

CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING JUSTIFICATION
FOR CONTINUED OPERATION OF SAFETY RELATED PIPING
WHEN CALCULATED STRESSES EXCEED USAR ALLOWABLES
JANUARY 5, 1989

WISCONSIN PUBLIC SERVICE CORPORATION
KEWAUNEE NUCLEAR POWER PLANT

8901250462 890118
PDR ADUCK 05000305
Q PDC



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>SECTION</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
1.0	INTRODUCTION & SCOPE	1
2.0	CRITERIA	1
3.0	CONCLUSION	4
4.0	REFERENCES	5

1.0 INTRODUCTION & SCOPE

These criteria are intended to assure the operability requirements of safety related piping and associated supports if it is determined that stresses exceed allowables presented in the Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant (KNPP) USAR. These criteria permit operation for an interim period only. Modifications will be made which return the system to within USAR allowables by the end of next refueling outage or sooner if modification permits during operation of the plant.

These criteria are intended to expeditiously perform necessary evaluations to determine interim operability and not to delay appropriate actions.

For cases involving safety related components where USAR allowables are exceeded, WPSC shall be notified upon discovery and WPSC shall evaluate reportability requirements per 10CFR50.

2.0 CRITERIA

2.1. Piping Operability Criteria

The piping analysis shall be in accordance with ANSI B31.1.0 - 1967, and the stress limits are in accordance with ASME, Section III NC-3600 service level D limits (Ref. 1). The design loading conditions to be applied in the analysis shall include the DBE earthquake.

Following is the pipe stress criteria for justifying continued operation of the plant:

$$S_{LP} + S_{WT} + S_{DBE} \leq 2.0 S_y \text{ (Reference 5, Appendix B)}$$

Where: S_{LP} = Longitudinal Pressure Stress
 S_{WT} = Longitudinal Dead Weight Stress
 S_{DBE} = Longitudinal Stresses Resulting
From Design Basis Earthquake
 S_y = Material Yield Stress (B31.1.0 - 1967)

Code Case N-411 allows for increased damping values, independent of pipe diameter, for seismic analysis. Therefore, increased damping values, in accordance with Reference 2, will be acceptable when performing these analyses to meet operability. Should the piping stress analysis exceed the value of 2.0 SY, or pipe supports do not meet their operable limits (see Section 2.2), then additional analysis of the piping may be required. The iterative analysis may use the knowledge that a support is not capable of withstanding the loads, and can be removed from the analysis. Where feasible, the actual support stiffness may be included in the iterative analysis, along with other refinements.

For cases where piping secondary stresses are determined to exceed twice USAR allowables (or 2.0 SY, whichever is less), a specific case-by-case approach will be used to determine interim operability.

2.2 Pipe Support And Hanger Operability Criteria

As a first step in evaluating the support, a linear elastic analysis method will be used to determine the stress in the support members. In addition to the loading in Section 2.1, the support loads must

include loads due to thermal expansion and displacement of anchors. Supports will be analyzed using the allowables listed below to meet operability requirements.

Structural Steel

Tension $F_t = 1.20 S_y$ but
 $\leq 0.70 S_u$

Bending $F_b = 1.20 S_y$ but
 $\leq 0.70 S_u$

Shear $F_v = 0.72 S_y$ but
 $\leq 0.42 S_u$

Compression $F_a < F_t$ but not to exceed $2/3 P_{cr}$

Combined Stress For axial compression and bending or axial tension and bending, use Reference 6.

Web Crippling = $1.0 S_y$

Weld Stress $F_w = 0.42 S_u$ (of weld material)

Anchor Bolts Use Factor of Safety of 2 against ultimate tension and shear values.

Hydraulic Snubbers Load < manufacturers one time load capacity.
Movement < total travel

Springs Load within catalog range without bottoming or topping out unless otherwise justified.

Struts $FS = 2$ and $< 2/3 P_{cr}$

All Remaining Catalog Items Use manufacturers published faulted load rating. Where level D allowables are not given, and the factor of safety is specified in the catalog, use design allowables but with $FS = 2$. (Typical catalog $FS = 5$; therefore, use $2.5 \times$ catalog capacity).

Where: F_t = Allowable Tensile Stress

F_b = Allowable Bending Stress

F_v = Allowable Shear Stress

F_a = Allowable Axial Compressive Stress

F_w = Allowable Weld Stress

P_{cr} = Maximum Strength of Axially Loaded
Compression Member

S_y = Specified Minimum Yield Strength
at Temperature (See Note 1)

S_u = Specified Minimum Tensile Strength
at Temperature

FS = Factor of Safety

NOTE 1: Actual yield strength may be used where CMTR's are
available for the material.

If a support fails using the linear elastic method, then a more
refined analysis may be performed using plastic analysis techniques.
The plastic analysis will follow the design rules of ASME Section
III, Appendix F (Ref. 1).

If the factor of safety of two is not met for catalog components,
then a detailed analysis may be performed to show that the component
meets the operability stress limit criteria.

3.0 CONCLUSION

If the above criteria cannot be met, reportability per 10 CFR 50 must be
evaluated and system operability requirements per Plant Technical
Specifications must be evaluated and appropriate actions taken.

4.0 REFERENCES

1. American Society of Mechanical Engineers, Boiler and Pressure Vessel Codes, Section III, 1983 Edition, through Winter 1985 Addenda.
2. American Society of Mechanical Engineers, Boiler and Pressure Vessel Codes, Case N-411, Dated 9/17/84.
3. NRC-IE Bulletin 79 02, "Pipe Support Base Plate Designs Using Concrete Expansion Anchor Bolts," Revision No. 2, dated 11-8-1979.
4. USAS B31.1.0 - 1967, Power Piping Code.
5. Updated Safety Evaluation Report for Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant.
6. Specification for the Design, Fabrication, and Erection of Structural Steel for Buildings, AISC, Sixth Edition, 1963 printing.