

BellBendCOLPEm Resource

From: Canova, Michael
Sent: Thursday, March 11, 2010 8:41 AM
To: 'Sgarro, Rocco R'; 'BBNPP@pplweb.com'; 'Freels, James'; 'melanie.Frailer@unistarnuclear.com'
Cc: BellBendCOL Resource; Colaccino, Joseph
Subject: Bell Bend COLA - Request for Information - Final Letter No. 75 (RAI No. 75) with Revision-SEB1 - 2505
Attachments: Final RAI Letter 75 - SEB1 2505.doc

Attached is RAI No. 75 for the Bell Bend COL Application. Based [your e-mail message of 3/10/2010](#), we understand you have no questions on this RAI. You are requested to respond to this request [within 30 working days](#). If additional time is required to respond, please inform me of your proposed schedule to respond at your earliest opportunity.

If you have any questions, please contact me.

Michael A. Canova

Project Manager - Bell Bend COL Application
Docket 52-039
EPR Project Branch
Division of New Reactor Licensing
Office of New Reactors
301-415-0737

Hearing Identifier: BellBend_COL_Public
Email Number: 529

Mail Envelope Properties (77BCCD26C6050B42A72FE3939CF492ED1CEC54AFB3)

Subject: Bell Bend COLA - Request for Information - Final Letter No. 75 (RAI No. 75)
with Revision- SEB1 - 2505
Sent Date: 3/11/2010 8:41:14 AM
Received Date: 3/11/2010 8:41:17 AM
From: Canova, Michael

Created By: Michael.Canova@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"BellBendCOL Resource" <BellBendCOL.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Colaccino, Joseph" <Joseph.Colaccino@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Sgarro, Rocco R" <rrsgarro@pplweb.com>

Tracking Status: None

"BBNPP@pplweb.com" <BBNPP@pplweb.com>

Tracking Status: None

"Freels, James" <James.Freels@unistarnuclear.com>

Tracking Status: None

"melanie.Frailer@unistarnuclear.com" <melanie.Frailer@unistarnuclear.com>

Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

| Files | Size | Date & Time |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| MESSAGE | 746 | 3/11/2010 8:41:17 AM |
| Final RAI Letter 75 - SEB1 2505.doc | | 32250 |

Options

Priority: Standard

Return Notification: No

Reply Requested: No

Sensitivity: Normal

Expiration Date:

Recipients Received:

Request for Additional Information No. 75
Application Revision 0

3/11/2010

Bell Bend
PPL Bell Bend LLC.
Docket No. 52-039

SRP Section: 03.08.03 - Concrete and Steel Internal Structures of Steel or Concrete Containments
Application Section: 3.8.3.3

QUESTIONS for Structural Engineering Branch 1 (AP1000/EPR Projects) (SEB1)

03.08.03-1

For COL information item COL 3.8(2) in the BBNPP COL FSAR, Subsection 3.8.3.3, "Loads and Load Combinations" (SRP Section 3.8.3), the applicant states in the third paragraph (Page 3-177) that "Site specific RCB [Reactor Containment Building] internal structures design loads have been confirmed to lie within the standard U.S. EPR design certification envelope with the exception of design loads resulting from the BBNPP site specific seismic response spectra and soil profiles described in Section 3.7.1. Additional confirmatory evaluations for the site specific seismic response spectra have been performed as noted below and confirm that the RCB internal structures are acceptable for the BBNPP site:

- BBNPP site specific NI Common Base Mat Structure foundation soil spring values are enveloped by the standard U.S. EPR design certification soil spring values.
- BBNPP site specific NSSS support loads are enveloped by the standard U.S. EPR design certification NSSS support loads.
- The BBNPP site specific ZPA values for the RCB internal structures are enveloped by the standard U.S. EPR design certification ZPA values for the RCB internal structures."

Also, in BBNPP COL FSAR, Subsection 3.7.1.1.1, "Design Ground Motion Response Spectra", the first paragraph (Page 3-33) states "A comparison of the BBNPP GMRS versus the CSDRS for five percent damping anchored at 0.30g is shown in Figure 3.7-1 and Figure 3.7-2. As shown, the CSDRS [Certified Seismic Design Response Spectra] are exceeded by the BBNPP GMRS in both the horizontal and vertical directions."

The applicant is requested to provide the following information:

1. Provide the technical basis that supports the conclusion that the three additional evaluations listed in the first paragraph quoted above (the three bullets) demonstrate that the response of the RCB internal structures for BBNPP is enveloped by that of U.S. EPR.
2. The elevation of the water table is about 30 ft. above the elevation of the bottom of the NI foundation basemat. The SSI analysis performed in US-EPR does not appear to have considered the effect of this high water table.

Provide the technical basis that supports the conclusion that the effect of high water table is negligible, and that the results of US-EPR are applicable to BBNPP RCB internal structures.

3. Are there equipment items that are sensitive to high frequency excitations? If so, describe these and describe how they are designed to accommodate the seismic loads.
4. In BBNPP COL FSAR, Subsection 3.7.1.1 under the title of "Reactor Coolant System" (first paragraph in Page 3-31), the applicant states that "BBNPP site-specific time history analyses are performed to approximately 40 seconds using input at 0.005 second intervals. Sensitivity evaluation confirms the integration time step used, 0.0005 seconds, is adequate."

Explain how the time histories used in these analyses were generated? Provide damping values assumed for the structures in these analyses.

5. In BBNPP COL FSAR, Subsection 3.7.1.1 under the title of "RPV Internals" (Page 3-31), the applicant states that "Site-specific time histories are developed from the site specific GMRS/FIRS and the site-specific best estimate, lower bound, and upper bound soil profiles."

Provide information for these site-specific time histories, their duration, time steps, and the target response spectra to which they are matched.