# **CCNPP3COLA PEmails**

From: Sent: To: Subject: Attachments: Steckel, James Thursday, June 23, 2011 2:49 PM CCNPP3COLA PEmails FW: RAI No 104 RHEB 2093.doc (PUBLIC) RAI No 104 RHEB 2093.doc

From: John Rycyna
Sent: Monday, April 20, 2009 4:58 PM
To: Poche, Robert; McQueeney, Jennifer; <u>katie.thurstin@unistarnuclear.com</u>
Cc: CCNPP3COL Resource; Henry Jones; Richard Raione; Joseph Colaccino; James Biggins; Adam Gendelman
Subject: RAI No 104 RHEB 2093.doc (PUBLIC)

Rob,

Attached please find the subject request for additional information (RAI). A draft of the RAI was provided to you on April 7, 2009. No conference call was requested to discuss this RAI. The schedule we have established for review of your application assumes technically correct and complete responses within 30 days of receipt of RAIs. For any RAIs that cannot be answered within 30 days, it is expected that a date for receipt of this information will be provided to the staff within the 30 day period so that the staff can assess how this information will impact the published schedule.

John Rycyna, PE Sr. Project Manager Division of New Reactor Licensing Office of New Reactors U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 301-415-4122

Hearing Identifier:	CalvertCliffs_Unit3Cola_Public_EX
Email Number:	2406

Mail Envelope Properties (0AA17736E4C4154CA37233EEBFC8DEB27400C0E1E5)

Subject:	FW: RAI No 104 RHEB 2093.doc	(PUBLIC)
Sent Date:	6/23/2011 2:48:48 PM	
Received Date:	6/23/2011 2:48:50 PM	
From:	Steckel, James	

Created By: James.Steckel@nrc.gov

**Recipients:** 

"CCNPP3COLA PEmails" <CCNPP3COLA.PEmails@nrc.gov> Tracking Status: None

Post Office:	HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov		
Files MESSAGE RAI No 104 RHEB 2093	<b>Size</b> 1062 3.doc	27246	Date & Time 6/23/2011 2:48:50 PM
Options Priority: Return Notification: Reply Requested: Sensitivity: Expiration Date: Recipients Received:	Standard No No Normal		

## Request for Additional Information No. 104 4/20/2009

#### Calvert Cliffs Unit 3 UniStar Docket No. 52-016 SRP Section: 02.04.13 - Accidental Releases of Radioactive Liquid Effluents in Ground and Surface Waters Application Section: FSAR Section 2.4

QUESTIONS for Hydrologic Engineering Branch (RHEB)

## 02.04.13-1

Provide confirmation of, and the technical basis for, the use of the Reactor Coolant Storage Tank as the source tank with the greatest inventory for the purposes of the accidental release analysis.

## 02.04.13-2

Provide a reference for the radionuclide activities used as the source in the accidental release analysis (FSAR Table 2.4-44).

#### 02.04.13-3

Provide information on the presence or absence of chelating agents in the tank used for the source in the accidental release analysis. Also discuss the planned use of any chemical agents anywhere at the site which could modify the radionuclide transport characteristics of the sub-surface region.

## 02.04.13-4

Provide a discussion of the technical basis for concluding that the postulated groundwater pathway is conservative, including discussion of the following:

- The assumption that a transport analysis that does not consider hydrodynamic dispersion is conservative for a constituent subject to decay;
- A conservative analysis of the limiting value for a radionuclide mixture considers the possible combination of radionuclides at the boundary of the unrestricted area due to variation in K<sub>d</sub> values and does not simply assume minimum K<sub>d</sub> values for all radionuclides in the mixture;
- Possible alternative pathways, e.g., to the underlying aquifer or to St. John's Creek and Branch 3;
- The impact of site construction (excavation and fill) on possible alternative transport pathways;

• Consistency with FSAR Sections 2.4.12 and 2.5.4.