

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**Date:** July 1 and 5, 2011**TELEPHONE CONVERSATION RECORD****Time:** 15:20, 18:30; and 08:00

Mail Control or Report No(s).	N/A	License No(s).	47-25375-01MD	Docket No(s).	03034289
--------------------------------------	-----	-----------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------

Name of Licensee: Pharmalogic W.V., Limited

Name of Participant(s): Glenn Palmer, R.Ph., Radiation Safety Officer
Steven R. Courtemanche, Health Physicist NRC RI

Telephone No. 304-321-0935, 610-337-5075

Subject: Mislabeled Unit Doses
(NOTE: This will be used as the Documents Title in ADAMS)

7/1/2011 15:20 I left a message on the pharmacy's voice-mail for the Radiation Safety Officer to contact me regarding the mislabeled doses.

18:30 Mr. Palmer called and left a message that he would be available to talk about the incident any time during the weekend or he would call back early Tuesday.

7/5/2011 08:00 Mr. Palmer called to discuss the incident of the mislabeled unit doses of July 1, 2011. Mr. Palmer stated that no other licensees reported any mislabeled doses and that a review of the pharmacy's records did not identify any other incidents. Mr. Palmer reviewed the incidents with me and stated that each incident had its own cause and the doses were drawn by different individuals. The hospital's request for the doses came in during off-hours and was properly recorded. The slips, however, were placed in the wrong groupings of doses. The HDP dose was placed in with the cardiolite doses and they had a similar activity so the type of drug required was overlooked. The Bone dose required 20 millicuries of activity and was placed in the myoview pile which required 30 millicuries. The preparer did not review the script and filled the syringe with the 30 millicuries of myoview. Mr. Palmer stated that that training would be given to preparers to review the script and the field indicating which drug is to fill a syringe. I informed Mr. Palmer that the licensee's actions would be reviewed during the next inspection of the licensee's facilities.

Action Required: Place in ADAMS as a Stand-alone document.**Document Availability:** **Publicly Available** **Non-Publicly Available**
 Non-Sensitive
 Sensitive - Privacy Act/ PII
 Immediate Release
 Non-Sensitive Copyright
 Sensitive - Internal
Normal Release Date: 7/13/11
 Sensitive- Proprietary
 Sensitive - Security-Related
Delayed Release Date:
SUNSI Review Completed By: Steven R. Courtemanche / RA /**Document Accession #:** ML111860508