REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8303140519 DUC.DATE: 83/03/08 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET # FACIL:50-305 Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant, Wisconsin Public Servic 05000305

AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION GIESLER, C.W.

Wisconsin Public Service Corp.

RECIP. NAME

RECIPIENT AFFILIATION

VARGA, S.A.

Operating Reactors Branch 1

SUBJECT: Confirms 830303 conversation re environ qualification of electrical equipment important to safety. Thirty-day response justifying continued operation, per 830202 request, will be superseded by revised transmittal ltr.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A048S COPIES RECEIVED:LTR ENCL _ TITLE: OR/Licensing Submittal: Equipment Qualification

NOTES:

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME		COPIES LTTR ENCL		RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME		COPIES LTTR ENCL	
	NRR ORB1 BC	12	1	0	GROTENHUIS, M	01	1	1
INTERNAL:	ELD/HDS3	12	1	1	GC	13	1	. <u>i</u>
	IE FILE	09	1	1	NRR CALVO, J	-	1	1
•	NRR/DE/EQB	07	2	2	NRR/DL DIR	14	1	1
	NRR/DL/ORAB	06	1	1	NRR/DSI/AEB		1	1
(REG FILE	04	1	1	RGN3		1	1
EXTERNAL:	ACRS	15	8	8	LPDR	03	1	1
	NRC PDR	02	1	1	NSIÇ	05	1	1
	NTIS	31	1	1				•

WISCONSIN PUBLIC SERVICE CORPORATION



P.O. Box 1200, Green Bay, Wisconsin 54305

March 8, 1983

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Attention: Mr. S. A. Varga, Chief Operating Reactors Branch No. 1 Division of Licensing U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Varga:

Docket 50-305
Operating License DPR-43
Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant
Environmental Qualification of Electrical Equipment Important to Safety:
Justification for Continued Operation

Reference: 1) Letter to C. W. Giesler of WPSC from S. A. Varga of the NRC dated February 2, 1983

Confirming the conversation we had with our Project Manager, Mr. Grotenhuis, on March 3, 1983, the thirty (30) day response (Justification for Continued Operation) required by reference 1 will be superseded by a revised transmittal letter that we have not received. This was necessitated by inaccuracies contained in the original letter and the fact that the final rule (10CFR50.49) was not taken into account. It is our understanding that the revised letter will no longer require a ninety (90) day response (Schedule of Proposed Corrective Actions) although it will require Justification for Continued Operation thirty (30) days from receipt. In addition, it is our intention to meet all of the reporting requirements of the final rule.

Very truly yours,

C. W. Giesler

Vice President - Nuclear Power

js

cc - Mr. Robert Nelson, US NRC Mr. J. G. Keppler, US NRC

