

CCNPP3COLA PEmails

From: Steckel, James
Sent: Wednesday, June 22, 2011 8:36 AM
To: CCNPP3COLA PEmails
Subject: FW: Trouble mounts for Constellation with CHERNOBYL-esque EPR reactor!!!
Attachments: imstp_animation_monkey_en_020908.gif

From: false [mailto:savorsuccesslady3@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 10, 2010 9:27 PM
To: Marty Madden; Jeff Newman; Christie Goodman; Susan Shaw; Wilson Parran Parran; William Johnston
Cc: Chris Bush; Peter Vogt; Bruce Gordon; Paulette Hammond
Subject: Trouble mounts for Constellation with CHERNOBYL-esque EPR reactor!!!

Yes, Bill, as I wrote? ALL of the nuclear power plants in the Ches Bay Watershed are either currently leaking or have leaked. And I've got at least one of the local anti-nuke non-profits PISSED OFF at me for exposing their SILENCE on this!!!

http://axisoflogic.com/artman/publish/Article_57993.shtml

Also, it is critical that everyone goes to their state senators and delegates about the fact that the EPR reactor slated for Calvert Cliffs has a design flaw likely to yield a Chernobyl. Even the Guardian in the UK covered this on Sunday:

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/business/2010/mar/07/edf-nuclear-reactor-chernobyl-risk>

If the EPR is built and operates, we must warn everyone to first move hundreds of miles away before they turn the thing on. No, this is not a joke. Nuclear physicists (see below) are the contact people for these reports from an Electricite de France insider, and a foreign chemical physicist in another nation is extremely concerned.

I do not believe that the people in the MD Public Service Commission nor perhaps even any of the state employees realize all this! They keep getting sold the EPR is SO SAFE - but that is by CONSTELLATION and EDF and AREVA! Of COURSE they are going to say it's safe! But the EDF whistleblower has documents (see below) that PROVE that EDF KNOWS the EPR is NOT safe at all!

And so the MD PSC approved the Certif. of Public Convenience & Necessity just TRUSTING the NRC to do a great job of checking out the reactor. But the NRC has NEVER met a reactor it did not like! We can NOT put this off to the feds to decide if we ourselves have critical advance knowledge that the design is deadly.

MARYLAND EMPLOYEES WERE SOLD A BUM REACTOR FROM THE FRENCHIES!!!

THIS IS SERIOUS BEYOND BELIEF!!! WHATEVER STATE EMPLOYEE STARTS YELLING ABOUT THIS FIRST WILL BE PERCEIVED AS THE STATE HERO! ANYONE WHO DOES NOT LIKE O'MALLEY WILL SOON BE JUMPING ON THIS AS THIS JUST ALL BROKE YESTERDAY! SENATOR ALLAN KITTLEMAN - MINORITY LEADER - AND DELEGATE GAIL BATES WILL BE APPRISED OF THIS ON FRIDAY MORNING.

The GOP is gonna jump ALL OVER THIS, it appears...

Hell even IF someone LOVED nuke power (can't imagine why they would as the stuff spews radioactive

chemicals into the air, Bay, and soil) - but even if they did? they would not want THIS one! This EPR has a safety design flaw and it is so experimental it has never operated anywhere!

They should NOT BE EXPERIMENTING with a NEW type of reactor here - NOT in an area of 17 million people and NOT SO CLOSE to the nation's capital on top of that! Hell! There are plenty of types of reactors they could use that will NOT be as likely to cause a Chernobyl-like disaster even IF they felt they HAD TO go with more nuke power! (which anyone who's studied power realizes that the nuclear decision was made as the legislators were sold that it would be more cost efficient - which is just not even true)!

Read the reports of the EPR disastrous dangers here:

<http://tinyurl.com/ycczybc>

original is here:

www.sortirdunucleaire.org/index.php?menu=actualites&sousmenu=dossiers&soussousmenu=EPRrevelations&page=2

We must spread the word. Nuclear power contaminates the environment for an eternity - but THIS EPR reactor will turn to toast all life within a 300 mile radius if we allow them to build it.

Cathy Garger

--- On Wed, 3/10/10, William Johnston <wj3@comcast.net> wrote:

From: William Johnston <wj3@comcast.net>

Subject: Fwd: [Crabshell] Re: [clamshellalliance] Trouble mounts for Entergy following radioactive leaks at Vermont nuclear plant | Grist

To: "Marty Madden" <editorial@calvertindependent.com>, "Jeff Newman" <jnewman@somdnews.com>, "Christie Goodman" <goodmanc@washpost.com>, "Susan Shaw" <choosingsusan@verizon.net>, "Wilson Parran Parran" <wparran01@comcast.net>

Cc: "Cathy Garger" <savorsuccesslady3@yahoo.com>, "Chris Bush" <chris.bush@verizon.net>, "Peter Vogt" <ptr_vogt@yahoo.com>, "Bruce Gordon" <iyp@dmv.com>, "Paulette Hammond" <Paulette.D.Hammond@questdiagnostics.com>

Date: Wednesday, March 10, 2010, 2:40 PM

Did you hear about the tritium leak to groundwater at Entergy's nuke in Vermont? Isn't that the same technology as at CC1 and CC2? (Did not Cathy Garger warn us of such a tritium leak at CCNPP a few months back?) The Calvert BOCC has abdicated its responsibilities to county citizens, by aiding and abetting a conspiracy of silence on all matters nuke, including whether to generate wastes to be stored here for one million years, geologic fault, etc., like county residents should have no opinion.

Rather our BOCC spends its time rehashing a contract for a diving platform at the new county pool, and other minutia for which we might thank them, but not while they disregard major issues for which we and our ancestors might well hold them in infamy. Just put our trust in the feds, and in the spineless and captive state Public Service Commission and other state agencies, to relieve us of need for any initiative/judgement here? Beyond present capabilities of investigative journalism of our media, or county BOCC or Environmental Commission inquiry? Have the feds renounced any responsibility for nuke wastes, from existing or future nukes, and is there a difference there? We as county and Maryland residents don't care either way?

Parran Wilson has indicated such questions are above his pay scale, but I don't see them being posed or answered, or where posed the authorities disqualify them from consideration, hiding behind detailed regulations. It is like the corporate shields that have been set up by the nukers to protect them from responsibility for public harm resulting from the nukes. The French government now owns half of CC1 and CC2, but I wonder if they have any responsibility for tritium leaks, etc., or maybe even decommissioning costs when those plants are closed in maybe 20 years, if not extended yet further, and certainly not for the wastes. We should not burden residents/taxpayers with concise statements clarifying these matters? Clean energy alternatives do not have such hidden perils?

Do we not need to address the problem of overpopulation here and everywhere, with present unsustainable lifestyles ever more quickly ruining/changing Earth's biosphere in so many different ways, to our mutual peril? More attention to the energy issue would only aid that.

The draft Environmental Impact Statement for CC3 comes out April 16. Maybe the BOCC or the Environmental Commission, preferably after holding their own public hearing, would join in asking that some of these significant questions be addressed in the final EIS due sometime next year, depending on the questions that get asked.

Still no local interest/responsibility?

W. Johnston, Huntingtown (Please accept as letter to editor, request for revision for shortening/balance welcomed. wj)

Begin forwarded message:

From: "GOOSE" <iyp@dmv.com>
Date: March 10, 2010 12:53:23 PM EST
To: "William Johnston" <wj3@comcast.net>
Subject: Fw: [Crabshell] Re: [clamshellalliance] Trouble mounts for Entergy following radioactive leaks at Vermont nuclear plant | Grist

You see this?

-----Original Message-----

From: David Slesinger
Date: 3/10/2010 11:21:34 AM
To: mdsafeenergy@googlegroups.com
Subject: [Crabshell] Re: [clamshellalliance] Trouble mounts for Entergy following radioactive leaks at Vermont nuclear plant | Grist

From: Brenda Loew <genteacupuncture@earthlink.net>
To: Clamshell Alliance <clamshellalliance@yahooogroups.com>
Sent: Wed, March 10, 2010 11:20:13 AM
Subject: [clamshellalliance] Trouble mounts for Entergy following radioactive leaks at Vermont nuclear plant | Grist

<http://www.grist.org/article/trouble-mounts-for-entergy-following-radioactive-leaks-at-vermont-nuclear-plant/>

New Orleans-based power giant Entergy is in hot water following revelations that its Vermont Yankee nuclear plant has leaked radioactive contamination to the environmentdramatic levels of contamination, with direct testing of groundwater on Feb. 6 [detecting tritium at levels of 2.45 million picocuries per liter](#) -- almost the same concentration found in reactor process water, which typically has about 2.9 million picocuries of tritium per liter. The Vermont Department of Health has raised concerns that the contamination is making its way to the nearby Connecticut River.

[Reply to sender](#) | [Reply to group](#) | [Reply via web post](#) | [Start a New Topic](#)

[Messages in this topic](#) (1)

RECENT ACTIVITY:

[Visit Your Group](#)

YAHOO! GROUPS

Switch to: [Text-Only](#), [Daily Digest](#) • [Unsubscribe](#) • [Terms of Use](#)

[FREE Animations for your email - by IncredMail](#)

[Click Here!](#)



Hearing Identifier: CalvertCliffs_Unit3Cola_Public_EX
Email Number: 2183

Mail Envelope Properties (0AA17736E4C4154CA37233EEBFC8DEB27400C0E037)

Subject: FW: Trouble mounts for Constellation with CHERNOBYL-esque EPR reactor!!!
Sent Date: 6/22/2011 8:36:13 AM
Received Date: 6/22/2011 8:36:15 AM
From: Steckel, James

Created By: James.Steckel@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"CCNPP3COLA PEmails" <CCNPP3COLA.PEmails@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	12234	6/22/2011 8:36:15 AM
imstp_animation_monkey_en_020908.gif		41872

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

[FREE Animations for your email - by IncrediMail!](#)

Click Here!

