| NRC FORM 591M PAR | T 1 | | | U.S NUCLEAR RE | EGULATORY COMMISSION | | | |
|--|----------------|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| (06-2010) 10 CFR 2.201 | | | | | | | | |
| SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION | | | | | | | | |
| 1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: The Heart Center of Lake County, P.C. 5800 Broadway Merrilville, IN 46410 REPORT NUMBER(s): 11-01 | | | 2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region III 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, Illinois 60532 | | | | | |
| 3. DOCKET NUMBER(S 030-35414 | 5) | 4. LICENSEE NUMBER(13-32260-01 | S) | 5. DATE(S) OF INS June /7, | | | | |
| LICENSEE: | | | | | | | | |
| LICENSEE: The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory formission (NRC) rules and regresentative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows: 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified. 2. Previous violation(s) closed. 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NURGe-1600, to exercise discustion, were satisfied 2. Non-cited violation(s) were discussed involving the following requirement(s): (1) Tritle jo of the Code of Federal Regulations (a CFR) 20.2103 (c) states, in part, that each licensee shall meintain records sharing the results of sorreys and calibration records by to CFR 20.1501 and 20.1906(c), (2) Tritle jo CFR 35.60 (c) states that a licensee shall return a record of seach instrument calibration requires the taken october 26 and Morembur 6, 2000, the licensee failed to record the results of performance with to CFR 35.2060. (2) Tritle is of the above between october 26 and Morembur 6, 2000, the licensee failed to record the record the results of performance of the record and back dost dost dost dost dost dost dost dost | | | | | | | | |
| Statement of Corrective Actions | | | | | | | | |
| I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested. | | | | | | | | |
| Title | | Printed Name | | Signature | Date | | | |
| LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE | | | | | | | | |
| NRC INSPECTOR | Andrew M. Bran | nnik | And | K Bein | 6/17/B011 | | | |
| Branch Chief | Tamara E. Bloo | mer | FE,S | loon | 6/22/11 | | | |
| | | | | | 1 7 | | | |

NRC FORM 591 M PART 3 (06-2010) 10 CFR 2.201

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Docket File Information SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

| | | · | | | | | |
|--|------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| 1. LICENSEE The Heart Center of Lake County, P.C. | | | 2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region III 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 | | | | |
| REPORT NUMBER(S) 11-01 | | | Lisle, Illinois 60532 | | | | |
| 3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-35414 | | 4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S) 13-32260-01 | | 5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION June 17, 2011 | | | |
| 6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES | | 7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS | | | | | |
| 87130 | | 03.01 – 03.07 | | | | | |
| SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION | | | | | | | |
| 1.program 2201 | 2. PRIORITY 5 | 3. LICENSEE CO Charles-Lu | ритаст Jwanga Okoro, D.O RSO | 4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 219-884-9180 | | | |
| 🛛 Main Office | e Inspection | | Nex | t Inspection Date: JUNE 2016 | | | |
| Field Office Inspection | | | | | | | |
| Temporary Job Site Inspection | | | | | | | |
| PROGRAM SCOPE This was a routine inspection of a private clinic that performed approximately 80 - 100 diagnostic nuclear medicine procedures per month. One full time nuclear medicine technologist and one stress technologist performed all patient procedures Monday through Friday. The licensee obtained licensed material as unit doses from an area nuclear pharmacy, and did not use bulk doses or molybdenum/technetium generators. The licensee performed exclusively cardiac scans and was not authorized to perform or administer therapeutic doses. PERFORMANCE OBSERVATIONS The inspector observed one resting and one stress dose of technicium-99m being administered during the inspection. These observations, combined with interviews of available staff, revealed an adequate level of understanding of emergency and material handling procedures and techniques. Dose calibrator constancy checks, package receipt, daily surveys, and waste handling and disposal procedures were successfully demonstrated. An outside consultant performed quarterly program audits that were adequate to oversee the program. Licensed material was adequately secured and not readily accessible to members of the general public. The licensee possessed a radiation survey meter that was calibrated, operational, and performed well in side-by-side comparison with an NRC instrument. Independent measurements did not indicate readings in excess of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) Part 20 limits in restricted or unrestricted areas. Personal whole body and extremity dosimetry were observed worn by the staff during the inspection, and records did not indicate doses in excess of 10 CFR Part 20 limits. Dosimetry records indicated that the highest annual whole body and extremity readings for the past four years were 268 millirem (mrem) and 2550 mrem, respectively. Two Non-Cited Violations (NCVs) were identified during this inspection: On October 25, 2009, the nuclear medicine technologist received one half day of turnover with the permanent technologist | | | | | | | |
| each week, and proposing enhanced training for temporary workers. As both issues were self-identified, non- repetitive, non-willful, and corrective actions have been taken, both issues meet the criteria to be NCVs. No additional violations were identified during this inspection. | | | | | | | |
| No aquiuonal violations were identified during this inspection. | | | | | | | |