

3.3 Wind and Tornado Loadings

3.3.1 Wind Loadings

The wind loadings for seismic Category I structures are in accordance with American Society of Civil Engineers, "Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures," ASCE 7-98 (Reference 1).

3.3.1.1 Design Wind Velocity

The design wind is specified as a basic wind speed of 145 mph with an annual probability of occurrence of 0.02 based on the most severe location identified in Reference 1. This wind speed is the 3 second gust speed at 33 feet above the ground in open terrain (Reference 1, exposure C). The basic wind speed of 145 mph is the 3 second gust speed that has become the basis of wind design codes since 1995. It corresponds to the 110 mph fastest mile wind used as the basis for the AP600 design in accordance with the 1988 edition of Reference 1.

Higher winds with a probability of occurrence of 0.01 are used in the design of seismic Category I structures by using an importance factor of 1.15. This is obtained by classifying the AP1000 seismic Category I structures as essential facilities and using the design provisions for Category IV of Reference 1.

Velocity pressure exposure coefficients and gust response factors are calculated according to Reference 1 for exposure C, which is applicable to shorelines in hurricane prone areas in the 1998 edition of Reference 1. The topographic factor is taken as unity.

The design wind loads calculated as described above exceed those required at other locations in the United States, where the more severe Exposure Category D is specified in Reference 1. Exposure Category D is applicable for sites near the open inland waterways, the Great Lakes, and the coastal areas of California, Oregon, Washington, and Alaska. For such locations, the basic wind speed is less than 130 mph.

3.3.1.2 Determination of Applied Forces

The procedures used in transforming the wind velocity into an effective pressure to be applied to structures and parts and portions of structures follow the guidelines of Reference 1.

Effective pressures applied to interior and exterior surfaces of the buildings and corresponding shape coefficients are calculated according to Reference 1 for exposure C. Shape coefficients, defining the variation around the circumference of the shield building, are calculated using ASCE Paper No. 3269 (Reference 2). These shape coefficients are consistent with those observed in the model tests described in Reference 6.

3.3.2 Tornado Loadings

Seismic Category I structures are designed to resist tornado wind loads without exceeding the allowable stresses defined in subsection 3.8.4. These tornado loads exceed the loads for hurricanes with a probability of occurrence comparable to that of the tornado. In addition, seismic Category I

structures are designed to remain functional when subjected to tornado-generated missiles as discussed in subsection 3.5.1.4. Seismic Category I structures are permitted to sustain local missile damage such as partial penetration and local cracking or permanent deformation or both, provided that structural integrity is maintained and seismic Category I systems, components, and equipment required to function during or after passage of a tornado are not subject to damage by secondary missiles, such as from concrete spalling. See subsection 3.5.2.

3.3.2.1 Applicable Design Parameters

The design parameters applicable to the design basis tornado are as follows:

- Maximum wind speed – 300 mph
- Maximum rotational speed – 240 mph
- Maximum translational speed – 60 mph
- Radius of maximum rotational wind from center of tornado – 150 ft
- Atmospheric pressure drop – 2.0 psi
- Rate of pressure change – 1.2 psi/sec

It is estimated that the probability of wind speeds greater than the design basis tornado is between 10^{-6} and 10^{-7} per year for an AP1000 at a "worst location" anywhere within the contiguous United States.

3.3.2.2 Determination of Forces on Structures

The procedures described in subsection 3.3.1.2 are used to transform the tornado wind loading and differential pressure loading into effective loads on structures, with a wind velocity of 300 mph (translational plus rotational velocities). The dynamic wind pressure is applied to the structures in the same manner as the wind loads described in subsection 3.3.1.2, except that the importance factor, gust factor, and the variation of wind speed with height do not apply. Loading combinations and load factors used are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}W_t &= W_w \\W_t &= W_p \\W_t &= W_m \\W_t &= W_w + 0.5 W_p \\W_t &= W_w + W_m \\W_t &= W_w + 0.5 W_p + W_m\end{aligned}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned}W_t &= \text{total tornado load} \\W_w &= \text{total wind load} \\W_p &= \text{total differential pressure load} \\W_m &= \text{total missile load}\end{aligned}$$

The maximum pressure drop of 2.0 psi, applicable to a nonvented structure, is used for W_p for all structures except the upper portion of the shield building. The portion of the shield building

surrounding the upper annulus is designed as fully vented (zero differential pressure) due to the large area of the air inlets and discharge stack. Figure 3.3-1 shows the velocity pressure variation with the radius from the center of the tornado. When the tornado loading includes the missile load, the structure locally may go into the plastic range because of missile impact. Subsection 3.5.3 discusses the procedure for analyzing local missile effects.

3.3.2.3 Effect of Failure of Structures or Components Not Designed for Tornado Loads

The failure of structures not designed for tornado loadings does not affect the capability of seismic Category I structures or safety-related systems performance. This is accomplished by one of the following:

- Designing the adjacent structure to seismic Category I structure tornado loading
- Investigating the effect of adjacent structure failure on seismic Category I structures to determine that no impairment of function results
- Designing a structural barrier to protect seismic Category I structures from adjacent structural failure.

The structures adjacent to the nuclear island are the annex building, the radwaste building, and the turbine building.

The portion of the annex building adjacent to the nuclear island is classified as seismic Category II and is designed to seismic Category I structure tornado loading. The acceptance criteria are based on ACI 349 for concrete structures and on AISC N690 for steel structures. The structure is constructed to the same requirements as nonseismic structures, ACI 318 for concrete structures, and AISC-S355 for steel structures. Siding is permitted to blow off during the tornado.

The radwaste building is a small steel-frame building. If it were to collapse in the tornado, it would not impair the integrity of the reinforced concrete nuclear island.

The turbine building is classified as nonseismic and is designed to seismic Category I structure tornado loading. The acceptance criteria are based on ACI 318 for concrete structures using a load factor of 1.0 and on 1.7 times the AISC S355 allowables for steel structures. Siding is permitted to blow off during the tornado.

3.3.2.4 Tornado Loads on the Passive Containment Cooling System Air Baffle

The containment air baffle is located within the annulus between the containment vessel and the shield building. It interfaces with the passive containment cooling system and separates downward flowing air entering at the air intake openings at the top of the cylindrical portion of the shield building from upward flowing air that cools the containment vessel and flows out of the discharge diffuser.

Loads due to the atmospheric pressure drop (W_p) are calculated assuming the tornado is centered over the containment. Differential pressure between the air intakes and the discharge is calculated

based on the radius of the shield building and the parameters of the tornado defined in subsection 3.3.2.1. The differential pressure is used with the pressure loss coefficients in the air flow path to determine pressures throughout the flow path.

The development of loads on the air baffle due to the design wind and tornado (W_w) are described in the test reports (References 3, 4, and 5). Models of the AP600 were tested in a wind tunnel and subjected to representative wind profiles. Pressures were measured on each side of the baffle, and the differential pressures were normalized to the input wind velocity. The pressure coefficients are applied to the effective dynamic pressure for the design wind and the tornado to obtain the wind loads across the baffle. The tornado wind is specified to be constant with height. The tornado loads calculated for the AP600 are applicable to the AP1000. The AP1000 configuration is similar to the AP600. The height of the shield building roof increases by 20' 6"; the exterior diameter of the passive containment cooling storage tank increases from 80' 0" to 89' 0". The pressure coefficients measured in the AP600 tests are not significantly affected by these changes in geometry.

Wind conditions result in a pressure reduction in the annulus between the shield building and the containment vessel as well as above the containment dome. This reduced pressure is equivalent to an increase in containment internal pressure and is within the normal operating range for containment pressure (-0.2 to 1.0 psig).

Wind conditions result in a small wind load across the containment vessel. This is maximum opposite the air intakes where positive pressures occur on the windward side and negative pressures occur on the leeward side. Lateral loads on the containment vessel are developed in Reference 5.

3.3.3 Combined License Information

The Combined License information requested in this subsection has been partially addressed in APP-GW-GLR-020 (Reference 7). The information item completion activities required of the Combined License applicant are defined in APP-GW-GLR-020. These activities include development of site-specific parameters and verification of bounding conditions, site arrangement, and building construction. Specifically, the information that the applicant must evaluate is the following, demonstrating that any exceedances or differences do not compromise the safety of the plant:

- Show site parameters for wind and tornado showing that the site satisfies the AP1000 site interface criteria for the wind and tornado conditions. If there are exceedances, they must be discussed and shown acceptable.
- Provide plant-specific site plan and discuss any differences between the plant-specific site plan and the typical site plan shown in Figure 1.2-2 of Section 1.2.
- Verify that there are no other structures adjacent to the nuclear island other than: turbine building, annex building, radwaste building, and passive containment cooling ancillary water storage tank. If there are, then the effect of these structures on the AP1000 nuclear island must be discussed.

- Show that missiles caused by external events separate from the tornado have energies less than the tornado missile spectrum energies that the AP1000 is designed to withstand. If missile energy is greater, evaluate and show that it will not compromise the safety of AP1000 safety-related structures and components.

Completion of these activities by the Combined License applicant will complete the Information Item.

The following words represent the original Combined License Information Item commitment:

Combined License applicants referencing the AP1000 certified design will address site interface criteria for wind and tornado. The Combined License applicant will ensure that a tornado-initiated failure of structures and components within the Combined License applicant's scope will not compromise the safety of AP1000 safety-related structures and components (see also subsection 3.5.4).

3.3.4 References

1. American Society of Civil Engineers, "Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures," ASCE 7-98.
2. ASCE Paper No. 3269, "Wind Forces on Structures," Transactions of the American Society of Civil Engineers, Vol. 126, Part II (1961).
3. WCAP-13323-P and WCAP-13324-NP, "Phase II Wind Tunnel Testing for the Westinghouse AP600 Reactor," August 1992.
4. WCAP-14068-P, "Phase IVA Wind Tunnel Testing for the Westinghouse AP600 Reactor," May, 1994.
5. WCAP-14169-P, "Phase IVA Wind Tunnel Testing for the Westinghouse AP600 Reactor, Supplemental Report," September, 1994.
6. WCAP-13294-P and WCAP-13295-NP, "Phase I Wind Tunnel Testing for the Westinghouse AP600 Reactor," April 1992.
7. APP-GW-GLR-020, "Wind and Tornado Site Interface Criteria," Westinghouse Electric Company LLC.




Figure 3.3-1

**Velocity Pressure Variation with
Radius from Center of Tornado**