DOCKETED

July 29, 2011, 2011 (1:34 p.m.)

OFFICE OF SECRETARY RULEMAKINGS AND ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Before Administrative Judges: Michael C. Farrar, Chairman Lawrence G. McDade Dr. Nicholas G. Trikouros

In the Matter of)
SHAW AREVA MOX SERVICES, LLC)
(Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility Possession and Use License)	

July 27, 2011

Docket No. 70-3098-MLA

ALSBP No. 07-856-02-MLA-BD01

UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION OR CORRECTION OF BOARD MEMORANDUM AND ORDER DATED JULY 26, 2011

Pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 2.323, Shaw AREVA MOX Services ("MOX Services") requests a clarification or correction of the Board's July 26, 2011 Memorandum and Order ("July 26 Order")¹ summarizing the prehearing conference call, revising the protective order, and scheduling an evidentiary proceeding. The July 26 Order notes that the parties have agreed that the proceeding should be governed by the Agency's Subpart L procedures, and states that "with no countervailing considerations being apparent, the Board will convene one evidentiary hearing under Subpart L to adjudicate the four contentions."² The Board, however, adds in footnote 14 that "[p]arties may nonetheless request, if they believe the circumstances justify it, that the

1

DB1/67827444.2

Jemplete Seey-041

¹ Unpublished.

² Id. at 3.

hearing for resolution of a contention be conducted under Subpart G procedures pursuant to 10

C.F.R. § 2.310(d)."³

MOX Services believes that Subpart G procedures are not available for this materials licensing proceeding absent a Commission Order. 10 C.F.R. § 2.310(d) applies only to proceedings for nuclear power reactors. It states:

In proceedings for the grant, renewal, licensee-initiated amendment, or termination of licenses or permits for *nuclear power reactors*, where the presiding officer by order finds that resolution of the contention or contested matter necessitates resolution of issues of material fact relating to the occurrence of a past activity, where the credibility of an eyewitness may reasonably be expected to be at issue, and/or issues of motive or intent of the party or eyewitness material to the resolution of the contested matter, the hearing for resolution of that contention or contested matter will be conducted under subpart G of this part. (Emphasis added.)

Because this proceeding does not involve a license or permit for a nuclear power reactor, 10

C.F.R. § 2.310(d) does not apply to this proceeding.

A review of 10 C.F.R. § 2.310 identifies three additional proceedings where the

procedures of Subpart G are to be used. 10 C.F.R. § 2.310(b) is limited to enforcement matters,

§ 2.310(c) is limited to proceedings involving uranium enrichment facilities, and § 2.310(f) is

limited to proceedings involving a high-level radioactive waste repository. 10 C.F.R. § 2.310

does not provide for the use of Subpart G procedures in a materials licensing proceeding.

Similarly, a review of the Scope of Subpart G as provided in 10 C.F.R. § 2.700 reiterates that the provisions of Subpart G apply to and supplement the provisions in Subpart C with respect to enforcement proceedings, initial licensing of a uranium enrichment facility, proceedings for the grant, renewal, licensee-initiated amendment or termination of licenses or permits for nuclear power reactors, and proceedings for a high-level radioactive waste repository. 10 C.F.R. § 2.700 goes on to add "and any other proceeding as ordered by the Commission."

Ĭd.

³

Therefore, outside of a Commission order, Subpart G procedures are limited to the four identified types of proceedings.

As noted in the Statement of Considerations accompanying 10 C.F.R. Part 2, limiting Subpart G procedures to these four proceedings "is in keeping with the Commission's intent to expand the use of more informal procedures to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of the NRC's hearing processes."⁴ The Commission further stated that proceedings meeting one of the four exceptions "would be conducted using Subpart G procedures; hearings for any other contentions which do not meet this test would be conducted using Subpart L (or, upon agreement of all parties, Subpart N) procedures."⁵

In summary, Subpart G procedures are not available for this proceeding absent a Commission Order. Therefore, MOX Services respectfully requests that the Board clarify or correct the statement in its July 26 Order stating that the parties may request a hearing for resolution of a contention be conducted under Subpart G procedures, pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 2.310(d).

MOX Services has conferred with counsel for the NRC Staff and counsel for Intervenors The NRC staff position is that it does not oppose the motion. The Intervenors do not oppose the motion with respect to its narrow request to clarify that 10 C.F.R. § 2.310(d) does not apply to this proceeding. With respect to the broader question of whether rights of cross-examination or other formal hearing procedures may be granted under other NRC regulations or precedents or judicial precedents, Intervenors take no current position and reserve the right to address that question if and when it should become relevant in the future.

Id.

⁴ Changes to Adjudicatory Process, 69 Fed. Reg. 2182, 2191 (Jan. 14, 2004).

⁵

Respectfully submitted,

Jeffyn Porter for Donald J. Silvernan

Donald J. Silverman, Esq. Timothy P. Matthews, Esq. Anna Vinson Jones, Esq. MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP 1111 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 Phone: (202) 739-5502 E-mail: <u>dsilverman@morganlewis.com</u>

COUNSEL FOR SHAW AREVA MOX SERVICES, LLC

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Before Administrative Judges: Michael C. Farrar, Chairman Lawrence G. McDade Dr. Nicholas G. Trikouros

In the Matter of)
SHAW AREVA MOX SERVICES, LLC	
(Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility Possession and Use License)	

July 29, 2011

Docket No. 70-3098-MLA

ALSBP No. 07-856-02-MLA-BD01

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on July 29, 2011, copies of "Unopposed Motion for Clarification or Correction of Board Memorandum and Order Dated July 26, 2011" were served upon the persons listed below, by email and first class mail.

Secretary of the Commission * Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop: 0-16C1 Washington, D.C. 20555-0001 (E-mail: <u>hearingdocket@nrc.gov</u>)

Administrative Judge Dr. Nicholas G. Trikouros Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel Mail Stop - T-3 F23 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001 (E-mail: <u>ngt@nrc.gov</u>) Administrative Judge Michael C. Farrar, Chair Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel Mail Stop - T-3 F23 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001 (E-Mail: mcf@nrc.gov)

Administrative Judge Lawrence G. McDade Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel Mail Stop - T-3 F23 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001 (E-Mail: <u>lgm1@nrc.gov</u>)

* E-mail, original, and 2 copies

Office of Commission Appellate Adjudication Mail Stop: 0-16G4 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555-0001 (E-mail: <u>OCAAmail@nrc.gov</u>)

Diane Curran Harmon, Curran, Spielberg, & Eisenberg, LLP 1726 M Street N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20036 (E-mail: <u>dcurran@harmoncurran.com</u>)

Brett Klukan Catherine Scott Office of General Counsel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop: 0-15 D21 Washington, DC 20555-0001 (E-mail: <u>brett.klukan@nrc.gov</u>, <u>catherine.scott@nrc.gov</u>)

Mary Olson Nuclear Information & Resource Service P.O. Box 7586 Ashville, N.C. 28802 (E-mail: <u>maryolson@main.nc.us</u>) Glenn Carroll Nuclear Watch South P.O. Box 8754 Atlanta, GA 31106 (E-mail: <u>atom.girl@mindspring.com</u>)

Louis A. Zeller Blue Ridge Environmental Defense League P.O. Box 88 Glendale Springs, N.C. 28629 (E-mail: <u>bredl@skybest.com</u>)

Ann Hove Josh Kirstein Law Clerks Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel Mail Stop: T-3 E2B U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555-0001 (E-mail: <u>ann.hove@nrc.gov</u> and josh.kirstein@nrc.gov)

Jeffry'M. Forter, Esq. Counsel for Shaw AREVA MOX Services, LLC

Dated: 29 July 2011

Hearing Docket

From:	Porter, Jeffry M. [jeffry.porter@morganlewis.com]
Sent:	Friday, July 29, 2011 1:34 PM
То:	Docket, Hearing; Trikouros, Nicholas; Farrar, Mike; McDade, Lawrence; OCAAMAIL
	Resource; dcurran@harmoncurran.com; brettklukan@nrc.gov; Scott, Catherine;
•	atom.girl@mindspring.com; bredl@skybest.com; Hove, Ann; Kirstein, Josh;
	maryolson@main.nc.us
Cc:	Silverman, Donald J.; Jones, Anna V.
Subject:	Applicant's Unopposed Motion for Clarification or Correction of Board Memorandum and
	Order Dated July 26, 2011 - Docket No. 70-3098-MLA
Attachments:	2011 07 27 Areva MOX Services Unopposed Motion for Clarification or Correction.pdf

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Attached please find a copy of Applicant's "Unopposed Motion for Clarification or Correction of Board Memorandum and Order Dated July 26, 2011." Copies of this filing also are being provided via U.S. Mail, first class, to those persons identified in the attached Certificate of Service.

Please contact me if you have any questions concerning this transmittal.

Sincerely,

Jeffry M. Porter

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW | Washington, DC 20004 Direct: 202.739.5436 | Main: 202.739.3000 | Fax: 202.739.3001 jeffry.porter@morganlewis.com | www.morganlewis.com

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure

To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein. For information about why we are required to include this legend in emails, please see http://www.morganlewis.com/circular230

DISCLAIMER

This e-mail message is intended only for the personal use of the recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication and as such privileged and confidential and/or it may include attorney work product. If you are not an intended recipient, you may not review, copy or distribute this message. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail and delete the original message. Received: from mail2.nrc.gov (148.184.176.43) by OWMS01.nrc.gov

(148.184.100.43) with Microsoft SMTP Server id 8.3.159.2; Fri, 29 Jul 2011 13:33:47 -0400

X-Ironport-ID: mail2

X-SBRS: 4.5

X-MID: 48277800

X-fn: 2011 07 27 Areva MOX Services Unopposed Motion for Clarification or Correction.pdf

X-IronPort-AV: E=Sophos;i="4.67,288,1309752000";

d="pdf?scan'208,217";a="48277800"

Received: from copweed01.morganlewis.com ([12.53.161.110]) by mail2.nrc.gov with ESMTP; 29 Jul 2011 13:33:46 -0400

Received: from [10.242.132.24] by copweed01.morganlewis.com with ESMTP (SMTP Relay (Email Firewall v6.5)); Fri, 29 Jul 2011 13:46:43 -0400

X-Server-Uuid: D6191EAF-0F04-49FC-A864-79434BF09F09

Received: from COPXCMS03.morganlewis.net ([10.242.132.14]) by

cocsxht01.morganlewis.net ([10.242.132.24]) with mapi; Fri, 29 Jul 2011

13:33:39 -0400

From: "Porter, Jeffry M." <jeffry.porter@morganlewis.com>

To: "hearingdocket@nrc.gov" <hearingdocket@nrc.gov>, "ngt@nrc.gov"

<ngt@nrc.gov>, "mcf@nrc.gov" <mcf@nrc.gov>, "lgm1@nrc.gov" <lgm1@nrc.gov>,

"OCAAmail@nrc.gov" <OCAAmail@nrc.gov>, "dcurran@harmoncurran.com"

<dcurran@harmoncurran.com>, "brettklukan@nrc.gov" <brettklukan@nrc.gov>,

"catherine.scott@nrc.gov" <catherine.scott@nrc.gov>,

"atom.girl@mindspring.com" <atom.girl@mindspring.com>, "bredl@skybest.com" <bredl@skybest.com>, "ann.hove@nrc.gov" <ann.hove@nrc.gov>,

"josh.kirstein@nrc.gov" <josh.kirstein@nrc.gov>, "maryolson@main.nc.us" <maryolson@main.nc.us>

CC: "Silverman, Donald J." <dsilverman@morganlewis.com>, "Jones, Anna V."

<anna.jones@morganlewis.com>

Date: Fri, 29 Jul 2011 13:33:37 -0400

Subject: Applicant's Unopposed Motion for Clarification or Correction of

Board Memorandum and Order Dated July 26, 2011 - Docket No. 70-3098-MLA

Thread-Topic: Applicant's Unopposed Motion for Clarification or

Correction of Board Memorandum and Order Dated July 26, 2011 - Docket No. 70-3098-MLA

Thread-Index: AcxOFZS3z+oqyQyNTQugG3o7FE9iHg==

Message-ID:

<895DF2C84C12AE4DA770C705F9DE1BA914D8B3A72B@COPXCMS03.morganlewis.net>

Accept-Language: en-US

Content-Language: en-US

X-MS-Has-Attach: yes

X-MS-TNEF-Correlator:

x-workshareprotect-dsp:

acceptlanguage: en-US

x-ems-proccessed: Q/C4TKuMQud1ZsPcuJv0Lg==

x-ems-stamp: /BtQZYAxn9s4rWGOLHlkPw==

MIME-Version: 1.0

X-WSS-ID: 622C2D892U42331037-01-01

Content-Type: multipart/mixed;

boundary="_004_895DF2C84C12AE4DA770C705F9DE1BA914D8B3A72BCOPXCMS0 3morg_"

Return-Path: jeffry.porter@morganlewis.com