## **CCNPP3COLA PEmails**

From: Steckel, James

**Sent:** Wednesday, June 22, 2011 9:13 AM

To: CCNPP3COLA PEmails

Subject: FW: RAI No 30 ORLT 1135.doc (P)

From: Rycyna, John

Sent: Wednesday, December 17, 2008 7:58 AM

To: Weiss, Eric

Cc: CCNPP3COL Resource; Barss, Dan; Johnson, Don

Subject: RE: RAI No 30 ORLT 1135.doc (P)

Eric.

Their response is weeks late. They are going to provide it ASAP. After it's here let me know if there are issues and we can have a call then.

BTW, The latest version of rev 3 once again failed to get processed into ADAMS yesterday. They will send a new one tomorrow.

Thanks.

John

From: Eric Weiss

Sent: Wednesday, December 17, 2008 6:48 AM

To: John Rycyna; Dan Barss

**Cc:** Don Johnson; Steve LaVie; Kevin Williams **Subject:** RE: RAI No 30 ORLT 1135.doc (P)

John-

Yes, my branch chief (Kevin Williams) says that they can commit to EAL option 2. Please send me any formal correspondence on this if they decide to do so because I would like to refence the decision in the safety evaluation.

I would suggest that a conference call with the applicant could be useful to help them understand the scope of the issues. I would also caution that the applicant needs to carefully address each of the four critical elements in option 2.

-Eric

Eric W. Weiss NSIR/DPR/LIB (EP) 301-415-1104 <u>Eric.Weiss@nrc.gov</u> Mail Stop: T3B46M From: John Rycyna

Sent: Friday, December 12, 2008 10:21 AM

To: Eric Weiss; Dan Barss

Subject: FW: RAI No 30 ORLT 1135.doc (P)

UniStar would like to know if they could commit to EAL Option 2 from the recent NEI letter rather than answer each question on this RAI.

Please advise.

## **Thanks**

## John

From: John Rycyna

Sent: Wednesday, November 05, 2008 2:54 PM

To: 'Wrobel, George'

Cc: CCNPP3COL Resource; Joseph Colaccino; Eric Weiss; Kevin Williams

Subject: RAI No 30 ORLT 1135.doc (P)

George,

Attached please find the subject request for additional information (RAI). A draft of the RAI was provided to you on October 22, 2008. No conference call was requested to discuss this RAI. The schedule we have established for review of your application assumes technically correct and complete responses within 30 days of receipt of RAIs. For any RAIs that cannot be answered within 30 days, it is expected that a date for receipt of this information will be provided to the staff within the 30 day period so that the staff can assess how this information will impact the published schedule.

John Rycyna, PE
Project Manager
Division of New Reactor Licensing
Office of New Reactors
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
301-415-4122

Hearing Identifier: CalvertCliffs\_Unit3Cola\_Public\_EX

Email Number: 2194

Mail Envelope Properties (0AA17736E4C4154CA37233EEBFC8DEB27400C0E040)

Subject: FW: RAI No 30 ORLT 1135.doc (P)

**Sent Date:** 6/22/2011 9:13:02 AM **Received Date:** 6/22/2011 9:13:03 AM

From: Steckel, James

Created By: James.Steckel@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"CCNPP3COLA PEmails" < CCNPP3COLA.PEmails@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

Files Size Date & Time

MESSAGE 2653 6/22/2011 9:13:03 AM

**Options** 

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal

Expiration Date: Recipients Received: