XN-NF-85-98
REVISION 1

KEWAUNEE LIMITING BREAK K(Z) LOCA/ECCS ANALYSIS

MARCH 1987

RICHLAND, WA 99352

ADVANCED NUCLEAR FUELS CORPORATION

AN AFFILIATE OF KRAFTWERK UNION

KWU

8704060413 870331
PDR ADOCK 05000305
P PDR

ADVANCED NUCLEAR FUELS CORPORATION

XN-NF-85-98
Revision 1

Issue Date: 3/17/87

KEWAUNEE LIMITING BREAK K(Z)

LOCA/ECCS ANALYSIS

Prepared by: B D Stitt
B. D. Stitt
PWR Safety Analysis

Reviewed by: J S Holm
J. S. Holm, Manager
PWR Safety Analysis

Concur: G N Ward
G. N. Ward, Manager
Reload Licensing

Concur: J N Morgan by R J Ehlers
J. N. Morgan, Manager
Customer Services Engineering

Approve: H E Williamson
H. E. Williamson, Manager
Licensing & Safety Engineering

Approve: G. L. Ritter
G. L. Ritter, Manager
Fuel Engineering & Technical Services

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REPORT DISCLAIMER

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING CONTENTS AND USE OF THIS DOCUMENT

PLEASE READ CAREFULLY

This technical report was derived through research and development programs sponsored by Advanced Nuclear Fuels Corporation. It is being submitted by Advanced Nuclear Fuels Corporation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission as part of a technical contribution to facilitate safety analyses by licensees of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission which utilize Advanced Nuclear Fuels Corporation-fabricated reload fuel or other technical services provided by Advanced Nuclear Fuels Corporation for light water power reactors and it is true and correct to the best of Advanced Nuclear Fuels Corporation's knowledge, information, and belief. The information contained herein may be used by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission in its review of this report, and under the terms of the respective agreements, by licensees or applicants before the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission which are customers of Advanced Nuclear Fuels Corporation in their demonstration of compliance with the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's regulations.

Advanced Nuclear Fuels Corporation's warranties and representations concerning the subject matter of this document are those set forth in the agreement between Advanced Nuclear Fuels Corporation and the customer to which this document is issued. Accordingly, except as otherwise expressly provided in such agreement, neither Advanced Nuclear Fuels Corporation nor any person acting on its behalf:

A. Makes any warranty, or representation, express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this document, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this document will not infringe privately owned rights, or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of, any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this document.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section		Page
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	LIMITING BREAK LOCA ANALYSIS	5
2.1	LOCA Analysis Model	5
2.2	Results	7
3.0	REFERENCES	60

LIST OF TABLES

Section | Page

1.1 Kewaunee LOCA-ECCS Analysis Results..... 3
2.1 Kewaunee System Data..... 8
2.2 Fuel Design Parameters..... 9
2.3 Kewaunee LOCA-ECCA Analysis Results, Event Times..... 10

LIST OF FIGURES

Section		Page
1.1	Comparison of Power Distributions Analyzed to Limits	4
2.1	RELAP4/EM Blowdown System Nodalization for Kewaunee	11
2.2	Axial Peaking Factor versus Relative Height, 0.4 DECLG Break, Cosine Shape	12
2.3	Axial Peaking Factor versus Relative Height, 0.4 DECLG Break, BOC	13
2.4	Axial Peaking Factor versus Relative Height, 0.4 DECLG Break, EOC	14
2.5	Downcomer Flow Rate, 0.4 DECLG Break	15
2.6	Upper Plenum Pressure, 0.4 DECLG Break	16
2.7	Average Core Inlet Flow, 0.4 DECLG Break	17
2.8	Average Core Outlet Flow, 0.4 DECLG Break	18
2.9	Total Break Flow, 0.4 DECLG Break	19
2.10	Average Break Flow Enthalpy, 0.4 DECLG	20
2.11	Flow from Intact Loop Accumulator, 0.4 DECLG Break	21
2.12	Containment Back Pressure, 0.4 DECLG Break	22
2.13	Hot Channel Heat Transfer Coefficient, PCT Node, 0.4 DECLG Break, Cosine Shape	23
2.14	Clad Surface Temperature, PCT Node during Blowdown, 0.4 DECLG Break, Cosine Shape	24
2.15	Depth of Metal-Water Reaction, PCT Node, 0.4 DECLG Break, Cosine Shape	25
2.16	Hot Channel Average Fuel Temperature, PCT Node, 0.4 DECLG Break, Cosine Shape	26
2.17	Hot Assembly Inlet Flow, 0.4 DECLG Break, Cosine Shape	27
2.18	Hot Assembly Outlet Flow, 0.4 DECLG Break, Cosine Shape	28
2.19	Hot Channel Heat Transfer Coefficient, PCT Node, 0.4 DECLG Break, BOC	29
2.20	Clad Surface Temperature, PCT Node during Blowdown, 0.4 DECLG Break, BOC	30
2.21	Depth of Metal-Water Reaction, PCT Node, 0.4 DECLG Break, BOC	31
2.22	Hot Channel Average Fuel Temperature, PCT Node, 0.4 DECLG Break, BOC	32

LIST OF FIGURES (Cont.)

Section | Page

2.23 Hot Assembly Inlet Flow, 0.4 DECLG Break, BOC..... 33
2.24 Hot Assembly Outlet Flow, 0.4 DECLG Break, BOC..... 34
2.25 Hot Channel Heat Transfer Coefficient, PCT Node, 0.4 DECLG Break, EOC..... 35
2.26 Clad Surface Temperature, PCT Node during Blowdown, 0.4 DECLG Break, EOC..... 36
2.27 Depth of Metal-Water Reaction, PCT Node, 0.4 DECLG Break, EOC..... 37
2.28 Hot Channel Average Fuel Temperature, PCT Node, 0.4 DECLG Break, EOC..... 38
2.29 Hot Assembly Inlet Flow, 0.4 DECLG Break, EOC..... 39
2.30 Hot Assembly Outlet Flow, 0.4 DECLG Break, EOC..... 40
2.31 Normalized Power, 0.4 DECLG Break, Cosine Shape..... 41
2.32 Normalized Power, 0.4 DECLG Break, BOC..... 42
2.33 Normalized Power, 0.4 DECLG Break, EOC..... 43
2.34 Reflood Core Mixture Level, 0.4 DECLG Break, Cosine Shape..... 44
2.35 Reflood Downcomer Mixture Level, 0.4 DECLG Break, Cosine Shape..... 45
2.36 Reflood Upper Plenum Pressure, 0.4 DECLG Break, Cosine Shape..... 46
2.37 Core Flooding Rate, 0.4 DECLG Break, Cosine Shape..... 47
2.38 Reflood Core Mixture Level, 0.4 DECLG Break, BOC..... 48
2.39 Reflood Downcomer Mixture Level, 0.4 DECLG Break, BOC..... 49
2.40 Reflood Upper Plenum Pressure, 0.4 DECLG Break, BOC..... 50
2.41 Core Flooding Rate, 0.4 DECLG Break, BOC..... 51
2.42 Reflood Core Mixture Level, 0.4 DECLG Break, EOC..... 52
2.43 Reflood Downcomer Mixture Level, 0.4 DECLG Break, EOC..... 53
2.44 Reflood Upper Plenum Pressure, 0.4 DECLG Break, EOC..... 54
2.45 Core Flooding Rate, 0.4 DECLG Break, EOC..... 55
2.46 TOODEE2 Cladding Temperature vs Time, 0.4 DECLG Break, Cosine Shape..... 56

LIST OF FIGURES (Cont.)

Section | Page

2.47 TOODEE2 Cladding Temperature vs Time, 0.4 DECLG Break, BOC 57

2.48 TOODEE2 Cladding Temperature vs Time, 0.4 DECLG Break, EOC 58

2.49 Hot Channel Factor Normalized Envelope for $F_Q^T = 2.28$, $F_{\Delta H}^T = 1.55$, K(Z) Function 59

1.0 INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

This document presents analytical results for a postulated large break loss-of-coolant accident (LOCA) for the Kewaunee reactor operating with Advanced Nuclear Fuels (ANF) fuel. The analysis was performed to determine the axially dependent linear heat generation rate (LHGR) limits for Kewaunee (i.e., the K(Z) curve). The analyses assume a reactor operating power of 1683 MWt (1650 MWt plus 2% power uncertainty), and use of Advanced Nuclear Fuels Corporation's (ANF's) fuel. The calculations were made for the double-ended cold leg guillotine break with a discharge coefficient of 0.4 (0.4 DECLG), identified in the previous analyses as the most limiting break.[1,2,3,4]

The LOCA analyses were performed for a full core of ANF fuel using the EXEM/PWR ECCS evaluation model[5], with the RODEX2 computer model for evaluating the rod stored energy and fission gas release.[6] The EXEM/PWR ECCS evaluation model includes the NRC fuel swelling and flow blockage model, NUREG-0630.[7] The analyses are applicable to a five percent (5%) average steam generator (SG) tube plugging. The maximum allowable linear heat generation rate (including the 1.02 factor for power uncertainty) is 14.76 kW/ft, corresponding to a maximum total power peaking factor of 2.28 ($F^T_{\Delta H}$), and nuclear enthalpy rise of 1.55 ($F^T_{\Delta H}$).[4]

The present LOCA-ECCS analyses were performed with three axial power shapes to bound the power distributions anticipated to occur. The first profile was cosine shaped. The last two profiles were a beginning-of-cycle (BOC) slightly top skewed cosine shape, and end-of-cycle (EOC) heavily top skewed shape. All of the cases analyzed used a conservative burnup corresponding to peak stored energy. These power shapes are shown in Figure 1.1 and compared to the $F^T_Q(Z)$ limit.

The calculational basis and results of the present analysis are summarized in Table 1.1. The maximum calculated PCT is equal to 2025°F, and occurs

at 54 seconds from the start of the transient at a location 6.0 feet from the bottom of the active core, with a total metal-water reaction less than one percent. The 2025°F PCT result includes a +59°F temperature correction to allow for the use of NRC interim upper plenum injection model[8] as modified by Westinghouse.[9]

The results of the analyses show that for breaks up to and including the double-ended severance of a reactor cold leg coolant pipe, the Kewaunee nuclear reactor and the Emergency Core Cooling System will meet the Acceptance Criteria as presented in 10 CFR 50.46.[10] The analyses cover operation at the rated system power with steam generator tube plugging up to 5% and with the 2.28 F_Q^T and 1.55 $F_{\Delta H}^T$ limits. The Acceptance Criteria are as follows:

(1) The calculated peak fuel element clad temperature does not exceed the 2200°F limit.

(2) The amount of fuel element cladding that reacts chemically with water or steam does not exceed 1 percent of the total amount of zircaloy in the reactor.

(3) The cladding temperature transient is terminated at a time when the core geometry is still amenable to cooling. The hot fuel rod cladding oxidation limits of 17% are not exceeded during or after quenching.

(4) The core temperature is reduced and decay heat is removed for an extended period of time, as required by the long-lived radioactivity remaining in the core.

Table 1.1 Kewaunee LOCA-ECCS Analysis Results - K(Z)

Analysis Results	Cosine	BOC	EOC
Peak Clad Temperature (PCT), °F*	2025	1959	1996
ΔPCT for UPI, °F	59	5	-4
Time of PCT, sec.	54	168	178
Peak Clad Temperature Location, ft.	6.0	10.9	11.13
Local Zr/H_2O Reaction (max.), %**	2.6	3.0	3.6
Local Zr/H_2O Location, ft. from bottom	6.0	10.9	11.13
Total H_2 Generation, % of Total Zr Reacted	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Hot Rod Burst Time, sec.	38.6	42.0	43.3
Hot Rod Burst Location, ft.	6.0	7.63	10.13
Peak Power Location, ft.	6.0	7.63	10.13
Calculational Basis			
License Core Power, MWt	1650	1650	1650
Power Used for Analysis, MWt***	1683	1683	1683
Peak Linear Power for Analysis, kW/ft***	14.76	14.4	14.0
Total Peaking Factor, $F^T_{\Delta H}$	2.28	2.23	2.16
Enthalpy Rise, Nuclear, $F^T_{\Delta H}$	1.55	1.79	1.55
Steam Generator Tube Plugging (%)	5.00	5.00	5.00

* Includes ΔPCT for UPI

** Computer value at 380 seconds

*** Including 1.02 factor for power uncertainties



Figure 1.1 Comparison of Power Distributions Analyzed to Limits

2.0 LIMITING BREAK LOCA ANALYSIS

This report provides the results of a LOCA-ECCS analysis performed for Kewaunee with total steam generator tube plugging up to 5%. The analytical techniques used are in compliance with Appendix K of 10 CFR 50, and are described in the ANF WREM models[11], and the Emergency Core Cooling System Evaluation Model Updates: WREM-II[12], WREM-IIA[13] and EXEM/PWR[5,18].

A LOCA break spectrum analysis was performed for a similar Westinghouse two-loop plant, with results reported in XN-NF-78-46[1]. The limiting LOCA break was determined to be a large double-ended guillotine break of the cold leg, with a discharge coefficient of 0.4 (0.4 DECLG).

2.1 LOCA Analysis Model

The Advanced Nuclear Fuels Corp. EXEM/PWR ECCS evaluation model[5] was used to perform the analyses. This model consists of the following computer codes: RODEX2[6] code for initial rod stored energy and internal fuel rod gas inventory; RELAP4-EM[14] for the system blowdown and hot channel blowdown calculations; CONTEMPT-LT/22 as modified in CSB 6-1[15] for computation of containment backpressure; REFLEX[5,7,16] for computation of system reflood; and TOODEE2[5,7,17] for the calculation of final fuel rod heatup. The quench and heat transfer coefficient models used in the reflood portion of the transient are based on the Fuel Cooling Test Facility (FCTF) test data and are reported in References 18 and 19. The NRC upper plenum injection (UPI) interim model, developed by the NRC Staff[8] and modified by Westinghouse[9], was utilized.

The Kewaunee nuclear reactor is a two-loop Westinghouse pressurized water reactor with upper plenum injection and dry containment. The reactor coolant system is nodalized into control volumes representing reasonably homogeneous regions, interconnected by flow-paths or "junctions" as described in XN-NF-77-25(A)[15]. The system nodalization is as depicted in Figure 2.1. The pump

performance characteristic curves are supplied by the NSSS vendor. Five percent of the steam generator tubes are assumed to be plugged in each generator. The transient behavior was determined from the governing conservation equations for mass, energy, and momentum. Energy transport, flow rates, and heat transfers are determined from appropriate correlations. System input parameters are given in Table 2.1.

The reactor core is modeled with heat generation rates determined from reactor kinetics equations with reactivity feedback and with decay heating as required by Appendix K of 10 CFR 50. The LOCA/ECCS analysis presented in this report supports the current K(Z) function developed by the NSSS vendor for the portion of the function defined by the large break LOCA. Where small break LOCA is limiting, the K(Z) curve is defined such that the Linear Heat Generation Rates (LHGRs) determined by the NSSS vendor analysis are unchanged. The K(Z) function is shown in Figure 2.49. The analysis of the loss-of-coolant accident is performed at 102 percent of rated power. The fuel design parameters are shown in Table 2.2.

LOCA/ECCS calculations were performed with three axial power shapes to bound the power distributions anticipated to occur. Two power shapes representative of the most top peaked anticipated at BOC and EOC conditions were chosen from a study of a number of different reactors and cycles. The third axial power profile analyzed was cosine shaped. The BOC axial power distribution (Figure 2.2) was analyzed in conjunction with a conservative value for $F_{\Delta H}^{T}$ in excess of the Technical Specification limit. This was done in order to be able to analyze with a peak F_Q at the Technical Specification limit. The EOC axial power distribution (Figure 2.4) was conservatively increased in value in the top portion of the core and decreased at the bottom portion of the core in order to be analyzed with a peak F_Q at the Technical Specification limit and with an $F_{\Delta H}^{T}$ value equal to the Technical Specification limit. All cases were analyzed at the burnup corresponding to peak stored energy. These power shapes are shown in Figure 1.1 and compared to the $F_Q(Z)$ limit.

2.2 Results

Table 2.3 presents the timing and sequence of events as determined for the large guillotine break with a discharge coefficient of 0.4. Comparison of these results with the previous LOCA-ECCS analysis for ANF fuel shows very slight change in the event times. Figures 2.5 through 2.11 present plotted results for system blowdown analysis(4). Unless otherwise noted on the figures, time zero corresponds to the time of break initiation. Figure 2.12 presents calculated containment backpressure time history(4). Figures 2.13 through 2.30 present results for the hot channel blowdown calculations. Figures 2.31 through 2.33 show the normalized power calculation results. The reflood calculation results are shown in Figures 2.34 through 2.45.

The maximum peak cladding temperature (PCT) calculated for the 0.4 DECLG break with a cosine shape is 2025°F (Figure 2.46). This value includes a +59°F temperature correction associated with the use of the NRC interim upper plenum injection (UPI) model as modified by Westinghouse. The maximum local metal-water reaction in this case is 2.6% after 380 seconds, and the total core metal-water reaction is less than 1%. The PCT location is at an elevation of 6.0 feet from the bottom of active core. For ANF fuel with an upskewed BOC shape, the PCT is 1959°F (Figure 2.47) including +5°F for UPI effect, occurring at 10.9 feet elevation relative to the bottom of the active core. The local metal-water reaction is 3.0%, with a total metal-water reaction of less than 1%. For ANF fuel with a top peaked EOC shape, the PCT is 1996°F (Figure 2.48) including -4°F for UPI effect, occurring at 11.1 feet elevation relative to the bottom of the active core. The local metal-water reaction is 3.6%, with a total metal-water reaction of less than 1%. The peak cladding temperatures shown in Figures 2.46, 2.47 and 2.48 do not include the UPI corrections.

Table 2.1 Kewaunee System Data

Primary Heat Output, MWt	1650*
Primary Coolant Flow, lbm/hr	6.82×10^7
Operating Pressure, psia	2250
Inlet Coolant Temperature, °F	534
Reactor Vessel Volume, ft^3	2406
Pressurizer Volume, Total, ft^3	1000
Pressurizer Volume, Liquid, ft^3	600
Accumulator Volume, Total, ft^3 (each of two)	2000
Accumulator Volume, Liquid, ft^3	1250
Accumulator Trip Point Pressure, psia	714.7
Steam Generator Secondary Heat Transfer Area, ft^2	48,925**
Steam Generator Secondary Flow, lbm/hr	3.56×10^6
Steam Generator Secondary Pressure, psia	750
Reactor Coolant Pump Head, ft (Design)	277
Reactor Coolant Pump Speed, ft (Design)	1190
Moment of Inertia, lbm-ft^2/rad	80,000
Cold Leg Pipe, I.D., in.	27.5
Hot Leg Pipe, I.D., in.	29
Pump Suction Pipe, I.D., in.	31

* Primary Heat Output used in RELAP4-EM Model = 1.02 x 1650 = 1683 MWt.

** Includes 5% SG tube plugging.

Table 2.2 Fuel Design Parameters

Cladding, O.D., in.	0.424
Cladding, I.D., in.	0.364
Cladding Thickness, in.	0.030
Pellet O.D., in.	0.3565
Diametral Gap, in.	0.0075
Pellet Density, % TD	94.0
Active Fuel Length, in.	144.0
Rod Pitch, in.	0.556

Table 2.3 Kewaunee LOCA-ECCS Analysis Results, Event Times

Event	Time (sec.)
Start	0.00
Break Initiation	.05
Safety Injection Signal	.65
Accumulator Injection, Broken Loop	4.8
Accumulator Injection, Intact Loop	8.8
End-of-Bypass	22.7
Safety Injection Flow	25.7
Start of Reflood	36.9
Accumulator Empties, Intact Loop	43.1
Peak Clad Temperature Reached -	
Cosine	53.0
BOC	168.0
EOC	178.0



Figure 2.1 RELAP4/EM Blowdown System Nodalization for Kewaunee



Figure 2.2 Axial Peaking Factor versus Relative Height, 0.4 DECLG Break, Cosine Shape



Figure 2.3 Axial Peaking Factor vs. Relative Height, 0.4 DECLG Break, BOC



Figure 2.4 Axial Peaking Factor vs. Relative Height, 0.4 DECLG Break, EOC



Figure 2.5 Downcomer Flow Rate, 0.4 DECLG Break



Figure 2.6 Upper Plenum Pressure, 0.4 DECLG Break



Figure 2.7 Average Core Inlet Flow, 0.4 DECLG Break



Figure 2.8 Average Core Outlet Flow, 0.4 DECLG Break



Figure 2.9 Total Break Flow, 0.4 DECLG Break



Figure 2.10 Average Break Flow Enthalpy, 0.4 DECLG



Figure 2.11 Flow From Intact Loop Accumulator, 0.4 DECLG Break



Figure 2.12 Containment Back Pressure, 0.4 DECLG Break



Figure 2.13 Hot Channel Heat Transfer Coefficient, PCT Node, 0.4 DECLG Break, Cosine Shape



Figure 2.14 Clad Surface Temperature, PCT Node during Blowdown, 0.4 DECLG Break, Cosine Shape



Figure 2.15 Depth of Metal-Water Reaction, PCT Node, 0.4 DECLG Break, Cosine Shape



Figure 2.16 Hot Channel Average Fuel Temperature, PCT Node, 0.4 DECLG Break, Cosine Shape



Figure 2.17 Hot Assembly Inlet Flow,
0.4 DECLG Break, Cosine Shape



Figure 2.18 Hot Assembly Outlet Flow, 0.4 DECLG Break, Cosine Shape



Figure 2.19 Hot Channel Heat Transfer Coefficient, PCT Node, 0.4 DECLG Break, BOC



Figure 2.20 Clad Surface Temperature, PCT Node during Blowdown, 0.4 DECLG Break, BOC



Figure 2.21 Depth of Metal-Water Reaction, PCT Node, 0.4 DECLG Break, BOC



Figure 2.22 Hot Channel Average Fuel Temperature, PCT Node, 0.4 DECLG Break, BOC



Figure 2.23 Hot Assembly Inlet Flow, 0.4 DECLG Break, BOC



Figure 2.24 Hot Assembly Outlet Flow, 0.4 DECLG Break, BOC



Figure 2.25 Hot Channel Heat Transfer Coefficient, PCT Node, 0.4 DECLG Break, EOC



Figure 2.26 Clad Surface Temperature, PCT Node during Blowdown, 0.4 DECLG Break, EOC



Figure 2.27 Depth of Metal-Water Reaction, PCT Node, 0.4 DECLG Break, EOC



Figure 2.28 Hot Channel Average Fuel Temperature, PCT Node, 0.4 DECLG Break, EOC



Figure 2.29 Hot Assembly Inlet Flow, 0.4 DECLG Break, EOC



Figure 2.30 Hot Assembly Outlet Flow, 0.4 DECLG Break, EOC



Figure 2.31 Normalized Power, 0.4 DECLG Break, Cosine Shape



Figure 2.32 Normalized Power, 0.4 DECLG Break, BOC



Figure 2.33 Normalized Power,
0.4 DECLG Break, EOC



Figure 2.34 Reflood Core Mixture Level, 0.4 DECLG Break, Cosine Shape



Figure 2.35 Reflood Downcomer Mixture Level, 0.4 DECLG Break, Cosine Shape



Figure 2.36 Reflood Upper Plenum Pressure, 0.4 DECLG Break, Cosine Shape



Figure 2.37 Core Flooding Rate, 0.4 DECLG Break, Cosine Shape



Figure 2.38 Reflood Core Mixture Level, 0.4 DECLG Break, BOC



Figure 2.39 Reflood Downcomer Mixture Level, 0.4 DECLG Break, BOC



Figure 2.40 Reflood Upper Plenum Pressure, 0.4 DECLG Break, BOC



Figure 2.41 Core Flooding Rate, 0.4 DECLG Break, BOC



Figure 2.42 Reflood Core Mixture Level, 0.4 DECLG Break, EOC



Figure 2.43 Reflood Downcomer Mixture Level, 0.4 DECLG Break, EOC



Figure 2.44 Reflood Upper Plenum Pressure, 0.4 DECLG Break, EOC



Figure 2.45 Core Flooding Rate, 0.4 DECLG Break, EOC



Figure 2.46 TOODEE2 Cladding Temperature vs. Time, 0.4 DECLG Break, Cosine Shape



Figure 2.47 TOODEE2 Cladding Temperature vs. Time, 0.4 DECLG Break, BOC



Figure 2.48 TOODEE2 Cladding Temperature vs. Time, 0.4 DECLG Break, EOC



Figure 2.49 Hot Channel Factor Normalized Operating Envelope, F_Q = 2.28, K(Z) Function

3.0 REFERENCES

1. XN-NF-78-46, "ECCS Large Break Spectrum Analysis for Prairie Island Unit 1 Using ENC WREM-IIA PWR Evaluation Model," November 1978.

2. XN-NF-79-1, "ECCS Analysis for Kewaunee Using ENC WREM-IIA PWR Evaluation Model," January 1979.

3. Kewaunee Nuclear Generating Plant Final Safety Analysis Report.

4. XN-NF-84-31, Revision 1, "Kewaunee High Burnup Safety Analysis: Limiting Break LOCA and Radiological Consequences," October 1984.

5. XN-NF-82-20(P), Revision 1, "Exxon Nuclear Company Evaluation Model EXEM/PWR ECCS Model Updates," August 1982; Supplement 1, March 1982; Supplement 2, March 1982; Supplement 3, June 1985; and Supplement 4, July 1984.

6. XN-NF-81-58(A), Revision 2 & Supps. 1 and 2, "RODEX2: Fuel Rod Thermal-Mechanical Response Evaluation Model," March 1984.

7. XN-NF-82-07(P)(A), Revision 1, "Exxon Nuclear Company ECCS Cladding Swelling and Rupture Model," August 1982.

8. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, "Safety Evaluation Report on Interim ECCS Evaluation Model for Westinghouse Two-Loop Plants," Analysis Branch, Division of System Safety, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, November 1977.

9. Letter, L.O. Mayer to DIrector of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, February 24, 1978 (Docket No. 50-282 and 50-306).

10. "Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light Water Cooled Nuclear Power Reactors," 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K of 10 CFR 50.

11. XN-75-41, "Exxon Nuclear Company WREM-Based Generic PWR ECCS Evaluation Model," July 1975, and Supplements and Revisions thereto.

12. XN-76-27, "Exxon Nuclear Company WREM-Based Generic PWR ECCS Evaluation Model Update ENC WREM-II," July 1976; Supplement 1, September 1976; and Supplement 2, November 1976.

13. XN-NF-78-30(A), "Exxon Nuclear Company WREM-Based Generic PWR ECCS Evaluation Model Update ENC WREM-IIA," May 1979.

14. Letter, T.A. Ippolito (USNRC) to W.S. Nechodom (ENC), "SER for ENC RELAP4-EM Update," March 1979.

15. XN-NF-77-25(A), "Exxon Nuclear Company ECCS Evaluation of a 2-Loop Westinghouse PWR with Dry Containment using the ENC WREM-II ECCS Model - Large Break Example Problem," September 1978.

16. XN-NF-CC-52(P), Volume II, "REFLEX and REFLEX/UPI PWR Reflood Computer Program - User's Manual," February 1985.

17. G.N. Lauben, "TOODEE2: A Two-Dimensional Time Dependent Fuel Element Thermal Analysis Program," NRC Report NUREG-75/057, May 1975.

18. XN-NF-85-16(P), Rev. 1, Volume II, "PWR 17x17 Fuel Cooling Test Program: Reflood Quench, Carryover, and Heat Transfer Correlations," Exxon Nuclear Company, Inc., Richland, WA, January 1986.

19. XN-NF-85-105(P), "Scaling of FCTF-Based Reflood Heat Transfer Correlation for Other Bundle Designs," Exxon Nuclear Company, Inc., Richland, WA, October 1985.

XN-NF-85-98
Revision 1

Issue Date: 3/17/87

KEWAUNEE LIMITING BREAK K(Z) LOCA/ECCS ANALYSIS

Distribution

T. H. Chen
R. A. Copeland
N. F. Fausz
R. C. Gottula
J. S. Holm
J. W. Hulsman
L. A. Nielsen
J. M. Ross
B. D. Stitt
T. Tahvili
H. E. Williamson

Wisconsin Public Service/JM Ross (20)

Document Control (5)