1 <b>4</b>									
¥ .	AEC DI RIBU	TION FOR PART		ET MA	IAL	CON		A 4771	
		(TEMPORARY FORM)					CONTROL NO: 4771		
	• •					FIL	ENVI	RO FILE	
FROM: Assistant Se		DATE OF DOC:	DATE	REC'D	LTR	MEMO	RPT	OTHER	
Commerce Wash.	D.C. 20230	0.00 70	0.00	70					
Sidney R. Galler	•	8-29-72	8-29-	12	X				
TO:		ORIG	CC	OTHER			AEC FDI		
Mr. Daniel R. Mul	ller	0//10		OTHER			LOCAL I		
		1 signed	1						
CLASS: U PROP	INFO	INPUT	INPUT NO CYS REC'D		DOCKET NO: 50-305				
		2							
DESCRIPTION: Ltr fu	urnishing comme	nts on Dratt		077.0					
Enviro Statement			ENCLOSU	KES:				•	
Plant									
			• .						
					•				
				· .	ጠጠ	ለየሰንም ምን	71.5.61.17	a	
·					UU	NOT R	LIMUVE	1	
PLANT NAMES: Keway	unee Plant				TOM	NOWLE	ngen	- , .	
					aun	NANN	<u>u</u> yu <u>u</u>		
·		FOR ACTION/II	NFORMATIC	ON D	L 8-3	0-72		<u></u>	
BUTLER(L)	VENIEL(L)	VASSAL			EMANN(		(A)	IGHTON (ENVIRO)	
W/ Copies	W// Copies	W/ Coj	-		Copi		W	4 Copies	
CLARK(L)	SCHWENCER(L)	H. DEN				(FM)		UNGBLOOD (ENVIR	
W/ Copies GOLLER(L)	W/ Copies STOLZ(L)	W/ Cop			Copi		W/	Copies	
	W/ Copies	W/ Con	pies		CKER(E Copi	NVIRO)	W/	Copies	
					oopi		~/	copies	
		INTERNAL DIST	TRIBUTIO	V					
REG FILE	TECH REVIE	W VOLL	-ER	H	ARLESS		WAD	DE (E)	
VAEC PDR	HENDRIE	DENT					Sha	FER (F&M)	
OGC, ROOM P-506A	SCHROEDER	GRIM			M 3			WN(È)	
MUNIZING/STAFF CASE	MACCARY				MILEY			WILLIAMS(E)	
GIAMBUSSO	LANGE PALE LOVE				USSBAU	MER		IND	
BOYD-L(BWR)	PAWLICKI SHAO	BALL FINE	AID	. т		m		ITMAN	
DEYOUNG-L(PWR)	KNUTH	TINE		Æ	IC ASS	Υ· 77)	SAL	TZMAN	
SKOVHOLT-L	STELLO	ENVII	RÔ		ASON	(L)	PLA	21	
P. COLLINS	MOORE	MULLI			ILSON	(L)		NALD	
	THOMPSON	DICK			ARI	(Ľ)	DUE		
REG OPR	TEDESCO	KNIGI			MITH	(L)	INF	Ó	
FILE & REGION (2)	LONG		GBLOOD		EARIN	(L)	C.	MILES	
MORRIS	LAINAS BENAROYA	PROJI	ECT LEADI		IGGS	(L)			
	DENANOTA	EXTERNAL DIS	STREET		EETS	(L)			
1-LOCAL PDR Kewaun	ee Wis.		011110011						
1-DTIE(LAUGHLIN)			NAMITON	T TADI	-	•			
(1-NSIC(BUCHANAN)		(1) -NATIONAL LAB'S ANL			2		•	N/LA/NY	
1-ASLB-YORE/SAYRE		L-R. CARROLL-OC			CT-B	227		LELLUCHE AVEN NAT. LAB	
WOODWARD/H. ST.		1-R. CATLIN, A-17			.70-GT			, IDAHO FALLS,	
16-CYS ACRS HOLDING		1-CONSULANT'S IDAHO (50-331 Only)							
			NEWMARK	/BLUME,	AGABL			LLER F-309GT	
				•					
	•		•						
• •				•					
		· •·							

~ **4** 





50-305

August 29, 1972



Mr. Daniel R. Muller Assistant Director for Environmental Projects U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Washington, D. C. 20545

Dear Mr. Muller:

The draft environmental impact statement for the "Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant, Docket No. 50-305," which accompanied your letter of July 20, 1972, has been received by the Department of Commerce for review and comment.

The Department of Commerce has reviewed the draft environmental statement and has the following comments to offer for your consideration.

On page II-28, the conditions described in the last paragraph are more characteristic of a modified monsoonal effect than lake breeze. The lake breeze is as described in the very last sentence on the page (i.e., a diurnal change in wind).

On page II-29, the conclusion shown in the last sentence of paragraph 2 is hardly valid when based on two situations. Normally turbulence would be expected to be greater with higher wind speeds.

It is suggested the draft statement be sent to the Lake Survey Center for comment on Lake Michigan currents.

In previous comments to the AEC Division of Reactor Licensening, dated May 17, 1972, we have computed a maximum average annual concentration of 9 x  $10^{-7}$  sec m<sup>-3</sup> at a distance of 1200 m

4771

with winds from the north northeast. This is in close agreement with the applicant's value as shown in fugure 2.7-6 of the Final Safety Analysis Report dated January 27, 1971. The AEC staff analysis of the radiological impact of routine releases (see page V-28) does not specify their resulting average annual concentration and we can only assume it is in general agreement with the applicant's value.

We have not been able to evaluate the AEC staff's analysis of the radiological consequences of postualted accidents since the specific meteorological conditions assumed the resulting relative concentration in sec  $m^{-3}$  and the expected frequency of occurrence of such concentrations was not listed in their discussion on page VI-4.

We hope these comments will be of assistance to you in the preparation of the final statement.

Sincerely,

In R. Galler

Sidney R. Galler Deputy Assistant Secretary for Environmental Affairs

## Regulatory

File

OT BEER BOOM