

**FOIA Resource**

---

**From:** nobody@www.nrc.gov  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 02, 2011 7:08 PM  
**To:** FOIA Resource  
**Subject:** WWW Form Submission

**FOIA/PA REQUEST**  
**Case No.:** 2011-0310  
**Date Rec'd:** 8-3-11  
**Specialist:** Raphael  
**Related Case:** \_\_\_\_\_

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted by  
( ) on Tuesday, August 02, 2011 at 19:07:37

-----

FirstName: Ben  
LastName: Davis  
Company/Affiliation:  
Address1: [REDACTED]  
Address2:  
City: [REDACTED]  
State: [REDACTED]  
Zip: [REDACTED]  
Country: United\_States  
Country-Other:  
Email: [REDACTED]  
Phone: [REDACTED]

Desc: Below I have pasted emails between NRC Staff and myself that describe the documents that I am trying to obtain.

I am interested in a reference that is mentioned, but not cited, in  
> Recommendations for Enhancing Reactor Safety in the 21st Century- the  
> near-term task force review of insights from the Fukushima Dai-ichi  
> accident (U.S. NRC July 12, 2011). The reference is made on page 50,  
> Section 4.3 Emergency Preparedness, paragraph 3. The third sentence of  
> paragraph 3 states "The staff based its conclusions on studies that  
> confirm..." but these "studies that confirm" do not appear to be  
> referenced. I am interested in finding out what these studies are, the  
> references, and getting copies if they are available to the general  
> public. I am interested in receiving this information as soon as  
> possible, so if it will take more than a day to get an answer, can you  
> please acknowledge receiving this request and offer a general time  
> estimate for receiving the information. Thank you, Ben Davis Jr. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

The Task Force report refers to studies of security based events the NRC conducted shortly after 9/11 Sorry inform you that these studies are classified and not publicly available. Elazibeth Stuckle

Ms. Stuckle, Thank you again for your response. I do want to make clear that I am making a Freedom Of Information Act request for these documents. However, I want to make it equally clear that I do not want classified or sensitive

information. Still, it would be of interest to know on what authority these studies are being withheld. Also, the specific information that I am interested in from these studies doesn't seem to involve any security risk to nuclear plants. Therefore, a redacted copy of these studies could suffice. Or, alternatively, asking specific questions of someone with clearance to view the studies and respond may suffice. I would also be open to any other options that may be available if you have any suggestions. Thank you, Ben Davis Jr.

Dear Ms. Stuckle, Can you send me an email confirming you received my second email of July 19, 2011 which was framed as a Freedom Of Information Act request? Also, can you give me any time frame for when I may receive a determination on this request? I again want to emphasise, and clarify further, that I do not request or want to receive any information that, if shared with the public generally, could make a nuclear power plant vulnerable in any way. I am interested in information that the public should have to weigh the benefits with the risks of nuclear power- that information should include the environmental effects of the very worst nuclear accidents possible at a nuclear power plant. That information could also include aspects of how probable such an accident is. However, I specifically do not want any information that an adversary could use to cause such an accident. Thank you again, Ben Davis Jr.

As the NRC website describes, there is a formal process for submitting a FOIA request. Kindly follow this process. Quoted from the NRC website:

"To submit a FOIA/Privacy Act request: Due to the high volume of FOIA requests received as a result of the unexpected events in Japan, response times to requests may be longer than normal.

Please see our FOIAs Related to the Japan Emergency page for more information on requests that have already been submitted.  
Elizabeth Stuckle

FeeCategory: Personal\_Noncommercial

MediaType:

FeeCategory\_Description:

Expedite\_ImminentThreatText:

Expedite\_UrgencyToInformText: I want to comment on Recommendations for Enhancing Reactor Safety in the 21st Century- the near-term task force review of insights from the Fukushima Dai-Ichi accident (U.S. NRC July 12, 2011. There is a very limited time frame to do so.

Waiver\_Purpose: I want to comment on Recommendations for Enhancing Reactor Safety in the 21st Century- the near-term task force review of insights from the Fukushima Dai-Ichi accident (U.S. NRC July 12, 2011

Waiver\_ExtentToExtractAnalyze: To the full extent of my ability.

Waiver\_SpecificActivityQuals: I want to comment on Recommendations for Enhancing Reactor Safety in the 21st Century- the near-term task force review of insights from the Fukushima Dai-Ichi accident (U.S. NRC July 12, 2011. I have a self taught background in law and nuclear response plans.

Waiver\_ImpactPublicUnderstanding: The public in general has no information on how nuclear accidents caused by terrorist can be more severe than others. To the extent that the information I am requesting suggests such accidents are not more severe, I will inform the public if the reports are true. This will aid the public in balancing the benefits and risks of nuclear power.

Waiver\_NatureOfPublic: I will attempt to distribute this information to all voters in California, and the U.S.

Waiver\_MeansOfDissemination: Public hearings on nuclear energy. An initiative campaign in California to halt the use of nuclear energy.

Waiver\_FreeToPublicOrFee: No charge.

Waiver\_PrivateCommericalInterest: I have a private interest in a complete education on nuclear power