PMFermiCOLNPEm Resource

From: Sent: To: Subject:	Olson, Bruce Thursday, July 07, 2011 1:27 PM FermiCOL Resource FW: Fermi 3 Section 106 ltr Response from SHPO
Importance:	High
Thanks	
Bruce Olson Environmental Project N Nuclear Regulatory Com NRO/DSER/RAP2 301-415-3731	
Importance: High Just spoke to Brian. H	2011 2:57 PM on 106 ltr Response from SHPO He said the Fermi 1 & 3 letters should've been mailed today or tomorrow (he's sending use's faxing me the Fermi 3 letter now.
From: Olson, Bruce Sent: Wednesday, May To: Brian Grennell (gren Cc: Fringer, John; Snyde (Colette.M.Luff@usace.a	04, 2011 9:57 AM inellb@michigan.gov) er, Natasha B.; LaGory, Kirk E.; FermiCOL Resource; Colette M. Luff
	on's response to your questions regarding the submerged sites APE and planned shoreline proposed Fermi 3 combined construction and operation license application to the NRC.
If you have further ques	tions, please contact me or John Fringer at 301-415-6208.
Hopefully this will clear	the way for your response to our consultation request.
Thanks	
Bruce Olson Environmental Project N NRO/DSER/RAP2	Manager Manager

From: Randall D Westmoreland [mailto:westmorelandr@dteenergy.com]

Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2011 4:27 PM To: Olson, Bruce

301-415-3731

Cc: Peter W Smith; Michael K Brandon **Subject:** Submerged Site Sensitivity Study APE

Bruce,

This email is to address your question regarding the shape of the area of potential effects (APE) in the Submerged Sites Sensitivity Study conducted by Commonwealth Cultural Resource Group, Inc. (CCRG) for Detroit Edison, on October 12, 2010 (See Figure 1 attached). CCRG personnel confirmed that the APE boundary mimics the Fermi property line, as we suspected (see Figure 2.1-4 attached). CCRG also understood that the only impact areas within the APE would be associated with the with the installation of the following proposed Fermi 3 structures:

- Water Intake pump house,
- barge slip,
- 1340' water discharge pipe.

The above-described structures are all proposed to be located between the existing Fermi 2 intake groins as shown on the attached Figure 3.3-2. Please note that the area between the existing groins, from the shoreline, then west approximately 1100' has been routinely dredged by the Fermi 2 site to maintain adequate water flow at the Fermi 2 water intake, which is also located between the Fermi 2 groins.

CCRG was clear that no activities are planned along the shoreline to the north and south of the existing Fermi 2 intake groins and that their conclusions do not change.

I hope this answers your question. If you need anything further, please don't hesitate to contact me.

Randall Westmoreland Detroit Edison, Nuclear Development - Licensing Technical Expert - Nuclear 313-235-3368 Hearing Identifier: Fermi_COL_NonPublic

Email Number: 1385

Mail Envelope Properties (6566BAEC3DB1314381965386524904EC357EE102F3)

Subject: FW: Fermi 3 Section 106 ltr. - Response from SHPO

Sent Date: 7/7/2011 1:27:07 PM **Received Date:** 7/7/2011 1:27:08 PM

From: Olson, Bruce

Created By: Bruce.Olson@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"FermiCOL Resource" <FermiCOL.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

Files Size Date & Time

MESSAGE 3002 7/7/2011 1:27:08 PM

Options

Priority: High
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal

Expiration Date: Recipients Received: