

CCNPP3COLA PEmails

From: Steckel, James
Sent: Wednesday, June 22, 2011 8:37 AM
To: CCNPP3COLA PEmails
Subject: FW: Fwd: [Crabshell] Re: [clamshellalliance] Trouble mounts for Entergy following radioactive leaks at Vermont nuclear plant | Grist
Attachments: imstp_animation_monkey_en_020908.gif

From: GOOSE [mailto:iyp@dmv.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 10, 2010 6:43 PM
To: William Johnston
Subject: Re: Fwd: [Crabshell] Re: [clamshellalliance] Trouble mounts for Entergy following radioactive leaks at Vermont nuclear plant | Grist

Its interesting that Calvert Cty has the HIGHEST rate of cancer in the whole state and Kent and Sussex Counties in De have the highest cancer in the US maybe due to Salem and Oyster Creek ya think???

-----Original Message-----

From: [William Johnston](#)
Date: 3/10/2010 2:40:17 PM
To: [Marty Madden](#); [Jeff Newman](#); [Christie Goodman](#); [Susan Shaw](#); [Wilson Parran Parran](#)
Cc: [Cathy Garger](#); [Chris Bush](#); [Peter Vogt](#); [Bruce Gordon](#); [Paulette Hammond](#)
Subject: Fwd: [Crabshell] Re: [clamshellalliance] Trouble mounts for Entergy following radioactive leaks at Vermont nuclear plant | Grist

Did you hear about the tritium leak to groundwater at Entergy's nuke in Vermont? Isn't that the same technology as at CC1 and CC2? (Did not Cathy Garger warn us of such a tritium leak at CCNPP a few months back?) The Calvert BOCC has abdicated its responsibilities to county citizens, by aiding and abetting a conspiracy of silence on all matters nuke, including whether to generate wastes to be stored here for one million years, geologic fault, etc., like county residents should have no opinion.

Rather our BOCC spends its time rehashing a contract for a diving platform at the new county pool, and other minutia for which we might thank them, but not while they disregard major issues for which we and our ancestors might well hold them in infamy. Just put our trust in the feds, and in the spineless and captive state Public Service Commission and other state agencies, to relieve us of need for any initiative/judgement here? Beyond present capabilities of investigative journalism of our media, or county BOCC or Environmental Commission inquiry? Have the feds renounced any responsibility for nuke wastes, from existing or future nukes, and is there a difference there? We as county and Maryland residents don't care either way?

Parran Wilson has indicated such questions are above his pay scale, but I don't see them being posed or answered, or where posed the authorities disqualify them from consideration, hiding behind detailed regulations. It is like the corporate shields that have been set up by the nukers to protect them from responsibility for public harm resulting from the nukes. The French government now owns half of CC1 and

CC2, but I wonder if they have any responsibility for tritium leaks, etc., or maybe even decommissioning costs when those plants are closed in maybe 20 years, if not extended yet further, and certainly not for the wastes. We should not burden residents/taxpayers with concise statements clarifying these matters? Clean energy alternatives do not have such hidden perils?

Do we not need to address the problem of overpopulation here and everywhere, with present unsustainable lifestyles ever more quickly ruining/changing Earth's biosphere in so many different ways, to our mutual peril? More attention to the energy issue would only aid that.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement for CC3 comes out April 16. Maybe the BOCC or the Environmental Commission, preferably after holding their own public hearing, would join in asking that some of these significant questions be addressed in the final EIS due sometime next year, depending on the questions that get asked.

Still no local interest/responsibility?

W. Johnston, Huntington (Please accept as letter to editor, request for revision for shortening/balance welcomed. wj)

Begin forwarded message:

From: "GOOSE" <iyp@dmv.com>
Date: March 10, 2010 12:53:23 PM EST
To: "William Johnston" <wj3@comcast.net>
Subject: Fw: [Crabshell] Re: [clamshellalliance] Trouble mounts for Entergy following radioactive leaks at Vermont nuclear plant | Grist

You see this?

-----Original Message-----

From: [David Slesinger](#)
Date: 3/10/2010 11:21:34 AM
To: mdsafeenergy@googlegroups.com
Subject: [Crabshell] Re: [clamshellalliance] Trouble mounts for Entergy following radioactive leaks at Vermont nuclear plant | Grist

From: Brenda Loew <gentleacupuncture@earthlink.net>
To: Clamshell Alliance <clamshellalliance@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wed, March 10, 2010 11:20:13 AM
Subject: [clamshellalliance] Trouble mounts for Entergy following radioactive leaks at Vermont nuclear plant | Grist

<http://www.grist.org/article/trouble-mounts-for-entergy-following-radioactive-leaks-at-vermont-nuclear-p/>

New Orleans-based power giant Entergy is in hot water following revelations that its Vermont Yankee nuclear plant has leaked radioactive contamination to the environmentdramatic levels of contamination, with direct testing of groundwater on Feb. 6 [detecting tritium at levels of 2.45 million picocuries per liter](#) -- almost the same concentration found in reactor process water, which typically has about 2.9 million picocuries of tritium per liter. The Vermont Department of Health has raised concerns that the contamination is making its way to the nearby Connecticut River.

[Reply to sender](#) | [Reply to group](#) | [Reply via web post](#) | [Start a New Topic](#)

[Messages in this topic](#) (1)

RECENT ACTIVITY:

[Visit Your Group](#)

YAHOO! GROUPS

Switch to: [Text-Only](#), [Daily Digest](#) • [Unsubscribe](#) • [Terms of Use](#)

[FREE Animations for your email - by IncredMail](#)

[Click Here!](#)



Hearing Identifier: CalvertCliffs_Unit3Cola_Public_EX
Email Number: 2184

Mail Envelope Properties (0AA17736E4C4154CA37233EEBFC8DEB27400C0E038)

Subject: FW: Fwd: [Crabshell] Re: [clamshellalliance] Trouble mounts for Entergy following radioactive leaks at Vermont nuclear plant | Grist
Sent Date: 6/22/2011 8:36:32 AM
Received Date: 6/22/2011 8:36:33 AM
From: Steckel, James

Created By: James.Steckel@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"CCNPP3COLA PEmails" <CCNPP3COLA.PEmails@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

| Files | Size | Date & Time |
|--------------------------------------|------|----------------------|
| MESSAGE | 8491 | 6/22/2011 8:36:33 AM |
| imstp_animation_monkey_en_020908.gif | | 41872 |

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

[FREE Animations for your email - by IncrediMail!](#)

Click Here!

