NRC FORM 591M PART 1	and the second	U.S NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION				
(06-2010) 10 CFR 2.201						
SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION						
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED;		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE				
Orchand With & MacWarnet Law (OUND)		U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission				
Orchard, Hiltz, & McCliment, Inc. (OHM) P. O. Box 9		Region III 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210				
Houghton, MI 49930		Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351				
REPORT NUMBER(S) 2011-01 3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSEE NUMBE		5. DATE(S) OF INSP	ECTION		
030-38284	21-32	793-01	June 21, 2	2011		
LICENSEE:						
The inspection was an examination of compliance with the Nuclear Regulator						
compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:						
 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified. 						
2. Previous violation(s) closed.						
3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in						
the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied						
Non-cited viola	ation(s) were discuss	ed involving the following r	equirement(s):			
4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11						
10 CFR 71.5(a) requires that a licensee who transports licensed material outside of						
the site of usage, as specified in the NRC license, or where transport is on public						
highways, or who delivers licensed material to a carrier for transport, comply with the applicable requirements of the regulations appropriate to the mode of transport						
Continued on NRC FORM 591M Part 2						
Statement of Corrective Actions						
I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.						
Title Printed		Signature	• • • • •	Date		
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE DOUGLAS	00000	all -		alic 2011		
NRC INSPECTOR Robert I		JAR	ten	Guly 6,2011 7/6/2011		
Branch Chief Tamara E.		Tomora XD		Aula		
NRC FORM 591M PART 1(06-2010)		Junion XX		1/*///		

	and a state of the second	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
NRC FORM 591M PART 2 U.S NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (06-2010)							
10 CFR 2.201							
SAFETY		ORT AND COMPLIANC					
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE					
Orchard, Hiltz, & McCliment, Inc. (OHM) P. O. Box 9 Houghton, MI 49930 REPORT NUMBER(S) 2011-01		U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission					
		Region III 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Liste, Illinois 60532-4351					
					3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S)	
030-38284	21-32793	-01	June 21, 2011				
(Continued)							
of the Department of Transportation (DOT) in 49 CFR Parts 107, 171-180, and 390-397. 49 CFR 172.704(a) specifies the elements of hazmat employee training as: (1) general awareness/familiarization training, (2) function-specific training, and (3) safety training. 49 CFR 172.704(c) requires, in part, that a hazmat employee receive initial training, and recurrent training at least once every three years. Contrary to the above, the licensee did not provide training for its hazmat employees which satisfied the requirements in Subpart H to 49 CFR Part 172, in that during the period between June 20, 2008, and June 21, 2011, a period greater than three years, the licensee did not provide at least once during the prior three years, recurrent training for							
	as required, and CFR 171.8.	the licensee otherw	ise meets the definition of a				
The licensee's proposed corrective actions include developing a recurrent training program and provide the training for its hazmat employees within the next 30 days and ensure that the recurrent training is provided at least once every three years thereafter.							
			•				

NRC FORM 591M PART 2(06-2010)

NRC FORM 591M PART 3 (06-2010)

NRC FORM 591 M PART 3		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION					
10 CFR 2.201	(06-2010) 10 CFR 2.201 Docket File Information						
SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION							
1. LICENSEE 2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE							
Orchard, Hiltz, & McCliment, Inc. (OHM)		U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III					
P. O. Box 9 Houghton, MI 49930		2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210					
REPORT NUMBER(S) 2011-01		Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351					
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 03038284	4. LICENSE NUM	MBER(S) 5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION 21-32793-01 June 21, 2011					
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES	7. INSPECTION F	FOCUS AREAS					
87124 (11/25/03)		03.01-03.07					
1.PROGRAM 2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CO	AL INSPECTION INFORMATION	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER				
3121 5		Doug Cooper, RSO	906-370-2987				
X Main Office Inspection Next Inspection Date: June 2016 □ Field Office Inspection							
		PROGRAM SCOPE					
The licensee is authorized to possess and use Seaman Nuclear Model, C-75 gauging devices at temporary job sites anywhere in the United States where the NRC maintains regulatory jurisdiction. At the time of the inspection, the licensee possessed two Model C-75) gauges with one Model C-75 (s/n 7060) owned by the licensee and one Model C-75 currently rented for the construction season and used on a weekly frequency during the current construction season. The licensee has a total of five authorized gauge users including the RSO, with training certificates available. The gauges are stored in the licensee's testing lab using two tangible barriers. The inspector performed independent radiation measurements, which indicated no dose concerns and consistent with expected readings.							
Performance Observations							
During the inspection, the RSO demonstrated and discussed: (1) Two tangible barriers for gauge security and padlocks while unattended at a temporary jobsite; (2) DOT requirements and shipping papers; (3) dosimetry; (highest for CY 2010, 200 mr); (4) leak tests April 2011); (5) a Ludlum Model 2401 survey meter was available and had been calibrated 4/27/2011; (6) emergency procedures; and (7) radiations safety program audits. One SL IV violation was identified for failure to provide recurrent HAZMAT training at least once every three years as required.							