## **PMVictoriaESPPEm Resource**

From: david.distel@exeloncorp.com

Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2011 5:05 PM

To: Jessie, Janelle

**Cc:** marilyn.kray@exeloncorp.com; christopher.kerr@exeloncorp.com; dpatton@bechtel.com **Subject:** RE: Response Requested: Draft RAIs for Victoria County Station ESP Application

Janelle – Questions regarding the RAI ID 5362 on SSAR 2.4.1.

Item 1: Electronic copy of topography map of the site – This was previously submitted on the docket in Exelon letter NP-10-0027, dated December 13, 2010.

Item 2: Electronic copy of the topography map of the Guadalupe River watershed – Our notes from the hydrology audit indicate that this audit action item (1b) was closed and that the NRC was going to confirm the information on the referenced USGS website

Item 3: Digital elevation of the site and surrounding area including watershed –This was previously submitted on the docket in Exelon letter NP-11-0006, dated February 8, 2011.

Can you please verify with the reviewer(s) as to whether these previous submittals provide the requested information in Items 1 and 3 above, and also whether Item 2 was indeed closed at the audit.

Thanks.

**Dave Distel** 

From: Jessie, Janelle [mailto:Janelle.Jessie@nrc.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2011 4:30 PM

**To:** Distel, David J:(GenCo)

Cc: VictoriaESP Resource; Hale, Jerry

Subject: Response Requested: Draft RAIs for Victoria County Station ESP Application

Good Afternoon David,

Attached are draft RAIs in support of the safety review for the Victoria County Station ESP application. Please take a moment to review the questions to ensure that you understand what the staff is asking.

There are four RAIs. RAI 5362 has 1 question, RAI 5381 has 1 question, RAI 5470 has 12 questions and RAI 5472 has 19 questions.

Be sure to let me know if a clarification call is needed by no later than Wednesday, March 2, 2011.

As a reminder, the purpose of a clarification call is to clarify what the staff is asking; <u>it</u> is not a forum to debate a technical issue in the question or share your intended response with the staff as either of those types of calls require a public teleconference. So, please keep this mind.

If a clarification call is not needed, please let me know this information as well.

**Thanks** 

Janelle 301-415-6775

 Hearing Identifier: Victoria\_ESP\_Public

Email Number: 272

Mail Envelope Properties (354482C26DF3984ABE2CB92266860D3E5497A4)

Subject: RE: Response Requested: Draft RAIs for Victoria County Station ESP

Application

**Sent Date:** 2/23/2011 5:04:58 PM **Received Date:** 2/23/2011 5:05:25 PM

From: david.distel@exeloncorp.com

Created By: david.distel@exeloncorp.com

Recipients:

"marilyn.kray@exeloncorp.com" <marilyn.kray@exeloncorp.com>

Tracking Status: None

"christopher.kerr@exeloncorp.com" <christopher.kerr@exeloncorp.com>

Tracking Status: None

"dpatton@bechtel.com" <dpatton@bechtel.com>

Tracking Status: None

"Jessie, Janelle" < Janelle.Jessie@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** mobmsxch04.energy.power.corp

Files Size Date & Time

MESSAGE 2966 2/23/2011 5:05:25 PM

**Options** 

Priority:StandardReturn Notification:NoReply Requested:NoSensitivity:Normal

Expiration Date: Recipients Received: