AP1000DCDCEm Resource

From:	liala strotman [Istrotman@yahoo.com]
Sent:	Thursday, April 21, 2011 10:07 PM
То:	Rulemaking Comments
Subject:	Stop the AP1000 (Docket ID NRC-2010-0131)

Dear Secretary Vietti-Cook,

It is abundantly clear that we cannot afford any of the risks from nuclear power. In the wake of the crisis at Fukushima, we realize that disasters, we cannot even imagine occur. Your agency needs to ensure that it has taken every possible precautions and then think about it again before moving forward, especially with the with the new Westinghouse AP1000 reactor design considered for construction in Georgia, South Carolina and other states.

AP!1000 is not battle ready. It has not bproven to be flawless and nothing less is acceptable. Addressing safety concerns, not satisfying the iJohn S. Ma's non-concurrence with the review of the reactor raised the possibility that the AP1000's shield building could shatter "like a glass cup." It would be indefensible for the NRC to move forward without further addressing that weakness. Also, Westinghouse has not satisfactorily proved that the thin steel containment shell over the reactor would be effective during severe accidents or that the reactor could be properly cooled in conditions similar to those at Fukushima.

Especially considering the ongoing crisis in Japan and the review which will take place when the situation is brought under control, the current 75-day public comment period on the reactor design is insufficient for the new AP1000 reactor.

I respectfully request that the NRC put the license application on hold until a thorough review of the Japanese accident has been conducted and weaknesses in the AP1000 design have been reviewed in light of the accident. To stick with the grossly inadequate 75-day rulemaking comment period would be the height of irresponsibility by the NRC.

Also, please accept the petition filed by the twelve environmental organizations of the AP1000 Oversight Group to suspend rulemaking. To ensure transparency, please include this comment and all others in the formal review proceedings and post them in the NRC's online library so the public can see any expressed concerns.

liala strotman 6299 N. Country Rd. wading River, NY 11792

Federal Register Notic Comment Number:	ce: 76FR10269 3315	
Mail Envelope Propert	ies (1167930244.1303438046154.JavaMail.tomcat)	
Subject: Sent Date: Received Date: From:	Stop the AP1000 (Docket ID NRC-2010-0131) 4/21/2011 10:07:26 PM 4/21/2011 10:07:26 PM liala strotman	
Created By:	lstrotman@yahoo.com	
Recipients: "Rulemaking Comments" <rulemaking.comments@nrc.gov> Tracking Status: None</rulemaking.comments@nrc.gov>		
Post Office:	vweb4.salsalabs.net	

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2093	4/21/2011 10:07:26 PM
Options Priority: Return Notification: Reply Requested: Sensitivity: Expiration Date: Recipients Received:	Standard No Normal	