 Instrumentation Used During a Loss of Coolant Accident
- 5. S1(S2).OP-AB.RHR-0002(Q) Loss of RHR at Reduced Inventory
- 6. S1(S2).OP-SO.RC-0005(Q) Draining the Reactor Coolant System To ≥101 Foot Elevation
- 7. Reference drawing 208915-A-8823 Sh 1
- 8. UFSAR Figure 6.3-3 Containment Sump Pit
- 9. UFSAR 6.3.2.2 Equipment and Component Description (ECCS)
- 10. UFSAR Figure 6.2-17 Containment Isolation Pressurizer Relief Tank Connections
- 11.S1(S2).OP-AB.RC-0001(Q) Reactor Coolant System Leak

-·. ·

# This page intentionally blank

;

1. (L. )

· , . . . .

۰. ٠.

EAL Category:	C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	3 – RCS Level
Initiating Condition:	Loss of RCS inventory affecting core decay heat removal capability
Mode Applicability:	5 - Cold Shutdown, 6 - Refueling
EAL# & Classification Level:	CS3.2 – SITE AREA EMERGENCY

# EAL:

RCS level CANNOT be monitored for  $\geq$  30 minutes with a loss of RCS inventory as indicated by <u>ANY</u> of the following (Note 3):

- R44A > 5 R/hr
- R10B > 3500 mR/hr
- R2 > 104 mR/hr
- Erratic Source Range Monitor indication
- ANY unexplained RCS leakage indication, Table C-1

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

# Table C-1 RCS Leakage Indications

- Rise in Containment sump pump run frequency
- Aux Building sump level rise
- PRT level rise
- RWST level rise
- RCDT level rise
- Rise in RCS make-up rate
- Observation of RCS leakage that is UNISOLABLE

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CS3.2

# Basis:

Under the conditions specified by this EAL, continued decrease in RCS level is indicative of a loss of inventory control. Inventory loss may be due to an RCS breach, pressure boundary leakage, or continued boiling in the Reactor Vessel. Thus, declaration of a SITE AREA EMERGENCY is warranted.

Escalation to a GENERAL EMERGENCY is via EAL CG3.2 or EAL RG1.1.

In the cold shutdown mode, normal RCS level instrumentation systems will usually be available. In the refueling mode, normal means of RCS level indication may not be available. Redundant means of RCS level indication will usually be installed (including the ability to monitor level visually) to assure that the ability to monitor level will not be interrupted. However, if all level indication were to be lost during a loss of RCS inventory event, the operators would need to determine that RCS inventory loss was occurring by observing Table C-1 indications. Table C-1 RCS leakage indications must be evaluated against other potential sources of leakage such as cooling water sources inside the containment to ensure they are indicative of RCS leakage.

The **30-minute** duration allows sufficient time for actions to be performed to recover inventory control equipment.

Post-TMI studies indicated that the installed nuclear instrumentation will operate erratically when the core is uncovered and that this should be used as a tool for making such determinations.

# Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This EAL applies to conditions in which the loss of decay heat removal capability has caused a significant drop in RCS water level below the bottom of the RCS hot leg penetration and core uncovery may be challenged. RCS level indication capability does not support detection of RCS levels that low and, consequently, alternate means of assessing RCS inventory loss must be used:

 As water level in the Reactor Vessel lowers, the dose rate above the core will increase. The dose rate due to this core shine should result in up-scaled general area low range containment monitor (1/2R10B & 1/2R2) and high range containment monitor (1/2R44A) indication and possible alarm. A dose rate setpoint indicative of core uncovery (i.e., level at top of active fuel) is a means to detect the onset of IMMINENT core damage. S-C-ZZ-MDC-2280 documents the basis for the threshold values for the R2, R10B and R44A values that indicate RCS level at or approaching the top of active fuel. Data from both Unit 1 and Unit 2 was reviewed and values rounded off to provide conservative threshold values that cover both Salem units based on how many fuel assemblies are in the Reactor Vessel and includes an appropriate reduction for radioactive decay of short lived radionuclides. As Reactor Vessel level decreases life

Salem

· 1•

Rev. 0 (draft E)



. . . . . . . . .
threatening levels could exist directly above the core; with water level at top of active fuel dose rates 20 feet above the core will be in excess of 38,000 R/hr. Additionally, post-TMI studies indicated that the installed nuclear instrumentation will operate erratically when the core is uncovered and that this should be used as a tool for making such determinations. For EAL simplification, the thresholds are representative of a partially defueled core (80 fuel assemblies in the Reactor Vessel).

- Erratic source range monitor indication may be identified by:
  - Source range count rate indicators NI31B and NI32B
  - NIS Recorder NR45
  - Audio count rate
  - o SPDS
  - o Process Computer
- RCS inventory loss may be detected by the leakage indications listed in Table C-1. Level increases must be evaluated against other potential sources of leakage such as cooling water sources inside the Containment to ensure they are indicative of RCS leakage. Visual observation of leakage from systems connected to the RCS in areas inside or outside the Containment that cannot be isolated could be indicative of a loss of REACTOR VESSEL inventory.

Definitions:

**UNISOLABLE**: A breach or leak that cannot be promptly isolated from the Control Room.

**IMMINENT:** Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CS3₌2

.

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, CS1 Example EAL #3
- 2. S-C-ZZ-MDC-2280, EAL Dose Rates to Radiation Detectors Following Loss of RPV Level during Refueling Operation
- 3. Technical Specifications 3.3.1.1 Reactor Trip System Instrumentation
- 4. UFSAR Table 7.5-2 Main Control Room Indicators and/or Recorders Available to the Operator to Monitor Significant Plant Parameters During Normal Operations
- 5. SC.IC-CC.NIS-0011(Q) N31 Source Range
- 6. SC.IC-CC.NIS-0012(Q) N32 Source Range
- 7. S1(S2).OP-SO.RC-0005(Q) Draining the Reactor Coolant System to ≥101 Foot Elevation
- 8. UFSAR Figure 6.3-2 & 6.3-3 Containment Sump and Drain Trench & Containment Sump Pit
- 9. UFSAR 6.3.2.2 Equipment and Component Description (ECCS)
- 10. UFSAR Figure 6.2-17 Containment Isolation Pressurizer Relief Tank Connections
- 11.S1(S2).OP-AB.RC-0001(Q) Reactor Coolant System Leak

· · · · ·

EAL# & Classification Level:	CG3.2 – GENERAL EMERGENCY
Mode Applicability:	5 - Cold Shutdown, 6 - Refueling
Initiating Condition:	Loss of RCS inventory affecting fuel clad integrity with Containment challenged
EAL Subcategory:	3 – RCS Level
EAL Category:	C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction

#### EAL:

RCS level CANNOT be monitored for  $\geq$  30 minutes with core uncovery indicated by <u>ANY</u> of the following (Note 3):

- R44A > 5 R/hr
- R10B > 3500 mR/hr
- R2 > 104 mR/hr
- Erratic Source Range Monitor indication
- ANY unexplained RCS leakage indication, Table C-1

#### <u>AND</u>

ANY Containment Challenge indication, Table C-2

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.



- CONTAINMENT CLOSURE NOT established
- Indications of > 4% H<sub>2</sub> inside Containment
- UNPLANNED rise in Containment pressure

#### Basis:

#### and the second second second second second second

 $r^{-1}$ 

This EAL represents the inability to restore and maintain RCS level to above the top of active fuel with containment challenged. Fuel damage is probable if RCS level cannot be restored, as available decay heat will cause boiling, further reducing the RCS level. With the Containment breached or challenged then the potential for unmonitored fission product release to the environment is high. This represents a direct path for radioactive inventory to be released to the environment. This is consistent with the definition of a GENERAL EMERGENCY. The GENERAL EMERGENCY is declared on the occurrence of the loss or IMMINENT loss of function of all three barriers.

A number of variables can have a significant impact on heat removal capability challenging the fuel clad barrier. Examples include:

mid-loop, reduced level/flange level, head in place, cavity flooded, RCS venting strategy, decay heat removal system design, vortexing pre-disposition, steam generator U-tube draining.

Analysis indicates that core damage may occur as soon as one hour following continued core uncovery, therefore, **30 minutes** was conservatively chosen.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)



If **CONTAINMENT CLOSURE** is re-established prior to exceeding the **30 minute** core uncovery time limit then escalation to GE would not occur.

Table C-1 RCS leakage indications must be evaluated against other potential sources of leakage such as cooling water sources inside the Containment to ensure they are indicative of RCS leakage.

In the Cold Shutdown mode, normal RCS level instrumentation systems will usually be available. In the Refueling mode, normal means of RCS level indication may not be available. Redundant means of RCS level indication will usually be installed (including the ability to monitor level visually) to assure that the ability to monitor level will not be interrupted. However, if all level indication were to be lost during a loss of RCS inventory event, the operators would need to determine that RCS inventory loss was occurring by observing Table C-1 indications. Table C-1 RCS leakage indications must be evaluated against other potential sources of leakage such as cooling water sources inside the Containment to ensure they are indicative of RCS leakage.

Post-TMI studies indicated that the installed nuclear instrumentation will operate erratically when the core is uncovered and that this should be used as a tool for making such determinations.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

This EAL applies to conditions in which a significant drop in Reactor Vessel water level below the RCS hot leg penetration has occurred with prolonged core uncovery. RCS level indication capability does not support detection of RCS levels that low and, consequently, alternate means of assessing RCS inventory loss must be used:

• As water level in the Reactor Vessel lowers, the dose rate above the core will increase. The dose rate due to this core shine should result in up-scaled general area low range containment monitor (1/2R10B & 1/2R2) and high range containment monitor (1/2R44A) indication and possible alarm. A dose rate setpoint indicative of core uncovery (i.e., level at top of active fuel) is a means to detect the onset of IMMINENT core damage. S-C-ZZ-MDC-2280 documents the basis for the threshold values for the R2, R10B and R44A values that indicate RCS level at or approaching the top of active fuel. Data from both Unit 1 and Unit 2 was reviewed and values rounded off to provide conservative threshold values that cover both Salem units based on how many fuel assemblies are in the Reactor Vessel and includes an appropriate reduction for radioactive decay of short lived radionuclides. As Reactor Vessel level decreases life threatening levels could exist directly above the core; with water level at top of active fuel dose rates 20 feet above the core will be in excess of 38,000 R/hr. Additionally, post-TMI studies indicated that the installed nuclear instrumentation will operate erratically when the core is uncovered and that this should be used as a tool for making such determinations. For EAL simplification, the thresholds are representative of a partially defueled core (80 fuel assemblies in the Reactor Vessel).

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CG3₌2

- Erratic source range monitor indication may be identified by:
  - Source range count rate indicators NI31B and NI32B
  - NIS Recorder NR45
  - Audio count rate
  - o SPDS

  - o Process Computer
- RCS inventory loss may be detected by the leakage indications listed in Table C-1. Level increases must be evaluated against other potential sources of leakage such as cooling water sources inside the Containment to ensure they are indicative of RCS leakage. Visual observation of leakage from systems connected to the RCS in areas inside or outside the Containment that cannot be isolated could be indicative of a loss of RCS inventory.

Three conditions are associated with a challenge to Containment:

11 11

- The status of **CONTAINMENT CLOSURE** indicates the ability to rely on the Containment as a barrier to fission product release.
- When hydrogen concentration in the Containment atmosphere exceeds 4%, the possibility of an explosive mixture exists inside Containment. Elevated Containment atmosphere hydrogen concentration is alarmed at ≥ 2% by overhead annunciator C-23, CNTMT H<sub>2</sub> LVL HI
- An UNPLANNED rise in Containment pressure in the Cold Shutdown or Refueling Mode may signify an energy addition to the Containment such that the Containment cannot be relied upon as a barrier to fission product release.

Definitions:

- UNISOLABLE: A breach or leak that cannot be promptly isolated from the Control Room.
- **CONTAINMENT CLOSURE**: Is the Salem procedurally defined action taken to secure containment and its associated structures, systems, and components as a functional barrier to fission product release under existing plant conditions. **CONTAINMENT CLOSURE** status is checked and verified using S1(S2).OP-AB.CONT-0001(Q).
- **UNPLANNED**: A parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions.



**IMMINENT:** Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

#### EAL Basis Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, CG1 Example EAL #2
- 2. OU-AA-103 Shutdown Safety Management Program
- 3. S1(S2).OP-AB.CONT-0001(Q) Containment Closure
- 4. S-C-ZZ-MDC-2280, EAL Dose Rates to Radiation Detectors Following Loss of RPV Level during Refueling Operation
- 5. Technical Specifications 3.3.1.1 Reactor Trip System Instrumentation
- 6. UFSAR Table 7.5-2 Main Control Room Indicators and/or Recorders Available to the Operator to Monitor Significant Plant Parameters During Normal Operations
- 7. SC.IC-CC.NIS-0011(Q) N31 Source Range
- 8. SC.IC-CC.NIS-0012(Q) N32 Source Range
- 9. S1(S2).OP-SO.RC-0005(Q) Draining the Reactor Coolant System to ≥101 Foot Elevation
- 10. UFSAR Figure 6.3-2 & 6.3-3 Containment Sump and Drain Trench & Containment Sump Pit
- 11. UFSAR 6.3.2.2 Equipment and Component Description (ECCS)
- 12. UFSAR Figure 6.2-17 Containment Isolation Pressurizer Relief Tank Connections
- 13.S1(S2).OP-AB.RC-0001(Q) Reactor Coolant System Leak
- 14.1(2)-EOP-FRCC-1 Response to Inadequate Core Cooling Basis Document (pg 16)
- 15. Salem EOP Setpoint Basis Document Vendor Doc. #320832
- 16.S1(S2).OP-AR.ZZ-0003(Q) OHA C-23, CNTMT H<sub>2</sub> LVL HI

#### This page intentionally blank

> Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CG3.2

I

EAL Category:	C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction	
EAL Subcategory:	4 – RCS Temperature	
Initiating Condition:	<b>UNPLANNED</b> loss of decay heat removal capability with irradiated fuel in the Reactor Vessel	
Mode Applicability:	5 - Cold Shutdown, 6 - Refueling	
EAL# & Classification Level:	CU4.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT	
EAL:		
An UNPLANNED Loss of Decay Heat Removal functions		
AND		

RCS Temperature has risen to > 200°F

#### Basis:

This EAL is a precursor of more serious conditions and, as a result, is considered to be a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant. In cold shutdown, the ability to remove decay heat relies primarily on forced cooling flow. Operation of the systems that provide this forced cooling may be jeopardized due to the unlikely loss of electrical power or RCS inventory. Since the RCS usually remains intact in the Cold Shutdown mode a large inventory of water is available to keep the core covered.

During refueling, the level in the Reactor Vessel will normally be maintained above the Reactor Vessel flange. Refueling evolutions that decrease water level below the Reactor Vessel flange are carefully planned and procedurally controlled. Loss of forced decay heat removal at reduced inventory may result in more rapid increases in RCS temperatures depending on the time since shutdown.

Escalation to ALERT would be via EAL CA3.1 based on an inventory loss or EAL CA4.1 based on exceeding its temperature criteria.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The Technical Specification cold shutdown temperature limit is 200°F.

RCS coolant temperature may be indicated by the following instrumentation:

- Core exit TCs (computer points T0031A, T0022A, T0046A, T0014A)
- Hot Leg temperatures (computer points T0419A, T0439A, T0459A, T0479A)

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CU4.1

#### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

#### EP-SC-111-227

- RHR HX inlet temperatures (computer points T0630A and T0631A)
- RHR HX outlet temperatures (T0627A, T2360A)
- RCS cold leg temperatures (T0406A, T0426A, T0446A, T0466A)

Definitions:

**UNPLANNED**: A parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions.

.-

1 4 4 1 1

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, CU4 Example EAL #1
- 2. SGS Technical Specifications Table 1.1, Operational Modes
- 3. 1(2)OP-AB.RHR-0001(Q) Loss of RHR
- 4. 1(2)OP-AB.RHR-0002(Q) Loss of RHR at Reduced Inventory
- 5. SC.OP-DL.ZZ-0011(Q) Reactor Coolant System Heatup/Cooldown Log

· ·· · · · · ·

- - <sup>- -</sup>

*,* 

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CU4.1

· ·

Salem

Page 2 of 2

EAL Category:	C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	4 – RCS Temperature
Initiating Condition:	<b>UNPLANNED</b> loss of decay heat removal capability with irradiated fuel in the Reactor Vessel
Mode Applicability:	5 - Cold Shutdown, 6 - Refueling
EAL# & Classification Level:	CU4.2 – UNUSUAL EVENT

EAL:

An UNPLANNED Loss of Decay Heat Removal functions

<u>AND</u>

Loss of **BOTH** of the following:

- All RCS Temperature indication
- All RCS level indication

AND

≥ 15 minutes have elapsed (Note 3)

Note 3: The Emergency Coordinator should NOT wait until the applicable time has elapsed, but should declare the event as soon as it is determined that the condition will likely exceed the applicable time.

#### Basis:

This EAL is be a precursor of more serious conditions and, as a result, is considered to be a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant. In cold shutdown, the ability to remove decay heat relies primarily on forced cooling flow. Operation of the systems that provide this forced cooling may be jeopardized due to the unlikely loss of electrical power or RCS inventory. Since the RCS usually remains intact in the Cold Shutdown mode a large inventory of water is available to keep the core covered.

During refueling, the level in the RCS will normally be maintained above the Reactor Vessel flange. Refueling evolutions that decrease water level below the Reactor Vessel flange are carefully planned and procedurally controlled. Loss of forced decay heat removal at reduced inventory may result in more rapid increases in RCS temperatures depending on the time since shutdown.

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)



Normal means of RCS temperature indication and RCS level indication may not be available in the refueling mode. Redundant means of RCS level indication are therefore procedurally installed to assure that the ability to monitor level will not be interrupted. However, if all level and temperature indication were to be lost in either the Cold Shutdown of Refueling modes, this EAL would result in declaration of a UE if both temperature and level indication cannot be restored within **15 minutes** from the loss of both means of indication. Escalation to ALERT would be via EAL CA3.1 based on an inventory loss or EAL CA4.1 based on exceeding its temperature criteria.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

RCS water level is normally monitored using the instrument ranges in Attachment 2, page 10.

During refueling, the reactor head and associated RVLIS piping are removed. Visual observation by personnel on the refuel floor in communication with the Control Room may also provide indication of refueling cavity water level and RCS water level.

RCS coolant temperature may be indicated by the following instrumentation:

- Core exit TCs (computer points T0031A, T0022A, T0046A, T0014A)
- Hot Leg temperatures (computer points T0419A, T0439A, T0459A, T0479A)
- RHR HX inlet temperatures (computer points T0630A and T0631A)
- RHR HX outlet temperatures (T0627A, T2360A)
- RCS cold leg temperatures (T0406A, T0426A, T0446A, T0466A)

Definitions:

**UNPLANNED**: A parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions.

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, CU4 Example EAL #2
- 2. S1(S2),OP-SO.RC-0005(Q) Draining the Reactor Coolant System to > 100 Foot Elevation
- 3. S1(S2).OP-SO.RVL-0001 Reactor Vessel Level Instrumentation System
- 4. UFSAR 5.6.5 Reactor Vessel Water Level
- 5. UFSAR 7.3.1.1.9 Instrumentation Used During a Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA)
- 6. 1(2)OP-AB.RHR-0001(Q) Loss of RHR
- 7. 1(2)OP-AB.RHR-0002(Q) Loss of RHR at Reduced Inventory
- 8. SC.OP-DL.ZZ-0011(Q) Reactor Coolant System Heatup/Cooldown Log

converse of the second seco second sec

Salem

e da la calga e gla construcción

EAL Category: C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction

**EAL Subcategory:** 4 – RCS Temperature

Initiating Condition: Inability to maintain plant in cold shutdown

Mode Applicability: 5 - Cold Shutdown, 6 - Refueling

EAL# & Classification Level: CA4.1 – ALERT

EAL:

An UNPLANNED event results in RCS temperature > 200°F for > Table C-3 duration

An **UNPLANNED** event results in RCS pressure increase > 10 psig due to a loss of RCS cooling (this portion of the EAL does NOT apply in Solid Plant conditions)

Table C-3 RCS Heatup Duration Thresholds			
RCS Integrity	CONTAINMENT CLOSURE	Duration Threshold	
Intact <u>AND</u> NOT in reduced inventory status	NOT Applicable	60 minutes **	
NOT Intact <u>OR</u> RCS is in a reduced inventory status	Established	20 minutes **	
	NOT Established	0 minutes	
** IF a Decay Heat Removal System is placed in operation within the duration threshold and RCS Temperature is lowering, THEN this EAL is NOT Applicable			

#### Basis:

#### <u>1<sup>st</sup> Condition</u>

The RCS Heatup Duration Threshold table addresses complete loss of functions required for core cooling for greater than 60 minutes during Refueling and Cold Shutdown modes when RCS integrity is established. RCS integrity should be considered to be in place when the RCS pressure boundary is in its normal condition for the cold shutdown mode of operation (e.g., no freeze seals or nozzle dams). The status of **CONTAINMENT CLOSURE** in this condition is immaterial given that the RCS is providing a high pressure barrier to fission product release to the environment. The 60 minute time frame should allow sufficient time to restore cooling without a substantial degradation in plant safety.

The RCS Heatup Duration Threshold table also addresses the complete loss of functions required for core cooling for greater than 20 minutes during Refueling and Cold Shutdown modes when **CONTAINMENT CLOSURE** is established but RCS integrity is not established or RCS inventory is reduced (e.g., mid-loop operation in PWRs). The allowed 20 minute time frame was included to allow operator action to restore the heat removal function, if possible.

Finally, complete loss of functions required for core cooling during Refueling and Cold Shutdown modes when neither **CONTAINMENT CLOSURE** nor RCS integrity are established. RCS integrity is in place when the RCS pressure boundary is in its normal condition for the cold shutdown mode of operation (e.g., no freeze seals or nozzle dams). No delay time is allowed because the evaporated reactor coolant that may be released into the Containment during this heatup condition could also be directly released to the environment.

The note (\*\*) in Table C-3 indicates that this EAL is not applicable if actions are successful in restoring a decay heat removal system to operation and RCS temperature is being reduced within the specified time frame.

## 2nd Condition

The **10 psig** pressure increase addresses situations where, due to high decay heat loads, the time provided to restore temperature control, should be less than 60 minutes. The RCS pressure setpoint chosen should be **10 psig** or the lowest pressure that the site can read on installed Control Board instrumentation that is equal to or greater than **10 psig**.

Escalation to SITE AREA EMERGENCY would be via EAL CS3.2 should boiling result in significant RCS level loss leading to core uncovery.

A loss of Technical Specification components alone is not intended to constitute an ALERT. The same is true of a momentary unplanned excursion above the Technical Specification cold shutdown temperature limit when the heat removal function is available.

The Emergency Coordinator must remain alert to events or conditions that lead to the conclusion that exceeding the EAL is IMMINENT. If, in the judgment of the Emergency

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)



#### SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

Coordinator, an **IMMINENT** situation is at hand, the classification should be made as if the threshold has been exceeded.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

200°F is the Technical Specification cold shutdown temperature limit.

**10 psig** is one-half of the **20 psig** minor division on 1(2)PI-403. This instrument has a range of 0 to 600 psig. This RCS pressure indication is also displayed on SPDS Point U1(2)PT0403S and P250 Computer Point P0499A.

"Intact" is defined as all RCS penetrations between the core and Containment atmosphere are isolated, and a minimum of two RCS loops with U-tubes not drained and their associated Steam Generators are available as heat sinks for natural circulation. "Available as Heat Sinks" indicates each associated Steam Generator has a feed makeup source available, secondary water level is above the U-tubes, and a Steam Generator vent path exists.

A "Reduced Inventory" condition exists when Reactor Vessel level is less than 101 ft.

RCS coolant temperature may be indicated by the following instrumentation:

- Core exit TCs (computer points T0031A, T0022A, T0046A, T0014A)
- Hot Leg temperatures (computer points T0419A, T0439A, T0459A, T0479A)
- RHR HX inlet temperatures (computer points T0630A and T0631A)
- RHR HX outlet temperatures (T0627A, T2360A)
- RCS cold leg temperatures (T0406A, T0426A, T0446A, T0466A)

Definitions:

**UNPLANNED**: A parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions.

**CONTAINMENT CLOSURE**: Is the Salem procedurally defined action taken to secure containment and its associated structures, systems, and components as a functional barrier to fission product release under existing plant conditions. **CONTAINMENT CLOSURE** status is checked and verified using S1(S2).OP-AB.CONT-0001(Q).

**IMMINENT**: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)



#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, CA4 Example EAL #1 & #2
- 2. OU-AA-103 Shutdown Safety Management Program
- 3. S1(S2).OP-AB.CONT-0001(Q) Containment Closure
- 4. S1(S2).OP-ST.CAN-0007(Q) Refueling Operations Containment Closure
- 5. SGS Technical Specifications Table 1.1 Operational Modes
- 6. S1(S2).IC-CC.RCP-0070(Q) 1(2)PT-403 Reactor Coolant System Hot Leg Pressure Channel II
- 7. S1(S2).OP-SO.RC-0005(Q) Draining The Reactor Coolant System To ≥101 Foot Elevation
- 8. UFSAR 5.6.5 Reactor Vessel Water Level
- 9. S1(S2).OP-SO.RVL-0001 Reactor Vessel Level Instrumentation System, Note pg 16
- 10.1(2)OP-AB.RHR-0001(Q) Loss of RHR
- 11.1(2)OP-AB.RHR-0002(Q) Loss of RHR at Reduced Inventory
- 12.SC.OP-DL.ZZ-0011(Q) Reactor Coolant System Heatup/Cooldown Log

(a) A state of the state of

EAL# & Classification Level:	CU5.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT
Mode Applicability:	5 - Cold Shutdown, 6 - Refueling, D - Defueled
Initiating Condition:	Loss of all onsite or offsite communications capabilities
EAL Subcategory:	5 – Communications
EAL Category:	C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction

#### EAL:

Loss of **all Table C-4** Onsite communication methods affecting the ability to perform routine operations

#### 

Loss of **all Table C-4** Offsite communication methods affecting the ability to perform offsite notifications

Table C-4 Communications Systems		
System	Onsite	Offsite
Direct Inward Dial System (DID)	Х	X
Station Page System (Gaitronics)	Х	
Station Radio System	Х	
Nuclear Emergency Telephone System (NETS)		X
Centrex Phone System (ESSX)		X
NRC (ENS)		X

#### Basis:

The purpose of this EAL is to recognize a loss of communications capability that either defeats the plant operations staff ability to perform routine tasks necessary for plant operations or the ability to communicate issues with off-site authorities. The loss of off-site communications ability is expected to be significantly more comprehensive than the condition addressed by 10 CFR 50.72.

The availability of one method of ordinary off-site communications is sufficient to inform federal, state, and local authorities of plant issues. This EAL is intended to be used only when extraordinary means (e.g., relaying of information from radio transmissions, individuals being sent to off-site locations; etc.) are being utilized to make communications possible.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

Onsite and Offsite global communications include one or more of the systems listed in Table C-4. and the second second

#### 经保留 化乙酰氨酸 网络金属卡拉 Direct Inward Dial System (DID)

Direct Inward Dial (DID) system is named for the dominant feature of the commercial telephone service provided by the local telephone company for the site. DID allows station telephones to be extensions or tied lines of the same systems. These exchanges can take advantage of backup power supplies provided to the stations, and may use either PSEG microwave, commercial telephone system microwave, or buried cable transmission systems to maintain external communications. This commercial telephone service is available as an additional backup for the NETS and Centrex/ESSX 1 system.

#### Station Page System (Gaitronics)

Gaitronics is a completely transistorized voice communication system with five voice channels: one page and five party. The system is designed for use in extreme environmental conditions such as dust, moisture, heat and noise. The system consists of handsets, speakers and their associated amplifiers. The power for this system is 120 volts AC from an inverted DC source to provide reliable communications during an emergency.

#### Station Radio System

The Operations and Fire Protection Department UHF radio system is a multi-frequency system used routinely by both station Operations Departments and the Fire Protection Department. When an emergency event is declared, these radio frequencies serve both station Operations Support Centers (OSC).

#### Nuclear Emergency Telephone System (NETS)

The Nuclear Emergency Telecommunications System (NETS) is a privately controlled, selfcontained telephone exchange that operates as a closed system, not accessible from other phone exchanges. This feature allows the system to be dedicated to emergency response use. The system may use PSEG microwave, commercial telephone system microwave, fiber optics, or buried cable transmission as needed. The exchange switching equipment is maintained at the Environmental & Energy Resource Center (EERC). As an independent system with an uninterruptible power supply, it may operate with or without local phone service or external power.

#### Centrex Phone System (ESSX)

The Centrex/Electronic Switch System Exchange 1(Centrex/ESSX 1) is also a privately controlled exchange, which PSEG operates with its own microwave signal system. This system is also independent of local phone service, since each circuit is independently wired. The microwave signal is generated from corporate facilities in Newark, NJ, separated from any local effects of weather or telephone use. The exchange is accessible from other exchanges, but circuits are located only in PSEG facilities. It is considered the primary backup for the NETS system.

#### NRC (ENS)

The Emergency Notification System (ENS) is a dedicated communications system with the NRC, which is part of the Federal Telecommunications System (FTS) and consists of direct lines to the NRC. FTS lines are used to provide general accident information. These telephones are installed in the Control Room, TSC, and the EOF.

This EAL is the cold condition equivalent of the hot condition EAL SU6.1.

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, CU6 Example EAL #1 and 2
- 2. PSEG Nuclear Emergency Plan, Section 7 Communications
- 3. UFSAR 9.5.2 Communications System

Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CU5.1

. .

This page intentionally blank ·, · · . . .

 The second seco · · ·

. . . i

÷. 

, . · . .



Salem

EAL Category:	C – Cold Shutdown / Refuel System Malfunction
EAL Subcategory:	6 – Inadvertent Criticality
Initiating Condition:	Inadvertent Criticality
Mode Applicability:	5 - Cold Shutdown, 6 - Refueling
EAL# & Classification Level:	CU6.1 – UNUSUAL EVENT
EAL:	

UNPLANNED sustained positive startup rate observed on nuclear instrumentation

#### Basis:

This EAL addresses criticality events that occur in Cold Shutdown or Refueling modes such as fuel mis-loading events. This EAL indicates a potential degradation of the level of safety of the plant, warranting a UE classification.

Escalation would be by Emergency Coordinator Judgment.

#### Explanation/Discussion/Definitions:

The term "sustained" is used in order to allow exclusion of expected short term positive startup rates from planned fuel bundle or control rod movements during core alteration. These short term positive startup rates are the result of the increase in neutron population due to subcritical multiplication.

Positive reactor startup rate may be identified by:

- Source range startup rate indicators NI31D and NI32D
- NIS Recorder NR45
- Audio count rate
- SPDS
- Process Computer

This EAL is the cold condition equivalent of the hot condition EAL SU3.1.

Definitions:

**UNPLANNED**: A parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions.

1

Salem

#### EAL Bases Reference(s):

- 1. NEI 99-01, Rev. 05, CU8 Example EAL #1
- 2. Technical Specifications 3.3.1.1 Reactor Trip System Instrumentation

· · ·

- 3. UFSAR Table 7.5-2 Main Control Room Indicators
- 4. SC.IC-CC.NIS-0011(Q) N31 Source Range
- 5. SC.IC-CC.NIS-0012(Q) N32 Source Range

 A second sec second sec

and the second secon

> Rev. 0 (draft E) EAL#: CU6.1

Salem

· · · ·

ł

Page 2 of 2



# Attachments (Support Materials)

### Attachment 1 – Use of Fission Product Barrier Table

Mode Applicability: 1 - Power Operations, 2 - Startup, 3 - Hot Standby, 4 - Hot Shutdown

A point system is used to determine the Emergency Classification Level based on the Fission Product Barrier Table. Each Fission Product Barrier Loss and Potential Loss threshold is assigned a point value as noted below.

Perform the following:

- 1. Review all columns of the Fission Product Barrier Table and identify which need further review.
- 2. For each of the three barriers, determine the EAL with the highest point value. No more than one EAL should be selected for each barrier.
- 3. Add the point values for the three barriers.
- 4. Classify based on the point value sum as follows:

If the sum is:	Classify as:	EAL	ECG Att#
2, 3	UNUSUAL EVENT	<u>ANY</u> loss or <u>ANY</u> potential loss of Containment	1
4, 5	ALERT	<u>ANY</u> loss or <u>ANY</u> potential loss of either Fuel Clad or RCS	2
6 - 11	SITE AREA EMERGENCY	Loss or potential loss of <u>ANY</u> two barriers <u>OR</u> Potential loss of 2 barriers with the loss of the 3rd barrier	3
12, 13	GENERAL EMERGENCY	Loss of <u>ANY</u> two barriers <u>AND</u> Loss or potential loss of third barrier	4

- 5. Implement the appropriate ECG Attachment.
- 6. Continue to review the Fission Product Barrier Table for changes that could result in emergency escalation or de-escalation.

Page 1 of 1

Rev. 0 (draft E)

Attachment 1- Use of FPB Table

#### Attachment 2 – EAL Basis Figures

Figures referenced in the basis discussions of the EALs are listed in this Attachment.

Title	<u>Page No.</u>
AC Power Distribution	2
CFST - Core Cooling	4
CFST – Shutdown Margin	5
CFST – Heat Sink	6
CFST – Thermal Shock	7
Thermal Shock Limit A Curve	8
CFST – Containment Environment	9
RCS Level Instrument Ranges	10

1

#### AC Power Distribution

The 500-kV switching station is connected to three 500-kV transmission lines. Two transmission lines go north to two major switching stations: PSE&G New Freedom Switching Station and Atlantic City Electric's Orchard Switching Station. The New Freedom Switching Station is connected to the PSE&G 230-kV bulk power system via four 500/230-kV autotransformers. Orchard Switching Station is also connected to Atlantic City Electric's 230-kV bulk power system via a 500/230-kV autotransformer. In addition, it is connected to the Pennsylvania / New Jersey / Maryland 500-kV interconnected system. The third transmission line serves as a tie line to the adjacent Hope Creek 500-kV switchyard line which is also integrated into the Pennsylvania / New Jersey / Maryland 500-kV interconnected system.

The 500-13 kV station power transformers are connected to different bus sections of the 500-kV switching station:

- 13-kV north ring bus: Each 500-13 kV transformer T1 and T2 feeds two (one for each unit) 13-4 kV station power transformers T11, T21 and T12, T22 associated with group buses.
- 13kV south bus: Each 500-13kV transformer T3 and T4 respectively and feeds two (one for each unit) 13 4kV station power transformers T13, T24 and T14, T23 associated with vital buses and circulating water switchgear. The 13-4kV station power transformers T13 and T14 (Unit 1) share the loads of three vital buses and two CW bus sections while T21 and T22 (Unit 2) share the loads of three vital buses and two CW bus sections.

The onsite power sources for each unit consists of the main generator, the emergency diesel generators (one for each vital bus), and the Unit 3 40-MW gas turbine generator (one for both units). Any two EDGs and their associated vital buses can supply sufficient power for operation of the required safeguards equipment for a design basis LOCA coincident with a loss of offsite power. For the purposes of the EAL, availability of EDGs that have not been challenged to start during degradation of AC power sources to the 4KV vital buses should be based on meeting Technical Specification action requirements for loss of offsite AC power sources. The gas turbine generator can be manually started and paralleled with normal. sources of plant startup or standby power but is normally used for peaking purposes. Procedure AB.LOOP-0001(Q) provides guidance to use the gas turbine to energize a 13KV ring bus during a blackout if necessary. The gas turbine generator is not controlled by technical specifications, however, and for classification purposes under this EAL cannot be credited as a power source for the 4KV vital buses.



#### AC Power Distribution (cont'd)

Salem





Salem

Page 4 of 10

Rev. 0 (draft E) Figures

; · · · · ·





Rev. 0 (draft E) Figures CFST – Heat Sink



Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

Figures

#### CFST – Thermal Shock



.

Thermal Shock Limit A Curve



OPERATIONAL LIMITS PTS PLANT CURVE

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E) Figures







**RCS Level Instrument Ranges** 



Rev. 0 (draft E) Figures

#### Attachment 3 – Definitions

Selected words in the ECG Initialting Conditions (ICs) and Emergency Action Levels (EALs) have been set in all capital letters and bolded. These words are defined terms having specific meanings as they relate to this document and the definitions of these terms are provided below and in the basis for the EAL that the word is used in.

AIRCRAFT: Includes both small and large AIRCRAFT. Examples of AIRCRAFT include general aviation Cessna, Piper and Lear type private planes, large passenger or freight planes as well as police, medical and media helicopters. A large AIRCRAFT is referred to as an AIRLINER.

**AIRLINER/LARGE AIRCRAFT**: Any size or type of **AIRCRAFT** with the potential for causing significant damage to the plant (refer to the Security Contingency Plan for a more detailed definition).

**BOMB**: Refers to an explosive device suspected of having sufficient force to damage plant systems or structures.

**CIVIL DISTURBANCE**: A group of persons violently protesting station operations or activities at the site.

**CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY**: Is the barrier(s) between areas containing radioactive substances and the environment and includes the multi-purpose canister (MPC) and, for the purposes of this EAL, the associated cask shielding.

**CONTAINMENT CLOSURE**: Is the Salem procedurally defined action taken to secure containment and its associated structures, systems, and components as a functional barrier to fission product release under existing plant conditions. **CONTAINMENT CLOSURE** status is checked and verified using S1(S2).OP-AB.CONT-0001(Q).

**CREDIBLE / ACTUAL THREAT**: Is a threat which poses a likely and serious danger to the safe operation of the facility or to site personnel and public safety.

**DEGRADED PERFORMANCE**: Assessment of degraded safe shutdown system performance includes examination of systems in standby status as well as those in operation. When a safe shutdown system is in operation, its performance can be directly observed and compared to its design capability (e.g., rated flow is required but cannot be achieved). When an operating safe shutdown system cannot fulfill its design function, its performance is degraded. When a safe shutdown system is in standby, its performance capability may not be readily determined. One or more of the following can provide indirect indication of its performance capability:

• Electrical faults on power supplies

Rev. 0 (draft E)

- Normally closed breakers in tripped position
- System annunciators activated
- System warning lights lit
- Insufficient system pressure from keep-fill pumps
- Elevated area temperatures or radiation levels
- Increased sump pump operation in areas in which the system is located

**EXPLOSION**: A rapid, violent, unconfined combustion, or catastrophic failure of pressurized/energized equipment that imparts energy of sufficient force to potentially damage permanent structures, systems, or components.

**FAULTED**: (PWRs) In a steam generator, the existence of secondary side leakage that results in an uncontrolled drop in steam generator pressure or the steam generator being completely depressurized.

FIRE: Combustion characterized by heat and light. Sources of smoke such as slipping drive belts or overheated electrical equipment do not constitute FIRES. Observation of flame is preferred but is NOT required if large quantities of smoke and heat are observed.

**HOSTAGE**: A person(s) held as leverage against the station to ensure that demands will be met by the station.

**HOSTILE ACTION**: An act toward Salem or Hope Creek or its personnel that includes the use of violent force to destroy equipment, take **HOSTAGES**, and/or intimidate PSEG to achieve an end. This includes attack by air, land, or water using guns, explosives, **PROJECTILES**, vehicles, or other devices used to deliver destructive force. Other acts that satisfy the overall intent may be included. **HOSTILE ACTION** should not be construed to include acts of civil disobedience or felonious acts that are not part of a concerted attack on Salem or Hope Creek. Non-terrorism-based EALs should be used to address such activities (i.e., this may include violent acts between individuals in the **OCA**).

**HOSTILE FORCE**: One or more individuals who are engaged in a determined assault, overtly or by stealth and deception, equipped with suitable weapons capable of killing, maiming, or causing destruction.

**IDENTIFIED LEAKAGE**: As defined in T/S, shall be leakage (except Reactor Coolant Pump Seal Water Injection) into closed systems, such as pump seal or valve packing leaks that are captured and conducted to a sump or collecting tank, or, shall be leakage into the containment atmosphere from sources that are both specifically located and known either not to interfere with the operation of the leakage detection systems or not to be **PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE**, or, shall be Reactor coolant system leakage through a steam generator to the secondary system (primary-to-secondary leakage).

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)
**IMMINENT**: Mitigation actions have been ineffective, additional actions are not expected to be successful, and trended information indicates that the event or condition will occur within approximately 2 hours (unless a different time is specified).

**INDEPENDENT SPENT FUEL STORAGE INSTALLATION (ISFSI)**: A complex that is designed and constructed for the interim storage of spent nuclear fuel and other radioactive materials associated with spent fuel storage.

**MALICIOUS ACT**: Purposeful malevolent actions directed at compromising reactor safety and thus could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety.

MINIMUM EXCLUSION AREA (MEA): The closest location just beyond the OWNER CONTROLLED AREA where a member of the general public could gain access. For Salem the MEA is 0.79 miles.

**NORMAL PLANT OPERATIONS**: Activities at the plant site associated with routine testing, maintenance, or equipment operations, in accordance with normal operating or administrative procedures. Entry into abnormal or emergency operating procedures, or deviation from normal security or radiological controls posture, is a departure from **NORMAL PLANT OPERATIONS**.

**OWNER CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)**: Property owned, maintained and controlled by PSEG Nuclear as part of the Salem & Hope Creek Generating Station complex. For the purpose of emergency classification, area from the PSEG Nuclear access road checkpoint and inward towards the stations is considered the OCA.

**PRESSURE BOUNDARY LEAKAGE**: As defined in T/S, shall be leakage (except steam generator tube leakage) through a non-isolable fault in a Reactor Coolant System component body, pipe wall or vessel wall.

**PROJECTILE**: An object that impacts Salem and/or Hope Creek that could cause concern for continued operability, reliability, or personnel safety.

**PROTECTED AREA (PA)**: A security controlled area within the **OWNER-CONTROLLED AREA (OCA)** that is enclosed by the security perimeter fence and monitored by intrusion detection systems. Access to the **PA** requires proper security clearance and is controlled at the Security Center.

**RUPTURED**: (PWRs) In a steam generator, existence of primary-to-secondary leakage of a magnitude sufficient to require or cause a reactor trip and safety injection.

**SABOTAGE**: Deliberate damage, mis-alignment, or mis-operation of plant equipment with the intent to render the equipment inoperable. Equipment found tampered with or damaged due to malicious mischief may not meet the definition of **SABOTAGE** until this determination is made by security supervision.

**SECURITY CONDITION:** Any Security Event as listed in the approved security contingency plan that constitutes a threat/compromise to site security, threat/risk to site personnel, or a potential degradation to the level of safety of the plant. A SECURITY CONDITION does not involve a HOSTILE ACTION.

SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENT: An UNPLANNED event based on EC judgment, but includes as a minimum any one of the following: (1) automatic turbine runback greater than 25% thermal reactor power, (2) electrical load rejection greater than 25% full electrical load, (3) Reactor Trip, or (4) Safety Injection Activation. · · · · ·

TAMPERING: Means deliberately damaging, disabling, or altering equipment necessary for safe shutdown or security equipment necessary for the protection of the facility. Confirmed tampering implies that a criminal activity may have occurred which requires a threshold of proof for a reason to believe that no other possibility exists for the incident other than tampering.

自然通过的 化二氟化化医二氟化化化二氟化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化 UNIDENTIFIED LEAKAGE: As defined in T/S, shall be all leakage which is not IDENTIFIED LEAKAGE.

UNISOLABLE: A breach or leak that cannot be promptly isolated from the Control Room. 新闻等 (如此),你们就是我的你的你的?"这些话道:"你们的你们都是你的?""你们,那么是一个?我的一个吗 UNPLANNED: A parameter change or an event that is not the result of an intended evolution and requires corrective or mitigative actions. 

A Charles Contraction of Action VALID: An indication, report, or condition, is considered to be VALID when it is verified by (1) an instrument channel check, (2) indications on related or redundant indicators, or (3) by direct observation by plant personnel, such that doubt related to the indicator's operability, the condition's existence, or the report's accuracy is removed. Implicit in this definition is the need for timely assessment.

VALIDATED: AIRCRAFT threat call from the NRC that is confirmed to be authentic. Calls from the NRC are VALIDATED by use of the NRC provided authentication code or by making a return call to the NRC Headquarter Operations Center and confirming threat information with the NRC Operation Officer AIRCRAFT threat calls from other agencies, NORAD, FAA, or FBI should be VALIDATED by calling the NRC Operations Officer. 

VISIBLE DAMAGE: Damage to equipment or structure that is readily observable without measurements, testing, or analysis. Damage is sufficient to cause concern regarding the continued operability or reliability of the affected structure, system, or component. Example damage includes: deformation due to heat or impact, denting, penetration, rupture, cracking, and paint blistering. Surface blemishes (e.g., paint chipping, scratches) should not be included. When the second second

VITAL AREAS: Typically any site specific areas, normally within the **PROTECTED AREA**, that contains equipment, systems, components, or material, the failure, destruction, or release of which could directly or indirectly endanger the public health and safety by exposure to radiation.

Salem

Page 4 of 4

Rev. 0 (draft E)



# Attachment 4 – Glossary of Abbreviations & Acronyms

Acronyms and Abbreviations used in the ECG and ECG basis document are listed in this attachment.

AAAG AB AC ADMSS AFST AFW ALARA ARM ASAP ASM ATWT Aux	-	Accident Assessment Advisory Group (Delaware) Auxiliary Building Alternating Current Administrative Support Supervisor - TSC Auxiliary Feedwater Storage Tank Auxiliary Feedwater As Low As Reasonably Achievable Area Radiation Monitor As Soon As Possible Administrative Support Manager Anticipated Transient Without Trip Auxiliary
BKGD BKR BLDG BNE	- - - ·	Background Breaker (electrical circuit) Building Bureau of Nuclear Engineering (NJDEPE)
CAS CCPM CEDE CDE CET CFCU CFR CFST CIS CM1 CM2 CNTMT CoC CO2 CP CPM CPS CR CRS CREF	-	Central Alarm Station Corrected Counts per Minute Committed Effective Dose Equivalent Committed Dose Equivalent Core Exit Thermocouple Containment Fan Coil Unit Code of Federal Regulations Critical Safety Function Status Tree Containment Isolation System Primary Communicator (CR) Secondary Communicator (CR) Containment (Barrier) Certificate of Compliance Carbon dioxide Control Point Counts Per Minute Counts Per Second Control Room Control Room Supervisor Control Room Emergency Filter System

Salem

Page 1 of 6

Rev. 0 (draft E)

Abbreviations & Acronyms

# SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

·		Abbreviations & Ac	ronyms
Salem		Page 2 of 6 R	ev. 0 (draft E)
FAA	-	Federal Aviation Administration	
ESSX	-	Electronic Switch System Exchange (Centrex) (Newark 973 Exchange	je phone system)
ESF	-	Engineered Safety Feature	
ERO	-	Emergency Response Organization	
ERM	-	Emergency Response Manager	
ERF	-	Emergency Response Facility	
ERDS	-	Emergency Response Data System	
	-	Emergency Planning Zone (Plume EPZ = 10 Miles, Ingestion EPZ =	= 50 miles)
	-	Emergency Prepareoness Manager	
	-	Emergency Preparedness Manager	
FPIP		Emergency Plan Implementing Procedure	
EPA	-	Environmental Protection Agency	
EPA	-	Emergency Preparedness Advisor	
EOP	-	Emergency Operating Procedures	
EOF	-	Emergency Operations Facility	
EOC	-	Emergency Operations Center (NJ & DE)	
ENS	-	Emergency Notification System (NRC)	
ENC	-	Emergency News Center	
EMRAD	-	Emergency Radio (NJ)	
EERC	-	Energy & Environmental Resource Center (Old NTC)	
EDO	-	Emergency Duty Officer	·
EDG	-	Emergency Diesel Generator	•
EC	-	Emergency Coordinator	4.1.*
ECG	-	Event Classification Guide	r.
ECCS	-	Emergency Core Cooling Systems	
EAD	-		
	-	Emergency Alert System (President)	
	-	Emergency Artion Level	
FACS	_	Emergency Air Conditioning System (Control Room)	
DIVOI	-		
DRCF	-	Dose Rate Conversion Factor	
DPM	_	Disintegrations per Minute	
DPM	_	Decades per Minute	
21 00/2011		Cleanup & Removal Plan	
DPCC/DCR	-	Discharge Prevention, Containment & Countermeasures/Dis	scharge
DOT	_	Department of Transportation	
DOE	-	Department of Energy	
DID	-	Direct Inward Dial (phone system)	
DHS	-	Department of Homeland Security	
' DEP	-	Department of Environmental Protection (NJ)	
DEMA	. <b>_</b> /	Delaware Emergency Management Agency	
DEI	-	Dose Equivalent Iodine	
DDE	-	Deep Dose Equivalent	
DC	-	Direct Current	
CVCS	-	Chemical and Volume Control System	
$\alpha \vee \alpha \circ$		Chamical and Valuma Cantral System	

FBI FC FFD FHB FPB FRCC FRCE FRCE FRCI FRERP FRHS FRSM FRTS FTS	-	Federal Bureau of Investigation Fuel Clad (Barrier) Fitness For Duty Fuel Handling Building Fission Product Barrier Functional Restoration Core Cooling Functional Restoration Containment Environment Functional Restoration Coolant Inventory Federal Radiological Emergency Response Plan Functional Restoration Heat Sink Functional Restoration Shutdown Margin Functional Restoration Thermal Shock Federal Telecommunications System (NRC)
GE	-	General Emergency
HCGS HEPA HP HVAC HX	- - -	Hope Creek Generating Station High Efficiency Particulate Absorbers Health Physics Heating, Ventilation & Air Conditioning Heat Exchanger
IAW IC ICMF IDLH IPEEE IR ISFSI I/S ISOL		In Accordance With Initiating Condition Initial Contact Message Form Immediately Dangerous to Life and Health Individual Plant Examination of External Events Intermediate Range Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation In Service Isolation
K <sub>eff</sub> KI KV	- - -	Effective Neutron Multiplication Factor Potassium Iodide Kilovolt (1000 volts)
LAC LCO LDC LDE LEL LFL LLD LOCA LOP/LOPA		Lower Alloways Creek Limiting Condition for Operation Learning Development Center (aka - NAB or TB2) Lens Dose Equivalent Lower Explosive Limit Lower Flammability Limit Lowest Level Detectable Loss of Coolant Accident Loss of Offsite Power/ Loss of Offsite Power Accident

Salem

Page 3 of 6

Rev. 0 (draft E)

## SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

LPZ	-	Low Population Zone	
MBD MDA MEA MEES MET MIDAS MIDAS MOU MRO MSIV MSLI		Mixed Bed Demineralizer Minimum Detectable Amount Minimum Exclusion Area (Salem = .79 miles, HC = .56 miles) Major Equipment & Electrical Status (Form) Meteorological Meteorological Information Data Acquisition System Metal Impact Monitoring System Memorandum of Understanding Medical Review Officer Main Steam Isolation Valve Main Steam Line Isolation	
NAB NAWAS NCO NEI NEO	- - -	Nuclear Administration Building (aka – LDC or TB2) National Attack Warning Alert System Nuclear Control Operator Nuclear Energy Institute Nuclear Equipment Operator	
NETS NFE NFPB NG NJSP	- - -	Nuclear Emergency Telecommunications System Nuclear Fuels Engineer Normal Full Power Background Noble Gas New Jersey State Police	}
NOSF NR NRC NSP NUMARC		Nuclear Operations Support Facility Narrow Range Nuclear Regulatory Commission Nuclear Site Protection Nuclear Management and Resources Council	
NWS	-	National Weather Service	
OBE OCA ODCM OEM OHA OSB OSC	- - - -	Operating Basis Earthquake Owner Controlled Area Offsite Dose Calculation Manual Office of Emergency Management Overhead Annunciators Operational Status Board (Form) Operations Support Center	
PAG PAR PIM PMP PORV	- - -	Protective Action Guideline Protective Action Recommendation Public Information Manager Pump Power Operated Relief Valve	· · ·

Salem

# Page 4 of 6

• \_

Rev. 0 (draft E)

Abbreviations & Acronyms

# EP-SC-111-233

PRT PSEG PSIA	- - -	Pressurizer Relief Tank Public Service Enterprise Group Pounds per Square Inch Absolute
PSIG PWR PWST PZR	- - -	Pounds Square Inch Gauge Pressurized Water Reactor Primary Water Storage Tank Pressurizer
RAC RAD RAL RC RCA RCAM RCDT RCP RCS RHR RMO RMS ROIC RPS RMS ROIC RPS RPS RRC RSM RVLIS RWST		Radiological Assessment Coordinator Radiation Reportable Action Level Reactor Coolant Radiologically Controlled Area Repair and Corrective Action Mission Reactor Coolant Drain Tank Reactor Coolant Pump Reactor Coolant System (Barrier) Residual Heat Removal Recovery Manager Recovery Manager Recovery Management Organization Radiation Monitoring System Regional Operations & Intelligence Center (NJSP) Radiation Protection Supervisor Reactor Protection System Remote Response Center (in NOSF) Radiological Support Manager Reactor Vessel Level Instrumentation System Refueling Water Storage Tank
SAE SAM SAS SAT SBO SCBA SCP SDE SDM SFP S/G SGS SGTR SI SJAE		Site Area Emergency Severe Accident Management Secondary Alarm Station (Security) Satisfactory Station Blackout Self Contained Breathing Apparatus Security Contingency Procedure Shallow Dose Equivalent Shutdown Margin Spent Fuel Pool Steam Generator Salem Generator Steam Generator Tube Rupture Safety Injection Steam Jet Air Ejector

Salem

Page 5 of 6

Rev. 0 (draft E)

# Abbreviations & Acronyms

## SGS ECG – EAL Technical Bases

SM SNM SOS SPDS SRPT SSCL SSE SSM SSNM SSNM STA SUR		Shift Manager Special Nuclear Material Systems Operations Supervisor (Security) Safety Parameter Display System Shift Radiation Protection Technician Station Status Checklist (form) Safe Shutdown Earthquake Site Support Manager Strategic Special Nuclear Material Shift Technical Advisor Start-up Rate	
T-COLD T-HOT TAF TDR TEDE TOAF TPARD T/S TSC TSS TSTL TSTL TSTM		Temperature Cold (Leg) Temperature Hot (Leg) Top of Active Fuel - BWR Technical Document Room Total Effective Dose Equivalent Top of Active Fuel - PWR Total Protective Action Recommendation Dose Technical Specifications Technical Support Center Technical Support Center Technical Support Team Leader Technical Support Team Member	
UE UFSAR UHS USCG	- - -	Unusual Event Updated Final Safety Analysis Report Ultimate Heat Sink United States Coast Guard	
VCT VDC VLV	- - -	Volume Control Tank Volts Direct Current Valve	
WB WR	-	Whole Body Wide Range	

Page 6 of 6Rev. 0 (draft E)Abbreviations & Acronyms

## Attachment 5 – SGS-to-NEI 99-01 EAL Cross-Reference

This cross-reference is provided to facilitate association and location of a Salem Generating Station EAL within the NEI 99-01 IC/EAL identification scheme. Further information regarding the development of the SGS EALs based on the NEI guidance can be found in the EAL Comparison Matrix.

SGS	NEI 99-01		
EAL	IC	Example EAL	
RU1.1	AU1	1	
RU1.2	AU1	1	
RU1.3	AU1	3	
RU2.1	AU2	1	
RU2.2	AU2	2	
RA1.1	AA1	1	
RA1.2	AA1	1	
RA1.3	AA1	3	
RA2.1	AA2	2	
RA2.2	AA2	1	
RA3.1	AA3	1	
RS1.1	AS1	1	
RS1.2	AS1	2	
RS1.3	AS1	4	
RG1.1	AG1	1	
RG1.2	AG1	2	
RG1.3	AG1	4	
CU1.1	CU3	1	
CU2.1	CU7	1	
CU3.1	CU1	1	
CU3.2	CU2	2	

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

SGS	NEIS	99-01
EAL	IC	Example EAL
CU3.3	CU2	1
CU4.1	CU4	1
CU4.2	CU4	2
CU5.1	ÇU6	1, 2
CU6.1	CU8	1:00
CA1.1	CA3	1
CA3.1	CA1	1-
CA3.2	CA1	2 ,
CA4.1	CA4	1, 2
CS3.2	CS1	3
CG3.2	CG1	2
EU1.1	E-HU1	1.
HU1.1	HU1	1
HU1,2	HU1	, 2
HU1.3	HU1	4
HU1.4	HU1	3
HU1.5	HŲ1	5
HU2.1	HU2	1
HU2.2	HU2	2
HU3.1	HU3	1
HU3.2	HU3	2
HU4.1	HU4	1, 2, 3
HU6.1	HU5	1
HA1.1	HA1	1
HA1.2	HA1	2
HA1.3	HA1	4
HĀ1.4	HA1	3
HA1.6	HA1	5

Salem

Page 2 of 6

Rev. 0 (draft E)

SGS	NEIS	99-01
EAL	IC	Example EAL
HA2.1	HA2	1
HA2.2	HA2	1
HA3.1	HA3	1
HA4.1	HA4	1, 2
HA5.1	HA5	1
HA6.1	HA6	1
HS4.1	HS4	1
HS5.1	HS2	1
HS6.1	HS3	1
HG4.1	HG1	1, 2
HG6.1	HG2	1
SU1.1	SU1	1
SU3.1	SU8	2
SU4.1	SU2	1
SU5.1	SU3	1
SU6.1	SU6	1, 2
SU7.1	SU4	1
SU7.2	SU4	2
SU8.1	SU5	1, 2
SA1.1	SA5	1
SA3.1	SA2	1
SA5.1	SA4	1
SS1.1	SS1	1
SS2.1	SS3	1
SS3.1	SS2	1
SS5.1	SS6	1
SG1.1	SG1	1
SG3.1	SG2	· 1

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

#### EP-SC-111-234

SGS NEI 99-01	
EAL	Barrier Threshold
FB1-L	FC Loss 1
FB4-L	FC Loss 2
FB2-L	FC Loss 3
FB3-L	FC Loss 6
FB5-L	FC Loss 8
FB1-P	FC P-Loss 1
FB2-P	FC P-Loss 1
FB3-P	FC P-Loss 3
FB4-P	FC P-Loss 4
FB5-P	FC P-Loss 8
RB2-L	RCS Loss 2
RB3-L	RCS Loss 4
RB1-L	RCS Loss 6
RB4-L	RCS Loss 8
RB1-P	RCS P-Loss 1
RB2-P	RCS P-Loss 1
RB3-P	RCS P-Loss 2
RB4-P	RCS P-Loss 8
CB1-L	CNTMT Loss 2
CB2-L	CNTMT Loss 2
CB3-L	CNTMT Loss 4
CB4-L	CNTMT Loss 4
CB5-L	CNTMT Loss 5
CB6-L	CNTMT Loss 8
CB1-P	CNTMT P-Loss 1
CB6-P	CNTMT P-Loss 2
CB7-P	CNTMT P-Loss 2

## Fission Product Barrier EALs

Salem

Page 4 of 6

Rev. 0 (draft E)

SGS	NEI 99-01
EAL	Barrier Threshold
CB8-P	CNTMT P-Loss 2
CB3-P	CNTMT P-Loss 3
CB4-P	CNTMT P-Loss 3
CB5-P	CNTMT P-Loss 6
CB2-P	CNTMT P-Loss 7
CB9-P	CNTMT P-Loss 8

Salem

Rev. 0 (draft E)

This page intentionally blank

## Purpose:

This is a reference document that contains the methodology and calculations used in developing the thresholds for radiological release based Emergency Action Levels (EALs). The radiological EALs covered under this document are based on EALs AU1, AA1, AS1 and AG1 in NEI-99-01, Rev. 05, "Methodology for Development of Emergency Action Levels".

#### **Reference Materials:**

- NEI 99-01, Rev. 05 Methodology for Development of Emergency Action Levels, EALs AU1, AA1, AS1 and AG1
- NEI 99-01, Rev. 05 Appendix A: Basis for Radiological Effluent EALs
- Salem ODCM Rev. 24
- EPA 400-R-92-001, Manual or Protective Action Guides and Protective Actions for Nuclear Incidents

#### Terms & Calculation Constants and origin:

- ODCM Offsite Dose Calculation Manual
- Hours in one year: 365.25 days X 24 hrs/day = 8766 hours
- EDE Effective Dose Equivalent
- CDE Committed Dose Equivalent
- CEDE Committed Effective Dose Equivalent = CDE X Weighting Factor (thyroid per 10CFR20)
- TEDE Total Effective Dose Equivalent = EDE + CEDE
- PAG Protective Action Guideline: Per EPA = 1000mRem TEDE dose or 5000 mRem thyroid dose. Actual or projected values above these guidelines will require offsite protective actions to be implemented.
- ODCM Rad Effluent Limit 500 mRem/year is a total site Noble Gas limit that includes Salem 1, Salem 2 and Hope Creek. Therefore, Salem will have an administratively controlled limit of ½ the total site limit or 250 mRem/year for EAL calculation purposes.
- Allocation Factor (AF) = .5 As defined in the Salem ODCM, (page 83) this is an administrative control imposed to ensure that the combined releases from Salem Units 1 and 2 and Hope Creek will not exceed the regulatory limit from the site. The Site AF is only used in the UE and Alert EALs.

- X/Q = Site Specific Atmospheric dispersion to the site boundary. U1 and U2 Value = 2.2E-06 sec/m<sup>3</sup>.
  Origin Salem ODCM, Rev. 24, Table 2-2.1 and 2-2.2, Parameters for Gaseous Alarm Setpoint Determinations.
- DRCF = Site Specific Dose Rate Conversion Factor. U1 and U2 Value = 4.7E+02 mrem/year per uCi/m<sup>3</sup>. Origin Salem ODCM, Rev. 24, Table C-1, Effective Dose Factors, Noble Gases Total Body and Skin Total Body Effective Dose Factor.

Index: (Radiological Release EAL Calculations)

Salem EALS:	Page #:
Unusual Event EAL AU1.1 – (Default Release Rate EAL)	4
Unusual Event EAL AU1.2 – (2 time alarm) No Calc needed	_
Unusual Event EAL AU1.3 – (Sample Analysis Concentration)	5
Unusual Event EAL AU1.4 – (Perimeter Monitoring System - NA)	-
Unusual Event EAL AU1.5 – (Dose Assessment - NA)	-
	and the second
Alert EAL AA1.1 – (Default Release Rate EAL)	6
Alert EAL AA1.2 – (200 time alarm) No Calc needed	and the second
Alert EAL AA1.3 – (Sample Analysis Concentration)	7
Alert EAL AA1.4 - (Perimeter Monitoring System - NA)	and the second
Alert EAL AA1.5 – (Dose Assessment- NA)	-
	na shakar ta ar a shekar a sh
Site Area Emergency EAL AS1.1 – (Default Release Rate EAL)	8
Site Area Emergency EAL AS1.2 – (Dose Assessment)	9
Site Area Emergency EAL AS1.3 – (Perimeter Monitoring Sys - NA)	-
Site Area Emergency EAL AS1.4 – (PA boundary dose rate)	10
Site Area Emergency EAL AS1.4 – (I-131 Field Survey Sample Analysis)	11
	10
General Emergency EAL AGI.1 – (Default Release Rate EAL)	12
General Emergency EAL AG1.2 – (Dose Assessment)	. 13
General Emergency EAL AG1.3 – (Perimeter Monitoring Sys - NA)	-
General Emergency EAL AG1.4 – (PA boundary dose rate)	14
General Emergency EAL AG1.4 – (I-131 Field Survey Sample Analysis)	15

Submitted By:	Craig Banner	_ Date: 12-15-2009_
EP Review By:	Gary Young	_ Date: 12-17-2009_
Technical Review:	_Jenny Shelton	_ Date: 06-04-2010_
Salem SFAM Review:	Phil Quick	_ Date: 06-07-2010_
HC SFAM Review:	John Molner	_ Date: 06-04-2010_
CFAM Approval:	David Burgin	Date: 06-09-2010_

.

# Calculation for: Unusual Event EAL AU1.1 – (Default Release Rate EAL)

# **Objective of Calculation:**

Provide a Salem Radiological Release Rate value that equates to a Release that is > 2 times the ODCM limit of 500 mRem/year.

# Discussion:

The ODCM limit of 500 mRem/year is a total site limit that includes Salem 1, Salem 2 and Hope Creek. Therefore, Salem 1 &2 will have an administratively controlled limit of ½ the total site limit or 250 mRem/year for EAL calculation purposes.

This EAL does not include Iodine Release Rates, since the Plant Vent does not have an Iodine detector.

Release Rate = Total Noble Gas Release Rate from Salem (Unit 1 & Unit 2) which would result in a TEDE Dose Rate of 250 mRem/year, The EAL value will be 2 times this release rate.

# **Derivation / Calculation:**

Radiological ODCM Limit Calculation for Noble Gas:

., *i* 

. . . .

Release Rate (uCi/Sec) =  $\frac{ODCMLimit(m \operatorname{Re} m / year) * (SiteAllocationFactor)}{(ODCM X / Q) * (ODCM DRCF)}$ 

ODCM Limit = 500 mRem/Year Salem ODCM X/Q =  $2.20E-06 \text{ sec/m}^3$ Salem ODCM DRCF =  $4.70E+02 \text{ mRem/yr/uCi/m}^3$ Site Allocation Factor = 5.00E-01

Release Rate (uCi/Sec) =  $\frac{(500m \operatorname{Re} m/yr)^* (5.00E - 01)}{(2.20E - 06 \operatorname{sec}/m^3)^* (4.70E + 02m \operatorname{Re} m/yr/\mu Ci/m^3)}$ 

Release Rate = 2.42E+05 uCi/Sec (Also the ODCM Limit Release Rate Value)

EAL Value = 2 times the Release Rate

UE EAL Value: (EAL # RU1.1)

Total (S1 & S2) Noble Gas Release Rate > 4.84E+05  $\mu$ Ci/sec

Salem

## Calculation for: <u>Unusual Event EAL AU1.3 – (Sample Analysis Concentration)</u>

## Objective of Calculation:

Provide a Radiological Release Noble Gas and Iodine Sample Concentration that equates to a Release that is > 2 times the ODCM limit of 500 mRem/year.

## Discussion:

The ODCM limit of 500 mRem/year (Noble Gas/Total Body) and 1500mRem/year (I-131/Child Thyroid) is a total site limit that includes Salem 1, Salem 2 and Hope Creek. Therefore, Salem 1&2 will have an administratively controlled limit (allocation factor) of ½ the total site limit or 250 mRem/year (Noble Gas/Total Body) and 750 mRem/year (I-131/Child Thyroid) for EAL calculation purposes. This allocation factor is used in the calculation that derived the Noble Gas and Iodine release rates.

## Derivation / Calculation:

Calculation of the threshold sample concentrations are as follows:

Formula: Concentration (uCi/cc) =  $\frac{SingleUnit ReleaseRate * 2}{ConversionFactor * VentFlowRate}$ 

Noble Gas Sample Concentration =  $\frac{1.21E + 05\mu Ci/\sec^2 2}{472x80000cfm} = 6.4E-03 \ \mu Ci/cc$ 

*I-131 Sample Concentration* =  $\frac{10.5E + 00\mu Ci/\sec^2 2}{472x80000cfm}$  = 5.6E-07 µCi/cc

Where:

- Single Unit (U1 or U2) Release Rate (Noble Gas) = Total Noble Gas Release Rate from Salem (Unit 1 & Unit 2) as derived for EAL AU1.1 split between Unit 1 and 2 (divided by 2) = 2.42E+05 uCi/Sec/2 = 1.21E+05 uCi/Sec per Unit.
- Single Unit (UI or U2) Release Rate (Thyroid/I-131) = 10.5 uCi/Sec per unit as per ODCM, Rev. 24, Section 2.3.2
- 2 = EAL criteria of 2X ODCM value
- $472 = \text{conversion factor } (28,317 \text{ cc/ft}^3 \text{ x } 1 \text{ min/60 sec})$
- 80000 cfm = Plant Vent Flow (normal)

# UE EAL Values: (EAL# RU1.3)

# Noble Gas Sample Concentration > $6.4E-03 \mu$ Ci/cc I-131 Sample Concentration > $5.6E-07 \mu$ Ci/cc

#### Calculation for: <u>ALERT EAL AA1.1 - (Default Release Rate EAL)</u>

#### Objective of Calculation:

Provide a Radiological Release Rate value that equates to a Release that is > 200 times the ODCM limit of 500 mRem/year.

#### Discussion:

The ODCM limit of 500 mRem/year is a total site limit that includes Salem 1, Salem 2 and Hope Creek. Therefore, Salem 1 &2 will have an administratively controlled limit of ½ the total site limit or 250 mRem/year for EAL calculation purposes.

This EAL does not include Iodine Release Rates, since the Plant Vent does not have an Iodine detector.

Release Rate = Total Noble Gas Release Rate from Salem (Unit 1 & Unit 2) which would result in a TEDE Dose Rate of 250 mRem/year. The EAL Value will be > 200 times the release rate.

، ۲۰ محمد محمد ۲۰۰۰ ... ۲۰۱۰ آن ۲۰۱۰ ...

#### Derivation / Calculation:

ODCM Limit Calculation for Noble Gas:

Release Rate (uCi/Sec) = 
$$\frac{(ODCMLimit - m \operatorname{Re} m / \overline{y}ear) * (SiteAllocationFactor)}{(ODCMX/Q) * (ODCMDRCF)}$$

ODCM Limit = 500 mRem/Year Salem ODCM  $X/Q = 2.20E-06 \text{ sec/m}^3$ 

Salem ODCM DRCF = 4.70E+02 mRem/yr/uCi/m<sup>3</sup> Site Allocation Factor = 5.00E-01

Release Rate (uCi/Sec) = 
$$\frac{(500m \operatorname{Re} m / yr) * (5.00E - 01)}{(2.20E - 06 \operatorname{sec}/m^3) * (4.70E + 02m \operatorname{Re} m / yr / \mu Ci / m^3)}$$

Release Rate = 2.42E+05 uCi/Sec

EAL Value = > 200 times the Release Rate

Alert EAL Value: (EAL# RA1.1)

Total (S1 & S2) Noble Gas Release Rate > 4.84E+07  $\mu$ Ci/sec

# Calculation for: ALERT EAL AA1.3 - (Sample Analysis Concentration)

## **Objective of Calculation:**

Provide a Radiological Release Noble Gas and Iodine Sample Concentration that equates to a Release that is > 200 times the ODCM limit of 500 mRem/year.

## Discussion:

The ODCM limit of 500 mRem/year (Noble Gas/Total Body) and 1500mRem/year (I-131/Child Thyroid) is a total site limit that includes Salem 1, Salem 2 and Hope Creek. Therefore, Salem 1&2 will have an administratively controlled limit (allocation factor) of ½ the total site limit or 250 mRem/year (Noble Gas/Total Body) and 750 mRem/year (I-131/Child Thyroid) for EAL calculation purposes. This allocation factor is used in the calculation that derived the Noble Gas and Iodine release rates.

## Derivation / Calculation:

Calculation of the threshold sample concentrations are as follows:

Formula: Concentration (uCi/cc) = 
$$\frac{SingleUnit Re leaseRate * 200}{ConversionFactor * VentFlowRate}$$

Noble Gas Sample Concentration =  $\frac{1.21E + 05 \mu Ci / \sec^* 200}{472 x 80000 c fm} = 6.4 \text{E-01} \ \mu \text{Ci/cc}$ 

*I-131 Sample Concentration* =  $\frac{10.5E + 00 \mu Ci / \sec^2 200}{472 x 80000 c fin}$  = **5.6E-05** µCi/cc

Where:

- Single Unit (U1 or U2) Release Rate (Noble Gas) = Total Noble Gas Release Rate from Salem (Unit 1 & Unit 2) as derived for EAL AU1.1 split between Unit 1 and 2 (divided by 2) = 2.42E+05 uCi/Sec/2 = 1.21E+05 uCi/Sec per Unit.
- Single Unit (UI and U2) Release Rate (Thyroid/I-131) = 10.5 uCi/Sec per unit as per ODCM, Rev. 24, Section 2.3.2
- 200 = EAL criteria of > 200X ODCM value
- $472 = \text{conversion factor } (28,317 \text{ cc/ft}^3 \text{ x } 1 \text{ min/60 sec})$
- 80000 cfin = Plant Vent Flow (normal)

Alert EAL Values: (EAL# RA1.3)

# Noble Gas Sample Concentration > $6.4E-01 \mu Ci/cc$ I-131 Sample Concentration > $5.6E-05 \mu Ci/cc$

# Calculation for: SITE AREA EMERGENCY - EAL AS1.1 - (Default Release Rate EAL)

 $t_{\rm eff}$ 

# **Objective of Calculation:**

Provide a Radiological Release Rate value that equates to a Release resulting in an offsite dose of > 100 mrem EDE at or beyond the site boundary.

# Discussion:

This IC addresses radioactivity releases that result in doses at or beyond the site boundary that exceed 10% of the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public.

The monitor reading EALs should be determined using a dose assessment method that back calculates from the dose values specified in the IC. Since doses are generally not monitored in real-time, it is suggested that a release duration of one hour be assumed, and that the EALs be based on a site specific boundary (or beyond) dose of >100 mrem whole body. Iodine Release Rates for this EAL are excluded since the Plant Vent Radiation Monitoring System does not include an Iodine detector.

The meteorology and source term used are the same as used for determining AU1 and AA1 monitor reading EALs.

Release Rate = Total Noble Gas Release Rate from Salem (Unit 1 & Unit 2) which would result in a EDE Dose Rate of > 100mRem/hr at the site boundary or beyond.

# Derivation / Calculation:

Radiological Limit Calculation for Noble Gas:

Release Rate (uCi/Sec) = 
$$\frac{(10\% of PAG)m \operatorname{Re} m(accumulated in1hour)}{(ODCM X / Q) * (ODCM DRCF)}$$

10% of PAG = 100 mRem dose accumulated in 1 hour Salem ODCM X/Q = 2.20E-06 sec/m<sup>3</sup> Salem ODCM DRCF = 5.36E-02 mRem/hr/uCi/m<sup>3</sup> (4.70E+02 mRem/yr/uCi/m<sup>3</sup> / 8766 hrs/yr) Site Allocation Factor = not used for SAE and GE EALs

Release Rate (uCi/Sec) = 
$$\frac{100m \operatorname{Re} m(doseaccumulatedin1hr)}{(2.20E - 06 \operatorname{sec}/m^3) * (5.36E - 02m \operatorname{Re} m/hr/\mu Ci/m^3)}$$

# SAE EAL Value: (EAL# RS1.1)

Total (S1 & S2) Noble Gas Release Rate > 8.48E+08 uCi/Sec

# Salem

## Calculation for: SITE AREA EMERGENCY - EAL AS1.2 - (Dose Assessment)

#### **Objective of Calculation:**

Using actual meteorology, provide a dose assessment SSCL threshold TEDE 4-Day Dose value that is equivalent to a TEDE dose of >100 mRem and a Thyroid-CDE Dose of 500 mRem.

## Discussion:

This IC addresses radioactivity releases that result in doses at or beyond the site boundary that exceed 10% of the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Public protective actions will be necessary. Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public and involve fuel damage.

#### Derivation / Calculation:

The dose assessment output on the SSCL is reported at varying distances from the plant as a TEDE 4-Day dose. This TEDE 4-day dose assumes a 4 hr release duration. To obtain the approximate dose for a projected release condition of 1 hour, the TEDE 4-day dose value would need to be divided by 4.

A TEDE 4-Day Dose > 4.0E+02 mRem correspond directly to an EDE dose rate value of 100 mRem/hr and exceeds 10% of the EPA Protective Actions Guides (PAGs). The Thyroid-CDE Dose > 2.0E+03 mRem correspond directly to an CDE dose rate value of 500 mRem/hr and exceeds 10% of the EPA Protective Actions Guides (PAGs) which was established in consideration of the 1:5 ratio of the EPA PAG for TEDE and thyroid CDE..

Dose Assessment using actual meteorological data provides an accurate indication of release magnitude. The use of dose assessment based EALs is therefore preferred over the use of Release Rate based EALs which utilize calculations which have built-in inaccuracies because ODCM default Meteorological data is used.

# SAE EALs Values: (EAL# RS1.2)

# Dose Assessment TEDE 4-Day Dose > 4.0E+02 mRem

**Dose Assessment CDE Dose > 2.0E+03 mRem -** based on Dose Assessment using Plant Vent effluent isotopic sample analysis as input to MIDAS and NOT based on a default Noble Gas to Iodine Ratio

# Calculation for: SITE AREA EMERGENCY - EAL AS1.4 - (PA boundary dose rate)

## **Objective of Calculation:**

Provide a **PROTECTED AREA** Boundary dose rate that equates to an offsite dose of > 100 mRem EDE.

# Discussion:

This IC addresses radioactivity releases that result in field survey results (closed window) dose rates greater than 100 mR/hr expected to continue for 60 minutes or longer at or beyond the site boundary. This value exceeds 10% of the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public.

## **Derivation / Calculation:**

A Field Measured Dose Rate of > 1.0E+02 mRem/hr corresponds directly to a dose values that exceed 10% of the EPA Protective Actions Guides (PAGs).

# SAE EAL Value: (EAL# RS1.3)

# Dose Rate > 100 mRem/hr

1

Salem

# Calculation for: SITE AREA EMERGENCY - EAL AS1.4 - (I-131 Field Survey Sample Analysis)

## **Objective of Calculation:**

Provide a Field Survey Sample Analysis value that equates to an offsite release that would result in a dose of > 500 mRem Thyroid CDE at or beyond the **PROTECTED AREA** Boundary.

# Discussion:

This EAL addresses a radioactivity release field survey I-131 sample concentration or count rate that would result in a Thyroid CDE dose of greater than 500 mRem for one hour of inhalation at or beyond the site boundary. This value exceeds 10% of the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public.

The Iodine-131 field survey sample concentration and count rate threshold is based on I-131 dose conversion factors (DCFs) from EPA-400. The thresholds are based on a Thyroid-CDE Dose Rate of > 500 mRem/hr for I-131.

Field Survey I-131 Sample Analysis results are provided as a sample concentration in units of uCi/cc for field samples counted in a Multi-Channel-Analyzer (MCA).

# Derivation / Calculation:

The release sample concentration calculations are as follows.

The sample concentration is calculated using the I-131 Dose Conversion Factor from EPA-400: Solving the following equation for  $\mu$ Ci/cc:

$$mRem/hr = (\mu Ci/cc)(Dose Conversion Factor)$$

Then;

*I-131 Sample Concentration* ( $\mu$ Ci/cc) = ( $\frac{500m \text{Re}m / hr}{1.30E + 09m \text{Re}m / \mu$ Ci / cc / hr}) = **3.85E-07**  $\mu$ Ci/cc

Where 1.30E+09 mRem/ $\mu$ Ci/cc/hr is the Dose Conversion Factor from EPA-400, Table 5-4, Thyroid Dose, and includes the EPA breathing rate.

SAE EAL Values: (EAL# RS1.3)

I-131 Concentration > 3.85E-07  $\mu$ Ci/cc

#### Calculation for: <u>GENERAL EMERGENCY - EAL AG1.1 - (Default Release Rate EAL)</u>

#### **Objective of Calculation:**

Provide a Radiological Release Rate value that equates to a Release resulting in an offsite dose of > 1000 mrem EDE at or beyond the site boundary.

#### Discussion:

This IC addresses radioactivity releases that result in doses at or beyond the site boundary that exceed the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Public protective actions will be necessary. Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public and likely involve fuel damage.

The monitor reading EALs should be determined using a dose assessment method that back calculates from the dose values specified in the IC. Since doses are generally not monitored in real-time, it is suggested that a release duration of one hour be assumed, and that the EALs be based on a site specific boundary (or beyond) dose of > 1000 mrem whole body. Iodine Release Rates for this EAL are excluded since the Plant Vent Radiation Monitoring System does not include an Iodine detector.

The meteorology and source term used are the same as used for determining AU1 and AA1 monitor reading EALs.

Release Rate = Total Noble Gas Release Rate from Salem (Unit 1 & Unit 2) which would result in a TEDE Dose Rate of > 1000 mRem/hr at the site boundary or beyond.

# Derivation / Calculation:

Radiological Effluent Technical Specifications/ODCM Limit Calculation for Noble Gas:

Release Rate (uCi/Sec) =  $\frac{100\% PAG(m \text{Re}m)Accumulatedin1hr}{(ODCMX/Q)*(ODCMDRCF)}$ 

100% of PAG = 1000 mRem accumulated in 1 hour Salem ODCM X/Q = 2.20E-06 sec/m<sup>3</sup> Salem ODCM DRCF = 5.36E-02 mRem/hr/uCi/m<sup>3</sup> (4.70E+02 mRem/yr/uCi/m<sup>3</sup> / 8766 hrs/yr) Site Allocation Factor = not used for SAE and GE EALs Release Rate (uCi/Sec) =  $\frac{1000m \text{Re} m(doseaccumulatedin1hr)}{(2.20E - 06 \text{sec}/m^3)*(5.36E - 02m \text{Re} m/hr / \muCi/m^3)}$ 

GE EAL Value: (EAL# RG1.1)

Total (S1 & S2) Noble Gas Release Rate > 8.48E+09 uCi/Sec

Salem

## Calculation for: <u>GENERAL EMERGENCY</u> - <u>EAL AG1.2</u> - (Dose Assessment)

#### **Objective of Calculation:**

Using actual meteorology, provide a dose assessment SSCL threshold TEDE 4-Day Dose value that is equivalent to a TEDE dose of > 1000 mRem and a Thyroid-CDE Dose of > 5000 mRem.

#### Discussion:

This IC addresses radioactivity releases that result in doses at or beyond the site boundary that exceed the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Public protective actions will be necessary. Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public and involve fuel damage.

#### Derivation / Calculation:

The dose assessment output on the SSCL is reported at varying distances from the plant as a TEDE 4-Day dose. This TEDE 4-day dose assumes a 4 hr release duration. To obtain the approximate dose for a projected release condition of 1 hour, the TEDE 4-day dose value would need to be divided by 4.

A TEDE 4-Day Dose > 4.0E+03 mRem correspond directly to an EDE dose rate value of >1000 mRem/hr and exceeds the EPA Protective Actions Guides (PAGs). The Thyroid-CDE Dose > 2.0E+04 mRem correspond directly to an CDE dose rate value of > 5000 mRem/hr and exceeds the EPA Protective Actions Guides (PAGs) which was established in consideration of the 1:5 ratio of the EPA PAG for TEDE and thyroid CDE.

Dose Assessment using actual meteorological data provides an accurate indication of release magnitude. The use of dose assessment based EALs is therefore preferred over the use of Release Rate based EALs which utilize calculations which have built-in inaccuracies because ODCM default Meteorological data is used.

# GE EAL Values: (EAL# RG1.2)

# Dose Assessment TEDE 4-Day Dose > 4.0E+03 mRem

**Dose Assessment CDE Dose > 2.0E+04 mRem -** based on Dose Assessment using Plant Vent effluent isotopic sample analysis as input to MIDAS and NOT based on a default Noble Gas to Iodine Ratio

# Salem Radiological EAL Setpoint Calculation Document NEI 99-01, Rev. 05 EALs

# Calculation for: <u>GENERAL EMERGENCY - EAL AG1.4 - (PA boundary dose rate)</u>

# **Objective of Calculation:**

Provide a **PROTECTED AREA** Boundary dose rate that equates to an offsite dose of > 1000 mRem EDE.

# Discussion:

This IC addresses radioactivity releases that result in doses at or beyond the site boundary that exceed the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Public protective actions will be necessary. Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public and involves fuel damage.

# Derivation / Calculation:

A Field Measured Dose Rate of > 1.0E+03 mRem/hr corresponds directly to a dose values that exceed the EPA Protective Actions Guides (PAGs).

# GE EAL Value: (EAL# RG1.3)

Dose Rate > 1000 mRem/hr

n an an an an ann an Arland ann an Arland an Arland an Arland an Arland. An an Arland an Arland. Arland an Arland.

a the second second

## Calculation for: GENERAL EMERGENCY - EAL AG1.4 - (I-131 Field Survey Sample Analysis)

#### Objective of Calculation:

Provide a Field Survey Sample Analysis value that equates to an offsite release that would result in a dose of > 5000 mRem Thyroid CDE at or beyond the **PROTECTED AREA** Boundary.

#### Discussion:

This EAL addresses a radioactivity release field survey I-131 sample concentration or count rate that would result in a Thyroid CDE dose of greater than 5000 mRem for one hour of inhalation at or beyond the site boundary. This value exceeds the EPA Protective Action Guides (PAGs). Releases of this magnitude are associated with the failure of plant systems needed for the protection of the public.

The Iodine-131 field survey sample concentration and count rate threshold is based on I-131 dose conversion factors (DCFs) from EPA-400. The thresholds are based on a Thyroid-CDE Dose Rate of > 5000 mRem/hr for I-131.

Field Survey I-131 Sample Analysis results are provided as sample concentration in units of uCi/cc for field samples counted in a Multi-Channel-Analyzer (MCA).

#### Derivation / Calculation:

The release sample concentration calculations are as follows.

The sample concentration is calculated using the I-131 Dose Conversion Factor from EPA-400: Solving the following equation for  $\mu$ Ci/cc:

mRem/hr =  $(\mu Ci/cc)$ (Dose Conversion Factor)

Then;

*I-131 Sample Concentration* ( $\mu$ Ci/cc) = ( $\frac{5000m \operatorname{Re}m/hr}{1.30E + 09m \operatorname{Re}m/\mu Ci/cc/hr}$ ) = **3.85E-06**  $\mu$ Ci/cc

Where 1.30E+09 mRem/ $\mu$ Ci/cc/hr is the Dose Conversion Factor from EPA-400, Table 5-4, Thyroid Dose, and includes the EPA breathing rate.

GE EAL Values: (EAL# RG1.3)

# I-131 Concentration > 3.85E-06 $\mu$ Ci/cc

Salem and Hope Creek Generating Stations

EAL Review Supporting Document

THREAT ASSESSMENT Procedure SY-AA-101-132

٩

s,

ł

# PSEG NUCLEAR SECURITY SY-AA-101-132 Revision 11 THREAT ASSESSMENT

#### **REVISION SUMMARY:**

- Step 4.5.2 was revised to add greater detail to the reference to SY-AA-101-108. This change specifically address the requirements of 10 CFR 73.55 (g)(4) In response to a site-specific credible threat or other credible information, implement a two-person (line-of-sight) rule for all personnel in vital areas so that no one individual is permitted access to a vital area. (Order 80099993 0930)
- 2. As a result of this review, Step 6.1 was changed from "Commitments" to "Regulatory References" to align with the terms and definitions of LS-AA-110, Commitment Management. Step 6.3.6, previously listed as a writer reference, was moved to Step 6.1.1 and reference to 10 CFR 73.55 (g)(4) was added at Step 6.1.2.

#### IMPLEMENTATION REQUIREMENTS

None

.

-

# THREAT ASSESSMENT

# TABLE OF CONTENT

<u>SEC</u>	TION TITLE PAGE
1.	Purpose
2.	TERMS AND DEFINITIONS
3.	RESPONSIBILITIES
4.	MAIN BODY4
4.1.	General Information4
4.2.	Discovery or Receipt of a threat5
4.3.	Investigation
4.4.	Threat Disposition6
4.5.	Follow-up Actions7
5.	DOCUMENTATION
6.	REFERENCES
7.	ATTACHMENTS
<u>AT1</u>	ACHMENTS
Atta	chment 1, Threat Probability and Risk Logic10
Atta	chment 2, Identification, Assessment and Handling of Potential Security Threats

.

# THREAT ASSESSMENT

#### 1. PURPOSE

- 1.1. This procedure sets forth the model to be used as a tool to consider, analyze, and respond to various levels of threats specifically focused toward Salem /Hope Creek Generating Station.
- 1.2. This procedure delineates the responsibilities of the Nuclear Security Director, Security Operations Manager, and the Shift Manager.

#### 2. TERMS AND DEFINITIONS

- 2.1. <u>Threat</u> an expression of intent to do harm or act out violently against someone or something. A threat can be spoken, written, symbolic, or observed.
- 2.1.1. <u>Non-Credible Threat</u> a threat which poses a minimal or <u>no</u> risk to the safe operation of the facility or to personal and public safety.
- 2.1.2. <u>Credible / Possible Threat</u> a threat which poses some risk to the safe operation of the facility or to personal and public safety.
- 2.1.3. <u>Credible / Actual Threat</u> a threat which poses a likely and serious danger to the safe operation of the facility or to personal and public safety.
- 2.2. <u>Threat Assessment</u> an evaluation of a threat, based on physical evidence, observation, the receipt of information however obtained, and its interpretation.
- 2.3. <u>Direct Information</u> identifies a specific act against specific target(s) and is delivered in a straightforward and explicit manner (usually with precise details).
- 2.4. <u>Indirect Information</u> tends to be vague, unclear, and ambiguous. The plan, the intended target(s), motivation, and other aspects tend to be masked or unknown.
- 2.5. <u>Veiled Information</u> strongly implies, but does <u>not</u> explicitly threaten an act of violence against target(s); usually a verbal or written hint that "something" will happen.
- 2.6. <u>Conditional Information</u> is often used for extortion and warns of a violent act that will occur unless certain terms or conditions are met.
- 2.7. Cyber-Security Threats related to electrical rotating equipment.
  - The vulnerability that pertains to Digital Protection Control Devices (DPCD) such as protective relays, programmable logic controllers, bay controllers, and other devices that can control breaker closure operations has been mitigated both onsite and off-site in accordance with PSEG's response to NRC letter "Control Systems Vulnerability," dated June 22, 2007.
  - Because these types of threat have been mitigated, <u>no</u> additional Nuclear Power Plant Operator actions are required.

## 3. **RESPONSIBILITIES**

#### 3.1. Security Operations Manager

- 3.1.1. <u>Security Operations Manager and/or designated alternates shall conduct and disposition threat assessment while notifying and working in coordination with appropriate Station personnel.</u>
- 3.1.2. <u>Security Operations Manager</u> and/or designated alternates shall conduct threat assessment in coordination with applicable Federal, State, and Local Law Enforcement Agencies, when necessary.
- 3.2. <u>Shift Manager</u>
- 3.2.1. <u>Shift Manager</u> or designated alternate shall assist Security with any ongoing threat assessment. Responsible for contacting NRC Operations Center when applicable.

#### 4. MAIN BODY

- 4.1. <u>General Information</u>
- 4.1.1. Examples of possible threats:
  - Attack threat (against facility)
  - Attack threat (against personnel)
  - Vehicular bomb threat
  - Water borne threat
  - Bomb threat
  - Chemical / biological threat
- 4.1.2. **ENSURE** <u>every</u> threat is taken seriously until analysis and/or investigation determines threat is <u>non</u>-credible, of limited impact, or has been resolved.
- 4.1.3. **NOTIFY** Security Operations Manager and Shift Manager of the potential threat and that a threat assessment is being performed.

NOTE:	All threats are	not equal i	n the le	evel of	seriousness.	

- 4.1.4. **CONDUCT** threat assessment in a timely manner to assure appropriate classification, disposition and response.
- 4.1.5. **ENSURE** an effective threat assessment considers all of the information (symptoms) to evaluate threat (similar to how a doctor would approach a medical diagnosis).
- NOTE: Assessment must be based on the collective analysis of all factors relating to the threat. Do **not** use only certain details of the threat to drive the outcome.
  - 1. **EVALUATE** all available information of threat.
- 4.1.6. **MAINTAIN** all materials, documents, or messages relating to a threat.

- NOTE: Whenever threat information is received directly via a telephone message, attempt, if possible, to validate the reliability of the source providing the information (i.e., contact the organization for which the caller asserted affiliation). Response actions should **not** be delayed—they should be performed in parallel with the validation.
- 4.2. Discovery or Receipt of a threat
- 4.2.1. If a threat has been received from NRC and is classified as credible by them, then do not conduct an additional threat assessment:
  - 1. **ENSURE** the following notifications are made:
    - NOTIFY Shift Manager
    - Shift Manager shall **CONTACT** NRC Operations Center.
  - 2. **PROCEED** to section 4.5.
- 4.2.2. If threat has been received from one of the following, then CONTACT NRC to assist in validating threat:
  - Department of Homeland Security (DHS)
    - Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI)
    - Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)
    - North American Aerospace Defense Command (NORAD)
  - 1. **If** NRC validates the information as credible, **then** do <u>**not**</u> conduct additional threat assessment.
    - A. **ENSURE** the following notifications are made:
      - NOTIFY Shift Manager.
      - Shift Manager shall **CONTACT** NRC Operations Center.
    - B. **PROCEED** to section 4.5.
  - 2. If NRC does <u>not</u> validate the information as credible, then CONDUCT a threat assessment.
    - **PROCEED** to section 4.3.
- 4.2.3. If threat is received from a source other than NRC, FBI, FAA, DHS, or NORAD, then Security shall INITIATE threat assessment process upon discovery and/or receipt of a potential threat.
  - 1. **NOTIFY** Security Operations Manager or Designee.
  - 2. **NOTIFY** Shift Manager.
  - 3. **CONTACT** NRC, via Emergency Notification System (ENS) phone **and REQUEST** assistance in verifying credibility of threat.
  - 4. **NOTIFY** Nuclear Security Director.

## 4.3. <u>Investigation</u>

- NOTE: Specific detail, plans, or preparation usually suggest a higher risk that the threat will occur--whereas a lack of detail, plans or preparation suggest a lower risk that the threat may occur.
- 4.3.1. GATHER and DOCUMENT all information, details, and evidence regarding threat.
  - 1. Specific and plausible details are critical factors in evaluating threat.
  - 2. Details can include, but are **<u>not</u>** limited to:
    - A. Source of the threat.
      - Who made the threat?
      - To who was the threat made?
      - How was the threat communicated?
    - B. Weapons, explosives, other contraband, or suspicious material.
    - C. Impact of threat to equipment or personnel.
      - What will happen or what will be the result if the threat is carried out?
    - D. Reason(s) or motivation for making threat.
    - E. Means or method proposed to carry out threat.
    - F. Date, time, and location threatened act is supposed to occur.
    - G. Information regarding plans or preparations for carrying out threat.
      - Where did, or will it happen?
    - H. Indication or information regarding similar threats.
      - CONSIDER recent, known, or publicized situations or events that have been carried out either inside or outside of nuclear power industry.
- 4.4. <u>Threat Disposition</u>
- 4.4.1. **DISPOSITION** as <u>non-credible</u>, <u>credible/possible</u>, or <u>credible/actual</u> (refer to Attachment 1, Threat Probability and Risk Logic for additional information).
  - 1. **NON-CREDIBLE THREAT -** a threat which poses minimal or <u>no</u> risk to safe operation of facility or to personal and public safety.
    - Threat is vague and indirect.
    - Information is inconsistent, implausible, or lacks sufficient details.
    - There may be a general indication of a possible time and location (but <u>no</u> exact details).
- Threat lacks realism based on comparison to other events inside or outside the nuclear industry.
- There is **no** strong indication that the preparation to carry out the threat has been taken.
- 2. **CREDIBLE / POSSIBLE THREAT -** threat which could pose some risk to safe operation of the facility or to personal and public safety.
  - Threat is direct and specific.
  - Threat suggests that definite steps have been taken toward carrying out threat.
  - Threat may compare closely to other events inside or outside of nuclear industry.
  - Evidence substantiating threat may have been discovered.
  - NRC or DHS (Department of Homeland Security) has confirmed a valid threat regarding cyber-security issues.
- 3. **CREDIBLE / ACTUAL THREAT -** a threat which poses a likely and serious danger to safe operation of the facility or to personal and public safety.
  - Evidence clearly substantiating threat has been discovered.
  - Threat has occurred, is approaching, or is impending within a short time period.
  - NRC or DHS (Department of Homeland Security) has confirmed a valid threat regarding cyber-security issues.

# 4.5. <u>Follow-up Actions</u>

- 4.5.1. If credible threat information is received from the NRC or threat information received from the FBI, FAA, DHS, or NORAD has been validated as credible by the NRC or if information is received from any source and all of the conditions (listed below) are met, then CLASSIFY threat as CREDIBLE / ACTUAL THREAT.
  - Threat is focused at Salem/Hope Creek.
  - Threat is considered credible.
  - Threat is specific.
  - Threat is impending [< 2 hours].
  - 1. **ENSURE** the following actions are taken:
    - IMPLEMENT Emergency Plan.
    - IMPLEMENT Operation's Special Event / Abnormal procedures.
    - REFER to Attachment 2, Identification Assessment and Handling of Potential Security Threat, for further actions and notifications.

- 4.5.2. If conditions listed in Section 4.5.1 have <u>not</u> been met, but threat has still been dispositioned as **CREDIBLE / POSSIBLE**, then Shift Manager to perform the following:
  - **IMPLEMENT** OP-AA-106-101-1002.
  - **NOTIFY** PSEG Senior Management.
  - IMPLEMENT SY-AA-101-108, Section for Existence of Specific, Credible
    Insider Threat (Implementing a two person (line-of-sight) rule in vital areas).
  - REFER to Attachment 2, Identification Assessment and Handling of Potential Security Threat, for further actions and notifications.
- 4.5.3. In <u>all</u> cases, **IMPLEMENT** appropriate actions in accordance with:
  - 1. Station Security Plan
  - 2. Security Contingency procedures
  - 3. Safeguards Event Report
- 4.5.4. **CONTACT** the following off-site agencies as appropriate in accordance with phone list in SY-AA-101-121-1001, Security Communication Network:
  - 1. Local Law Enforcement Agency
  - 2. State Police
  - 3. Regional Operations & Intelligence Center (ROIC)
  - 4. Federal Bureau of Investigation
  - 5. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
- 4.5.5. **INITIATE** notification of appropriate personnel (refer to Attachment 2, Identification, Assessment and Handling of Potential Security Threats).

## 5. **DOCUMENTATION**

- 5.1. As data is collected throughout threat assessment process, it is important that all documents be maintained in an organized and controlled manner at the site.
- 5.2. All follow-up actions should be documented and formally tracked.

## 6. **REFERENCES**

- 6.1. Regulatory References
- 6.1.1. Item B.3.c. of NRC Order for Interim Safeguards and Security Measures dated February 25, 2002 (Attachment 2)
- 6.1.2. 10 CFR 73.55 (g)(4) In response to a site-specific credible threat or other credible information, implement a two-person (line-of-sight) rule for all personnel in vital areas so that no one individual is permitted access to a vital area.

- 6.2. <u>User References</u>
- 6.2.1. SY-AA-101-108, Response to Suspicious Activity and Events Maliciously Directed at Plant or Security
- 6.2.2. SY-AA-101-109, Response to Contingency Events
- 6.2.3. SY-AA-101-111, Threat Advisory Protective Measures System
- 6.2.4. SY-AA-101-121-1001, Security Communication Network
- 6.2.5. OP-AA-106-101, Significant Event Reporting
- 6.2.6. OP-AA-106-101-1002, PSEG Nuclear Issues Management
- 6.2.7. Emergency Plan
- 6.2.8. Emergency Plan Annexes (to include Emergency Activation Levels)
- 6.2.9. SY-AA-1002, Safeguards Event Report (SER)
- 6.2.10. Letter from U.S. NRC to Wm. Levis, "Control Systems Vulnerability," dated June 22, 2007
- 6.3. Writer's References
- 6.3.1. Fein, R.A., & Vossekuil, B. (1998). Protective Intelligence Threat Assessment Investigations: A Guide for State and Local Law Enforcement Officials.
- 6.3.2. National Center for the Analysis of Violent Crime, FBI Academy (1998). The School Shooter: A Threat Assessment Perspective.
- 6.3.3. Van Zandt & Associates (2001). Guidelines for Threat Assessment Decision Making
- 6.3.4. NRC Order for Interim Safeguards and Security Measures (dated February 25, 2002)
- 6.3.5. Safeguards Advisory for Operating Power Reactors, SA-04-07 (dated June 18, 2004); *this document is classified as Safeguard Information*

## 7. <u>ATTACHMENTS</u>

- 7.1. Attachment 1, Threat Probability and Risk Logic
- 7.2. Attachment 2, Identification, Assessment and Handling of Potential Security Threats

## ATTACHMENT 1 Threat Probability and Risk Logic Page 1 of 2

### THREAT PROBABILITY:

- High Probability strong belief the threat is both credible and is likely to occur based as threatened
- Moderate Probability threat is deemed possible, but there is <u>no</u> indication of actual credibility or time/date of occurrence is vague
- Low Probability <u>no</u> information to confirm threat and information and assessment made does <u>not</u> support possibility of threat being carried out as threatened

### Probability Considerations:

- Use of technical acronyms or jargon or indication the person making threat is technically trained merits close attention.
- The more specific details contained in threat, the more likely threat is to be credible. [Moderate to High probability]
- If details are vague, less likely threat is to be credible. [Moderate to Low probability]
- If a written threat is lengthy (1 or more pages) or appears to be have been carefully planned or invokes religious or group affiliation, **then** the threat tends to be credible [Moderate to High probability].
- If a written threat is simply a few sentences with vague information, then the threat tends to be less credible [Moderate to Low probability].
- If technical terms are used (\*For Example, if there is a technical term for threatened device or substance, or for its effects), then it is a [Moderate to High probability].
  \*This includes the size of the device, the power, or in the case of chemical or biological agents, any reference to the lethal dose, incubation period, etc.

### ATTACHMENT 1 Threat Probability and Risk Logic Page 2 of 2

#### **RISK LOGIC EXAMPLES**

<u>Situation A</u> :	A bomb threat is received from an organization that is claiming
	responsibility for placing the device. The message indicates the
	type of bomb, what type of equipment will be destroyed, how the
	bomb was introduced to the facility, and the time it is intended to
	explode.

- <u>Logic</u>: Based on this information, the risk logic would indicate a <u>MODERATE</u> probability because this threat contains specific details and suggests that planning and preparation took place. If a search of the area resulted in the discovery of an explosive device, then the probability would escalate to <u>HIGH</u> in accordance with a credible/actual threat.
- Situation B: A powdered substance is discovered on the floor of an area of the plant and two employees are concerned that it may be a type of biological threat. The investigation revealed that grinding was being performed in an area adjacent to where the powdered substance was found. It appears that the substance, created by the grinding process, was transferred to the plant by personnel passing through the work area.
- <u>Logic</u>: Based on this information, the risk logic would indicate <u>LOW</u> equivalent to a non-credible threat. This is due to a logical explanation for the presence of the substance, and the lack of detail, motivation, and a substantiated threat.



## ATTACHMENT 2-Identification, Assessment, and Handling of Potential Security Threats Page 1 of 1