

IPRenewal NPEmails

From: Kuntz, Robert
Sent: Monday, June 13, 2011 2:30 PM
To: MSTROUD@entergy.com
Subject: Draft RAIs
Attachments: RAI-small bore3.docx

Mike,

Attached are draft RAIs. Please review and let me know if you need a telephone conference call to discuss them. The purpose of the call would be to obtain any clarification on the draft RAI.

Robert Kuntz
Sr. Project Manager
NRR/ADRO/DLR/RPB2
(301) 415-3733
robert.kuntz@nrc.gov

Hearing Identifier: IndianPointUnits2and3NonPublic_EX
Email Number: 2623

Mail Envelope Properties (Robert.Kuntz@nrc.gov20110613142900)

Subject: Draft RAIs
Sent Date: 6/13/2011 2:29:30 PM
Received Date: 6/13/2011 2:29:00 PM
From: Kuntz, Robert

Created By: Robert.Kuntz@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"MSTROUD@entergy.com" <MSTROUD@entergy.com>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office:

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	328	6/13/2011 2:29:00 PM
RAI-small bore3.docx	19860	

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

DRAI 3.0.3.1.10-3

Background:

By letter dated March 28, 2011, the applicant provided its response to RAI 3.0.3.1.10-1. Regarding its ASME Class 1 small bore socket weld inspection plan at IP2 during the extended period of operation, the applicant stated that it will perform volumetric examination of “at least ten socket welds” during each 10-year period [interval] of the period of extended operation” in Part 1 and Part 3 of the response. However, it also stated “ten socket welds” in Part 4 of the response.

Also regarding its socket weld inspection plan at IP2, the applicant stated that it will perform volumetric examination of “ten socket welds in 2012” in Part 1 and Part 4 of the response. However, it also stated “at least ten socket welds in 2012” in Part 3 of the response.

Issue:

It is not clear to the staff whether the applicant intends to examine “ten” or “at least ten” socket welds during each 10-year interval of the period of extended operation. Based on IP2’s plant specific operating experience, IP2 appears to have experienced five cases of socket weld failures. The staff’s expectation is that a robust inspection program of socket welds is warranted and the inspection sampling should be sufficiently significant so that cracking, if exists, will be detected.

Request:

Justify the sampling adequacy for each 10-year period [interval] during the period of extended operation.