A. Luchom

WISCONSIN PUBLIC SERVICE CORPORATION



P.O. Box 1200, Green Bay, Wisconsin 54305

March 4, 1977

Mr. J. G. Keppler, Regional Director Office of Inspection & Enforcement Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, IL 60137

Dear Mr. Keppler:

Subject: Docket 50-305 Operating License DPR-43 Reportable Occurrence

In accordance with the requirements of Technical Specifications, Section 6.9.2.b, the attached Licensee Event Report for reportable occurrence RO 77-7 is being submitted. Initially, this report was transmitted via telegram in 24 hours in accordance with Section 6.9.2.a of Technical Specifications. However, after reviewing the information available, this incident has been reevaluated to be a reduction in the degree of redundancy provided by this safety feature and, therefore, reportable as a 30-day reportable occurrence in accordance with Technical Specifications, Section 6.9.2.b. We have discussed this reevaluation with Mr. Hunter of your office via telephone on March 1, 1977.

Very truly yours,

E. W. James Senior Vice President Power Supply & Engineering

EWJ:sna Enc.

cc - Dir, Office of Inspection & Enforcement US NRC, Washington, D. C. 20555 Dir, Office of Management Info & Program Control US NRC, Washington, D. C. 20555

MAR 8 1977

· ·	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT	
i		N)
	LICENSEE LICENSE LICENSE EVENT NAME LICENSE NUMBER $\begin{bmatrix} UICENSE \\ TYPE \\ W I K N P I \\ 9 14 15 25 26 30 31 32 \end{bmatrix}$	
	CATEGORY REPORT TYPE REPORT SOURCE DOCKET NUMBER EVENT DATE REPORT DATE CON'T P 0 L L 0 5 0 5 0 2 1 8 7 7 0 3 0 4 7 57 58 59 60 61 68 69 74 75	7 80
02	EVENT DESCRIPTION During the pressure test of the dual personnel air lock doors, the seals on the door	
03	actuating shafts on the outer door were found to be leaking in excess of the tester	
	measuring capability. After adjusting the packing on the seals on the outer door	
	only, a retest showed the leakage to be minimal and verified that the inner airlock	
	door did not leak. Normal operating practice requires that both airlock doors (Cont. 9 PRME on attached sheet)	
07 7 8	SYSTEM CAUSE COMPONENT COMPONENT COMPONENT COMPONENT COMPONENT SODE COMPONENT COOE COMPONENT SUPPLIER MANUFACTURER VIOLATION SOL SI A E P E N E T R A C 3 1 0 N SOL SOL SOL SOL SOL A C 3 1 0 N	
08	CAUSE DESCRIPTION The shaft packing on the door actuating device had become loose through normal usage.	
7 B	The packing was retightened and the seal was tested satisfactorily.	
	FACILITY STATUS % POWER DTHER STATUS METHOD DF DISCOVERY OISCOVERY DESCRIPTION H 0 0 NA B Local Leak Rate Testing	
12 7 B	ACTIVITY CONTENT RELEASED OF RELEASE AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY LOCATION OF RELEASE 2 2 2 NA 44 45 PERSONNEL EXPOSURES	
13		
78	9 11 12 13 PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER DESCRIPTION	80
14		80
15 7 8	OFFSITE CONSEQUENCES [NA 9	
	LOSS OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY TYPE DESCRIPTION Z NA 9 10	
احت	PUBLICITY NA	1
	9	80
1B 7 8	ADDITIONAL FACTORS The shaft seals were tested satisfactory in 1973 and 1976, and the annual refueling 9	80
โปอ	test should be adequate to determine seal degradation.	80
78	9 NAME: Mark L. Marchi PHONE: 414/432-3311	

Event Description (Cont.)

are kept shut except during the brief period of time someone is entering or exiting containment, thus during an accident the one door would have been able to maintain the integrity of the containment boundary within the acceptance criteria specified in section 4.4 of Technical Specifications. (RO 77-7)