

June 30, 2011

L-PI-11-052 10 CFR 50.73

U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555-0001

Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant Unit 2

Docket: 50-306

Renewed License No. DPR-60

LER 50-306/2011-002-00, Unit 2 Trip As A Result Of A Weather Related Turbine Trip

Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation (NSPM), doing business as Xcel Energy, herewith encloses Licensee Event Report (LER) 50-306/2011-002-00.

On May 9, 2011, Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant (PINGP) Unit 2 tripped from approximately 100% steady-state power as a result of a turbine trip from a generator lockout signal. At the time of the trip, thunderstorms were in the vicinity of the plant.

Post event diagnostics determined that the relay ground potential circuit had a missing link (wire) between substation panels which resulted in the loss of the reference ground potential to the associated protective relaying in the Switchyard panels. This resulted in the improper operation of the breaker protection relays.

#### **Summary of Commitments**

This letter contains no new commitments and no changes to existing commitments.

Mark A. Schimmel

Site Vice President, Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant

Northern States Power Company - Minnesota

**Enclosure** 

cc: Administrator, Region III, USNRC

Project Manager, Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant (PINGP), USNRC

Resident Inspector, PINGP, USNRC

Department of Commerce, State of Minnesota

# **ENCLOSURE**

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 50-306/2011-002-00

NRC FO	RM 366				.,	U.S. I	NUCLI	EAR R	EGUL	.ATORY	COMMISS	ION	APPROVE	BY OMB NO	. 3150	-0104		XPIRES: 10/31/2013	
(10-2010)				See reve	erse f	ENT R or requir	ed nu	ımber (		R)			request: 80 h process and the Records Regulatory C infocollects.re and Regulate Budget, Was collection do	nours. Reported fed back to ind and FOIA/P commission, Wesource@nrc.gory Affairs, NEC as not display a or sponsor, a	l lessor ustry. S rivacy ashingl ov, and OB-102 0503.	ns learne Send com Service con, DC 2 d to the 02, (315 If a mea ntly valid	d are incorpositioned are incorpositioned (T-20555-0001, Desk Office 0-0066), Offices used to OMB contro	is mandatory collection prated into the licensing ding burden estimate to 5 F53), U.S. Nuclear or by internet e-mail to tr, Office of Information ce of Management and impose an Information number, the NRC may ed to respond to, the	
1. FACILITY NAME Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant Unit 2										2.		DOCKET NUMBER         3. PAGE           05000 306         1 OF 4							
4. TITI	-E								- ا حاس	. Tuis						1			
Unit 2 Trip As A Result Of A Weather Related Turbine Trip  5. EVENT DATE  6. LER NUMBER  7. REPORT DATE											8. OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED								
			•				REV		7. REPORT DATE			FA	FACILITY NAME					KET NUMBER	
MONTH	DAY	_	YEAR	YEAR	NU	UENTIAL . JMBER	NO	MON		DAY	YEAR	FA	ACILITY NAME				DOG	CKET NUMBER	
05	09		2011	2011	_	002 –	00	06	3	30	2011		CILITY NAME						
9. OPE	RATING	G M	ODE		1	1. THIS RI	EPORT	IS SUB	MITTE	D PURS	JANT TO TH	IE RE	QUIREMEN	ITS OF 10 C	FR §	: (Che	ck all that	apply)	
١	/lode	1			20.22	.01(b)				2203(a)(			50.73(a	)(2)(i)(C)			50.73(a	)(2)(vii)	
		•			20.22				20.2203(a)(3)(ii)				50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A)				50.73(a	0.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)	
				20.2203(a)(1)				50.36(c)(1)(i)(A)				50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B)				50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)			
40.00		/-		20.2203(a)(2)(i)								50.73(a					)(2)(ix)(A)		
10. PO	WER L	EVE	L			03(a)(2)(				36(c)(1)(	i)(A)	$\overline{\square}$		)(2)(iv)(A)			50.73(a		
1	00%					:03(a)(2)(				36(c)(2)									
				20.2203(a)(2)(v) {				_					50.73(a)(2)(v)(B) 73.71(a) 50.73(a)(2)(v)(C) OTHER						
																OTHER	Abstract below or in		
					20.2203(a)(2)(vi) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)				1	Ш	1	)(2)(v)(D)	,	,	NRC Form				
							12. L	LICENS	SEE C	ONTAC	r for thi								
NAME Sam J.	DiPas	qua	ile, P.E	<b>.</b>									PHONE NU .388.112		ıde A	rea Co	de)		
			13	. COMP	LETE						IT FAILUR	E DE	SCRIBED	IN THIS F	REPO				
CAUSI	=	SY	STEM	COMPON	ENT	MANU FACTU			RTABLI EPIX		CAUSE		SYSTEM	COMPON	ENT		IANU- CTURER	REPORTABLE TO EPIX	
			14. SU	PPLEME	ENTAL	REPOR	T EX	PECTE	D	*****			15. EXPE		МО	NTH	DAY	YEAR	
O YES	If yes, o	com	plete 15	. EXPEC	TED S	SUBMISS	SION E	DATE).		•	NO		SUBMISSION DATE						
ABSTRA	CT (Lin	nit to	1400 sp	oaces, i.e	э., арр	roximate	ly 15 s	ingle-s <sub>l</sub>	oaceo	l typewrit	ten lines)								
On Ma	y 9, 2	011	I, Praii	rie Isla	nd N	luclear	Ger	neratii	na P	Plant (F	PINGP) I	Unit	2 trippe	ed from	apr	roxi	matelv	100%	
																		Unit 1 was	
											nderstor								
											tential c								
substation panels which resulted in the loss of the reference ground potential to the associated protective relaying in the Switchyard panels. This resulted in the improper operation of the breaker protection relays.																			
relayin	g in th	ie S	Switch	yard pa	anels	s. This	resu	ilted ii	n the	e impr	oper ope	erati	ion of th	e break	er p	prote	ction r	elays.	
The se	م امما	l	luction	dotor	mina	d that	tha r	rovin	~~t~	001106	of the r	رمام،	vina ook	omo foi	1		- inad	a munda	
											of the r								
	ground wire installation during a modification to the substation equipment. The evaluation also determined that NSPM (Transmission and Nuclear) did not establish the appropriate standard for procedure quality with respect																		
						, aid													
	unde	er S	vstem	Contr	ol Ce	enter it	ırisdi	iction	in th	าe PIN	GP sub:	stat	ion such	that a	me	ans (	of effe	ctivelv	
utilizing													ion such h direct					ctively d reliable	

NRC FORM 366A (10-2010)	LICENSEE EVE	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION								
CONTINUATION SHEET										
1. 1	ACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET NUMBER		6. LER NUMBER		3. PAGE				
Prairie Island Nuclear	Generating Plant Unit 2	05000 306	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO	2 OF 4				
			2011	- 002	- 00					

### **EVENT DESCRIPTION**

The Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant (PINGP) switchyard breakers<sup>1</sup> use a selective isolation design. The relays<sup>2</sup> are designed to isolate the breakers closest to a detected fault first with the intent of maximizing reliability while protecting the grid from a localized fault. Some PINGP substation relays utilize distance and directional-based sensing to determine the location of a fault. These relays enable selective tripping of the breakers closest to the fault.

Breakers 8H13 and 8H14 serve as Unit 2 Generator<sup>3</sup> output isolations. Unit 1 Generator output isolations are 8H16 and 8H17. Breakers 8H15 and 8H14 isolate the Blue Lake transmission line<sup>4</sup> from 345kV Buses 2 and 1 (respectively). Breakers 8H9 and 8H8 isolate the Byron transmission line from 345kV Buses 2 and 1 (respectively). In November 2010, NSPM implemented a modification to the breakers in the switchyard (under System Control Center (SCC) jurisdiction) to upgrade protective relaying on those breakers to a digital system. The modification was performed under Transmission System Operator (TSO) Work Order (WO) 11209851.

At approximately 07:22 CDT on May 9, 2011, thunderstorms in the vicinity of PINGP and other portions of Southeast Minnesota produced a lightning strike which contacted ground on the Byron transmission line. The strike occurred approximately 25 miles from the Byron station and 15 miles from PINGP. The strike created a grid disturbance and actuated PINGP protective relaying on breakers for the Unit 2 Generator, Blue Lake, and Byron Lines.

As a result, PINGP Unit 2 tripped from approximately 100% steady-state power as a result of a turbine trip from a generator lockout signal. At the time of the trip, Unit 1 was in a planned refueling outage in Mode 6. The Unit 2 steam plant and reactor protection system<sup>5</sup> responded properly to the turbine trip.

#### **EVENT ANALYSIS**

Based upon post event diagnostics, Xcel Energy System Relay Specialists determined that the relay ground potential circuit was missing a link (wire) between substation panels<sup>6</sup> (from a latent wiring error) resulting in the loss of the reference ground potential to associated protective relaying in the Switchyard panels associated with the Unit 1 Generator, Unit 2 Generator, and the Blue Lake transmission line.

The loss of the reference ground potential was sufficient to "disorient" the distance and directionalsensing of the relays for the affected breakers; ultimately resulting in the relays detecting a "fault" on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> EIIS Component Identifier: BKR

EIIS Component Identifier: RLY

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> EIIS System Code:

EIIS System Code:

FΚ

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> EIIS System Code:

JC

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> EIIS Component Identifier: PL

NRC FORM 366A (10-2010)	LICENSEE EVE	(LER)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION							
	CONTINUATION SHEET									
1. FA	2. DOCKET NUMBER		6. LER NUMBER		3. PAGE					
Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant Unit 2		05000 306	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO	3 OF 4				
			2011	- 002	- 00					

the Blue Lake and/or Generator sides of the breaker when no fault existed. In essence, breakers 8H13, 8H14, and 8H15 tripped when a fault requiring relay actuation was not present, with the net effect of causing a generator, turbine, and reactor trip. This event is reportable under 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A) since there was an automatic actuation of the Reactor Protection system (RPS) and the auxiliary feedwater system (AFW).

### SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE

This event did not challenge nuclear safety as all plant systems responded as designed. Therefore, this event does not represent a safety system functional failure for Unit 2. However, the lightning strike and latent system installation flaws combined to create an initiating event which did challenge reliable operation. Additionally, the root cause investigation determined that the Unit 1 Generator output breakers would also have been affected if Unit 1 were online at the time (creating the potential for a dual-unit trip). Any unplanned reactor trip is a significant event.

The plant systems responded as designed so there were no radiological, environmental, or industrial impacts associated with this event. Therefore, this event did not affect the health and safety of the public.

#### **CAUSE**

The causal evaluation determined that the proximate cause of the relaying scheme failure was inadequate ground wire installation during the November 2010, modification to the substation equipment.

The root cause of the Unit 2 trip was that NSPM (Transmission and Nuclear) did not establish the appropriate standard for procedure quality with respect to work under SCC jurisdiction in the PINGP substation such that a means of effectively utilizing human performance tools to minimize errors during work which directly impacts the safe and reliable operation of nuclear plants was not in place.

A contributing cause was that the design of the protective relaying circuit includes a single point vulnerability whereby a single floating ground can result in misoperation of multiple breakers, thereby reducing transmission reliability.

Another contributing cause was that PINGP has, in the past, not rigorously implemented corrective actions from external assessments and recommendations, thereby resulting in vulnerabilities in oversight of substation work not being adequately addressed.

NRC FORM 366A (10-2010)	LICENSEE EVE	NT REPORT	IT REPORT (LER) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY CO							
	CONTINUATION SHEET									
. 1.	FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET NUMBER		6. LER NUMBER	DEV	3. PAGE				
Prairie Island Nuclear	Generating Plant Unit 2	05000 306	YEAR 2011	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER - 002	REV NO - 00	4 OF 4				

### CORRECTIVE ACTION

WO 430439 replaced the missing section of the reference ground circuit on May 9, 2011. Unit 2 was placed online on May 10, 2011.

In accordance with the Corrective Action Program (CAP), NSPM will implement a program to identify new installation and modification work under SCC jurisdiction that may impact nuclear plant reliability and implement procedural controls to enable human performance tool usage. This program will ensure that, prior to conduct of work, procedures of sufficient quality to enable human performance tool usage are in place.

In accordance with the CAP, NSPM will implement a modification to the ground circuit for protective relaying to remove the single point vulnerability.

In accordance with the CAP, NSPM will evaluate recommendations of industry documents against current procedures and practices. The evaluation will, at a minimum, address recommendations and describe how current practices and procedures support implementation or identify actions needed to address identified gaps.

### PREVIOUS SIMILAR EVENTS

A LER search was conducted and no similar events at PINGP involving grid disturbances were identified in the last three years.