Parks, Jazel

From: Scott, Michael -REF
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2011 4:33 PM
To: Chang, Richard; Ghosh, Tina; Santiago, Patricia
Cc: Gibson, Kathy
Subject: Fw: Tasks competing with SOARCA -- seek your guidance

(b)(5)

5, 6

I will discuss the nsir item with them.

Sent from my NRC blackberry
Michael Scott
(b)(6)

9, 6

From: Gibson, Kathy
To: Scott, Michael
Sent: Fri Jan 14 15:10:38 2011
Subject: Fw: Tasks competing with SOARCA -- seek your guidance

Mike,
(b)(5)

5, 6

Thanks!

From: Ghosh, Tina
To: Gibson, Kathy; Scott, Michael
Cc: Chang, Richard; Tinkler, Charles; Schaperow, Jason; Santiago, Patricia; Uhle, Jennifer
Sent: Fri Jan 14 12:24:23 2011
Subject: Tasks competing with SOARCA -- seek your guidance

Dear Kathy,

(b)(5)

5, 6

Information in this record was deleted in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act.
Exemptions 5, 6
FOIA/PA 2011-0093

H/31

My question to you is, are there other staff who could help out on these competing tasks, during this critical time for the SOARCA analysis?
Please advise.

Thank you very much,
Tina

S. Tina Ghosh, Ph.D.
Senior Program Manager
Division of Systems Analysis
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mail Stop: C-3A07M
Washington, DC.20555
Phone: 301-251-7984

From: Tinkler, Charles
To: Santiago, Patricia
Sent: Wed Jan 12 08:57:09 2011
Subject: FW: Briefing of Blue Ribbon Commission

fyi

From: Tinkler, Charles
Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2011 8:49 AM
To: Uhle, Jennifer; Gibson, Kathy; Scott, Michael
Subject: RE: Briefing of Blue Ribbon Commission

Sure, I would be available for this if you think it appropriate . I was very closely involved in the NAS review (and briefed them a number of times during their review), although it has been a few years since I closely looked at their report.

I assume the BRC will want to know what we did in response to the NAS recommendations, etc and how we feel about some of their conclusions. Therefore, I should spend some time re-reviewing the NAS report

I was planning to use that time (Feb 3,4) to review the NSIR work on EP critical scenarios (which RES promised them we would do) I will talk to Randy Sullivan, to see if he has flexibility..

From: Uhle, Jennifer
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2011 4:11 PM
To: Gibson, Kathy; Scott, Michael; Tinkler, Charles
Subject: Briefing of Blue Ribbon Commission

Charlie,

The DOE's Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future plans to request a classified briefing on 2/3/11 from NRC on several security issues, which arise primarily from the 2004 NAS study on security of commercial spent fuel. One of the topics they appear to be interested in is work done since 2004 on the analysis of fuel pool fire scenarios.

OCA is meeting with BRC staff on Tuesday 1/11 at White Flint to discuss the details of their information needs, and help them develop a focused agenda. Based on the preliminary agenda, OCA anticipates that NRC would need to speak for 5 minutes or so on recent work for pool fires, and develop 1-2 slides on this subject. Currently, OCA believes we can hold these discussions at a Secret/NSI level. The driving need, however, will be to have one or several knowledgeable staff on hand during the 2/3/11 meeting downtown to answer any questions the BRC may have on this subject.

RES needs to support this request and in my opinion you are the best choice. I hope 2/3 is an acceptable date for you. We should be hearing more from OCA shortly. Can you do this?

Jennifer